EISEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris. Ser. I

www.sciencedirect.com



Probability theory

On the optimality of McLeish's conditions for the central limit theorem



Sur l'optimalité des conditions de McLeish pour le théorème limite central

Jérôme Dedecker

Laboratoire MAP5, CNRS UMR 8145, Université Paris-Descartes, Sorbonne Paris Cité, 45, rue des Saints-Pères, 75270 Paris cedex 06, France

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 19 November 2014 Accepted after revision 3 March 2015 Available online 1 April 2015

Presented by the Editorial Board

ABSTRACT

We construct a family of stationary ergodic sequences for which the central limit theorem (CLT) does not hold. These examples show that McLeish's conditions for the CLT are sharp in a precise sense.

© 2015 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

RÉSUMÉ

Nous construisons une famille de suites strictement stationnaires et ergodiques pour lesquelles le théorème limite central n'a pas lieu. Ces exemples montrent que les conditions de McLeish pour le théorème limite central sont optimales en un sens précis.

© 2015 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space, and let $T: \Omega \to \Omega$ be a bijective, bi-measurable transformation preserving the probability \mathbb{P} . We assume here that the couple (T, \mathbb{P}) is ergodic, meaning that any $A \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying T(A) = A has probability 0 or 1. Let \mathcal{F}_0 be a σ -algebra of \mathcal{A} satisfying $\mathcal{F}_0 \subseteq T^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_0)$. Let X_0 be an \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable, square integrable and centered random variable, and define the stationary sequence $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ by $X_i = X_0 \circ T^i$. Let then

$$S_n = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$$
, and for $t \in [0, 1]$, $W_n(t) = S_{[nt]} + (nt - [nt])X_{[nt]+1}$.

Note that W_n is a random variable in the space $(C([0,1]), \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ of continuous bounded functions equipped with the uniform metric.

The following weak invariance principle (WIP) is essentially due to McLeish [10], Theorem 2.5. The present form, which can be deduced from Hannan's criterion [5,6], has been stated in [2].

Theorem 1.1. Assume that there exists a sequence $(a_n)_{n\geq 0}$ of positive numbers such that

E-mail address: jerome.dedecker@parisdescartes.fr.

$$\sum_{n>0} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k \right)^{-1} < \infty \quad and \quad \sum_{n>0} a_n \| \mathbb{E}(X_n | \mathcal{F}_0) \|_2^2 < \infty.$$
 (1)

Then the series $\sigma^2 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Cov}(X_0, X_k)$ converges absolutely and $n^{-1}\mathbb{E}(S_n^2)$ converges to σ^2 . Moreover, the process $n^{-1/2}W_n$ converges in distribution in $(C([0, 1]), \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ to σW , where W is a standard Wiener process.

Remark 1. In [2], the ergodicity is not required, and Condition (1) is shown to be sufficient for the conditional WIP (which implies the stable convergence in the sense of Rényi [12]). In [10], the non-adapted case is also considered (i.e. X_0 is not supposed to be \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable). The non-adapted version of Theorem 1.1 is given in [3]. As quoted in [3], Condition (1) holds as soon as

$$\sum_{n>1} \frac{\|\mathbb{E}(X_n|\mathcal{F}_0)\|_2}{\sqrt{n}} < \infty. \tag{2}$$

Note that the CLT for $n^{-1/2}S_n$ under (1) was already quoted in Annexe A.2 of my PhD thesis [1] (as a consequence of Heyde's criterion [7]). At that time, my advisor Emmanuel Rio asked me whether the CLT could be true if $\sum_{n\geq 0} \|\mathbb{E}(X_n|\mathcal{F}_0)\|_2^2 < \infty$ and $n^{-1}\mathbb{E}(S_n^2) \to \sigma^2$. The answer is negative as I pointed out in Annexe A.3 of my PhD thesis (this is mentioned in Proposition 7 of [2] without proof).

Recently, the question was asked again by Christophe Cuny at the conference "Des martingales aux systèmes dynamiques" held in Marne-la-vallée (October 8–10, 2014). On this occasion, I showed him the counterexample of my PhD thesis, and he convinced me to write a note on the subject, and to give a more general statement. As we shall see in Corollary 2.4, the CLT is not true, even if $a_n = \ln(n \vee e)$ in the second term of (1). I would like to thank here Christophe Cuny for his suggestion.

To be complete, note that the condition $\sum_{n\geq 0} \|\mathbb{E}(X_n|\mathcal{F}_0)\|_2^2 < \infty$ is sufficient for the CLT when $X_n = f(Y_n)$ is a function of a normal Markov chain, and $\mathcal{F}_0 = \sigma(Y_i, i \leq 0)$: this can be deduced from [4], as indicated to me by the referee. I would like to thank the referee, who also indicated to me Lemma 2.1 below, and the references [8] and [13].

2. Main result and discussion

Let us start with a preliminary remark. For any sequence $(\psi_n)_{n\geq 0}$ of positive numbers such that $\sum_{n\geq 0} (\sum_{k=0}^n \psi_k)^{-1} = \infty$, one can find a sequence $(u_n)_{n\geq 0}$ of positive numbers such that

$$\sum_{n>0} u_n = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n>0} \psi_n \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} u_k^2 \right) < \infty. \tag{3}$$

To see this, note that the second condition in (3) writes also $\sum_{n>0} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \psi_k\right) u_n^2 < \infty$, and that the following lemma holds.

Lemma 2.1. Let $(v_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be a non-decreasing sequence of positive numbers. Then $\sum_{n\geq 0} v_n^{-1} = \infty$ if and only if there exists a sequence $(u_n)_{n\geq 0}$ of positive numbers such that $\sum_{n>0} u_n = \infty$ and $\sum_{n>0} v_n u_n^2 < \infty$.

Proof of Lemma 2.1. If such a $(u_n)_{n\geq 0}$ exists, then, writing $u_n=u_nv_n^{1/2}v_n^{-1/2}$ and applying Cauchy–Schwarz's inequality, we see that $\sum_{n\geq 0}v_n^{-1}=\infty$. For the other implication, assume that $\sum_{n\geq 0}v_n^{-1}=\infty$ and let $y_n=\sum_{k=0}^nv_k^{-1}\to\infty$ and $u_n=(y_nv_n)^{-1}$. Since $(y_n)_{n\geq 0}$ and $(v_n)_{n\geq 0}$ are non-decreasing, one can see that $u_n\geq (y_nv_{n+1})^{-1}\geq \int_{y_n}^{y_{n+1}}x^{-1}\mathrm{d}x$ and $v_{n+1}u_{n+1}^2\leq \int_{y_n}^{y_{n+1}}x^{-2}\mathrm{d}x$, and the results follow. \square

We are now in position to state the main result of this note.

Theorem 2.2. Let $(\psi_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that: $\psi_n \geq 1$ for any nonnegative integer n, and $\sum_{n\geq 0} (\sum_{k=0}^n \psi_k)^{-1} = \infty$. For any sequence $(u_n)_{n\geq 0}$ of positive numbers satisfying (3), there exists a stationary ergodic sequence $(X_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of square integrable and centered random variables, such that

$$\|\mathbb{E}(X_n|\mathcal{M}_0)\|_2^2 \le \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} u_k^2 \quad \text{for } \mathcal{M}_0 = \sigma(X_i, i \le 0), \text{ and } \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}(S_n^2) = 1,$$

$$\tag{4}$$

but the sequence $n^{-1/2}S_n$ does not converge in distribution.

Remark 2. Note that, by (3) and the first part of (4), $\sum_{n\geq 0} \psi_n \|\mathbb{E}(X_n|\mathcal{M}_0)\|_2^2 < \infty$. Hence, Theorem 2.2 shows that the conditions of Theorem 1.1 on the sequence $(a_n)_{n\geq 0}$ cannot be relaxed, if we assume moreover that $a_n\geq 1$ for any nonnegative integer n. Note that, by definition, $\mathcal{M}_0=\sigma(X_i,i\leq 0)$ is the smallest σ -algebra such that X_0 is \mathcal{M}_0 -measurable and $\mathcal{M}_0\subseteq T^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_0)$.

Remark 3. One can modify the statement of Theorem 2.2 as follows: there exists a stationary ergodic sequence $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of square integrable and centered random variables, such that the first condition of (4) holds, but the sequence $n^{-1/2}S_n$ is not stochastically bounded. It suffices to choose the sequence $\alpha_i = u_i(\mathbf{1}_{[0,1/2]} - \mathbf{1}_{[1/2,1]})$ in the construction of Section 3.

We now give some examples of weights satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 or Theorem 2.2.

Definition 2.3. For x > 0, let $\ell_1(x) = \ln(x \vee e)$, and for any integer $k \ge 2$, define ℓ_k by induction as follows: for x > 0, $\ell_k(x) = \ell_1 \circ \ell_{k-1}(x)$. For any positive integer k and any positive number a, define then

$$L_{1,a}(x) = (\ell_1(x))^a$$
 and for $k > 1$, $L_{k,a}(x) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \ell_i(x)\right) (\ell_k(x))^a$.

The following corollary is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 2.2.

Corollary 2.4. For any positive integer k, the following statements hold:

- 1. If for some $k \ge 1$ and a > 1, $\sum_{n \ge 0} L_{k,a}(n) \|\mathbb{E}(X_n | \mathcal{F}_0)\|_2^2 < \infty$, then Condition (1) is satisfied, and the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 holds
- 2. For any $k \ge 1$, there exists a stationary ergodic sequence $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of square integrable and centered random variables, such that $\sum_{n\ge 0} L_{k,1}(n) \|\mathbb{E}(X_n|\mathcal{M}_0)\|_2^2 < \infty$ for $\mathcal{M}_0 = \sigma(X_i, i \le 0)$ and $n^{-1}\mathbb{E}(S_n^2) \to 1$, but the sequence $n^{-1/2}S_n$ does not converge in distribution.

Let us mention that the counterexample given in Theorem 2.2 is different from the counterexample of Peligrad and Utev [11]. In Theorem 1.2 of their paper, they show that, for any sequence $c_n \to 0$, there exists a stationary ergodic sequence $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of square integrable and centered random variables (in their example $X_i = g(Y_i)$ where Y_i is a countable Markov chain and $\mathcal{F}_0 = \sigma(Y_i, i \leq 0)$) such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \frac{\|\mathbb{E}(S_n|\mathcal{F}_0)\|_2}{n^{3/2}} < \infty, \tag{5}$$

but $n^{-1/2}S_n$ is not stochastically bounded. This proves that the condition of Maxwell and Woodroofe [9] (Condition (5) with $c_n \equiv 1$) for the CLT and the WIP (see again [11] for the WIP) is sharp (note that (2) also implies Maxwell–Woodroofe's condition). The counterexample of Peligrad and Utev is different from ours because firstly we deal with the quantity $\|\mathbb{E}(X_n|\mathcal{F}_0)\|_2$ instead of $\|\mathbb{E}(S_n|\mathcal{F}_0)\|_2$, and secondly in our case $n^{-1}\mathbb{E}(S_n^2) \to 1$, which implies the stochastic boundedness of $n^{-1/2}S_n$.

In the paper [13], there is an example of a stationary ergodic sequence such that $\|\mathbb{E}(S_n|\mathcal{F}_0)\|_2 = o(\sqrt{n}/\ln(n))$ and the CLT fails, but again the variance does not grow linearly. In [8], there is an example for which $\|\mathbb{E}(S_n|\mathcal{F}_0)\|_2 = o(\sqrt{n/\ln(n)})$ and $n^{-1}\mathbb{E}(S_n^2) \to 1$ and the CLT fails.

In Remark 2 of [8], the authors ask the following question: does $\|\mathbb{E}(S_n|\mathcal{F}_0)\|_2 = o(\sqrt{n}/\ln(n))$ and $n^{-1}\mathbb{E}(S_n^2) \to 1$ imply the CLT? Thanks to Theorem 2.2, we are able to give a negative answer to this question: it suffices to take $\psi_n \equiv 1$ and $u_n = (nL_{2,1}(n))^{-1}$, which implies that $\|\mathbb{E}(X_n|\mathcal{F}_0)\|_2 = o(n^{-1/2}/\ln(n))$. Note that this also proves that condition (2) is sharp.

3. The counterexamples

For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\mathcal{F}_i = T^{-i}(\mathcal{F}_0)$, $\mathcal{F}_{-\infty} = \cap_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{F}_i$, and for $i, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $P_i(X_k) = \mathbb{E}(X_k | \mathcal{F}_i) - \mathbb{E}(X_k | \mathcal{F}_{i-1})$. Clearly, if $\mathbb{E}(X_0 | \mathcal{F}_{-\infty}) = 0$ almost surely, then $\mathbb{E}(X_k | \mathcal{F}_0) = \sum_{i \leq 0} P_i(X_k)$. The random variables $P_i(X_k)$, $P_j(X_k)$ being orthogonal if $i \neq j$, Pythagoras's theorem and the stationarity imply that

$$\|\mathbb{E}(X_n|\mathcal{F}_0)\|_2^2 = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \|P_0(X_i)\|_2^2.$$
(6)

The construction of the sequences $(X_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is based on the following lemmas (proofs in Section 4).

Lemma 3.1. Let $T_{p,n} = \sum_{i=p}^{p+n} P_p(X_i)$. If $\sum_{n\geq 0} \|\mathbb{E}(X_n|\mathcal{F}_0)\|_2^2 < \infty$, then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\|\mathbb{E}(S_n | \mathcal{F}_0)\|_2}{\sqrt{n}} = 0 \quad and \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left\| S_n - \sum_{p=1}^n T_{p,n} \right\|_2 = 0.$$
 (7)

Lemma 3.2. Let $(H, \|\cdot\|_H)$ be an infinite dimensional Hilbert space. Let $(u_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that $\sum_{n>0} u_n = \infty$ and $u_n \to 0$. There exists an increasing sequence of positive integers $(t_i)_{i\geq 0}$ such that: for any orthonormal family $(e_i)_{i\geq 0}^-$ in H, there exists a sequence $(h_i)_{i\geq 0}$ satisfying

$$h_{t_i} = e_i, \quad \|h_j - h_{j-1}\|_H^2 \le u_j^2 \text{ for any } j \ge 1,$$
 (8)

and for $t_i < j < t_{i+1}$, $h_i = b_i e_i + c_i e_{i+1}$ with $b_i^2 + c_i^2 = 1$.

Let us now construct the sequence $(X_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of Theorem 2.2. Let λ be the Lebesgue measure over [0,1], and let \mathcal{B} be the σ -algebra of Borel sets of [0,1]. We denote by $\mathcal X$ the probability space $\mathcal X=([0,1],\mathcal B,\lambda)$. Let $(\psi_n)_{n\geq 0}$ and $(u_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be two sequences as in Theorem 2.2. Let $(\alpha_i)_{i>0}$ be a sequence of functions in $H = \mathbb{L}^2(\mathcal{X})$ (to be chosen latter) such that

$$\lambda(\alpha_i) = 0, \quad \left\| \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i \right\|_2^2 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\alpha_i\|_2^2 \le u_i^2.$$
 (9)

We consider the space $\Omega = \mathcal{X}^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}}$ and the probability $\mathbb{P} = \lambda^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}}$. The transformation T is the shift on Ω defined by $(T(\omega))_i = \omega_{i+1}$. Clearly \mathbb{P} is invariant by T and the couple (T, \mathbb{P}) is ergodic.

Starting from the sequence $(\alpha_i)_{i\geq 0}$ and from the projections $\pi_i(\omega) = \omega_i$, we define the sequence $(A_i)_{i\geq 0}$ of functions of $\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{P})$ by: $A_i = \alpha_i \circ \pi_0$. The sequence $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is then defined by:

$$X_0 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j \circ T^{-j} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_j \circ \pi_{-j} \quad \text{and} \quad X_i = X_0 \circ T^i = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j \circ T^{i-j}.$$
 (10)

Note that these series are well defined in $\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{P})$ because $(A_j \circ T^{i-j})_{j \geq 0}$ is a sequence of independent random variables and

 $\sum_{j\geq 0}\|A_j\circ T^{i-j}\|_2^2=\sum_{j\geq 0}\|\alpha_j\|_2^2\leq \sum_{j\geq 0}u_j^2<\infty.$ Let $\mathcal{F}_i=\sigma(\pi_j,\,j\leq i)$. Clearly, X_0 is \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable and $\mathcal{F}_{-\infty}$ is \mathbb{P} -trivial by the 0-1 law. With the notations of the beginning of this section, we have $P_0(X_i) = A_i$ for any positive integer i. Hence, it follows from (6) and (9) that $\|\mathbb{E}(X_n|\mathcal{F}_0)\|_2^2 \leq \sum_{k \geq n} u_k^2$. Note that this is also true with the σ -algebra $\mathcal{M}_0 = \sigma(X_i, i \leq 0)$, and the first condition of (4) is satisfied. On the other hand $T_{p,n} = \sum_{i=p}^{p+n} P_p(X_i) = \sum_{i=0}^n A_i \circ T^p$. Hence, the sequence $(T_{p,n})_{1 \le p \le n}$ is i.i.d. and

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{p=1}^{n} T_{p,n} \right\|_{2}^{2} = \left\| T_{0,n} \right\|_{2}^{2} = \left\| \sum_{i=0}^{n} \alpha_{i} \right\|_{2}^{2} = 1, \tag{11}$$

where the last equality follows from (9). Applying Lemma 3.1, we infer that $\lim_{n\to\infty} n^{-1}\mathbb{E}(S_n^2) = 1$, and (4) is fully satisfied. It remains to choose α_i in such a way that $n^{-1/2}S_n$ does not converge in distribution. To do this, we use Lemma 3.2 with an appropriate orthonormal family $(e_i)_{i\geq 0}$ of $\mathbb{L}^2(\mathcal{X})$. Let first

$$f_n = \sqrt{2^n} (\mathbf{1}_{[0,2^{-n-1}[} - \mathbf{1}_{[2^{-n-1},2^{-n}[}) \text{ and } g_n = \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} (\mathbf{1}_{[2k/2^{n+1},(2k+1)/2^{n+1}[} - \mathbf{1}_{[(2k+1)/2^{n+1},(2k+2)/2^{n+1}[}).$$

Let $(t_i)_{i\geq 0}$ be the sequence of Lemma 3.2, and define $e_{2i}=f_{t_{2i}}$ and $e_{2i+1}=g_{t_{2i+1}}$. Now, we put $\alpha_0=h_0$ and for i>0, $\alpha_i=h_i-h_{i-1}$, where $(h_i)_{i\geq 0}$ is the sequence of Lemma 3.2. Applying Lemma 3.2, we see that $(\alpha_i)_{i\geq 0}$ satisfies (9). By

construction, $\sum_{i=0}^{t_{2n}} \alpha_i = f_{t_{2n}}$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{t_{2n+1}} \alpha_i = g_{t_{2n+1}}$. Let us check that the two sequences $t_{2n}^{-1/2} S_{t_{2n}}$ and $t_{2n+1}^{-1/2} S_{t_{2n+1}}$ converge in distribution to two distinct laws. By Lemma 3.1, it is equivalent to consider the two sequences $t_{2n}^{-1/2} \sum_{p=1}^{t_{2n}} T_{p,t_{2n}}$ and $t_{2n+1}^{-1/2} \sum_{p=1}^{t_{2n+1}} T_{p,t_{2n+1}}$. Now

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\exp\left(\frac{ix}{\sqrt{t_{2n}}}\sum_{p=1}^{t_{2n}}T_{p,t_{2n}}\right)\right) = \left(\lambda\left(\exp\left(\frac{ixf_{t_{2n}}}{\sqrt{t_{2n}}}\right)\right)\right)^{t_{2n}} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{t_{2n}}}\left(1 - \cos\left(x\sqrt{\frac{2^{t_{2n}}}{t_{2n}}}\right)\right)\right)^{t_{2n}}.$$

Consequently $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}(\exp(ixt_{2n}^{-1/2}S_{t_{2n}})) = 1$, proving that the sequence $t_{2n}^{-1/2}S_{t_{2n}}$ converges in distribution to the Dirac mass at 0. On the other hand, the random variables $(T_{i,t_{2n+1}})_{1\leq i\leq t_{2n+1}}$ are independent centered Rademacher random variables. ables, so that $t_{2n+1}^{-1/2}\sum_{p=1}^{t_{2n+1}}T_{p,t_{2n+1}}$ and $t_{2n+1}^{-1/2}S_{t_{2n+1}}$ converges in distribution to a standard normal. As a conclusion, the sequence $n^{-1/2}S_n$ does not converge in distribution.

4. Proofs of Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2

Proof of Lemma 3.1. We begin with the first part of (7). Since for any positive integer m, the sequence $n^{-1/2} \|\mathbb{E}(S_m | \mathcal{F}_0)\|_2$ converges to 0 as n tends to infinity, it suffices to prove that

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \sup_{n > m} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \| \mathbb{E}(S_n - S_m | \mathcal{F}_0) \|_2 = 0.$$
 (12)

Now $\|\mathbb{E}(S_n - S_m | \mathcal{F}_0)\|_2^2 = \sum_{i=m}^n \sum_{j=m}^n \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{E}(X_i | \mathcal{F}_0)\mathbb{E}(X_j | \mathcal{F}_0)\right) \le 2n \sum_{i=m}^n \|\mathbb{E}(X_i | \mathcal{F}_0)\|_2^2$, where the last bound holds because $\|\mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{E}(X_i | \mathcal{F}_0)\mathbb{E}(X_j | \mathcal{F}_0)\right)\|_2 \le \|\mathbb{E}(X_i | \mathcal{F}_0)\|_2 \le \|\mathbb{E}(X_i | \mathcal{F}_0)\|_2^2$ as soon as $j \ge i$. Hence, (12) follows easily from the fact that $\sum_{n\ge 0} \|\mathbb{E}(X_n | \mathcal{F}_0)\|_2^2 < \infty$.

We now prove the second part of (7). Let $S_{p,n} = \sum_{i=p}^{n} P_p(X_i)$. By orthogonality and stationarity, one successively derives

$$\frac{1}{n} \left\| S_n - \mathbb{E}(S_n | \mathcal{F}_0) - \sum_{p=1}^n T_{p,n} \right\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{n} \left\| \sum_{p=1}^n S_{p,n} - \sum_{p=1}^n T_{p,n} \right\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p=1}^n \left\| \sum_{i=n+1}^{p+n} P_p(X_i) \right\|_2^2 \\
= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p=1}^n \left\| \sum_{i=n+1-p}^n P_0(X_i) \right\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p=1}^n \left\| \sum_{i=p}^n P_0(X_i) \right\|_2^2. \tag{13}$$

Let $\beta_p = \sum_{i=p}^{\infty} i \|P_0(X_i)\|_2^2$ (which is finite because of (6) and the fact that $\sum_{n\geq 0} \|\mathbb{E}(X_n|\mathcal{F}_0)\|_2^2 < \infty$). Using Cauchy–Schwarz's inequality in ℓ^2 , we get

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{p=1}^{n}\left\|\sum_{i=p}^{n}P_{0}(X_{i})\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{n}\sum_{p=1}^{n}\beta_{p}\left(\sum_{i=p}^{n}\frac{1}{i}\right) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{1}{i}\sum_{p=1}^{i}\beta_{p}\right),$$

and the last term converges to zero as $n \to \infty$, by using Cesàro's lemma and the fact that $\beta_n \to 0$. Together with (13) and the first part of (7), this completes the proof of the second part of (7).

Proof of Lemma 3.2. Let $(u_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be as in Lemma 3.2 (without loss of generality, assume that $u_n < \pi/2$ for any positive integer n). Define then the increasing sequence $(t_i)_{i\geq 0}$ by induction:

$$t_0 = 0$$
, and t_{i+1} is the unique $n > t_i + 1$ such that $\sum_{k=t_i+1}^{n-1} u_k < \frac{\pi}{2} \le \sum_{k=t_i+1}^{n} u_k$.

The function h_i is then defined by $h_{t_i} = e_i$ and, for $t_i < j < t_{i+1}$,

$$h_j = \cos\left(\sum_{k=t_i+1}^j u_k\right) e_i + \sin\left(\sum_{k=t_i+1}^j u_k\right) e_{i+1}.$$

By construction $\langle h_j, h_{j-1} \rangle \ge \cos(u_j)$. Hence $||h_j - h_{j-1}||_H^2 \le 2(1 - \cos(u_j)) \le u_j^2$. \square

References

- [1] J. Dedecker, Principes d'invariance pour les champs aléatoires stationnaires, Thesis No. 5515, Université Paris-Sud, 1998.
- [2] J. Dedecker, F. Merlevède, Necessary and sufficient conditions for the conditional central limit theorem, Ann. Probab. 30 (2002) 1044–1081.
- [3] J. Dedecker, F. Merlevède, D. Volný, On the weak invariance principle for non-adapted sequences under projective criteria, J. Theor. Probab. 20 (2007) 971–1004.
- [4] M.I. Gordin, B.A. Lifšic, A remark about a Markov process with normal transition operator, in: Third Vilnius Conference on Probability and Statistics, vol. 1, 1981, pp. 147–148.
- [5] E.J. Hannan, Central limit theorems for time series regression, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheor. Verw. Geb. 26 (1973) 157-170.
- [6] E.J. Hannan, The central limit theorem for time series regression, Stoch. Process. Appl. 9 (1979) 281–289.
- [7] C.C. Heyde, On the central limit theorem for stationary processes, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheor. Verw. Geb. 30 (1974) 315–320.
- [8] J. Klicnarová, D. Volný, On the exactness of the Wu-Woodroofe approximation, Stoch. Process. Appl. 119 (2009) 2158-2165.
- [9] M. Maxwell, M. Woodroofe, Central limit theorem for additive functionals of Markov chains, Ann. Probab. 28 (2000) 713-724.
- [10] D.L. McLeish, Invariance principles for dependent variables, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheor. Verw. Geb. 32 (1975) 165–178.
- [11] M. Peligrad, S. Utev, A new maximal inequality and invariance principle for stationary sequences, Ann. Probab. 33 (2005) 798-815.
- [12] A. Rényi, On stable sequences of events, Sankhya, Ser. A 25 (1963) 189-206.
- [13] D. Volný, Martingale approximation and optimality of some conditions for the central limit theorem, J. Theor. Probab. 23 (2010) 888-903.