



Algebraic Geometry

Classification of upper motives of algebraic groups of inner type  $A_n$ *Classification des motifs supérieurs des groupes algébriques intérieurs de type  $A_n$* 

Charles De Clercq

Université Pierre-et-Marie-Curie (Paris 6), équipe topologie et géométrie algébriques, 4, place Jussieu, 75252 Paris cedex 05, France

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 24 January 2011

Accepted after revision 28 February 2011

Presented by the Editorial Board

## ABSTRACT

Let  $A, A'$  be two central simple algebras over a field  $F$  and  $\mathbb{F}$  be a finite field of characteristic  $p$ . We prove that the upper indecomposable direct summands of the motives of two anisotropic varieties of flags of right ideals  $X(d_1, \dots, d_k; A)$  and  $X(d'_1, \dots, d'_k; A')$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}$  are isomorphic if and only if the  $p$ -adic valuations of  $\gcd(d_1, \dots, d_k)$  and  $\gcd(d'_1, \dots, d'_k)$  are equal and the classes of the  $p$ -primary components  $A_p$  and  $A'_p$  of  $A$  and  $A'$  generate the same group in the Brauer group of  $F$ . This result leads to a surprising dichotomy between upper motives of absolutely simple adjoint algebraic groups of inner type  $A_n$ .

© 2011 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## R É S U M É

Soient  $A, A'$  deux algèbres centrales simples sur un corps  $F$  et  $\mathbb{F}$  un corps fini de caractéristique  $p$ . Nous prouvons que les facteurs directs indécomposables supérieurs des motifs de deux variétés anisotropes de drapeaux d'idéaux à droite  $X(d_1, \dots, d_k; A)$  et  $X(d'_1, \dots, d'_k; A')$  à coefficients dans  $\mathbb{F}$  sont isomorphes si et seulement si les valuations  $p$ -adiques de  $\text{pgcd}(d_1, \dots, d_k)$  et  $\text{pgcd}(d'_1, \dots, d'_k)$  sont égales et les classes des composantes  $p$ -primaires  $A_p$  et  $A'_p$  de  $A$  et  $A'$  engendrent le même sous-groupe dans le groupe de Brauer de  $F$ . Ce résultat mène à une surprenante dichotomie entre les motifs supérieurs des groupes algébriques absolument simples, adjoints et intérieurs de type  $A_n$ .

© 2011 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Throughout this Note  $p$  will be a prime and  $\mathbb{F}$  will be a finite field of characteristic  $p$ . Let  $F$  be a field and  $\text{CM}(F; \mathbb{F})$  be the category of Grothendieck–Chow motives with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}$ . Motivic properties of projective homogeneous  $F$ -varieties and their relations with classical discrete invariants have been intensively studied recently (see, for example, [7,11–15]). In this article we deal with the particular case of projective homogeneous  $F$ -varieties under the action of an absolutely simple affine adjoint algebraic group of inner type  $A_n$ . More precisely, we prove the following result:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $A$  and  $A'$  be two central simple  $F$ -algebras. The upper indecomposable direct summands of the motives of two anisotropic varieties of flags of right ideals  $X(d_1, \dots, d_k; A)$  and  $X(d'_1, \dots, d'_k; A')$  in  $\text{CM}(F; \mathbb{F})$  are isomorphic if and only if  $v_p(\gcd(d_1, \dots, d_k)) = v_p(\gcd(d'_1, \dots, d'_k))$  and the  $p$ -primary components  $A_p$  and  $A'_p$  of  $A$  and  $A'$  generate the same subgroup of  $\text{Br}(F)$ .*

E-mail address: de.clercq.charles@gmail.com.

In Section 2 we recall classical discrete invariants and varieties associated to central simple  $F$ -algebras, while Section 3 is devoted to the theory of upper motives. Finally we prove Theorem 1 in Section 4, using an index reduction formula due to Merkurjev, Panin and Wadsworth and the theory of upper motives. Theorem 1 gives a purely algebraic criterion to compare upper direct summands of varieties of flags of ideals, and leads to a quite unexpected dichotomy between upper motives of absolutely simple adjoint algebraic groups of inner type  $A_n$ .

## 2. Generalities on central simple algebras

Our reference for classical notions on central simple  $F$ -algebras is [9]. A finite-dimensional  $F$ -algebra  $A$  is a central simple  $F$ -algebra if its center  $Z(A)$  is equal to  $F$  and if  $A$  has no non-trivial two-sided ideals. The square root of the  $F$ -dimension of  $A$  is the *degree* of  $A$ , denoted by  $\deg(A)$ . Two central simple  $F$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$  are *Brauer-equivalent* if  $M_n(A)$  and  $M_m(B)$  are isomorphic for some integers  $n$  and  $m$ , and the *Schur index*  $\text{ind}(A)$  of a central simple  $F$ -algebra  $A$  is the degree of the (uniquely determined up to isomorphism) central division  $F$ -algebra Brauer-equivalent to  $A$ . The tensor product endows the set  $\text{Br}(F)$  of equivalence classes of central simple  $F$ -algebras under the Brauer equivalence with a structure of a torsion abelian group. The exponent of  $A$ , denoted by  $\exp(A)$ , is the order of the class of  $A$  in  $\text{Br}(F)$  and divides  $\text{ind}(A)$ .

Let  $A$  be a central simple  $F$ -algebra and  $0 \leq d_1 < \dots < d_k \leq \deg(A)$  be a sequence of integers. A convenient way to define the variety of flags of right ideals of reduced dimension  $d_1, \dots, d_k$  in  $A$  is to use the language of functor of points. For any commutative  $F$ -algebra  $R$ , the set of  $R$ -points  $\text{Mor}_F(\text{Spec}(R), X(d_1, \dots, d_k; A))$  consists of the sequences  $(I_1, \dots, I_k)$  of right ideals of the Azumaya  $R$ -algebra  $A \otimes_F R$  such that  $I_1 \subset \dots \subset I_k$ , the injection of  $A_R$  modules  $I_s \rightarrow A_R$  splits and the rank of the  $R$ -module  $I_s$  is equal to  $d_s \cdot \deg(A)$  for any  $1 \leq s \leq k$ . For any morphism  $R \rightarrow S$  of  $F$ -algebras the corresponding map from  $R$ -points to  $S$ -points is given by  $(I_1, \dots, I_k) \mapsto (I_1 \otimes_R S, \dots, I_k \otimes_R S)$ . Two important particular cases of varieties of flags of right ideals are the classical Severi–Brauer variety  $X(1; A)$ , and the generalized Severi–Brauer varieties  $X(i; A)$ .

If  $G$  is an algebraic group and  $X$  a projective  $G$ -homogeneous  $F$ -variety, we say that  $X$  is *isotropic* if  $X$  has a zero-cycle of degree coprime to  $p$ , and  $X$  is *anisotropic* if  $X$  is not isotropic. If  $X = X(d_1, \dots, d_k; A)$  is a variety of flags of right ideals,  $X$  is isotropic if and only if  $v_p(\gcd(d_1, \dots, d_k)) \geq v_p(\text{ind}(A))$ . Note that if the Schur index of  $A$  is a power of  $p$ ,  $X$  is isotropic if and only if  $X$  has a rational point.

## 3. The theory of upper motives

Our basic references for the definitions and the main properties of Chow groups with coefficients and the category  $\text{CM}(F; \Lambda)$  of Grothendieck–Chow motives with coefficients in a commutative ring  $\Lambda$  are [2] and [5]. In the sequel  $G$  will be a semisimple affine adjoint algebraic group of inner type,  $X$  will be a projective  $G$ -homogeneous  $F$ -variety and  $\Lambda$  will be assumed to be a finite and connected ring. By [3] (see also [8]) the motive of  $X$  decomposes in a unique way (up to isomorphism) as a direct sum of indecomposable motives under these assumptions. Among all the indecomposable direct summands in the complete motivic decomposition of  $X$ , the (uniquely determined up to isomorphism) indecomposable direct summand  $M$  such that the 0-codimensional Chow group of  $M$  is non-zero is the *upper motive* of  $X$ .

Upper motives are essential: any indecomposable direct summand in the complete motivic decomposition of  $X$  is the upper motive of another projective  $G$ -homogeneous  $F$ -variety by [8, Theorem 3.5]. This structural result implies that the study of the motivic decomposition of a projective  $G$ -homogeneous  $F$ -variety  $X$  is reduced to the case  $\Lambda = \mathbb{F}_p$ . Indeed by [16, Corollary 2.6] the complete motivic decomposition of  $X$  with coefficients in  $\Lambda$  remains the same when passing to the residue field of  $\Lambda$ , and is also the same as if the ring of coefficients is  $\mathbb{F}_p$  by [4, Theorem 2.1], where  $p$  is the characteristic of the residue field of  $\Lambda$ . These results motivate the study of the set  $\mathcal{X}_G$  of *upper  $p$ -motives* of the algebraic group  $G$ , which consists of the isomorphism classes of upper motives of projective  $G$ -homogeneous  $F$ -varieties in  $\text{CM}(F; \mathbb{F}_p)$ . Furthermore the dimension of the upper motive of  $X$  in  $\text{CM}(F; \mathbb{F}_p)$  is equal to the canonical  $p$ -dimension of  $X$  by [6, Theorem 5.1], hence upper motives encode important information on the underlying varieties. Upper motives also have good properties: the upper motives of two projective  $G$ -homogeneous  $F$ -varieties  $X$  and  $X'$  in  $\text{CM}(F; \mathbb{F})$  are isomorphic if and only if both  $X_{F(X')}$  and  $X'_{F(X)}$  are isotropic by [8, Corollary 2.15]. The variety  $X$  is isotropic if and only if the upper motive of  $X$  is isomorphic to the *Tate motive* (that is to say the motive of  $\text{Spec}(F)$ ) and this is why we focus in this Note on the case of anisotropic varieties of flags of right ideals.

If  $G$  is absolutely simple adjoint of inner type  $A_n$ ,  $G$  is isomorphic to  $\text{PGL}_1(A)$ , where  $A$  is a central simple  $F$ -algebra of degree  $n + 1$ . Any projective  $G$ -homogeneous  $F$ -variety is then isomorphic to a variety  $X(d_1, \dots, d_k; A)$  of flags of right ideals in  $A$  (see [10]) thus Theorem 1 classifies upper motives of absolutely simple affine adjoint algebraic groups of inner type  $A_n$ . In the particular case of classical Severi–Brauer varieties Theorem 1 corresponds to [1, Theorem 9.3], since for any field extension  $E/F$  a central simple  $F$ -algebra becomes split over  $E$  if and only if the Severi–Brauer variety  $X(1; A_E)$  has a rational point.

## 4. Main results

Let  $D$  be a central division  $F$ -algebra of degree  $p^n$ . For any  $0 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $M_{k,D}$  will denote the upper indecomposable direct summand of  $X(p^k; D)$  in  $\text{CM}(F; \mathbb{F})$ . If  $D'$  is another central division  $F$ -algebra of degree  $p^n$  and  $j$  satisfies  $1 \leq j \leq p^n$ , we

denote the integer  $\frac{p^k}{\gcd(j, p^k)} \cdot \text{ind}(D \otimes D'^{-j})$  by  $\mu_{k,j}^{D,D'}$ . In the sequel, the following index reduction formula (see [10, p. 565]) will be of constant use:

$$\text{ind}(D_{F(X(p^k; D'))}) = \gcd_{1 \leq j \leq p^n} \mu_{k,j}^{D,D'} = \min_{1 \leq j \leq p^n} \mu_{k,j}^{D,D'}$$

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $D$  and  $D'$  be two central division  $F$ -algebras of degree  $p^n$ . Assume that  $\text{exp}(D) \geq \text{exp}(D')$  and that  $X(p^k; D)_{F(X(p^k; D'))}$  is isotropic for some integer  $0 \leq k < n$ . If  $\text{ind}(D_{F(X(k; D'))}) = \mu_{k,j_0}^{D,D'}$ ,  $j_0$  is coprime to  $p$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $p$  divides  $j_0$  and  $\text{ind}(D_{F(X(k; D'))}) = \mu_{k,j_0}^{D,D'}$ . By assumption  $X(k; D)_{F(X(k; D'))}$  has a rational point, hence the integer  $\mu_{k,j_0}^{D,D'}$  divides  $p^k$  by [9, Proposition 1.17] and  $\text{ind}(D \otimes D'^{-j_0} | \gcd(j_0, p^k))$ . Since  $p$  divides  $j_0$ ,  $\text{exp}(D'^{-j_0}) < \text{exp}(D')$ , therefore  $\text{exp}(D'^{-j_0}) < \text{exp}(D)$  and  $\text{exp}(D) = \text{exp}(D \otimes D'^{-j_0})$ . It follows that  $\text{exp}(D)$  divides  $j_0$ , thus  $\text{exp}(D')$  also divides  $j_0$ . The central simple  $F$ -algebra  $D'^{j_0}$  is therefore split and  $D \otimes D'^{-j_0}$  is Brauer-equivalent to  $D$  so that  $\text{ind}(D)$  divides  $p^k$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a finite field of characteristic  $p$  and  $D, D'$  be two central division  $F$ -algebras of degree  $p^n$ . The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1) for some integer  $0 \leq k < n$ ,  $M_{k,D}$  and  $M_{k,D'}$  are isomorphic in  $\text{CM}(F; \mathbb{F})$ ;
- (2) the classes of  $D$  and  $D'$  generate the same subgroup of  $\text{Br}(F)$ ;
- (3) for any  $0 \leq k < n$ ,  $M_{k,D}$  is isomorphic to  $M_{k,D'}$  in  $\text{CM}(F; \mathbb{F})$ .

**Proof.** We first show that (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). We may exchange  $D$  by  $D'$  and thus assume that  $\text{exp}(D)$  is greater than  $\text{exp}(D')$ . Since  $M_{k,D}$  is isomorphic to  $M_{k,D'}$ , there is an integer  $j_0$  coprime to  $p$  such that the Schur index of  $D \otimes D'^{-j_0}$  is equal to 1 by [9, Proposition 1.17] and Proposition 2, hence  $D \otimes D'^{-j_0}$  is split and the class of  $D$  is equal to the class of  $D'^{j_0}$  in  $\text{Br}(F)$ . Furthermore since  $j_0$  is coprime to  $p$  the class of  $D$  in  $\text{Br}(F)$  is also a generator of the subgroup of  $\text{Br}(F)$  generated by  $[D']$ .

Now we show that (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): if  $[D]$  and  $[D']$  generate the same group in  $\text{Br}(F)$ ,  $\text{ind}(D_E) = \text{ind}(D'_E)$  for any field extension  $E/F$ . Given an integer  $0 \leq k < n$ , since  $X(p^k; D)$  has a rational point over  $F(X(p^k; D))$ ,  $\text{ind}(D'_{F(X(p^k; D))}) = \text{ind}(D_{F(X(p^k; D))})$  divides  $p^k$ . The variety  $X(p^k; D')$  then also has a rational point over  $F(X(p^k; D))$  by [9, Proposition 1.17]. The same procedure replacing  $D$  by  $D'$  shows that  $X(p^k; D)$  has a rational point over  $F(X(p^k; D'))$ , hence  $M_{k,D}$  is isomorphic to  $M_{k,D'}$ .

Finally (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) is obvious.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $D$  and  $D'$  be two central division  $F$ -algebras of degree  $p^n$  and  $p^{n'}$ . The upper summands  $M_{k,D}$  and  $M_{k',D'}$  are isomorphic for some integers  $0 \leq k < n$  and  $0 \leq k' < n'$  if and only if  $k = k'$  and the classes of  $D$  and  $D'$  generate the same subgroup of  $\text{Br}(F)$ .*

**Proof.** Since by [8, Theorem 4.1] the generalized Severi–Brauer varieties  $X(p^k; D)$  and  $X(p^{k'}; D')$  are  $p$ -incompressible, if  $M_{k,D}$  and  $M_{k',D'}$  are isomorphic, the dimension of  $X(p^k; D)$  (which is  $p^k(p^n - p^k)$ ) is equal to the dimension of  $X(p^{k'}; D')$ . The equality  $p^k(p^n - p^k) = p^{k'}(p^{n'} - p^{k'})$  implies that  $k = k'$ ,  $n = n'$  and it remains to apply Theorem 3. The converse is clear by Theorem 3.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Set  $X = X(d_1, \dots, d_k; A)$ ,  $X' = X(d_1, \dots, d_{k'}; A')$ , and also  $v = v_p(\gcd(d_1, \dots, d_k))$  and  $v' = v_p(\gcd(d'_1, \dots, d'_{k'}))$ . If  $D$  and  $D'$  are two central division  $F$ -algebras Brauer-equivalent to  $A_p$  and  $A'_p$ , the upper indecomposable direct summand of  $X$  (resp. of  $X'$ ) is isomorphic to  $M_{v,D}$  (resp. to  $M_{v',D'}$ ) by [8, Theorem 3.8]. By Corollary 4 these summands are isomorphic if and only if  $v = v'$  (since  $X$  and  $X'$  are anisotropic) and the classes of  $A_p$  and  $A'_p$  generate the same subgroup of  $\text{Br}(F)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $G$  and  $G'$  be two absolutely simple affine adjoint algebraic groups of inner type  $A_n$  and  $A_{n'}$ . Then either  $\mathfrak{X}_G \cap \mathfrak{X}_{G'}$  is reduced to the class of the Tate motive or  $\mathfrak{X}_G = \mathfrak{X}_{G'}$ .*

**Proof.** If  $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{PGL}_1(A)} \cap \mathfrak{X}_{\text{PGL}_1(A')}$  is not reduced to the class of the Tate motive, there are two anisotropic varieties of flags of right ideals  $X = X(d_1, \dots, d_k; A)$  and  $X' = X(d'_1, \dots, d'_{k'}; A')$  whose upper motives are isomorphic. By Theorem 1 this implies that the upper  $p$ -motive of any anisotropic  $\text{PGL}_1(A)$ -homogeneous  $F$ -variety  $X(d_1, \dots, d_k; A)$  is isomorphic to, say, the upper  $p$ -motive of  $X(d_1, \dots, d_k; A')$ .  $\square$

## Acknowledgement

I would like to express my gratitude to N. Karpenko, for introducing me to this subject, raising this question and for stimulating discussions on this subject.

## References

- [1] S.A. Amitsur, Generic splitting fields of central simple algebras, *Ann. of Math.* 62 (1955) 8–43.
- [2] Y. André, Une introduction aux motifs (motifs purs, motifs mixtes, périodes), *Panoramas et Synthèses*, vol. 17, Société Mathématique de France, 2004.
- [3] V. Chernousov, A. Merkurjev, Motivic decomposition of projective homogeneous varieties and the Krull–Schmidt theorem, *Transform. Groups* 11 (2006) 371–386.
- [4] C. De Clercq, Motivic decompositions of projective homogeneous varieties and change of coefficients, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I* 348 (17–18) (2010) 955–958.
- [5] R. Elman, N. Karpenko, A. Merkurjev, *The Algebraic and Geometric Theory of Quadratic Forms*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2008.
- [6] N. Karpenko, Canonical dimension, in: *Proceedings of the ICM 2010*, vol. II, pp. 146–161.
- [7] N. Karpenko, On the first Witt index of quadratic forms, *Invent. Math.* 153 (2) (2003) 455–462.
- [8] N. Karpenko, Upper motives of algebraic groups and incompressibility of Severi–Brauer varieties, *Linear Algebraic Groups and Related Structures* (preprint server) 333, 2009.
- [9] M.-A. Knus, A. Merkurjev, M. Rost, J.-P. Tignol, *The Book of Involutions*, AMS Colloquium Publications, vol. 44, American Mathematical Society, 1998.
- [10] A. Merkurjev, A. Panin, A. Wadsworth, Index reduction formulas for twisted flag varieties, I, *J. K-Theory* 10 (1996) 517–596.
- [11] V. Petrov, N. Semenov, Higher Tits indices of algebraic groups, preprint, 2007.
- [12] V. Petrov, N. Semenov, K. Zainoulline, J-invariant of linear algebraic groups, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* 41 (2008) 1023–1053.
- [13] A. Vishik, Motives of quadrics with applications to the theory of quadratic forms, in: *Proceedings of the Summer School “Geometric Methods in the Algebraic Theory of Quadratic Forms, Lens, 2000”*, in: *Lect. Notes in Math.*, vol. 1835, 2004, pp. 25–101.
- [14] A. Vishik, Excellent connections in the motives of quadrics, *Annales Scientifiques de L’ENS*, 2010, in press.
- [15] A. Vishik, Fields of  $u$ -invariant  $2^r + 1$ , in: *Algebra, Arithmetic and Geometry – In Honor of Yu.I. Manin*, Birkhäuser, 2010, pp. 661–685.
- [16] A. Vishik, N. Yagita, Algebraic cobordism of a Pfister quadric, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2) 76 (3) (2007) 586–604.