



Mathematical analysis

An extremal problem for polynomials

*Un problème extrémal pour les polynômes*

Kai-Uwe Schmidt

Faculty of Mathematics, Otto-von-Guericke University, Universitätsplatz 2, 39106 Magdeburg, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 23 March 2013

Accepted 12 December 2013

Available online 31 December 2013

Presented by Jean-Pierre Kahane

ABSTRACT

We give a solution to an extremal problem for polynomials, which asks for complex numbers $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n$ of unit magnitude that minimise the largest supremum norm on the unit circle for all polynomials of degree n whose k -th coefficient is either α_k or $-\alpha_k$.

© 2013 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

Résumé

Nous donnons dans ce papier une solution à un problème extrémal sur les polynômes, qui est de trouver des nombres complexes $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n$ de module égal à 1 qui minimisent, sur le cercle unité, la plus grande borne supérieure de la norme pour tous les polynômes de degré n qui ont pour k^{e} coefficient α_k ou $-\alpha_k$.

© 2013 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Results

Extremal problems for polynomials are typically of the following form. Let $f(z)$ be a polynomial with coefficients restricted to be in a subset of the complex numbers (often, this set is $\{-1, 1\}$). How well can $|f(z)|$ approximate a constant function when z ranges over the unit circle? This meta problem has many variations, most of which are open (see, for example, Littlewood [8], Borwein [1], and Erdélyi [2] for surveys on selected problems). To quantify the gap between $|f(z)|$ and a constant function, different norms on the unit circle have been considered. The supremum norm:

$$\|f\| = \max_{|z|=1} |f(z)|$$

has received particular attention. For example, Erdős [3, Problem 22], [4], and Littlewood [7] were interested in the minimum of $\|f_n\|$, where f_n is a polynomial of degree $n - 1$ with coefficients of absolute value 1. In particular, Erdős [4] conjectured that there is some $c > 0$ such that $\|f_n\|/\sqrt{n} \geq 1 + c$ for all polynomials f_n of degree $n - 1$ (for every $n \geq 1$), whose coefficients have absolute value 1. Kahane [5] proved that there is no such c , but the modified conjecture where f_n is restricted to have coefficients 1 or -1 only remains open.

In this paper, we study an extremal problem in which the goal is to minimise the largest supremum norm for polynomials whose k -th coefficient is either α_k or $-\alpha_k$, where the minimisation is over the complex numbers $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots$ satisfying $|\alpha_0| = |\alpha_1| = \dots = 1$. Specifically, defining:

$$B_n(\phi_0, \dots, \phi_{n-1}) = \max_{\epsilon_0, \dots, \epsilon_{n-1} \in \{-1, 1\}} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \epsilon_k e^{2\pi i \phi_k} z^k \right\|$$

E-mail address: kaiuwe.schmidt@ovgu.de.

for $\phi_0, \dots, \phi_{n-1} \in [0, 1]$, we are interested in the minimum:

$$b(n) = \min_{\phi_0, \dots, \phi_{n-1} \in [0, 1]} B_n(\phi_0, \dots, \phi_{n-1}).$$

This minimisation problem is also related to an optimisation problem in communications engineering and, in this context, variations of the problem have been studied by Tarokh and Jafarkhani [10], Litsyn and Wunder [6], and Schmidt [9], among others.

It is easy to see that $b(n) \leq n$ and that $b(1) = 1$ and $b(2) = 2$, but the value of $b(n)$ is unknown for all $n \geq 3$. One might be tempted to conjecture that $b(n)$ is monotonically increasing and $b(n)/n$ is monotonically decreasing, but this also remains unknown.

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1. We have:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b(n)}{n} = \frac{2}{\pi}.$$

We prove **Theorem 1** in **Propositions 2 and 3** below. **Proposition 2** establishes that $b(n)/n > 2/\pi$ for all $n \geq 1$ and **Proposition 3** gives explicit constructions of $\phi_0, \dots, \phi_{n-1}$ for which $B_n(\phi_0, \dots, \phi_{n-1})/n$ approaches $2/\pi$ arbitrarily closely.

Proposition 2. For each $n \geq 1$, we have:

$$\frac{b(n)}{n} > \frac{2}{\pi}.$$

Proof. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} B_n(\phi_0, \dots, \phi_{n-1}) &\geq \max_{\epsilon_0, \dots, \epsilon_{n-1} \in \{-1, 1\}} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \epsilon_k e^{2\pi i \phi_k} \right| \\ &= \max_{\epsilon_0, \dots, \epsilon_{n-1} \in \{-1, 1\}} \max_{\psi \in [0, 1]} \operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \epsilon_k e^{2\pi i \phi_k} e^{2\pi i \psi} \right) \\ &= \max_{\psi \in [0, 1]} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\cos(2\pi(\phi_k + \psi))|. \end{aligned}$$

Since the sum cannot be constant for all ψ , we can further bound this expression as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} B_n(\phi_0, \dots, \phi_{n-1}) &> \int_0^1 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\cos(2\pi(\phi_k + \psi))| d\psi \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_0^1 |\cos(2\pi \psi)| d\psi = \frac{2n}{\pi}, \end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

Proposition 3. Let α be an irrational number and write $\phi_k = \alpha k^2$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B_n(\phi_0, \dots, \phi_{n-1})}{n} = \frac{2}{\pi}.$$

Proof. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} B_n(\phi_0, \dots, \phi_{n-1}) &= \max_{\epsilon_0, \dots, \epsilon_{n-1} \in \{-1, 1\}} \max_{\theta \in [0, 1]} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \epsilon_k e^{2\pi i \alpha k^2} e^{2\pi i \theta k} \right| \\ &= \max_{\epsilon_0, \dots, \epsilon_{n-1} \in \{-1, 1\}} \max_{\theta, \psi \in [0, 1]} \operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \epsilon_k e^{2\pi i (\alpha k^2 + \theta k + \psi)} \right) \\ &= \max_{\theta, \psi \in [0, 1]} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\cos(2\pi(\alpha k^2 + \theta k + \psi))|. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Since α is irrational, we obtain the following consequence of a celebrated result on equi-distributed sequences modulo 1 due to Weyl [11, Satz 9]:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{2\pi i m(\alpha k^2 + \theta k + \psi)} = 0 \quad \text{for every integer } m \neq 0.$$

Moreover, the convergence is uniform for all $\theta, \psi \in \mathbb{R}$. It then follows easily [11, pp. 314–315] that:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\cos(2\pi(\alpha k^2 + \theta k + \psi))| = \int_0^1 |\cos 2\pi x| dx$$

uniformly for all $\theta, \psi \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, from (1),

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B_n(\phi_0, \dots, \phi_{n-1})}{n} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{\theta, \psi \in [0, 1]} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\cos(2\pi(\alpha k^2 + \theta k + \psi))| \\ &= \max_{\theta, \psi \in [0, 1]} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\cos(2\pi(\alpha k^2 + \theta k + \psi))| \\ &= \max_{\theta, \psi \in [0, 1]} \int_0^1 |\cos 2\pi x| dx = \frac{2}{\pi}, \end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

References

- [1] P. Borwein, Computational Excursions in Analysis and Number Theory, CMS Books Math., Springer-Verlag, New York, NY, 2002.
- [2] T. Erdélyi, Polynomials with Littlewood-type coefficient constraints, in: Approximation Theory, X (St. Louis, MO, 2001), in: Innov. Appl. Math., Vanderbilt University Press, Nashville, TN, 2002, pp. 153–196.
- [3] P. Erdős, Some unsolved problems, Mich. Math. J. 4 (1957) 291–300.
- [4] P. Erdős, An inequality for the maximum of trigonometric polynomials, Ann. Pol. Math. 12 (1962) 151–154.
- [5] J.-P. Kahane, Sur les polynômes à coefficients unimodulaires, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 12 (1980) 321–342.
- [6] S. Litsyn, G. Wunder, Generalized bounds on the crest-factor distribution of OFDM signals with applications to code design, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 52 (2006) 992–1006.
- [7] J.E. Littlewood, On polynomials $\sum z^m, \sum e^{\alpha_m i} z^m, z = e^{\theta i}$, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 41 (1966) 367–376.
- [8] J.E. Littlewood, Some Problems in Real and Complex Analysis, Heath Math. Monogr., D.C. Heath and Company, Lexington, MA, 1968.
- [9] K.-U. Schmidt, On the peak-to-mean envelope power ratio of phase-shifted binary codes, IEEE Trans. Commun. 56 (2008) 1816–1823.
- [10] V. Tarokh, H. Jafarkhani, On the computation and reduction of the peak-to-average power ratio in multicarrier communications, IEEE Trans. Commun. 48 (2000) 37–44.
- [11] H. Weyl, Über die Gleichverteilung von Zahlen mod. Eins, Math. Ann. 77 (3) (1916) 313–352.