

## ERRATUM OF “THE SQUARES OF THE LAPLACIAN-DIRICHLET EIGENFUNCTIONS ARE GENERICALLY LINEARLY INDEPENDENT”

YANNICK PRIVAT<sup>1</sup> AND MARIO SIGALOTTI<sup>1,2</sup>

Received September 2nd, 2009.  
Published online December 4, 2009.

[ESAIM: COCV 16 \(2009\) 794–805](#)

Firstly, we would like to clarify that domains are, by definition, connected. This is not precisely stated in the definition of  $\Sigma_m$  in Section 2.1.

Secondly, in the proof of Theorem 2.4 we claim that “each  $\Lambda_k(t)$  converges, as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ , to an eigenvalue of the Laplacian-Dirichlet operator on  $\hat{\Omega}$ ”. In general, this is not true.

The result stated in Theorem 2.4, however, is true and can actually be strengthened as follows.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $(\mathcal{P}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(\mathcal{R}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be as in the statement of Theorem 2.3. Then, for every  $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{+\infty\}$ , a generic  $\Omega \in \Sigma_m$  satisfies  $\mathcal{P}_n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

A proof of this result can be based on the following strengthened version of Proposition 2.2.

**Proposition 2.2** (Teytel). *Let  $m > 2$  and  $\Omega_0, \Omega_1$  be two domains in  $\Sigma_m$  that are  $C^m$ -differentiably isotopic. Then there exists an analytic curve  $[0, 1] \ni t \mapsto Q_t$  of  $C^m$ -diffeomorphisms such that  $Q_0$  is equal to the identity,  $Q_1(\Omega_0) = \Omega_1$  and the Laplacian-Dirichlet operator has simple spectrum on every domain  $\Omega_t = Q_t(\Omega_0)$  for  $t$  in the open interval  $(0, 1)$ .*

Teytel proves Proposition 2.2 in the case where  $\Omega_0$  and  $\Omega_1$  are  $C^m$ -differentiably isotopic to the unit  $d$ -dimensional ball. His argument applies also, without modifications, to pairs of domains belonging to the same isotopy class.

The proof of Theorem 2.4, in its new formulation, works by replacing:

- “Fix  $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{+\infty\}$ . [...] We are left to prove that  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_j$  is dense in  $\Sigma_m$ .” with “Fix  $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{+\infty\}$ .”

Thanks to Theorem 2.3, a generic  $\hat{\Omega} \in D_m$  satisfies  $\mathcal{P}_n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Fix one such  $\hat{\Omega}$  and notice that, in particular, the spectrum  $(\lambda_n^{\hat{\Omega}})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is simple.

Define, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the set

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}}_n = \{\Omega \in \Sigma_m \mid \Omega \text{ satisfies } \mathcal{P}_n\}.$$

The openness of  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_n$  in  $\Sigma_m$  can be proved following exactly the same argument used in the proof of Theorem 2.3 to show that each  $A_n$  is open in  $D_m$ . We are left to prove that  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_n$  is dense in  $\Sigma_m$ . Without loss of generality  $m > 2$ .”

<sup>1</sup> Institut Élie Cartan de Nancy, UMR 7502 Nancy-Université – INRIA – CNRS, B.P. 239, 54506 Vandœuvre-lès-Nancy Cedex, France.

<sup>2</sup> INRIA Nancy – Grand Est, France. [Mario.sigalotti@inria.fr](mailto:Mario.sigalotti@inria.fr)

- “Moreover,  $t \mapsto \Omega_t$  is an analytic path in  $\Sigma_m$ . [...] Since, for  $t$  small enough,  $\Lambda_k(t) = \lambda_{j_k}^{\Omega_t}$ , we deduce that  $\Omega$  can be approximated arbitrarily well in  $\Sigma_m$  by an element of  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_j$ .” with “Moreover, each  $\Omega_t$  is isotopic to  $\Omega$ . It follows from Proposition 2.1 that we can fix  $t$  large enough in such a way that  $\Omega_t$  verifies  $\mathcal{P}_n$ . Proposition 2.2 implies that there exists an analytic path of domains  $s \mapsto \tilde{\Omega}_s$  such that  $\tilde{\Omega}_0 = \Omega$ ,  $\tilde{\Omega}_1 = \Omega_t$  and the spectrum of the Laplacian-Dirichlet operator on  $\tilde{\Omega}_s$  is simple for every  $s \in (0, 1)$ .”

Hence, as in the proof of Theorem 2.3, we can deduce that  $\tilde{\Omega}_s$  satisfies  $\mathcal{P}_n$  for all but finitely many  $s \in [0, 1]$ . In particular,  $\Omega$  is in the closure of  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_n$ .”