



L^2 -contraction for shock waves of scalar viscous conservation laws

Moon-Jin Kang*, Alexis F. Vasseur

Department of Mathematics, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712, USA

Received 22 July 2014; received in revised form 17 September 2015; accepted 22 October 2015

Available online 10 November 2015

Abstract

We consider the L^2 -contraction up to a shift for viscous shocks of scalar viscous conservation laws with strictly convex fluxes in one space dimension. In the case of a flux which is a small perturbation of the quadratic Burgers flux, we show that any viscous shock induces a contraction in L^2 , up to a shift. That is, the L^2 norm of the difference of any solution of the viscous conservation law, with an appropriate shift of the shock wave, does not increase in time. If, in addition, the difference between the initial value of the solution and the shock wave is also bounded in L^1 , the L^2 norm of the difference converges at the optimal rate $t^{-1/4}$. Both results do not involve any smallness condition on the initial value, nor on the size of the shock. In this context of small perturbations of the quadratic Burgers flux, the result improves the Choi and Vasseur's result in [7]. However, we show that the L^2 -contraction up to a shift does not hold for every convex flux. We construct a smooth strictly convex flux, for which the L^2 -contraction does not hold any more even along any Lipschitz shift.

© 2015 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

MSC: 35L65; 35L67; 35B35; 35B40

Keywords: Viscous conservation laws; Shock wave; Stability; Contraction; Relative entropy

1. Introduction and main results

This paper is devoted to the study of L^2 -contraction properties, up to a shift, for viscous shock waves of scalar viscous conservation laws with smooth strictly convex fluxes A in one space dimension:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t U + \partial_x A(U) &= \partial_{xx}^2 U, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ U(0, x) &= U_0(x). \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

For any smooth strictly convex flux A , and any $u_-, u_+ \in \mathbb{R}$ with $u_- > u_+$, there exists a smooth function S_1 defined on \mathbb{R} , and $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $S_1(x - \sigma t)$ is a traveling wave solution of Equation (1.1), connecting u_- at $-\infty$ to u_+ at $+\infty$. The function S_1 satisfies

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: moonjinkang@math.utexas.edu (M.-J. Kang), vasseur@math.utexas.edu (A.F. Vasseur).

$$\begin{aligned}
 &-\sigma S_1'(\xi) + A(S_1)'(\xi) = S_1''(\xi), \\
 &\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \pm\infty} S_1 = u_{\pm}, \quad \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \pm\infty} S_1' = 0,
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

where σ is the speed of the shock determined by the Rankine–Hugoniot condition:

$$\sigma = \frac{A(u_+) - A(u_-)}{u_+ - u_-}. \tag{1.3}$$

Integrating (1.2), we find

$$-\sigma(S_1 - u_{\pm}) + A(S_1) - A(u_{\pm}) = S_1', \quad \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \pm\infty} S_1 = u_{\pm}. \tag{1.4}$$

There have been extensive studies on the stability of shock profiles of viscous conservation laws. When initial data U_0 is a small perturbation from the viscous shock S_1 , the stability estimates have been shown in various way, such as the maximum principle, Evans function theory and the weighted norm approach based on the semigroup framework. This kind of results in the scalar case have been obtained by Goodman [12], Hopf [13], Howard [14], Nishihara [25], but also in the system case by Liu [21,22] and Zumbrun [23], Szepessy and Xin [29] (see also [11]). On the other hand, Freistühler and Serre [10] have shown the L^1 -stability of viscous shock waves, without smallness condition, by combining energy estimates, a lap-number argument and a specific geometric observation on attractor of steady states. Moreover, their stability result still holds for any L^p space, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. This result was improved by Kenig and Merle [16], with the uniform convergence to the viscous shock with respect to initial datas. The contraction property of viscous scalar conservation laws with respect to Wasserstein distances, was studied by Bolley, Brenier, and Loeper in [5], and Carrillo, Francesco and Lattanzio in [6].

In this article, we use the relative entropy method to study contraction properties in L^2 for viscous shocks to scalar viscous conservation laws. This work follows a program initiated in [18,19,28,31,32] concerning the relative entropy method for the study on the stability of inviscid shocks for the scalar or system of conservation laws verifying a certain entropy condition. The relative entropy method has been used as an important tool in the study of asymptotic limits to conservation laws as well. For incompressible limits, see Bardos, Golse, Levermore [1,2], Lions and Masmoudi [20], Saint Raymond [26]. For the compressible limit, see Tzavaras [30] in the context of relaxation and [3,4,15,24,33] in the context of hydrodynamical limits.

Our first result is on the L^2 -contraction up to a shift for viscous shocks of (1.1) with a strictly convex flux A which has a perturbed form of quadratic function as

$$A(x) = ax^2 + g(x), \quad a > 0, \tag{1.5}$$

where g is a C^2 -function satisfying $\|g''\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} < \frac{2}{11}a$. The following result shows also a rate of convergence toward the shock waves as $t^{-1/4}$, as long as the initial perturbation $U_0 - S_1$ is also bounded in L^1 . Notice that the decay rate $t^{-1/4}$ is the same rate as the heat equation. Moreover, our result does not need any assumption on the spatial decay of the initial data, in contrast with previous works (see for example [13,25]).

Theorem 1.1. *Assume the flux A as in (1.5). For any given $u_- > u_+$, let S_1 be the associated viscous layer of (1.1) with endpoints u_- and u_+ . Then, for any solution U to (1.1) with initial data U_0 satisfying $U_0 - S_1 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, the following L^2 -contraction holds:*

$$\|U(t, \cdot + X(t)) - S_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq \|U_0 - S_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, \quad t > 0, \tag{1.6}$$

for the shift $X(t)$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dot{X}(t) &= \sigma - \frac{2a + \|g''\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}}{2(u_- - u_+)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (U(t, x + X(t)) - S_1(x)) S_1'(x) dx, \\
 X(0) &= 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

Furthermore, if $U_0 - S_1 \in L^1 \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$, we have the following estimate for all $t > 0$,

$$\|U(t, \cdot + X(t)) - S_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq \frac{C_0 \|U_0 - S_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}}{C_0 + t^{1/4} \|U_0 - S_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}}, \tag{1.8}$$

where $C_0 := C(1 + \|U_0 - S_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} + \|U_0 - S_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2)$ and C is a positive constant only depending on the end points u_-, u_+ and the flux A .

Remark 1.1. The existence and uniqueness of the curve X are guaranteed by the Cauchy–Lipschitz theorem. Moreover, X is Lipschitz. Indeed, since $A'' > 0$, it follows from (1.4) that S_1 satisfies $u_+ < S_1 < u_-$ and

$$\begin{aligned} S_1' &= -\sigma(S_1 - u_{\pm}) + A(S_1) - A(u_{\pm}) \\ &= (u_{\pm} - S_1) \left(\frac{A(u_-) - A(u_+)}{u_- - u_+} - \frac{A(u_{\pm}) - A(S_1)}{u_{\pm} - S_1} \right) < 0. \end{aligned} \tag{1.9}$$

In particular, since

$$\frac{A(u_{\pm}) - A(S_1)}{u_{\pm} - S_1} \rightarrow A'(u_{\pm}) \quad \text{as } S_1 \rightarrow u_{\pm},$$

for some positive constants c_{\pm} , we have

$$(u_{\pm} - S_1) \left(\frac{A(u_-) - A(u_+)}{u_- - u_+} - \frac{A(u_{\pm}) - A(S_1)}{u_{\pm} - S_1} \right) \sim \pm c_{\pm}(u_{\pm} - S_1) \quad \text{as } S_1 \rightarrow u_{\pm},$$

which implies

$$|S_1(\xi) - u_{\pm}| \sim \exp(-c_{\pm}|\xi|) \quad \text{as } \xi \rightarrow \pm\infty.$$

This yields $S_1' \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, therefore, using (1.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\dot{X}(t) - \sigma| &\leq \frac{2a + \varepsilon}{2(u_- - u_+)} \|U(t, \cdot + X(t)) - S_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|S_1'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq C \|U(t, \cdot + X(t)) - S_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq C \|U_0 - S_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned}$$

As a second result, we construct a strictly convex flux A , for which a viscous shock of (1.1) does not induce a L^2 -contraction up to a shift. This is stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. For any given $u_- > u_+$, there is a smooth strictly convex flux A and smooth initial data U_0 with $U_0 - S_1 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that for any Lipschitz shift X , there exists $T^* > 0$, such that the solution U to (1.1) with A and U_0 satisfies

$$\|U(t, \cdot + X(t)) - S_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} > \|U_0 - S_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, \quad 0 \leq t < T^*.$$

As an application of Theorem 1.1, the contraction (1.6) and decay estimate (1.8) can be applied to the study on the inviscid limit to the shock waves. In [7], Choi and Vasseur considered the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t U^\varepsilon + \partial_x A(U^\varepsilon) &= \varepsilon \partial_{xx}^2 U^\varepsilon, \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ U^\varepsilon(0, x) &= U_0(x). \end{aligned} \tag{1.10}$$

They showed that the rate of convergence in L^2 up to a shift, to an inviscid shock, is of order $\sqrt{\varepsilon} \log(1/\varepsilon)$. Let us denote

$$S_0(x) = \begin{cases} u_- & \text{if } x < 0, \\ u_+ & \text{if } x \geq 0. \end{cases} \tag{1.11}$$

Theorem 1.1 improves the rate of convergence, and simplifies the assumptions in their result. Indeed, as a third result, we show the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. Under the same hypothesis of Theorem 1.1, the solution U^ε to (1.10) verifies

$$\|U^\varepsilon(t, \cdot) - S_0(\cdot - Y(t))\|_{L^2} \leq \|U_0 - S_0\|_{L^2} + C\sqrt{\varepsilon}, \quad t > 0, \tag{1.12}$$

where the shift Y is defined by $Y(t) = \varepsilon X(t/\varepsilon)$ from the shift X defined in (1.7).

Moreover, if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |U_0(x) - S_0(x)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} |U_0(x) - S_0(x)| dx \leq C\varepsilon, \tag{1.13}$$

then we have

$$\left\| U^\varepsilon(t, \cdot) - S_1\left(\frac{\cdot - Y(t)}{\varepsilon}\right) \right\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \frac{C\varepsilon^{3/2}}{\varepsilon^{1/2} + t^{1/2}}, \quad t > 0. \tag{1.14}$$

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present our framework and the relative entropy method. The Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.2 by constructing a specific flux function and initial data. In Section 5, we present the proof for the Theorem 1.3.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Moving frame

For simplicity of the proof of the main results, we consider a moving framework along the drift Lipschitz curve X . More precisely, we employ a new function V as follows:

$$V(t, x) := U(t, x + X(t)),$$

where U is a solution to (1.1). Then, we can easily check that V verifies

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t V - \dot{X}(t)\partial_x V + \partial_x A(V) &= \partial_{xx}^2 V, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ V(0, x) &= U_0(x). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

2.2. Relative entropy method

In this part, we present the L^2 -framework as the following lemma, based on the relative entropy method.

Lemma 2.1. *Let S_1 be a viscous shock given by (1.4). Then, the shock S_1 is a monotone function and $y = S_1(x)$ is an admissible change of variable. If we use w defined by*

$$w(t, S_1(x)) := V(t, x) - S_1(x), \tag{2.2}$$

then the solution V of (2.1) satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |V - S_1|^2 dx + D(t) = 0, \tag{2.3}$$

where the dissipation $D(t)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} D(t) &= 2(\dot{X}(t) - \sigma) \int_{u_+}^{u_-} w dy - 2 \int_{u_+}^{u_-} A(w + y|y) dy \\ &\quad - 2 \int_{u_+}^{u_-} (A(y) - A(u_-) - \sigma(y - u_-)) |\partial_y w|^2 dy. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

The remaining part of this section is devoted to the proof of Lemma 2.1. Even though our framework is based on the L^2 -norm, we here present the general case of the relative entropy $\eta(\cdot)$ for a given entropy η . Then, we will focus on the quadratic entropy and explain why the choice of quadratic entropy is essential. Concerning the following relative entropy method, we refer to [9,18] and [32].

For any strictly convex entropy η of (1.1), we define the associated relative entropy function by

$$\eta(u|v) = \eta(u) - \eta(v) - \eta'(v)(u - v).$$

Let $F(\cdot, \cdot)$ be the flux of the relative entropy defined by

$$F(u, v) = G(u) - G(v) - \eta'(v)(A(u) - A(v)),$$

where G is the entropy flux of η , i.e., $G' = \eta' A'$.

We want to investigate the relative entropy between the solution V of (2.1) and the viscous shock S_1 defined in (1.4). We first notice that since S_1 does not depend on t ,

$$\partial_t \eta(V|S_1) = (\eta'(V) - \eta'(S_1)) \partial_t V.$$

We add the term concerning S_1 to the above equation by using (1.2) and $\partial_v \eta(u|v) = -\eta''(v)(u - v)$, that is,

$$\partial_t \eta(V|S_1) = (\eta'(V) - \eta'(S_1)) \partial_t V + \eta''(S_1)(V - S_1)(-\sigma S_1' + A(S_1)' - S_1'').$$

Then we use (2.1) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \eta(V|S_1) &= (\eta'(V) - \eta'(S_1))(\dot{X}(t) \partial_x V - A'(V) \partial_x V + \partial_{xx} V) \\ &\quad + \eta''(S_1)(V - S_1)(-\sigma S_1' + A'(S_1) S_1' - S_1''). \end{aligned}$$

If we use the relative flux defined by

$$A(u|v) := A(u) - A(v) - A'(v)(u - v),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x F(V, S_1) &= \eta'(V) A'(V) \partial_x V - \eta'(S_1) A'(S_1) S_1' \\ &\quad - \eta''(S_1) S_1' (A(v) - A(S_1)) - \eta'(S_1) (A'(V) \partial_x V - A'(S_1) S_1'), \end{aligned}$$

then we have

$$-\partial_x F(V, S) - \eta''(S_1) S_1' A(V|S) = -(\eta'(V) - \eta'(S_1)) A'(V) \partial_x V + \eta''(S_1)(V - S_1) A'(S_1) S_1'.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \eta(V|S_1) &= \dot{X}(t) (\eta'(V) - \eta'(S_1)) \partial_x V - \sigma \eta''(S_1)(V - S_1) S_1' - \partial_x F(V, S) \\ &\quad - \eta''(S_1) S_1' A(V|S_1) + (\eta'(V) - \eta'(S_1)) \partial_{xx} V - \eta''(S_1)(V - S_1) S_1''. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

We now integrate (2.5) in x to get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \eta(V|S_1) dx \\ &= \dot{X}(t) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\eta'(V) - \eta'(S_1)) \partial_x V dx - \sigma \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \eta''(S_1)(V - S_1) S_1' dx \\ &\quad - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \eta''(S_1) S_1' A(V|S) dx + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left((\eta'(V) - \eta'(S_1)) \partial_{xx} V - \eta''(S_1)(V - S_1) S_1'' \right) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

From now on, we only consider the quadratic entropy $\eta(u) = u^2$. This choice ensures that the parabolic term induces a positive dissipation. Moreover, since

$$\begin{aligned} &2\dot{X}(t) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (V - S_1) \partial_x V dx - 2\sigma \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (V - S_1) S_1' dx \\ &= \dot{X}(t) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \partial_x (V - S_1)^2 dx + 2(\dot{X}(t) - \sigma) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (V - S_1) S_1' dx, \end{aligned}$$

we can reduce (2.6) to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |V - S_1|^2 dx &= 2(\dot{X}(t) - \sigma) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (V - S_1)S_1' dx - 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A(V|S_1)S_1' dx \\ &\quad - 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\partial_x(V - S_1)|^2 dx \\ &=: -D(t), \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

where the $D(t)$ denotes the dissipation term.

We now use the change of variable $y = S_1(x)$, which is admissible thanks to (1.9). Thus, if we define w as the perturbation $V - S_1$ by

$$w(t, S_1(x)) := V(t, x) - S_1(x),$$

then the dissipation $D(t)$ in (2.7) becomes (2.4). This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We first prove the contraction (1.6) for any initial perturbation $U_0 - S_1 \in L^2$, then derive decay estimate (1.8), for which we only need an additional assumption $U_0 - S_1 \in L^1$.

3.1. Contraction for viscous shock

In this part, we show the contraction by estimating the dissipation $D(t)$ to be nonnegative. We consider the perturbed quadratic flux $A(U)$ in the sense (1.5), i.e.,

$$A(U) = aU^2 + g(U), \quad a > 0, \tag{3.8}$$

with any C^2 -function g satisfying $\|g''\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} < \frac{2}{11}a$.

For the flux A in (3.8), the dissipation $D(t)$ in (2.4) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} D(t) &= 2(\dot{X}(t) - \sigma) \int_{u_+}^{u_-} w dy - 2 \int_{u_+}^{u_-} \left(aw^2 + g(w + y) - g(y) - g'(y)w \right) dy \\ &\quad + 2a \int_{u_+}^{u_-} (u_- - y)(y - u_+) |\partial_y w|^2 dy \\ &\quad - 2 \int_{u_+}^{u_-} \underbrace{\left(g(y) - g(u_-) - \frac{g(u_-) - g(u_+)}{u_- - u_+} (y - u_-) \right)}_J |\partial_y w|^2 dy \\ &=: \sum_{k=1}^4 \mathcal{I}_k. \end{aligned}$$

We want to show $D(t) > 0$ by using a shift function X defined by (1.7). Then, by (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{X}(t) - \sigma &= -\frac{2a + \|g''\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}}{2(u_- - u_+)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (V(t, x) - S_1(x))S_1'(x) dx \\ &= \frac{2a + \|g''\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}}{2(u_- - u_+)} \int_{u_+}^{u_-} w dy. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by \bar{w} the mean of w , i.e., $\bar{w}(t) := \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{u_+}^{u_-} w dy$, where $\alpha := u_- - u_+$ is the shock strength.

Thus we have

$$\mathcal{I}_1 = (2a + \|g''\|_{L^\infty})\alpha\bar{w}^2. \tag{3.9}$$

For \mathcal{I}_2 , since

$$|g(w + y) - g(y) - g'(y)w| \leq \|g''\|_{L^\infty} \frac{w^2}{2},$$

we have

$$\mathcal{I}_2 \geq -(2a + \|g''\|_{L^\infty}) \int_{u_+}^{u_-} w^2 dy. \tag{3.10}$$

We now combine (3.9) and (3.10) to get

$$\mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_2 \geq (2a + \|g''\|_{L^\infty}) \left(\alpha\bar{w}^2 - \int_{u_+}^{u_-} w^2 dy \right) = -(2a + \|g''\|_{L^\infty}) \int_{u_+}^{u_-} (w - \bar{w})^2 dy.$$

For \mathcal{I}_4 , we rewrite J as

$$J = \left(\frac{g(y) - g(u_-)}{y - u_-} - \frac{g(u_+) - g(u_-)}{u_+ - u_-} \right) (y - u_-).$$

Applying the Taylor theorem to $F(y) := \frac{g(y) - g(u_-)}{y - u_-}$ and then to g , we have

$$J = F'(y_*) (y - u_+) (y - u_-) = -\frac{1}{2} g''(y_{**}) (y - u_+) (y - u_-),$$

which yields

$$|\mathcal{I}_4| \leq 2 \int_{u_+}^{u_-} |J| |\partial_y w|^2 dy \leq \|g''\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \int_{u_+}^{u_-} (u_- - y)(y - u_+) |\partial_y w|^2 dy. \tag{3.11}$$

Therefore, the dissipation $D(t)$ can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} D(t) &\geq -(2a + \|g''\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}) \int_{u_+}^{u_-} (w - \bar{w})^2 dy \\ &\quad + (2a - \|g''\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}) \int_{u_+}^{u_-} (u_- - y)(y - u_+) |\partial_y w|^2 dy. \end{aligned}$$

To complete $D(t) \geq 0$, we use the weighted Poincaré type inequality in the following lemma. Indeed, applying [Lemma 3.1](#) to the above estimate, we have

$$D(t) \geq \lambda \int_{u_+}^{u_-} (u_- - y)(y - u_+) |\partial_y w|^2 dy, \tag{3.12}$$

where

$$\lambda = 2a - 11 \|g''\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Since $\|g''\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq \frac{2}{11}a$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |V - S_1|^2 dx = -D(t) \leq 0,$$

which implies the contraction (1.6). Hence it remains to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *For any $u \in C^1([u_+, u_-])$, the following inequality holds.*

$$\int_{u_+}^{u_-} (u - \bar{u})^2 dx \leq \frac{5}{6} \int_{u_+}^{u_-} (u_- - x)(x - u_+) |u'|^2 dx,$$

where \bar{u} is the mean of u over $[u_+, u_-]$.

Proof. Let $v := u - \bar{u}$. We start with the fundamental theorem of calculus:

$$v(x) = v(y) + \int_y^x v'(z) dz.$$

Since v has mean zero, integrating this equality in y , we have

$$v(x) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{u_+}^{u_-} \int_y^x v'(z) dz dy. \tag{3.13}$$

To compute the L^2 -norm of v , we use the indicator function $\chi_{I(a,b)}$ defined on the interval $I(a, b) := [\min\{a, b\}, \max\{a, b\}]$, i.e.,

$$\chi_{I(a,b)} = \begin{cases} \chi_{[a,b]} & \text{if } a \leq b, \\ \chi_{[b,a]} & \text{if } a > b. \end{cases}$$

Then, we have from (3.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{u_+}^{u_-} v^2 dx &= \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \int_{u_+}^{u_-} \left| \int_{u_+}^{u_-} \int_y^x v'(z) dz dy \right|^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \int_{u_+}^{u_-} \left| \int_{u_+}^{u_-} \int_{u_+}^{u_-} |v'(z)| \chi_{I(x,y)} dz dy \right|^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \int_{u_+}^{u_-} \left(\int_{u_+}^{u_-} \int_{u_+}^{u_-} \chi_{I(x,y)} dz dy \right) \left(\int_{u_+}^{u_-} \int_{u_+}^{u_-} |v'(z)|^2 \chi_{I(x,y)} dz dy \right) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is due to the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality.

Notice that it follows from the definition of $\chi_{I(a,b)}$ that for any integrable function f and fixed $x \in [u_+, u_-]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{u_+}^{u_-} \int_{u_+}^{u_-} \chi_{I(x,y)}(z) f(x, y, z) dz dy &= \int_{u_+}^x \int_{u_+}^{u_-} \chi_{I(x,y)} f dz dy + \int_x^{u_-} \int_{u_+}^{u_-} \chi_{I(x,y)} f dz dy \\ &= \int_{u_+}^x \int_y^x f dz dy + \int_x^{u_-} \int_x^y f dz dy. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, applying the equality above with $f = 1, |v'(z)|^2$ twice, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \int_{u_+}^{u_-} \left(\int_{u_+}^{u_-} \int_{u_+}^{u_-} \chi_{I(x,y)} dz dy \right) \left(\int_{u_+}^{u_-} \int_{u_+}^{u_-} |v'(z)|^2 \chi_{I(x,y)} dz dy \right) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \int_{u_+}^{u_-} \left(\underbrace{\int_{u_+}^x \int_y^x 1 dz dy + \int_x^{u_-} \int_x^y 1 dz dy}_I \right) \\ & \quad \times \left(\underbrace{\int_{u_+}^x \int_y^x |v'(z)|^2 dz dy + \int_x^{u_-} \int_x^y |v'(z)|^2 dz dy}_II \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

We use the Fubini’s theorem to compute

$$\begin{aligned} II &= \int_{u_+}^x \int_y^z |v'(z)|^2 dy dz + \int_x^{u_-} \int_z^{u_-} |v'(z)|^2 dy dz \\ &= \int_{u_+}^x (z - u_+) |v'(z)|^2 dz + \int_x^{u_-} (u_- - z) |v'(z)|^2 dz. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$I = \frac{(x - u_+)^2}{2} + \frac{(x - u_-)^2}{2},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{u_+}^{u_-} v^2 dx &\leq \frac{1}{2\alpha^2} \int_{u_+}^{u_-} \int_{u_+}^x \left((x - u_+)^2 + (x - u_-)^2 \right) (z - u_+) |v'(z)|^2 dz dx \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2\alpha^2} \int_{u_+}^{u_-} \int_x^{u_-} \left((x - u_+)^2 + (x - u_-)^2 \right) (u_- - z) |v'(z)|^2 dz dx \\ &=: \mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_2. \end{aligned}$$

Using the Fubini’s theorem again, we have

$$\mathcal{I}_1 = \frac{1}{2\alpha^2} \int_{u_+}^{u_-} \underbrace{\left(\int_z^{u_-} ((x - u_+)^2 + (x - u_-)^2) dx \right)}_J (z - u_+) |v'(z)|^2 dz \tag{3.14}$$

Since the simple computation yields

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \int_z^{u_-} (2x^2 - 2(u_+ + u_-)x + (u_+^2 + u_-^2)) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{3} (u_- - z) \left(2(u_-^2 + u_-z + z^2) - 3(u_+ + u_-)(u_- + z) + 3(u_+^2 + u_-^2) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{3} (u_- - z) (2u_-^2 + 3u_+^2 + 2z^2 - 3u_-u_+ - 3u_+z - u_-z), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\mathcal{I}_1 = \frac{1}{6\alpha^2} \int_{u_+}^{u_-} (2u_-^2 + 3u_+^2 + 2z^2 - 3u_-u_+ - 3u_+z - u_-z)(u_- - z)(z - u_+) |v'(z)|^2 dz.$$

By using symmetry of \mathcal{I}_1 and \mathcal{I}_2 , we can easily get

$$\mathcal{I}_2 = \frac{1}{6\alpha^2} \int_{u_+}^{u_-} (3u_-^2 + 2u_+^2 + 2z^2 - 3u_-u_+ - u_+z - 3u_-z)(u_- - z)(z - u_+) |v'(z)|^2 dz.$$

Indeed, if we use the notation

$$I(a, b) := \int_z^b ((x - a)^2 + (x - b)^2)(z - a) |v'(z)|^2 dx,$$

the \mathcal{I}_1 in (3.14) can be written as $\mathcal{I}_1 = \frac{1}{2\alpha^2} \int_{u_+}^{u_-} I(u_+, u_-) dz$. Then we use the Fubini's theorem to get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_2 &= \frac{1}{2\alpha^2} \int_{u_+}^{u_-} \int_{u_+}^z ((x - u_+)^2 + (x - u_-)^2)(u_- - z) |v'(z)|^2 dx dz \\ &= \frac{1}{2\alpha^2} \int_{u_+}^{u_-} I(u_-, u_+) dz. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we combine \mathcal{I}_1 with \mathcal{I}_2 above to have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{u_+}^{u_-} v^2 dx &= \frac{1}{6\alpha^2} \int_{u_+}^{u_-} \left(5(u_- - u_+)^2 + 4(z - u_+)(z - u_-) \right) (u_- - z)(z - u_+) |v'(z)|^2 dz \\ &\leq \frac{5}{6} \int_{u_+}^{u_-} (u_- - z)(z - u_+) |v'(z)|^2 dz - \frac{4}{6\alpha^2} \int_{u_+}^{u_-} \left((u_- - z)(z - u_+) \right)^2 |v'(z)|^2 dz \\ &\leq \frac{5}{6} \int_{u_+}^{u_-} (u_- - z)(z - u_+) |v'(z)|^2 dz. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.1. This kind of inequality has been handled in a more general setting [8], but does not provide a generic constant concretely as $\frac{5}{6} (< 1)$ in our inequality.

3.2. Convergence toward viscous shock

In this part, we derive the decay estimate (1.8). First of all, since $\lambda > 0$ in (3.12) by the assumption $\|g''\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} < \frac{2}{11}a$, we have a positive dissipation as

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |V - S_1|^2 dx \leq -\lambda \int_{u_+}^{u_-} (u_- - y)(y - u_+) |\partial_y w|^2 dy. \tag{3.15}$$

We see that (1.4) and (1.5) yield that

$$\begin{aligned} & a \int_{u_+}^{u_-} (u_- - y)(y - u_+) |\partial_y w|^2 dy \\ &= - \int_{u_+}^{u_-} \left(A(y) - A(u_-) - \sigma(y - u_-) \right) |\partial_y w|^2 dy \\ & \quad + \int_{u_+}^{u_-} \left(g(y) - g(u_-) - \frac{g(u_-) - g(u_+)}{u_- - u_+} (y - u_-) \right) |\partial_y w|^2 dy. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, using the change of variable (2.2) and (3.11), we have

$$\left(a + \frac{1}{2} \|g''\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \right) \int_{u_+}^{u_-} (u_- - y)(y - u_+) |\partial_y w|^2 dy \geq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\partial_x (V - S_1)|^2 dx,$$

which together with (3.15) implies that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |V - S_1|^2 dx \leq -\alpha \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\partial_x (V - S_1)|^2 dx, \tag{3.16}$$

where

$$\alpha := \frac{\lambda}{a + \frac{1}{2} \|g''\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}} > 0.$$

To get the decay estimate, we will use the Gagliardo–Nirenberg interpolation inequality:

$$\|V - S_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \|V - S_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}^{2/3} \|\partial_x (V - S_1)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{1/3}. \tag{3.17}$$

To this end, we first control $\|V - S_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}$ as follows.

3.2.1. L^1 -uniform bound of $V - S_1$

We here use the Lemma 3.2 below for the L^1 -contraction result to get the L^1 -estimate of $V - S_1$.

For that, we decompose $V - S_1$ as a sum of two parts:

$$\begin{aligned} V(t, x) - S_1(x) &= \left(V(t, x) - S_1(x - \sigma t + X(t)) \right) + \left(S_1(x - \sigma t + X(t)) - S_1(x) \right) \\ &=: w_1 + w_2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

where σ is the velocity of S_1 and X is the shift satisfying (1.7).

To show L^1 -uniform bound of w_1 , we use the following lemma on the L^1 -contraction for solutions to the scalar viscous conservation laws. We refer to [27] for its proof based on Kruzhkov entropy pair (see also [17]).

Lemma 3.2. *Let u and v be solutions to (1.1) with Lipschitzian flux A . If the initial data u_0, v_0 satisfy $u_0 - v_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, then the following L^1 -stability holds:*

$$\|u - v\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \leq \|u_0 - v_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}, \quad t > 0. \tag{3.19}$$

Applying (3.19) to our solutions $U(t, x)$ and $S_1(x - \sigma t)$ of the Burgers equation (1.1), we get

$$\|U - S_1(\cdot - \sigma t)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \leq \|U_0 - S_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Since $U(t, x) = V(t, x - X(t))$, we use the assumption $U_0 - S_1 \in L^1$ to have

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_1\|_{L^1} &= \|V(t, \cdot - X(t)) - S_1(\cdot - \sigma t)\|_{L^1} \\ &= \|U(t, \cdot) - S_1(\cdot - \sigma t)\|_{L^1} \\ &\leq \|U_0 - S_1\|_{L^1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

If we denote

$$\tau(t) = \sigma t - X(t),$$

we have

$$w_2(t, x) = S_1(x - \tau(t)) - S_1(x).$$

Since S_1 is decreasing, $w_2(t, x)$ has the same sign as $\tau(t)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |w_2| dx &= \operatorname{sgn}(\tau(t)) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (S_1(x - \tau(t)) - S_1(x)) dx \\ &= \operatorname{sgn}(\tau(t)) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^{-\tau(t)} \partial_y S_1(x + y) dy dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^{|\tau(t)|} \partial_y S_1(x + y) dy dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we use the Fubini's theorem and $S_1(\pm\infty) = u_{\pm}$ to get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |w_2| dx = |\tau(t)|(u_- - u_+) = |\sigma t - X(t)|(u_- - u_+).$$

We now need to show the L^∞ -bound of $X(t) - \sigma t$ to get the L^1 -uniform bound of w_2 .

3.2.2. L^∞ -bound of $X(t) - \sigma t$

We start with

$$\|w_2(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |S_1(x - \tau) - S_1(x)|^2 dx =: F(\tau),$$

for $\tau = \sigma t - X(t)$. The function F , as function of the variable τ , is even (as it can be proven by the change of variable $y = x - \tau$ in the integral). For $\tau > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \tau}(\tau) &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} [S_1(x - \tau) - S_1(x)] \left(-\frac{\partial S_1}{\partial x}\right)(x - \tau) dx \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{x-\tau}^x \left(-\frac{\partial S_1}{\partial y}\right)(y) dy \left(-\frac{\partial S_1}{\partial x}\right)(x - \tau) dx \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_x^{x+\tau} (-S_1')(y) (-S_1')(x) dy dx > 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is positive since $(-S_1')$ is positive. Moreover for $\tau > 1$, we have

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \tau}(\tau) \geq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_x^{x+1} (-S_1')(y) (-S_1')(x) dy dx = \beta > 0.$$

Hence, for $\tau > 1$

$$F(\tau) \geq F(1) + \beta(\tau - 1) \geq \beta(\tau - 1),$$

and

$$|\tau| \leq \frac{F(\tau)}{\beta} + 1,$$

which is still true for $\tau \leq 1$, since this is obvious for $\tau \in (-1, 1)$, and F is even.

For $|\tau| = |\sigma t - X(t)|$, this gives

$$|X(t) - \sigma t| \leq \frac{1}{\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |w_2(t, x)|^2 dx + 1.$$

We now use

$$|V - S_1|^2 = (w_1 + w_2)^2 \geq w_2^2 - 2|w_1 w_2|,$$

and (1.6), (3.20) and $\|S_1\|_{L^\infty} = u_- - u_+$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} |X(t) - \sigma t| &\leq \frac{1}{\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |w_2(t, x)|^2 dx + 1 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\beta} (\|V - S_1\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\|w_1 w_2\|_{L^1}) + 1 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\beta} (\|U_0 - S_1\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\|w_2\|_{L^\infty} \|w_1\|_{L^1}) + 1 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\beta} (\|U_0 - S_1\|_{L^2}^2 + 4(u_- - u_+) \|U_0 - S_1\|_{L^1}) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for all t , we have

$$|X(t) - \sigma t| \leq C(1 + \|U_0 - S_1\|_{L^2}^2 + \|U_0 - S_1\|_{L^1}),$$

where $C > 0$ is a generic constant only depending on u_- , u_+ and the flux A .

Hence we have from (3.18) and estimates above that

$$\|V - S_1\|_{L^1} \leq C(1 + \|U_0 - S_1\|_{L^2}^2 + \|U_0 - S_1\|_{L^1}).$$

For convenience, we put

$$C_0 := C(1 + \|U_0 - S_1\|_{L^2}^2 + \|U_0 - S_1\|_{L^1}). \tag{3.21}$$

We now get from (3.17) that

$$\|V - S_1\|_{L^2}^3 \leq C \|V - S_1\|_{L^1}^2 \|\partial_x(V - S_1)\|_{L^2} \leq C_0^2 \|\partial_x(V - S_1)\|_{L^2}.$$

Thus it follows from (3.16) that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|V - S_1\|_{L^2}^2 \leq -\alpha \|\partial_x(V - S_1)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq -\frac{\alpha}{C_0^4} \|V - S_1\|_{L^2}^6.$$

This inequality implies the decay estimate

$$\|V - S_1\|_{L^2}^4 \leq \frac{C_0^4 \|U_0 - S_1\|_{L^2}^4}{C_0^4 + t \|U_0 - S_1\|_{L^2}^4}.$$

Using the inequality $2(\alpha + \beta)^{1/4} \geq \alpha^{1/4} + \beta^{1/4}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|V - S_1\|_{L^2} &\leq \left(\frac{C_0^4 \|U_0 - S_1\|_{L^2}^4}{C_0^4 + t \|U_0 - S_1\|_{L^2}^4} \right)^{1/4} \\ &\leq \frac{2C_0 \|U_0 - S_1\|_{L^2}}{C_0 + t^{1/4} \|U_0 - S_1\|_{L^2}}, \quad t > 0, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the decay estimate (1.8).

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we construct a strictly convex flux A and initial data U_0 as a small perturbation of viscous shock S_1 in order to make the dissipation D to be negative for very short time, which definitely complete the proof. Without loss of generality, we only consider the simple case when two endpoints are given by $u_+ = -a$, $u_- = a$ for given $a > 0$. Since we may construct the convex flux A satisfying $A(-a) = A(a) = 0$ below, the shock speed $\sigma = 0$. Thus the associated dissipation D in (2.4) becomes

$$D(t) = 2\dot{X}(t) \int_{-a}^a w dy - 2 \int_{-a}^a A(w + y|y) dy - 2 \int_{-a}^a A(y) |\partial_y w|^2 dy. \tag{4.22}$$

4.1. Small perturbation of S_1

For a given $\varepsilon > 0$, we consider an initial ε -perturbation $w(0, y)$ of S_1 , that is, we replace $w(0, y)$ by $\varepsilon\phi(y)$, where ϕ is a function of order $\mathcal{O}(1)$. Doing the Taylor expansion of A , the relative flux $A(\varepsilon\phi + y|y)$ in (4.22) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} A(\varepsilon\phi + y|y) &= A(\varepsilon\phi + y) - A(y) - A'(y)\varepsilon\phi \\ &= \frac{1}{2}A''(y)\varepsilon^2\phi^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, under the ε -perturbation framework, the initial dissipation in (4.22) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} D(0) &= 2\dot{X}(0) \int_{-a}^a \varepsilon\phi dy - 2 \int_{-a}^a A(\varepsilon\phi + y|y) dy - 2 \int_{-a}^a A(y) |\varepsilon\phi'|^2 dy \\ &= 2\varepsilon\dot{X}(0) \int_{-a}^a \phi dy - \varepsilon^2 \left(\int_{-a}^a A''(y) |\phi|^2 dy + 2 \int_{-a}^a A(y) |\phi'|^2 dy + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

4.2. Construction of A and U_0

For given $\alpha \in (0, a)$, we first define two continuous functions \bar{A}_α and ψ_α by

$$\bar{A}_\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} -a - x & \text{if } -a < x < -a + \alpha, \\ -\alpha & \text{if } -a + \alpha \leq x < a - \alpha, \\ x - a & \text{if } a - \alpha \leq x < a, \end{cases}$$

$$\psi_\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} -\sqrt{x + a} & \text{if } -a < x < -a + \alpha, \\ \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{a - \alpha} x & \text{if } -a + \alpha \leq x < a - \alpha, \\ \sqrt{a - x} & \text{if } a - \alpha \leq x < a. \end{cases}$$

First of all, we compute formally

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-a}^a \bar{A}_\alpha''(y) |\psi_\alpha(y)|^2 dy &= \int_{-a}^a (\delta_{-a+\alpha} + \delta_{a-\alpha}) |\psi_\alpha|^2 dy \\ &= |\psi_\alpha(-a + \alpha)|^2 + |\psi_\alpha(a - \alpha)|^2 = 2\alpha, \\ \int_{-a}^a \bar{A}_\alpha(y) |\psi_\alpha'(y)|^2 dy &= -2 \left[\int_0^{a-\alpha} \alpha \left(\frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{a - \alpha} \right)^2 dy + \int_{a-\alpha}^a (y - a) \left(\frac{-1}{2\sqrt{a - y}} \right)^2 dy \right] \\ &= -\frac{2\alpha^2}{a - \alpha} - \frac{\alpha}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we choose $\alpha_* < \frac{a}{5}$ small enough so that

$$\int_{-a}^a \bar{A}_{\alpha_*}''(y) |\psi_{\alpha_*}|^2 dy + 2 \int_{-a}^a \bar{A}_{\alpha_*}(y) |\psi_{\alpha_*}'|^2 dy > 0. \tag{4.24}$$

Since the inequality (4.24) is strict, we can consider the smooth approximations of \bar{A}_{α_*} and ψ_{α_*} , for which the inequality (4.24) is still true by rigorous computation. More precisely, by using the Gaussian mollifier, there exists the smooth approximations A and ϕ of \bar{A}_{α_*} and ψ_{α_*} respectively, such that

$$A'' > 0, \quad \int_{-a}^a \phi dy = \int_{-a}^a \psi_{\alpha_*} dy = 0,$$

and the inequality (4.24) still holds as

$$\int_{-a}^a A''(y) |\phi|^2 dy + 2 \int_{-a}^a A(y) |\phi'|^2 dy > 0.$$

We can still choose sufficiently small $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$\int_{-a}^a A''(y) |\phi|^2 dy + 2 \int_{-a}^a A(y) |\phi'|^2 dy + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon_0) > 0. \tag{4.25}$$

Since $\int_{-a}^a \phi dy = 0$, it follows from (4.23) and (4.25) that

$$D(0) = -\varepsilon_0^2 \left(\int_{-a}^a A''(y) |\phi|^2 dy + 2 \int_{-a}^a A(y) |\phi'|^2 dy + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon_0) \right) < 0. \tag{4.26}$$

If we consider an initial data U_0 constructed by $U_0(x) = \varepsilon_0 \phi(S_1(x)) + S_1(x)$, then we have

$$U_0(x) - S_1(x) = \varepsilon_0 \phi(S_1(x)) = \varepsilon_0 \phi(y) = w(0, y),$$

which implies that $D(0) < 0$ from (4.26) for the flux A and the initial data U_0 .

Since $D(t)$ is smooth for $t > 0$, for any Lipschitz function $X(t)$, there exists a small time T^* depending on the Lipschitz constant of $X(t)$ such that $D(t) < 0$, for $0 \leq t < T^*$. Hence we conclude the proof.

5. Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section, we prove the Theorem 1.3. We begin by recalling the inviscid problem

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t U^\varepsilon + \partial_x A(U^\varepsilon) &= \varepsilon \partial_{xx}^2 U^\varepsilon, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ U^\varepsilon(0, x) &= U_0(x). \end{aligned} \tag{5.27}$$

We here present two kinds of improvements. The first improvement (1.12) is based on the contraction (1.6) and the second improvement (1.14) is related to the decay estimate (1.8).

5.1. Improvement based on the contraction

For a solution U^ε to (5.27), we consider

$$U(t, x) := U^\varepsilon(\varepsilon t, \varepsilon x),$$

then U is a solution to

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t U + \partial_x A(U) &= \partial_{xx}^2 U, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ U(0, x) &= U_0(\varepsilon x). \end{aligned}$$

We now use the contraction property (1.6) to get

$$\|U(t, \cdot) - S_1(\cdot - X(t))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq \|U_0 - S_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, \quad t > 0,$$

where the shift $X(t)$ verifies (1.7).

Then, by rescaling $t \rightarrow \frac{t}{\varepsilon}$ and $x \rightarrow \frac{x}{\varepsilon}$, i.e.,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |U(t, x) - S_1(x - X(t))|^2 dx = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| U^\varepsilon(t, x) - S_1\left(\frac{x - Y(t)}{\varepsilon}\right) \right|^2 dx, \tag{5.28}$$

where the shift Y is defined by $Y(t) = \varepsilon X(t/\varepsilon)$, we get

$$\left\| U^\varepsilon(t, \cdot) - S_1\left(\frac{\cdot - Y(t)}{\varepsilon}\right) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq \left\| U_0 - S_1\left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}\right) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|U^\varepsilon(t, \cdot) - S_0(\cdot - Y(t))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ & \leq \left\| U^\varepsilon(t, \cdot) - S_1\left(\frac{\cdot - Y(t)}{\varepsilon}\right) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \left\| S_1\left(\frac{\cdot - Y(t)}{\varepsilon}\right) - S_0(\cdot - Y(t)) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ & \leq \left\| U_0 - S_1\left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}\right) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + C\sqrt{\varepsilon} \\ & \leq \left\| U_0 - S_0 \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \left\| S_0 - S_1\left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}\right) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + C\sqrt{\varepsilon} \\ & \leq \left\| U_0 - S_0 \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + C\sqrt{\varepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that for any function β of t ,

$$\left\| S_1\left(\frac{\cdot - \beta(t)}{\varepsilon}\right) - S_0(\cdot - \beta(t)) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \sqrt{\varepsilon} \|S_1 - S_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$

5.2. Improvement based on the decay estimate

For the other improvement, we use the decay estimate (1.8) to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|U(t, \cdot) - S_1(\cdot - X(t))\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq \frac{C\|U(0, \cdot) - S_1\|_{L^2}(1 + \|U(0, \cdot) - S_1\|_{L^1} + \|U(0, \cdot) - S_1\|_{L^2}^2)}{1 + t^{1/4}\|U(0, \cdot) - S_1\|_{L^2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by the rescaling (5.28), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|U^\varepsilon(t, \cdot) - S_1\left(\frac{\cdot - Y(t)}{\varepsilon}\right)\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq \frac{C\varepsilon^{3/4}\|U_0 - S_1\left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}\right)\|_{L^2}(1 + \varepsilon^{-1}(\|U_0 - S_1\left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}\right)\|_{L^1} + \|U_0 - S_1\left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}\right)\|_{L^2}^2))}{\varepsilon^{3/4} + t^{1/4}\|U_0 - S_1\left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}\right)\|_{L^2}}. \end{aligned}$$

If we consider the small initial perturbation as

$$\|U_0 - S_0\|_{L^1} + \|U_0 - S_0\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_1\varepsilon, \tag{5.29}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|U_0 - S_1\left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}\right)\|_{L^1} & \leq \|U_0 - S_0\|_{L^1} + \|S_0 - S_1\left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}\right)\|_{L^1} \leq C\varepsilon, \\ \|U_0 - S_1\left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}\right)\|_{L^2}^2 & \leq \|U_0 - S_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \|S_0 - S_1\left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}\right)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|U^\varepsilon(t, \cdot) - S_1\left(\frac{\cdot - Y(t)}{\varepsilon}\right)\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq \frac{C\varepsilon^{3/2}\|U_0 - S_1(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon})\|_{L^2}^2}{\varepsilon^{3/2} + t^{1/2}\|U_0 - S_1(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon})\|_{L^2}^2} \\ &\leq \frac{C\varepsilon^{5/2}}{\varepsilon^{3/2} + t^{1/2}(\|S_1(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) - S_0\|_{L^2}^2 - \|U_0 - S_0\|_{L^2}^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

If we consider some constant C_1 in (5.29) such that

$$C_1 < \|S_1 - S_0\|_{L^2}^2 = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\|S_1(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) - S_0\|_{L^2}^2,$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|U^\varepsilon(t, \cdot) - S_1\left(\frac{\cdot - Y(t)}{\varepsilon}\right)\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq \frac{C\varepsilon^{5/2}}{\varepsilon^{3/2} + t^{1/2}(\|S_1 - S_0\|_{L^2}^2 - C_1)\varepsilon} \\ &\leq \frac{C\varepsilon^{3/2}}{\varepsilon^{1/2} + t^{1/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Conflict of interest statement

No conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

M.-J. Kang was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (NRF-2013R1A6A3A03020506). A.F. Vasseur was partially supported by the NSF Grant DMS 1209420. The authors thank Prof. Denis Serre for valuable comments and suggesting using the entropy method for the viscous conservation laws.

References

- [1] C. Bardos, F. Golse, C.D. Levermore, Fluid dynamic limits of kinetic equations. I. Formal derivations, *J. Stat. Phys.* 63 (1–2) (1991) 323–344.
- [2] C. Bardos, F. Golse, C.D. Levermore, Fluid dynamic limits of kinetic equations. II. Convergence proofs for the Boltzmann equation, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* 46 (5) (1993) 667–753.
- [3] F. Berthelin, A.E. Tzavaras, A. Vasseur, From discrete velocity Boltzmann equations to gas dynamics before shocks, *J. Stat. Phys.* 135 (1) (2009) 153–173.
- [4] F. Berthelin, A. Vasseur, From kinetic equations to multidimensional isentropic gas dynamics before shocks, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 36 (6) (2005) 1807–1835.
- [5] F. Bolley, Y. Brenier, G. Loeper, Contractive metrics for scalar conservation laws, *J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ.* 2 (2005) 91–107.
- [6] J.A. Carrillo, M. Di Francesco, C. Lattanzio, Contractivity and asymptotics in Wasserstein metrics for viscous nonlinear scalar conservation laws, *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. Sez. B Artic. Ric. Mat.* 10 (8) (2007) 277–292.
- [7] K. Choi, A. Vasseur, Short-time stability of scalar viscous shocks in the inviscid limit by the relative entropy method, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 47 (2015) 1405–1418.
- [8] S.-K. Chua, R.L. Wheeden, Sharp conditions for weighted 1-dimensional Poincaré inequalities, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 49 (2000) 143–175.
- [9] C.M. Dafermos, The second law of thermodynamics and stability, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 70 (2) (1979) 167–179.
- [10] H. Freistühler, D. Serre, L^1 stability of shock waves in scalar viscous conservation laws, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* 51 (1998) 291–301.
- [11] J. Goodman, Nonlinear asymptotic stability of viscous shock profiles for conservation laws, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 95 (1986) 325–344.
- [12] J. Goodman, Stability of viscous scalar shock fronts in several dimensions, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 311 (1989) 683–695.
- [13] E. Hopf, The partial differential equation $ut + uu_x = \mu u_{xx}$, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* 3 (1950) 201–230.
- [14] P. Howard, Pointwise Green’s function approach to stability for scalar conservation laws, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* 52 (1999) 1295–1313.
- [15] M.-J. Kang, A. Vasseur, Asymptotic analysis of Vlasov-type equations under strong local alignment regime, *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* 25 (2015) 2153–2173.
- [16] C.E. Kenig, F. Merle, Asymptotic stability and Liouville theorem for scalar viscous conservation laws in cylinders, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* 59 (2006) 769–796.
- [17] S.N. Kruzhkov, Generalized solutions of the Cauchy problem in the large for nonlinear equations of first order, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 187 (1969) 29–32.
- [18] N. Leger, L^2 stability estimates for shock solutions of scalar conservation laws using the relative entropy method, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 199 (3) (2011) 761–778.

- [19] N. Leger, A. Vasseur, Relative entropy and the stability of shocks and contact discontinuities for systems of conservation laws with non-BV perturbations, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 201 (1) (2011) 271–302.
- [20] P.-L. Lions, N. Masmoudi, From the Boltzmann equations to the equations of incompressible fluid mechanics. I, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 158 (3) (2001) 173–193;
P.-L. Lions, N. Masmoudi, From the Boltzmann equations to the equations of incompressible fluid mechanics. II, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 158 (3) (2001) 195–211.
- [21] T.-P. Liu, Nonlinear stability of shock waves for viscous conservation laws, *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.* 56 (1985) 233–236.
- [22] T.-P. Liu, Pointwise convergence to shock waves for viscous conservation laws, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* 50 (11) (1997) 1113–1182.
- [23] T.-P. Liu, K. Zumbrun, On nonlinear stability of general undercompressive viscous shock waves, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 174 (2) (1995) 319–345.
- [24] A. Mellet, A. Vasseur, Asymptotic analysis for a Vlasov–Fokker–Planck/compressible Navier–Stokes system of equations, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 281 (3) (2008) 573–596.
- [25] K. Nishihara, A note on the stability of travelling wave solutions of the Burgers’ equation, *Jpn. J. Appl. Math.* 2 (1) (1985) 27–35.
- [26] L. Saint-Raymond, Convergence of solutions to the Boltzmann equation in the incompressible Euler limit, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 166 (1) (2003) 47–80.
- [27] D. Serre, L^1 -stability of nonlinear waves in scalar conservation laws, in: *Evolutionary Equations*, vol. I, in: *Handb. Differ. Equ.*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2004, pp. 473–553.
- [28] D. Serre, A. Vasseur, L^2 -type contraction for systems of conservation laws, *Preprint*.
- [29] A. Szepessy, Z.-P. Xin, Nonlinear stability of viscous shock waves, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 122 (1993) 53–104.
- [30] A.E. Tzavaras, Relative entropy in hyperbolic relaxation, *Commun. Math. Sci.* 3 (2) (2005) 119–132.
- [31] A. Vasseur, Relative entropy and contraction for extremal shocks of conservation laws up to a shift, *Contemp. Math. AMS* (2015), in press.
- [32] A. Vasseur, Recent results on hydrodynamic limits, in: *Evolutionary Equations*, vol. IV, in: *Handb. Differ. Equ.*, Elsevier/North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2008, pp. 323–376.
- [33] H.-T. Yau, Relative entropy and hydrodynamics of Ginzburg–Landau models, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 22 (1) (1991) 63–80.