



Mathematical Analysis

## Closure of the set of pseudodifferential operators

*Adhérence de l'ensemble des opérateurs pseudodifférentiels*

Jean Nourrigat

Laboratoire de mathématiques, EA4535 and FR.CNRS.3399, université de Reims, U.F.R. sciences exactes et naturelles, moulin de la Housse, BP 1039, 51687 Reims cedex 2, France

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 2 February 2012

Accepted after revision 10 April 2012

Available online 21 April 2012

Presented by Jean-Michel Bony

## ABSTRACT

We determine the closure of the set of pseudodifferential operators of Calderón Vaillancourt type in the space of bounded linear operators in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and also the closure of the similar classes of C. Rondeaux in the Schatten class. We give representation-theoretic characterizations of these classes.

© 2012 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## R É S U M É

On détermine l'adhérence de l'ensemble des opérateurs pseudodifférentiels appartenant à la classe de Calderón-Vaillancourt dans l'espace des opérateurs bornés dans  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , et aussi des classes analogues de C. Rondeaux dans les classes de Schatten correspondantes. On donne une caractérisation de ces classes en termes de représentations de groupes.

© 2012 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## 1. Statement of the results

For each  $p$  in  $[1, \infty]$ , let us denote by  $W^{\infty p}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$  the set of functions  $F$  in  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$  which are in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$  such as all their derivatives. For each function  $F$  in  $W^{\infty \infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ , we denote by  $Op(F)$  the operator formally defined, for each  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , by:

$$(Op(F)f)(u) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi} F\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \xi\right) f(y) dy d\xi \quad (1)$$

Calderón and Vaillancourt have shown in [3] that such an operator is well defined, and is bounded in  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We denote by  $\Psi_\infty(\mathcal{H})$  the space of operators  $A$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  which are associated in this way to a symbol  $F$  in  $W^{\infty \infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ .

We want to find the closure of  $\Psi_\infty(\mathcal{H})$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ , and to give a representation-theoretic formulation of the Beals characterization [2] of  $\Psi_\infty(\mathcal{H})$ .

We can ask the same question, replacing the set of bounded operators in  $\mathcal{H}$  by one of the Schatten classes. For each  $p$  in  $[1, \infty[$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{H})$  the Schatten class of operators  $A$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $|A|^p$  is trace class, where  $|A| = (A^*A)^{1/2}$ . This space (see, for instance, [5]), is endowed with the norm:

$$\|A\|_p = (\text{Tr}(|A|^p))^{1/p} \quad (2)$$

E-mail address: jean.nourrigat@univ-reims.fr.

It is proved in C. Rondeaux [4] that, for each  $F$  in  $W^{\infty p}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ , the formal equality (1) still defines a bounded operator  $Op(F)$  in  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and that this operator is in the Schatten class  $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{H})$ . Let us denote by  $\Psi_p(\mathcal{H})$  the set of operators in  $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{H})$  that are defined in this way.

We denote by  $H_n$  the Heisenberg group with dimension  $2n + 1$ , i.e.  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  endowed with the composition law defined, for all  $g = (X, Y, t)$  and  $g' = (X', Y', t')$  in  $H_n$ , by:

$$g \circ g' = \left( X + X', Y + Y', t + t' + \frac{1}{2}(X \cdot Y' - Y \cdot X') \right) \tag{3}$$

We shall denote by  $\pi$  the representation of  $H_n$  in  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  defined, for each  $g = (X, Y, t)$  in  $H_n$ , and for each  $f$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ , by:

$$(\pi(g)f)(u) = f(X + u)e^{i(u \cdot Y + t + \frac{1}{2}X \cdot Y)} \tag{4}$$

Let us agree that  $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ . For each  $p$  in  $[1, +\infty]$ , we define also a representation  $\Pi_p$  of  $H_n$  in the Banach space  $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{H})$  by setting:

$$\Pi_p(g)(A) = \pi(g)A\pi(g)^{-1}, \quad g \in H_n, \quad A \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{H}) \tag{5}$$

This representation is norm-preserving. If  $p < \infty$ , the representation  $\Pi_p$  is continuous. In fact, if  $A$  is of finite rank, we see easily that the map  $g \rightarrow \Pi_p(g)(A)$  is continuous from  $H_n$  to  $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{H})$ . Then, we remark that the set of operators with finite rank is dense in  $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{H})$  if  $p < +\infty$  (see [5]).

**Theorem 1.1.** a) For each  $p$  in  $[1, +\infty]$ , the set  $\Psi_p(\mathcal{H})$  is the set of  $C^\infty$  vectors of the representation  $\Pi_p$ , i.e. the set of operators  $A$  in  $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{H})$  such that the map

$$g \rightarrow \Pi_p(g)(A) \tag{6}$$

is  $C^\infty$  from  $H_n$  to  $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{H})$ .

b) The closure of  $\Psi_\infty(\mathcal{H})$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is the set of continuous vectors of the representation  $\Pi_\infty$ , i.e. the set of operators  $A$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  such that the map (6) is continuous from  $H_n$  to  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ . If  $p < +\infty$ , the set  $\Psi_p(\mathcal{H})$  is dense in the Schatten class  $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{H})$ .

For  $p = 1$ , the point b) has been proved in [1].

**2. Proof of point a) of Theorem 1.1**

Let  $P_j$  be the operator of derivation with respect to the variable  $u_j$ , and  $Q_j$  be the operator of multiplication by  $u_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ). For each operator  $A$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ , and for each multi-indices  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  and  $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$ , the iterated commutator:

$$(\text{ad } P)^\alpha (\text{ad } Q)^\beta A = (\text{ad } P_1)^{\alpha_1} \dots (\text{ad } P_n)^{\alpha_n} (\text{ad } Q_1)^{\beta_1} \dots (\text{ad } Q_n)^{\beta_n} A \tag{7}$$

is well defined as an operator from  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Let us recall the classical following result:

**Theorem 2.1.** An operator  $A$  in  $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{H})$  ( $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ ) is in  $\Psi_p(\mathcal{H})$  if, and only if, for each multi-indices  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , the operator  $(\text{ad } P)^\alpha (\text{ad } Q)^\beta A$  (a priori defined as an operator from  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ) is in  $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{H})$ .

If  $p = +\infty$ , Theorem 2.1 is a very classical result, the Beals characterization of pseudo-differential operators [2]. If  $p < +\infty$ , it has been proved in C. Rondeaux [4].

Let  $\Pi$  be the representation of  $H_n$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n))$  defined as in (5), i.e. for each  $g \in H_n$ , for each  $A$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n))$ , for each  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ :

$$\langle \Pi(g)(A)\varphi, \psi \rangle = \langle A\pi(g)^{-1}\varphi, \pi(g)^{-1}\psi \rangle \tag{8}$$

By definition (3), we see that, if  $A$  is in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ , if  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , both sides of this equality are  $C^\infty$  functions in  $H_n$ , and that, for each  $g = (X, Y, t)$  in  $H_n$ , we have:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial X_j} \langle \Pi(X, Y, t)(A)\varphi, \psi \rangle = \langle \Pi(X, Y, t)([P_j, A])\varphi, \psi \rangle \tag{9}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial Y_j} \langle \Pi(X, Y, t)(A)\varphi, \psi \rangle = i \langle \Pi(X, Y, t)([Q_j, A])\varphi, \psi \rangle \tag{10}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle \Pi(X, Y, t)(A)\varphi, \psi \rangle = 0 \tag{11}$$

We may iterate, and we shall use Taylor expansions of the left side of (8).

If  $A$  is a  $C^\infty$  vector of  $\Pi_p$ , we may replace  $\Pi$  by  $\Pi_p$  in the left side of (9), and equality (9), taken at the origin, shows that  $[P_j, A]$  is in  $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{H})$ , and that the following equality:

$$\frac{\partial \Pi_p(X, Y, t)(A)}{\partial X_j} = \Pi_p(X, Y, t)([P_j, A]) \tag{12}$$

is valid at the origin. Since  $\Pi_p$  is a norm preserving representation, it follows that the same equality is valid for each  $g$  in  $H_n$ . Since  $A$  is a  $C^\infty$  vector of the representation  $\Pi_p$ , it follows that  $[P_j, A]$  is also a  $C^\infty$  vector of  $\Pi_p$ . It is the same for  $[Q_j, A]$ , and we have:

$$\frac{\partial \Pi_p(X, Y, t)(A)}{\partial Y_j} = i\Pi_p(X, Y, t)([Q_j, A]), \quad \frac{\partial \Pi_p(X, Y, t)(A)}{\partial t} = 0 \tag{13}$$

Therefore, we can iterate the same argument, replacing  $A$  by these commutators, and prove by induction that all the commutators (7) are  $C^\infty$  vectors of the representation  $\Pi_p$ , and in particular that they are in  $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{H})$ . By Theorem 2.1, it follows that  $A$  is in  $\Psi_p(\mathcal{H})$ .

Before proving the converse, we have to make clear a question of continuity for  $p = +\infty$ . Let  $A$  be in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ , such as the commutators  $[P_j, A]$  and  $[Q_j, A]$ . We write a Taylor expansion of the left-hand side of (8). For each  $g = (X, Y, t)$  in  $H_n$ , for each  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we may write:

$$\langle (\Pi(X, Y, t)(A) - A)\varphi, \psi \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n [X_j A_j(X, Y, t, A, \varphi, \psi) + Y_j A_j(X, Y, t, A, \varphi, \psi)]$$

where:

$$A_j(X, Y, t, A, \varphi, \psi) = \int_0^1 \langle \Pi(\theta X, \theta Y, \theta t)([P_j, A])\varphi, \psi \rangle d\theta$$

and where  $B_j(g, A, \varphi, \psi)$  is defined in a similar way. We may replace  $\Pi$  by  $\Pi_\infty$  above. Since  $\Pi_\infty$  is a norm preserving representation, it follows that  $|A_j(g, A, \varphi, \psi)| \leq \| [P_j, A] \|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})} \| \varphi \|_{\mathcal{H}} \| \psi \|_{\mathcal{H}}$  and similarly for  $B_j(g, A, \varphi, \psi)$ . Then it follows that the map  $g \rightarrow \Pi_\infty(g)(A)$  is continuous from  $H_n$  to  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  at the origin, and, since  $\Pi_p$  is norm preserving, this map is continuous in all  $H_n$ .

If  $A$  is in  $\Psi_p(\mathcal{H})$ , Theorem 2.1 and the remark above show that  $A$  and all the commutators (7) are continuous vectors of the representation  $\Pi_p$ . (The above remarks are needed only for  $p = +\infty$ .) Hence, the following functions:

$$A_{jk}(X, Y, t) = \int_0^1 \Pi_p(\theta X, \theta Y, \theta t)([P_j, [P_k, A]]) d\theta, \quad B_{jk}(X, Y, t) = i \int_0^1 \Pi_p(\theta X, \theta Y, \theta t)([P_j, [Q_k, A]]) d\theta$$

$$C_{jk}(X, Y, t) = - \int_0^1 \Pi_p(\theta X, \theta Y, \theta t)([Q_j, [Q_k, A]]) d\theta$$

are continuous and bounded in  $H_n$ , with values in  $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{H})$ . The function  $L$  defined in  $H_n$  by

$$L(X, Y, t) = \Pi_p(X, Y, t)(A) - A - \sum_{j=1}^n [X_j \Pi_p([P_j, A]) + iY_j \Pi_p([Q_j, A])] - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} [X_j X_k A_{jk}(X, Y, t) + 2X_j Y_k B_{jk}(X, Y, t) + Y_j Y_k C_{jk}(X, Y, t)]$$

is also continuous in  $H_n$ , with values in  $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{H})$ . For each  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we may write a Taylor expansion of the left-hand side of (8), which is  $C^\infty$ , using (9), (10) and (11), and replacing  $\Pi$  by  $\Pi_p$ . We get  $\langle L(X, Y, t)\varphi, \psi \rangle = 0$  for all  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and therefore  $L(X, Y, t) = 0$ . It follows that the map (6), from  $H_n$  to  $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{H})$ , is differentiable at the origin, and that its partial derivatives are given by (12) and (13) at the origin. Since  $\Pi_p$  is a norm preserving representation, the map  $g \rightarrow \Pi_p(g)(A)$  is differentiable in  $H_n$ , and its partial derivatives are still given by (12) and (13). By the above remark, the map (6) is  $C^1$ . By iterating, we see that this map is  $C^\infty$  from  $H_n$  to  $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{H})$ . Point a) of Theorem 1.1 is proved.

### 3. Proof of point b) of Theorem 1.1

Let  $A$  be an operator in  $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{H})$  ( $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ ) which is the limit, in  $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{H})$ , of a sequence  $(A_j)$  of operators in  $\Psi_p(\mathcal{H})$ . By the point a) of Theorem 1.1, the functions  $g \rightarrow \Pi_p(g)(A_j)$  are  $C^\infty$  from  $H_n$  to  $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{H})$ . We have, for each  $g$  in  $H_n$ :

$$\|\Pi_p(g)(A_j - A)\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{H})} \leq \|A_j - A\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{H})} \quad (14)$$

Therefore, the function (6), being a uniform limit, in  $H_n$ , of a sequence of  $C^\infty$  functions with values in  $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{H})$ , is itself continuous from  $H_n$  to  $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{H})$ .

Conversely, let  $A$  be a continuous vector of the representation  $\Pi_p$ . In order to give a suitable approximation of  $A$ , we define, for each  $\lambda > 0$ , the following operator:

$$\mathcal{T}_\lambda^{(p)} A = (\pi\lambda)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} e^{-\frac{|X|^2+|Y|^2}{\lambda}} \Pi_p(X, Y, 0)(A) \, dX \, dY$$

This operator was already used in [1] (Section 5). We remark that:

$$\Pi_p(X, Y, t)(\mathcal{T}_\lambda^{(p)} A) = (\pi\lambda)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} e^{-\frac{|X'-X|^2+|Y'-Y|^2}{\lambda}} \Pi_p(X', Y', 0)(A) \, dX' \, dY'$$

Then, it is clear that the function  $g \rightarrow \Pi_p(g)(\mathcal{T}_\lambda^{(p)} A)$  is  $C^\infty$  from  $H_n$  to  $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{H})$ . By point a) of Theorem 1.1,  $\mathcal{T}_\lambda^{(p)} A$  is an element of  $\Psi_p(\mathcal{H})$ . Since  $A$  is a continuous vector of  $\Pi_p$ , we have:

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \|\mathcal{T}_\lambda^{(p)} A - A\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{H})} = 0$$

The proof is similar to that of the analogous elementary result for convolutions. It follows that the closure of  $\Psi_p(\mathcal{H})$  in  $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{H})$  is the set of continuous vectors of  $\Pi_p$ . If  $p < +\infty$ , this set is all  $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{H})$ .

### References

- [1] L. Amour, M. Khodja, J. Nourrigat, The classical limit of the time dependent Hartree–Fock equation. II. The Wick symbol of the solution, arXiv:1112.6186.
- [2] R. Beals, Characterization of pseudo-differential operators and applications, Duke Math. J. 44 (1) (1977) 45–57.
- [3] A.-P. Calderón, R. Vaillancourt, A class of bounded pseudo-differential operators, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 69 (1972) 1185–1187.
- [4] C. Rondeaux, Classes de Schatten d'opérateurs pseudo-différentiels, Ann. E.N.S. 17 (1) (1984) 67–81.
- [5] B. Simon, Trace Ideals and Their Applications, second edition, Math. Surveys and Monographs, vol. 120, Amer. Math. Soc., 2005.