



Partial differential equations/Harmonic analysis

Measure boundary value problems for semilinear elliptic equations with critical Hardy potentials



Problèmes aux limites avec données mesures pour des équations semi-linéaires elliptiques avec des potentiels de Hardy critiques

Konstantinos T. Gkikas^{a,1}, Laurent Véron^{b,2}^a Centro de Modelamiento Matemático, Universidad de Chile, Santiago de Chile, Chile^b Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Physique Théorique, CNRS UMR 7350, Faculté des Sciences, 37200 Tours, France

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 16 October 2014

Accepted after revision 26 January 2015

Available online 26 February 2015

Presented by Haïm Brézis

ABSTRACT

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded C^2 domain and $\mathcal{L}_\kappa = -\Delta - \frac{\kappa}{d^2}$ where $d = \text{dist}(., \partial\Omega)$ and $0 < \kappa \leq \frac{1}{4}$. Let $\alpha_\pm = 1 \pm \sqrt{1-4\kappa}$, λ_κ the first eigenvalue of \mathcal{L}_κ with corresponding positive eigenfunction ϕ_κ . If g is a continuous nondecreasing function satisfying $\int_1^\infty (g(s) + |g(-s)|)s^{-2\frac{2N-2+\alpha_\pm}{2N-4+\alpha_\pm}} ds < \infty$, then for any Radon measures $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_{\phi_\kappa}(\Omega)$ and $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(\partial\Omega)$ there exists a unique weak solution to problem $P_{\nu,\mu}: \mathcal{L}_\kappa u + g(u) = \nu$ in Ω , $u = \mu$ on $\partial\Omega$. If $g(r) = |r|^{q-1}u$ ($q > 1$), we prove that, in the supercritical range of q , a necessary and sufficient condition for solving $P_{0,\mu}$ with $\mu > 0$ is that μ is absolutely continuous with respect to the capacity associated with the space $B^{2-\frac{2+\alpha_\pm}{2q}, q'}(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})$. We also characterize the boundary removable sets in terms of this capacity. In the subcritical range of q we classify the isolated singularities of positive solutions.

© 2015 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

RÉSUMÉ

Soient $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ un domaine de classe C^2 et $\mathcal{L}_\kappa = -\Delta - \frac{\kappa}{d^2}$ où $d = \text{dist}(., \partial\Omega)$ et $0 < \kappa \leq \frac{1}{4}$. Soient $\alpha_\pm = 1 \pm \sqrt{1-4\kappa}$, λ_κ la première valeur propre de \mathcal{L}_κ et ϕ_κ la fonction propre positive correspondante. Si g est une fonction continue croissante vérifiant $\int_1^\infty (g(s) + |g(-s)|)s^{-2\frac{2N-2+\alpha_\pm}{2N-4+\alpha_\pm}} ds < \infty$, alors pour toutes mesures de Radon $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_{\phi_\kappa}(\Omega)$ et $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(\partial\Omega)$, il existe une unique solution faible au problème $P_{\nu,\mu}: \mathcal{L}_\kappa u + g(u) = \nu$ dans Ω , $u = \mu$ sur $\partial\Omega$. Si $g(r) = |r|^{q-1}u$ ($q > 1$), nous démontrons qu'une condition nécessaire et suffisante pour résoudre $P_{0,\mu}$ avec $\mu > 0$ est que μ soit absolument continue par rapport à la capacité associée à l'espace $B^{2-\frac{2+\alpha_\pm}{2q}, q'}(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})$. Cette capacité caractérise les

¹ E-mail addresses: kugkikas@gmail.com (K.T. Gkikas), veronl@univ-tours.fr (L. Véron).² Supported by FONDECYT Grant 3140567.² Supported by MATH-Amsud program 13MATH-03-QUESP.

ensembles éliminables du bord. Dans le cas sous-critique, nous classifions les singularités isolées au bord des solutions positives.

© 2015 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

Version française abrégée

Soit Ω un domaine de \mathbb{R}^N de classe C^2 . On désigne par $d(x)$ la distance de x à $\partial\Omega$ et on définit l'opérateur de Hardy dans Ω par

$$\mathcal{L}_\kappa u = -\Delta u - \frac{\kappa}{d^2} u, \quad (1)$$

où $0 < \kappa \leq \frac{1}{4}$, et ses exposants caractéristiques

$$\alpha_+ = 1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\kappa}, \quad \alpha_- = 1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\kappa}. \quad (2)$$

On supposera Ω convexe si $\kappa = \frac{1}{4}$ (see [2]). Il est bien connu que, sous ces conditions, \mathcal{L}_κ possède une première valeur propre $\lambda_\kappa > 0$ définie par

$$\lambda_\kappa := \inf_{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega} d^{-2} u^2 dx}. \quad (3)$$

La première fonction propre positive associée ϕ_κ n'appartient à $H_0^1(\Omega)$ que si $0 < \kappa < \frac{1}{4}$, et dans tous les cas elle vérifie $\phi_\kappa(x) \sim (d(x))^{\frac{\alpha_+}{2}}$ au voisinage de $\partial\Omega$. On dénote par G_κ et K_κ les noyaux de Green et de Poisson de \mathcal{L}_κ dans Ω et par ω_{x_0} la mesure \mathcal{L}_κ -harmonique dans Ω ($x_0 \in \Omega$). Si g est une fonction continue et croissante sur \mathbb{R} telle que $g(0) \geq 0$, nous étudions tout d'abord le problème $(P_{\nu,\mu})$ suivant :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\kappa u + g(u) &= \nu \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= \mu \quad \text{in } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

où ν, μ sont des mesures de Radon.

Théorème 1. Supposons que g vérifie

$$\int_1^\infty (g(s) + |g(-s)|) s^{-2 \frac{N-1+\frac{\alpha_+}{2}}{N-2+\frac{\alpha_+}{2}}} ds < \infty; \quad (5)$$

alors pour toutes mesures de Radon ν et μ dans Ω et $\partial\Omega$ respectivement, ν vérifiant en outre $\int_{\Omega} \phi_\kappa d|\nu| < \infty$, il existe une unique fonction $u = u_{\nu,\mu} \in L^1_{\phi_\kappa}(\Omega)$ telle que $g \circ u \in L^1_{\phi_\kappa}(\Omega)$ vérifiant

$$\int_{\Omega} (u \mathcal{L}_\kappa \zeta + \zeta g \circ u) dx = \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} G_\kappa(x, y) d\nu(y) \zeta(x) dx + \int_{\Omega} \int_{\partial\Omega} K_\kappa(x, y) d\mu(y) \mathcal{L}_\kappa \zeta(x) dx \quad (6)$$

pour toute $\zeta \in \mathbf{X}_\kappa(\Omega)$ où

$$\mathbf{X}_\kappa(\Omega) = \{\zeta \in H_{loc}^1(\Omega) : (\phi_\kappa)^{-1} \zeta \in H_0^1(\Omega, \phi_\kappa dx), (\phi_\kappa)^{-1} \mathcal{L}_\kappa \zeta \in L^\infty(\Omega)\}. \quad (7)$$

En outre, l'application $(\nu, \mu) \mapsto u_{\nu,\mu}$ de $\mathfrak{M}_{\phi_\kappa}(\Omega) \times \mathfrak{M}(\partial\Omega)$ dans $L^1_{\phi_\kappa}(\Omega)$ est croissante et stable pour la convergence faible des mesures.

La démonstration utilise des estimations des noyaux de Green et de Poisson déduits des propriétés de la mesure ω_{x_0} . Dans le cas où $g \circ u = |u|^{q-1} u$, (5) est vérifiée si $0 < q < q_c := \frac{2N+\alpha_+}{2N+\alpha_+-4}$. Dans le cas $q > 1$, nous dénotons par $C_{2-\frac{2+\alpha_+}{2q'}, q'}$ la capacité associée à l'espace de Besov $B^{2-\frac{2+\alpha_+}{2q'}, q'}(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})$ et nous démontrons le théorème qui suit.

Théorème 2. Soit $q \geq q_c$ et $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_+(\partial\Omega)$. Alors le problème

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\kappa u + |u|^{q-1} u &= 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= \mu \quad \text{in } \partial\Omega \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

admet une unique solution $u := u_\mu$ si et seulement si pour tout borélien $E \subset \partial\Omega$,

$$C_{2-\frac{2+\alpha_+}{2q'}, q'}^{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}}(E) = 0 \implies \mu(E) = 0. \quad (9)$$

Le cas sous-critique $1 < q < q_c$ du problème (8) est traité dans [6]. Nous caractérisons aussi les sous-ensembles du bord éliminables pour l'équation

$$\mathcal{L}_\kappa u + |u|^{q-1}u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (10)$$

Définissons

$$W(x) = \begin{cases} (d(x))^{\frac{\alpha_-}{2}} & \text{if } 0 < \kappa < \frac{1}{4}, \\ \sqrt{d(x)} \ln |d(x)| & \text{if } \kappa = \frac{1}{4}. \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

Théorème 3. Soit $q > 1$ et $K \subset \partial\Omega$ un sous-ensemble compact. Toute solution $u \in C(\overline{\Omega} \setminus \{K\})$ de (10) qui vérifie

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow y} \frac{u(x)}{W(x)} = 0 \quad \forall y \in \partial\Omega \setminus \{K\}, \quad (12)$$

est identiquement nulle dans Ω si et seulement si $C_{2-\frac{2+\alpha_+}{2q'}, q'}^{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}}(K) = 0$.

Nous montrons que, si $q > 1$, toute solution positive de (10) dans Ω admet une trace au bord représentée par une mesure de Borel régulière. En supposant que $0 \in \partial\Omega$ et $1 < q < q_c$, nous étudions aussi le comportement au voisinage de 0 des solutions positives de (10) qui vérifient (12) avec $K = \{0\}$.

English version

Let Ω be a bounded C^2 domain in \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 2$ and $d(x) = \text{dist}(x, \Omega)$. We define λ_Ω by (3). It is well known that $\lambda_\Omega \in (0, \frac{1}{4}]$. Also we define the Hardy operator \mathcal{L}_κ in Ω by (1) with $0 < \kappa < \lambda_\Omega$ if $\lambda_\Omega < \frac{1}{4}$ or $0 < \kappa \leq \frac{1}{4}$ if $\lambda_\Omega = \frac{1}{4}$ and the characteristic exponents by (2). We assume that Ω is convex if $\kappa = \frac{1}{4}$. It is well known that \mathcal{L}_κ possesses a first eigenvalue $\lambda_\kappa > 0$ defined by

$$\lambda_\kappa := \inf_{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \kappa \int_{\Omega} d^{-2} u^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega} u^2 dx}, \quad (13)$$

see [3]. The first positive eigenfunction $\phi_\kappa > 0$ may or may not belong to $H_0^1(\Omega)$ according $0 < \kappa < \frac{1}{4}$ or $\kappa = \frac{1}{4}$, and $\phi_\kappa(x) \sim (d(x))^{\frac{\alpha_+}{2}}$, $|\nabla \phi_\kappa(x)| \sim (d(x))^{\frac{\alpha_+}{2}-1}$ as $d(x) \rightarrow 0$. Let $G_\kappa(x, y)$ (resp. $K_\kappa(x, y)$) be the Green (resp. Poisson) kernel of \mathcal{L}_κ , then

$$G_\kappa(x, y) \sim \min \left\{ \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N-2}}, \frac{(d(x))^{\frac{\alpha_+}{2}} (d(y))^{\frac{\alpha_+}{2}}}{|x-y|^{N-2+\alpha_+}} \right\} \quad \forall (x, y) \in \Omega \times \Omega, x \neq y, \quad (14)$$

see [4], and finally [5]

$$K_\kappa(x, y) \sim \frac{(d(x))^{\frac{\alpha_+}{2}}}{|x-y|^{N-2+\alpha_+}} \quad \forall (x, y) \in \Omega \times \partial\Omega. \quad (15)$$

The corresponding Green and Poisson operators are denoted by $\mathbb{G}_\kappa[\cdot]$ and $\mathbb{K}_\kappa[\cdot]$. We first consider the boundary value problem (4) where g is a continuous nondecreasing function such that $g(0) \geq 0$ and ν and μ are Radon measures in Ω and $\partial\Omega$, respectively. We say that g is a subcritical nonlinearity if it satisfies (5).

Theorem 1. Assume that g is a subcritical nonlinearity. Then for all $(\nu, \mu) \in \mathfrak{M}_{\phi_\kappa}(\Omega) \times \mathfrak{M}(\partial\Omega)$ there exists a unique function $u = u_{\nu, \mu} \in L^1_{\phi_\kappa}(\Omega)$ such that $gou \in L^1_{\phi_\kappa}(\Omega)$ verifying (6) for all ζ in the space of test functions $\mathbf{X}_\kappa(\Omega)$ defined by (7). Furthermore the mapping $(\nu, \mu) \mapsto u_{\nu, \mu}$ from $\mathfrak{M}_{\phi_\kappa}(\Omega) \times \mathfrak{M}(\partial\Omega)$ into $L^1_{\phi_\kappa}(\Omega)$ is nondecreasing and stable for the weak convergence of measures.

When $g(u) = |u|^{q-1}u$ with $q > 0$, the inequality (6) means

$$0 < q < q_c := \frac{2N + \alpha_+}{2N + \alpha_+ - 4}. \quad (16)$$

When $q \geq q_c$ not all the measures μ are eligible for solving (8). We denote by $C_{2-\frac{2+\alpha_+}{2q'}, q'}^{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}}$ the capacity associated with the Besov space $B^{2-\frac{2+\alpha_+}{2q'}, q'}(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})$.

Theorem 2. Let $q > 1$ and $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_+(\partial\Omega)$. Then problem (8) admits a solution if and only if μ is absolutely continuous with respect to $C_{2-\frac{2+\alpha_+}{2q}, q}^{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}}$, i.e. for any Borel set $E \subset \partial\Omega$, implication (9) holds.

We also characterize the boundary removable sets for (10).

Theorem 3. Let $q > 1$ and $K \subset \partial\Omega$ be compact. Any $u \in C(\overline{\Omega} \setminus \{K\})$ solution to (10) that verifies (12) is identically zero in Ω if and only if $C_{2-\frac{2+\alpha_+}{2q}, q}^{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}}(K) = 0$.

Note that the subcritical case of problem (8), i.e. $1 < q < q_c$, is considered in [6], in that there is no condition on μ . In the same article, it is proved that when $q \geq q_c$, isolated singularities are removable. Large solutions are treated in [1].

When $1 < q < q_c$, only the empty set has zero capacity. There exist singular solutions to (10) with an isolated singularity on the boundary, either solutions $u_{k\delta_a}$ of (8) with $\mu = k\delta_a$ for $k > 0$ and $a \in \partial\Omega$ or solutions $u_a = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u_{k\delta_a}$. This very singular solution is described by considering the following problem on the half upper-sphere $S_+^{N-1} = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| = 1, x_N > 0\}$

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta' \omega - \ell_{N,q,\kappa} \omega - \frac{\kappa}{(\mathbf{e}_N \cdot \sigma)^2} \omega + |\omega|^{q-1} \omega &= 0 \quad \text{in } S_+^{N-1}, \\ \omega &= 0 \quad \text{in } \partial S_+^{N-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where Δ' is the Laplace–Beltrami operator on S^{N-1} , $(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_N)$ is the canonic basis in \mathbb{R}^N , $\sigma = \frac{x}{|x|}$ and

$$\ell_{N,q} = \left(\frac{2}{q-1} \right) \left(\frac{2q}{q-1} - N \right).$$

The spherical Hardy operator $\omega \mapsto \mathcal{L}'_\kappa := -\Delta' \omega - \frac{\kappa}{(\mathbf{e}_N \cdot \sigma)^2} \omega$ on S_+^{N-1} admits a first eigenvalue μ_κ defined by

$$\mu_{\kappa,1} = \inf_{\psi \in H_0^1(S_+^{N-1}) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{S_+^{N-1}} (|\nabla' \psi|^2 - \kappa (\mathbf{e}_N \cdot \sigma)^{-2} \omega^2) dS}{\int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{e}_N \cdot \sigma)^{-2} \psi^2 dS}. \tag{18}$$

We prove that $\mu_{\kappa,1} = \frac{\alpha_+}{2}(N + \frac{\alpha_+}{2} - 2)$ with corresponding positive eigenfunction $\rho_\kappa = (\mathbf{e}_N \cdot \sigma)^{\frac{\alpha_+}{2}}$. There exists a second eigenvalue $\mu_{\kappa,2} = \mu_{\kappa,1} + N + \alpha_+ - 1$ with $N - 1$ independent eigenfunctions $\rho_{\kappa,j} = (\mathbf{e}_N \cdot \sigma)^{\frac{\alpha_+}{2}} \mathbf{e}_j \cdot \sigma$ for $j = 1, \dots, N - 1$. We denote by \mathcal{E}_κ the set of functions ω such that $\rho_\kappa^{-1} \omega \in L_{\rho_\kappa}^{q+1}(S_+^{N-1}) \cap H_0^1(S_+^{N-1}, \rho_\kappa^2 dS)$ that satisfy (17), and by \mathcal{E}_κ^+ the set of positive solutions.

Theorem 4. I - If $q \geq q_c$, $\mathcal{E}_\kappa = \{\emptyset\}$.

II - If $1 < q < q_c$, $\mathcal{E}_\kappa^+ = \{0, \omega_\kappa\}$ where ω_κ is the unique positive solution to (17).

III - If $q_e \leq q < q_c$, $\mathcal{E}_\kappa = \{0, \omega_\kappa, -\omega_\kappa\}$ where $q_e := \frac{2N+2+\alpha_+}{2N-2+\alpha_+}$.

This allows us to describe the isolated boundary singularities of positive solutions to (10). Assume $0 \in \partial\Omega$ and \mathbf{e}_N is the outward normal unit vector to $\partial\Omega$ at 0.

Theorem 5. Assume, $1 < q < q_c$ and $u \in C(\overline{\Omega} \setminus \{0\})$ is a positive solution to (10) that verifies (12) with $K = \{0\}$. Then

- (i) either there exists $k \geq 0$ such that $u = u_{k\delta_0}$ and $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow 0} |x|^{N+\frac{\alpha_+}{2}-2} u(x) = c_N k (\mathbf{e}_N \cdot \frac{x}{|x|})^{\frac{\alpha_+}{2}}$,
- (ii) or $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow 0} |x|^{\frac{2}{q-1}} u(x) = \omega_\kappa (\frac{x}{|x|})$.

The above two convergences hold locally uniformly on S_+^{N-1} .

We can also define a boundary trace of any positive solution u to (10). For $\delta > 0$ small enough, we denote by $\omega_{\Omega'_\delta}^{x_0}$ the harmonic measure relative to the operator \mathcal{L}_κ in $\Omega'_\delta = \{x \in \Omega : d(x) > \delta\}$ where $x_0 \in \Omega$ (with $d(x_0) \geq \delta_1 > \delta$) and set $\Sigma_\delta = \partial\Omega'_\delta$.

Theorem 6. Assume $q > 1$ and $u \in C(\overline{\Omega} \setminus \{0\})$ is a positive solution to (10) in Ω . Then for any $y \in \partial\Omega$, the following dichotomy occurs

- (i) either there exist an open subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ containing y and a positive Radon measure λ_U on $\partial\Omega \cap U$ such that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Sigma_\delta \cap U} Z(x) u(x) d\omega_{\Omega'_\delta}^{x_0} = \int_{\partial\Omega \cap U} Z d\lambda_U \quad \forall Z \in C_0(U). \quad (19)$$

(ii) Or for any open subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ containing y , there holds

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Sigma_\delta \cap U} u(x) d\omega_{\Omega'_\delta}^{x_0} = \infty. \quad (20)$$

The set \mathcal{R}_u of y such that (i) holds is relatively open in $\partial\Omega$ and it carries a positive Radon measure μ_u such that (19) occurs with U replaced by \mathcal{R}_u and λ_U by μ_u ; its complement \mathcal{S}_u in $\partial\Omega$ has the property that (20) occurs for any open subset U such that $U \cap \mathcal{S}_u \neq \emptyset$.

Abridged proof of Theorem 1. Let $(\nu, \mu) \in \mathfrak{M}_{\phi_k}(\Omega) \times \mathfrak{M}(\partial\Omega)$. For $\lambda > 0$ we set

$$E_\lambda(\nu) = \{x \in \Omega : \mathbb{G}_k[|\nu|](x) > \lambda\}, \quad \mathcal{E}_\lambda(\nu) = \int_{E_\lambda(\nu)} \phi_k dx, \quad (21)$$

and

$$F_\lambda(\mu) = \{x \in \Omega : \mathbb{K}_k[|\mu|](x) > \lambda\}, \quad \mathcal{F}_\lambda(\mu) = \int_{E_\lambda(\nu)} dx, \quad (22)$$

and prove

$$\mathcal{E}_\lambda(\nu) + \mathcal{F}_\lambda(\mu) \leq c \left(\frac{\|\nu\|_{\mathfrak{M}_{\phi_k}(\Omega)} + \|\mu\|_{\mathfrak{M}(\partial\Omega)}}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{2N+\alpha_+}{2N+\alpha_+-4}}. \quad (23)$$

If g satisfies (5) and $\{(\nu_n, \mu_n)\}$ is a sequence of smooth functions that converges in the weak-star topology of measures to (ν, μ) , then the corresponding solutions $\{u_{\nu_n, \mu_n}\}$ to problem P_{ν_n, μ_n} defined in (4) converge to some u and $\{g \circ u_{\nu_n, \mu_n}\}$ converges to $g \circ u$ in $L^1_{\phi_k}$ by Vitali's convergence theorem. This implies $u = u_{\nu, \mu}$. Uniqueness holds by adapting Brezis estimates and using monotonicity. \square

Abridged proof of Theorem 2. Using estimate (15) and the harmonic lifting in Besov spaces introduced in [9, Sect. 3] we prove that for any $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(\partial\Omega)$ there holds

$$\frac{1}{c} \|\mu\|_{B^{-2+\frac{2+\alpha_+}{2q'}, q}}^q \leq \int_{\Omega} (\mathbb{K}_k[|\mu|])^q \phi_k dx \leq c \|\mu\|_{B^{-2+\frac{2+\alpha_+}{2q'}, q}}^q, \quad (24)$$

for some $c = c(\Omega, \kappa, q) > 0$. If the above quantity is finite, we can solve (8) with such a μ . If $\mu \in B^{-2+\frac{2+\alpha_+}{2q'}, q}(\partial\Omega) \cap \mathfrak{M}_+(\partial\Omega)$, it is absolutely continuous with respect to the capacity $C_{2-\frac{2+\alpha_+}{2q'}, q'}^{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}}$. Finally, if $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_+(\partial\Omega)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the capacity $C_{2-\frac{2+\alpha_+}{2q'}, q'}^{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}}$, there exists an increasing sequence $\{\mu_n\} \subset B^{-2+\frac{2+\alpha_+}{2q'}, q}(\partial\Omega) \cap \mathfrak{M}_+(\partial\Omega)$ that converges to μ . This implies that u_{μ_n} converges to u_μ in $L^q_{\phi_k}(\Omega)$.

Conversely, if $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_+(\partial\Omega)$ is such that there exists a solution u_μ to (8), we use a variant of the optimal lifting $R[\cdot]$ defined in [7, Sect. 1] to prove that for any $\eta \in C^2(\partial\Omega)$ such that $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ there holds

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \eta d\mu \leq c \int_{\Omega} u^q \zeta dx + c \left(\int_{\Omega} u^q \zeta dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \phi_k dx + \|\eta\|_{B^{2-\frac{2+\alpha_+}{2q'}, q'}}^{q'} \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}}. \quad (25)$$

Here $\zeta = \phi_k(R[\eta])^{q'}$ and $R : C^2(\partial\Omega) \mapsto C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ is a linear mapping that satisfies $0 \leq \eta \leq 1 \implies 0 \leq R[\eta] \leq 1$ and $R[\eta]|_{\partial\Omega} = \eta$. If $K \subset \partial\Omega$ is a compact set with zero $C_{2-\frac{2+\alpha_+}{2q'}, q}^{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}}$ -capacity, there exists a sequence $\{\eta_n\} \subset C^2(\partial\Omega)$ such that $0 \leq \eta_n \leq 1$, $\eta_n = 1$ on K and $\|\eta_n\|_{B^{2-\frac{2+\alpha_+}{2q'}, q'}}^{q'} \rightarrow 0$. This implies $\phi_k(R[\eta_n])^{q'} \rightarrow 0$ and finally $\mu(K) = 0$. \square

Abridged proof of Theorem 3. If $K \subset \partial\Omega$ is compact with $C_{2-\frac{2+\alpha_+}{2q'}, q}^{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}}(K) > 0$, its capacity measure μ_K belongs to $B^{-2+\frac{2+\alpha_+}{2q'}, q}(\partial\Omega) \cap \mathfrak{M}_+(\partial\Omega)$. Thus u_{μ_K} exists and K is not removable. Conversely, by using again optimal lifting, and test

functions of the form $\phi_K(R[1-\eta])^{2q'}$ where $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ and $\eta = 1$ in a neighborhood of K , we prove first that $u \in L_{\phi_K}^q(\Omega)$ and finally that $u = 0$. \square

Abridged proof of Theorems 4–5. Existence is obtained in minimizing the functional \mathcal{J}_κ defined over $L_{\rho_\kappa^{q+1}}^{q+1}(S_+^{N-1}) \cap H_0^1(S_+^{N-1}, \rho_\kappa^2 dS)$ by

$$\mathcal{J}_\kappa(w) := \int_{S_+^{N-1}} \left(|\nabla' w|^2 - (\ell_{N,q} - \mu_{\kappa,1})w^2 + \frac{2}{q+1} \rho_\kappa^{q-1} |w|^{q+1} \right) \rho_\kappa^2 dS. \quad (26)$$

A non-trivial minimizer w exists if $\ell_{N,q} > \mu_{\kappa,1}$ (defined by (18)), i.e. $1 < q < q_c$, and $\omega = \rho_\kappa w$ satisfies (17). Nonexistence is standard since $\mu_{\kappa,1} < \ell_{N,q}$ if and only if $1 < q < q_c$. For uniqueness, we assume that ω_j ($j = 1, 2$) are positive solutions to (17) and we set $w_j = \frac{\omega_j}{\rho_\kappa}$. Then

$$-\operatorname{div}'(\rho_\kappa^2 \nabla' w_j) + (\mu_{\kappa,1} - \ell_{N,q}) \rho_\kappa^2 w_j + \rho_\kappa^{q+1} w_j^q = 0 \quad \text{on } S_+^{N-1}.$$

Since $w_j \sim \rho_\kappa^{\frac{\alpha_+}{2}}$ and $|\nabla' w_j| \sim \rho_\kappa^{\frac{\alpha_+}{2}-1}$ near ∂S_+^{N-1} , we use Green's formula and get

$$\int_{S_+^{N-1}} \left(\left(\frac{\nabla' w_1}{w_1} - \frac{\nabla' w_2}{w_2} \right) \cdot \nabla' (w_1^2 - w_2^2) + \rho_\kappa^{q-1} (w_1^{q-1} - w_2^{q-1})(w_1^2 - w_2^2) \right) \rho_\kappa^2 dS = 0,$$

thus $w_1 = w_2$. For statement III we prove, with the method used in [11, Th 3.1], that any solution ω depends only on the azimuthal angle $\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, and then that the resulting ODE verified by ω admits only constant-sign solutions. For Theorem 5, we construct a barrier function as in [8, Appendix] and obtain:

$$u(x) \leq c|x|^{-\frac{2}{q-1} + \frac{\alpha_+}{2}} (d(x))^{\frac{\alpha_+}{2}} \quad \forall x \in \Omega. \quad (27)$$

With this estimate, we adapt the scaling method developed in [10, Sect. 3.3] to obtain the classification result. The details of this Note are presented in [5]. \square

References

- [1] C. Bandle, V. Moroz, W. Reichel, Boundary blow up type sub-solutions to semilinear elliptic equations with Hardy potential, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 2 (2008) 503–523.
- [2] H. Brezis, M. Marcus, Hardy's inequalities revisited, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa, Cl. Sci. 25 (1997) 217–237.
- [3] J. Davila, L. Dupaigne, Hardy-type inequalities, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 6 (2004) 335–365.
- [4] S. Filippas, L. Moschini, A. Tertikas, Sharp two-sided heat kernel estimates for critical Schrödinger operators on bounded domains, Commun. Math. Phys. 273 (2007) 237–281.
- [5] K. Gkikas, L. Véron, Boundary singularities of solutions of semilinear elliptic equations with critical Hardy potentials, arXiv:1410.1176v2, 2014.
- [6] M. Marcus, P.T. Nguyen, Moderate solutions of semilinear elliptic equations with Hardy potential, arXiv:1407.3572v1, 2014.
- [7] M. Marcus, L. Véron, Removable singularities and boundary trace, J. Math. Pures Appl. 80 (2001) 879–900.
- [8] M. Marcus, L. Véron, The boundary trace and generalized boundary value problem for semilinear elliptic equations with coercive absorption, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 56 (2003) 689–731.
- [9] M. Marcus, L. Véron, Boundary trace of positive solutions of supercritical semilinear elliptic equations in dihedral domains, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa, Cl. Sci. (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.2422/2036-2145.201310_011, in press.
- [10] Phuoc T. Nguyen, L. Véron, Boundary singularities of solutions to elliptic viscous Hamilton–Jacobi equations, J. Funct. Anal. 263 (2012) 1487–1538.
- [11] L. Véron, Geometric invariance of singular solutions of some nonlinear partial differential equations, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 38 (1989) 75–100.