ESAIM: COCV 19 (2013) 1209–1224 DOI: 10.1051/cocv/2013048

ON THE COST OF NULL-CONTROL OF AN ARTIFICIAL ADVECTION-DIFFUSION PROBLEM

Pierre Cornilleau¹ and Sergio $\operatorname{Guerrero}^2$

Abstract. In this paper we study the null-controllability of an artificial advection-diffusion system in dimension n. Using a spectral method, we prove that the control cost goes to zero exponentially when the viscosity vanishes and the control time is large enough. On the other hand, we prove that the control cost tends to infinity exponentially when the viscosity vanishes and the control time is small enough.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 35K57, 93B05.

Received June 22, 2012. Published online 27 August 2013.

1. INTRODUCTION

The following paper continues [2] and deals with an advection-diffusion problem with small viscosity truncated in one space direction. This problem was first considered in [11], where the Cauchy problem has been studied when the viscosity tends to zero.

1.1. Artificial advection-diffusion problem

In this paper, we consider an advection-diffusion system in a strip $\Omega := \{(x', x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times (-L, 0)\}$ $(n \ge 1$ and L a positive constant) with particular artificial boundary conditions on both sides of the domain. As indicated above, this system was considered in [11] (see Sect. 6 in that reference):

$$\begin{cases} u_t + \partial_{x_n} u - \varepsilon \Delta u = 0 & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ \varepsilon (u_t + \partial_{\nu} u) = 0 & \text{on } (0, T) \times \Gamma_0, \\ \varepsilon (u_t + \partial_{\nu} u) + u = 0 & \text{on } (0, T) \times \Gamma_1, \\ u(0, .) = u_0 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where T > 0, $\Gamma_0 := \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{0\}$, $\Gamma_1 := \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{-L\}$ and we have denoted ∂_{x_n} the partial derivative with respect to x_n and ∂_{ν} the normal derivative.

Keywords and phrases. Vanishing viscosity, controllability, heat equation, Carleman.

¹ Teacher at Lycée du parc des Loges, 1, boulevard des Champs-Élysées, 91012 Évry, France. pierre.cornilleau@ens-lyon.org

² Laboratoire Jacques-Louis Lions, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, 75252 Paris Cédex 05, France. guerrero@ann.jussieu.fr

We are here interested in the uniform boundary controllability of (1.1):

$$(S_v) \begin{cases} u_t + \partial_{x_n} u - \varepsilon \Delta u = 0 & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ \varepsilon (u_t + \partial_{\nu} u) + u \mathbf{1}_{\Gamma_1} = v \mathbf{1}_{\Gamma_0} & \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial \Omega, \\ u(0, .) = u_0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

We recall that, if X is defined as the closure of $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega})$ for the norm

$$||u||_X := \left(||u||^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \varepsilon ||u||^2_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

the system (S_v) is well-posed in this space (see Sect. 1 in [2]).

In this present paper, we study the so-called null controllability of this system on Γ_0

for given $u_0 \in X$, find $v \in L^2((0,T), \Gamma_0)$ such that the solution of (S_v) satisfies $u(T) \equiv 0$.

Furthermore, we will be interested in the continuous dependence of these controls on the initial data, that is to say, the existence of C > 0 such that

$$\|v\|_{L^2((0,T),\Gamma_0)} \le C \|u_0\|_X, \quad \forall u_0 \in X.$$
(1.2)

We will denote by $C(\varepsilon)$ the cost of the null-control, which is the smallest constant C fulfilling estimate (1.2). We remark that $C(\varepsilon)$ equals $+\infty$ when the null controllability does not hold.

We have proved in [2] that (S_v) is null-controllable in dimension n = 1 for any $T, \varepsilon > 0$. However, the argument of Miller [12] cannot be directly applied in this situation (for more details see the appendix in [2]).

In the present paper, we first obtain a precise upper bound on the null-control cost using a spectral approach combined with a Carleman estimate in dimension one. In a second part, we use a more classical method to prove that for T small enough, the cost $C(\varepsilon)$ exponentially tends to infinity when $\varepsilon \to 0$.

In the context of degeneration of a parabolic-to-hyperbolic type systems, similar results have been obtained by many authors in dimension one (see, for instance, [1, 7] (one dimensional heat equation) and [8] (linear Korteweg de Vries equation)) but also in dimension n (see [10]). However, our results seem to be new in the context of a system which lacks of regularizing effect. A reasonable conjecture seems to be that the system is not null controllable for small $T, \varepsilon > 0$.

1.2. Main results

Our main results are the following:

Theorem 1.1. If T/L is large enough, the cost of the null-control $C(\varepsilon)$ tends to zero exponentially as $\varepsilon \to 0$:

$$\exists C, k > 0 \text{ such that } C(\varepsilon) \le C e^{-k/\varepsilon} \quad \forall \varepsilon \in (0, 1).$$

Remark 1.2.

- One can in fact obtain the same controllability result when the control acts on Γ_1 (see also Rem. 2.6).
- The fact that the control cost tends to zero tells intuitively that the state almost vanishes for T/L big enough. This is to be connected with the fact that, for $\varepsilon = 0$, the system is purely advective and then that, for T > L, its state vanishes.

Theorem 1.3. If T < L, the cost of the null-control $C(\varepsilon)$ exponentially tends to infinity when $\varepsilon \to 0$:

$$\forall T < L, \ \exists \varepsilon_0 > 0, \ \exists C, k > 0 \ such \ that \ \forall \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0), \ C(\varepsilon) \ge C e^{k/\varepsilon}.$$

Remark 1.4. This result is analogous to other results already obtained in the context of vanishing viscosity (see for instance [1] Thms. 2, [10] Thm. 1). Observe that in these papers, the null controllability for small ε and T was known while in the present situation this question is open.

As usual in the context of linear controllability problems, we introduce the following adjoint system:

$(S') \begin{cases} \varphi_t + \partial_{x_n} \varphi + \varepsilon \Delta \varphi = 0\\ \varepsilon (\varphi_t - \partial_\nu \varphi) - \varphi = 0\\ \varphi_t - \partial_\nu \varphi = 0 \end{cases}$	in	$(0,T) \times \Omega,$
$(\varsigma') \int \varepsilon(\varphi_t - \partial_\nu \varphi) - \varphi = 0$	on	$(0,T) \times \Gamma_0,$
$ \begin{cases} (S^{-}) \\ \phi_t - \partial_\nu \varphi = 0 \end{cases} $	on	$(0,T) \times \Gamma_1,$
$ \qquad \qquad$	in	Ω ,

where $\varphi_T \in X$. It is classical to prove that the controllability of system (S_v) and the observability of system (S') are equivalent (see, for instance, [4]):

Proposition 1.5. The following properties are equivalent:

- $\exists C_1 > 0, \forall \varphi_T \in X; \|\varphi(0,.)\|_X \leq C_1 \|\varphi\|_{L^2((0,T),\Gamma_0)}$ where φ is the solution of problem (S'),
- $\exists C_2 > 0, \forall u_0 \in X, \exists v \in L^2((0,T), \Gamma_0) \text{ such that } \|v\|_{L^2((0,T),\Gamma_0)} \leq C_2 \|u_0\|_X \text{ and the solution } u \text{ of } (S_v) \text{ satisfies } u(T) = 0.$

Moreover, $C_1 = C_2$.

The rest of the article is organized as follows: in the second section, we introduce a one-dimensional problem with parameter and study its well-posedness and its observability. For the latter, we show a Carleman inequality for the associated adjoint system (see Prop. 2.5 below). We consequently deduce Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 in Section 3. In the appendix, we furthermore give a proof of Proposition 2.5.

Moreover, we note that the substitution $(t, x_n) \to (Lt, Lx_n)$ allows us to assume that L = 1. This hypothesis will be imposed until the end of the paper.

Notations.

 $A \leq B$ means that, for some universal constant c > 0, $A \leq cB$. $A \sim B$ means that, for some universal constant c > 1, $c^{-1}B \leq A \leq cB$.

2. A ONE-DIMENSIONAL PROBLEM WITH PARAMETER

In all this section, we assume that n = 1. We also denote X^1 the space X. We shall prove the following null-controllability result:

Proposition 2.1. If T is sufficiently large, there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that, for any $a \ge 0$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, the system

($u_t + u_x - \varepsilon u_{xx} + au = 0$	in	$(0,T) \times (-1,0),$
(S^a)	$\varepsilon(u_t + \partial_\nu u) = v$	on	$(0,T) \times \{0\},\$
(S_v^a)	$\varepsilon(u_t + \partial_\nu u) + u = 0$	on	$(0,T) \times \{-1\},$
l	$u(0,.) = u_0$	in	(-1,0),

is null-controllable. That is to say, for any $u_0 \in X^1$ there exists $v \in L^2(0,T)$ such that the solution u of (S_v^a) satisfies

 $u(T) \equiv 0 \text{ and } \|v\|_{L^2(0,T)} \leq C(\varepsilon, a) \|u_0\|_{X^1}.$

Moreover, the cost $C(\varepsilon, a)$ is bounded by

$$C \exp\left(-\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)$$

where C and k are some positive constants independent from a and ε .

2.1. Cauchy problem and duality

First, we briefly show that problem (S_v^a) is well-posed. Indeed, we consider the bilinear form defined by

$$\forall u_1, u_2 \in H^1(-1, 0), \quad b(u_1, u_2) = \varepsilon \int_{-1}^0 \partial_x u_1 \cdot \partial_x u_2 + \int_{-1}^0 u_2 \partial_x u_1 + u_1(-1)u_2(-1).$$

With the help of this bilinear form, one may now consider the space

$$\mathcal{D} := \left\{ u_1 \in X^1; \sup_{u_2 \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}([-1,0]); \ ||u_2||_{X^1} \le 1} |b(u_1, u_2)| < +\infty \right\}$$

equipped with the natural norm

$$||u_1||_{\mathcal{D}} = ||u_1||_{X^1} + \sup_{\substack{u_2 \in \mathcal{C}^\infty([-1,0]); \ ||u_2||_{X^1} \le 1}} |b(u_1,u_2)|.$$

Note that, using an integration by parts, one shows that $b(u_1, u_2)$ is well-defined for $u_1 \in X^1$ and $u_2 \in C^{\infty}([-1,0])$ and that the map

$$u_2 \in X \mapsto b(u_1, u_2) \in \mathbb{R}$$

is well-defined and continuous for any $u_1 \in \mathcal{D}$. Using the Riesz representation theorem, we can define a maximal monotone operator \mathcal{A} with domain $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{D}$ and such that

$$\forall u_1 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}), \ \forall u_2 \in X^1, \quad \langle -\mathcal{A}u_1, u_2 \rangle_{X^1} = b(u_1, u_2).$$

The Riesz representation theorem also provides the existence of a dissipative bounded operator \mathcal{B} on X^1 such that

$$\forall u_1, u_2 \in X^1, < \mathcal{B}(u_1), u_2 >_{X^1} = -\int_{-1}^0 u_1 u_2.$$

Using Rellich theorem, one easily sees that \mathcal{B} is \mathcal{A} -compact (according to Def. 2.15 of [5], Chapter III) *i.e.* that

$$\mathcal{B}: \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) \to X^1$$
 is compact

and, using Corollary 2.17 of [5], Chapter III, we get that the operator $\mathcal{A} + a\mathcal{B}$ generates a contraction semi-group on X^1 for any $a \ge 0$. Since (S_0^a) can be written in the following abstract way

$$\begin{cases} u_t = (\mathcal{A} + a\mathcal{B})u, \\ u(0, .) = u_0, \end{cases}$$

we have shown that the homogeneous problem (S_0^a) possesses, for any $u_0 \in X^1$, a unique solution $u \in \mathcal{C}([0,T], X^1)$. We will call these solutions weak solutions opposed to strong solutions *i.e.* such that $u_0 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$ and which fulfill $u \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})) \cap \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^+, X^1)$.

We now conclude as in Proposition 5 of [2] to the existence and uniqueness of solution to the nonhomogeneous problem (S_n^a) . More precisely, one has the following:

Definition - Proposition 2.2.

• For $f \in L^2((0,T) \times (-1,0))$, $g_0 \in L^2((0,T))$ and $g_1 \in L^2((0,T))$, we put

$$(S^{a}_{f,g_{0},g_{1}}) \begin{cases} u_{t} + u_{x} - \varepsilon u_{xx} + au = f & in & (0,T) \times (-1,0), \\ \varepsilon (u_{t} + \partial_{\nu} u) = g_{0} & on & (0,T) \times \{0\}, \\ \varepsilon (u_{t} + \partial_{\nu} u) + u = g_{1} & on & (0,T) \times \{-1\}, \\ u(0,.) = u_{0} & in & (-1,0), \end{cases}$$

and we say that $u \in \mathcal{C}([0,T], X^1)$ is a solution of (S^a_{f,g_0,g_1}) if, for every function $\psi \in \mathcal{C}([0,T], \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^*)) \cap \mathcal{C}^1([0,T], X^1)$, the following identity holds:

$$\int_0^\tau \left(\langle u, \psi_t \rangle_{X^1} + \langle u, (\mathcal{A} + a\mathcal{B})^* \psi \rangle_{X^1} + \langle F, \psi \rangle_{X^1} \right) = \left[\langle u(t), \psi(t) \rangle_{X^1} \right]_{t=0}^{t=\tau} \quad \forall \tau \in [0, T],$$

where we have defined, using the Riesz representation theorem, $F(t) \in X^1$ such that

$$\langle F(t), \phi \rangle_{X^1} = \int_{-1}^0 f(t)\phi + \int_{\{-1,0\}} g(t)\phi, \quad \forall \phi \in X^1.$$

and g is a function on $(0,T) \times \{-1,0\}$ such that $g = g_0$ on $(0,T) \times \{0\}$, $g = g_1$ on $(0,T) \times \{-1\}$.

• Let T > 0, $u_0 \in X$, $f \in L^2((0,T) \times (-1,0))$, $g_0 \in L^2((0,T))$ and $g_1 \in L^2((0,T))$. Then (S^a_{f,g_0,g_1}) possesses a unique solution u.

Proof. This proof being very similar to the one of Proposition 5 of [2], we think that a sketch will suffice.

First, if u belongs to $u \in \mathcal{C}([0,T], \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})) \cap \mathcal{C}^1([0,T], X^1)$ then, using Duhamel formula and the density of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^*)$ in X^1 , one obtains that u is a solution of (S^a_{f,q_0,q_1}) if and only if

$$u(t) = e^{t(\mathcal{A} + a\mathcal{B})} u_0 + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)(\mathcal{A} + a\mathcal{B})} F(s) ds, \quad \forall t \in [0, T]$$

The general case now follow by a standard approximation argument.

In order to study the null-controllability of system (S_v^a) , we shall focus on its adjoint problem, namely:

$$(S'^a) \begin{cases} \varphi_t + \varphi_x + \varepsilon \varphi_{xx} - a\varphi = 0 & \text{in} & (0,T) \times (-1,0), \\ \varepsilon (\varphi_t - \partial_\nu \varphi) - \varphi = 0 & \text{on} & (0,T) \times \{0\}, \\ \varphi_t - \partial_\nu \varphi = 0 & \text{on} & (0,T) \times \{-1\}, \\ \varphi(T,.) = \varphi_T & \text{in} & (-1,0). \end{cases}$$

An analogous semigroup method as presented above show that the adjoint problem (S'^a) possesses, for any $\varphi_T \in X^1$, a unique solution $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}([0,T], X^1)$ such that

$$\forall t \in [0, T], \ \|\varphi(t)\|_{X^1} \le \|\varphi_T\|_{X^1}.$$
(2.1)

Remark 2.3. This estimate also holds for solutions to system (S'). Indeed, the associated operator generates a contraction semigroup on X (see [2], Sect. 1.1).

In the following proposition, we also recall without proof the classical equivalence between observability and controllability.

Proposition 2.4. The following properties are equivalent:

- $\exists C_1 > 0, \forall \varphi_T \in X^1; \|\varphi(0)\|_{X^1} \leq C_1 \|\varphi(.,0)\|_{L^2(0,T)}$ where φ is the solution of problem (S'^a) ,
- $\exists C_2 > 0, \forall u_0 \in X^1, \exists v \in L^2(0,T) \text{ such that } \|v\|_{L^2(0,T)} \leq C_2 \|u_0\|_{X^1} \text{ and the solution } u \text{ of problem } (S_v^a) \text{ satisfies } u(T) = 0.$

Moreover, $C_1 = C_2$.

1213

2.2. Proof of Proposition 2.1

2.2.1. Carleman inequality

In this paragraph, we state a Carleman-type inequality keeping track of the explicit dependence of all the constants with respect to a, ε and T. As in [6], we introduce the following weight functions:

$$\forall x \in [-1,0], \quad \eta(x) := 2+x, \quad \alpha(t,x) := \frac{e^3 - e^{\eta(x)}}{t(T-t)}, \quad \phi(t,x) := \frac{e^{\eta(x)}}{t(T-t)}.$$

One may show the following Carleman inequality.

Proposition 2.5. There exists C > 0 and $s_0 > 0$ such that for every $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ and every $s \ge s_0(\varepsilon^{-1}(T+T^2) + a^{1/2}\varepsilon^{-1/2}T^2)$ the following inequality is satisfied for every $\varphi_T \in X$:

$$s^{3} \int_{(0,T)\times(-1,0)} \phi^{3} e^{-2s\alpha} |\varphi|^{2} + s^{3} \int_{(0,T)\times\{0,-1\}} \phi^{3} e^{-2s\alpha} |\varphi|^{2} \leqslant Cs^{7} \int_{(0,T)\times\{0\}} e^{-4s\alpha+2s\alpha(.,-1)} \phi^{7} |\varphi|^{2}.$$
(2.2)

Here, φ stands for the solution of (S'^a) associated to φ_T .

This Carleman estimate is quite similar to the one obtained in [2], Theorem 9. We have thus postponed its proof to Appendix A.

Remark 2.6. One can in fact obtain the following Carleman estimate with control term in Γ_1 :

$$s^{3} \int_{(0,T)\times(-1,0)} \phi^{3} e^{-2s\alpha} |\varphi|^{2} + s^{3} \int_{(0,T)\times\{0,-1\}} \phi^{3} e^{-2s\alpha} |\varphi|^{2} \leq Cs^{7} \int_{(0,T)\times\{-1\}} e^{-4s\alpha+2s\alpha(.,0)} \phi^{7} |\varphi|^{2},$$
(2.3)

simply by choosing the weight function $\eta(x)$ equal to $x \mapsto -x + 1$ - the proof being very similar. This inequality is the first ingredient to prove the first point stated in Remark 1.2.

2.2.2. Dissipation result

In this paragraph, we show a dissipation result for the solutions of (S'^a) . We will distinguish two cases depending on the size of a.

• Case $a \leq \varepsilon^{-1}$.

Inspired by [3], we introduce a weight function $\theta(x) = \exp(\frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon}x)$ for some constant $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ which will be fixed below.

We multiply the first equation in (S'^a) by $\theta\varphi$ and we integrate on (-1, 0). This gives:

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(\int_{-1}^{0}\theta|\varphi|^{2}\right) = \underbrace{-\int_{-1}^{0}\theta\varphi\varphi_{x} - \varepsilon\int_{-1}^{0}\theta\varphi\varphi_{xx}}_{A} + a\int_{-1}^{0}\theta|\varphi|^{2}.$$

Using now $\theta' = \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \theta$ and integrating by parts several times, we obtain

$$A = \frac{\lambda}{2\varepsilon} (1-\lambda) \int_{-1}^{0} \theta |\varphi|^{2} + \varepsilon \int_{-1}^{0} \theta |\varphi_{x}|^{2} + \frac{1-\lambda}{2} \left(-\theta(0)|\varphi(\cdot,0)|^{2} + \theta(-1)|\varphi(\cdot,-1)|^{2}\right) \\ -\varepsilon \left(\theta(0)\varphi(\cdot,0)\varphi_{x}(\cdot,0) - \theta(-1)\varphi(\cdot,-1)\varphi_{x}(\cdot,-1)\right).$$

Using now the boundary conditions for φ (see (S'^a)) and the fact that $a \ge 0$, we get

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(\int_{-1}^{0} \theta |\varphi|^{2} \right) + 2\varepsilon \int_{\{-1,0\}} \theta \varphi_{t} \varphi \geq \frac{\lambda(1-\lambda)}{\varepsilon} \int_{-1}^{0} \theta |\varphi|^{2} + (1-\lambda) \int_{\{-1,0\}} \theta |\varphi|^{2}.$$

Since $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, we readily deduce

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(\|\sqrt{\theta}\varphi(t)\|_{X^1}^2 \right) \ge \frac{\lambda(1-\lambda)}{\varepsilon} \|\sqrt{\theta}\varphi(t)\|_{X^1}^2.$$

Gronwall's lemma combined with $\exp(-\frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon}) \leq \theta \leq 1$ successively gives, for $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq T$,

$$\|\sqrt{\theta}\varphi(t_1)\|_{X^1}^2 \leqslant \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda(1-\lambda)}{\varepsilon}(t_2-t_1)\right) \|\sqrt{\theta}\varphi(t_2)\|_{X^1}^2$$

and

$$\|\varphi(t_1)\|_{X^1}^2 \leqslant \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(\lambda(1-\lambda)(t_2-t_1)-\lambda\right)\right)\|\varphi(t_2)\|_{X^1}^2$$

For $t_2 - t_1 > 1$, we finally choose

$$\lambda:=\frac{t_2-t_1-1}{2(t_2-t_1)}\in (0,1),$$

which gives

$$\|\varphi(t_1)\|_{X^1} \leq \exp\left\{-\frac{(t_2 - t_1 - 1)^2}{4\varepsilon(t_2 - t_1)}\right\} \|\varphi(t_2)\|_{X^1}$$

if $t_2 - t_1 > 1$.

• Case $a \ge \varepsilon^{-1}$.

We multiply the equation satisfied by φ by φ and we integrate on (-1, 0). We get the following identity, after an integration by parts in space:

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(\int_{-1}^{0} |\varphi|^2 \right) &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{0} \partial_x (|\varphi|^2) - \varepsilon \int_{-1}^{0} \varphi_{xx} \varphi + a \int_{-1}^{0} |\varphi|^2. \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} (|\varphi(\cdot, 0)|^2 - |\varphi(\cdot, -1)|^2) - \varepsilon \varphi_x(\cdot, 0) \varphi(\cdot, 0) + \varepsilon \varphi_x(\cdot, -1) \varphi(\cdot, -1) \\ &+ \varepsilon \int_{-1}^{0} |\varphi_x|^2 + a \int_{-1}^{0} |\varphi|^2. \end{split}$$

Using now the boundary conditions, we easily deduce

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \|\varphi(\cdot)\|_{X^1}^2 = |\varphi(\cdot,0)|^2 + |\varphi(\cdot,-1)|^2 + 2\varepsilon \int_{-1}^0 |\varphi_x|^2 + 2a \int_{-1}^0 |\varphi|^2.$$

On the other hand, a standard trace result gives, for some constant $c \in [0, 1]$ (see for instance [9], Thm. 1.5.10)

$$ca^{1/2}\varepsilon^{1/2}(|\varphi(\cdot,0)|^2 + |\varphi(\cdot,-1)|^2) \le \varepsilon \int_{-1}^0 |\varphi_x|^2 + a \int_{-1}^0 |\varphi|^2$$

and, consequently, we get, using that $a \ge \varepsilon^{-1}$,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(\|\varphi(\cdot)\|_{X^1}^2 \right) \ge c a^{1/2} \varepsilon^{-1/2} \|\varphi(\cdot)\|_{X^1}^2.$$

Gronwall's lemma finally gives, for any $0 \le t_1 \le t_2 \le T$,

$$\|\varphi(t_1)\|_{X^1}^2 \leqslant \exp\left(-ca^{1/2}\varepsilon^{-1/2}(t_2-t_1)\right)\|\varphi(t_2)\|_{X^1}^2$$

Summing up, we have shown the following dissipation result:

Lemma 2.7. There exists $c_0 > 0$ such that, for any $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$, $a \ge 0$, $t_2 - t_1 > 1$ and any solution φ of (S'^a) ,

$$\|\varphi(t_1)\|_{X^1}^2 \leqslant \exp\left(-c_0 \max\{a^{1/2}, \varepsilon^{-1/2}\}\varepsilon^{-1/2}\frac{(t_2 - t_1 - 1)^2}{t_2 - t_1}\right)\|\varphi(t_2)\|_{X^1}^2.$$
(2.4)

2.2.3. Observability result

We estimate both sides of the Carleman inequality obtained in Proposition 2.5. Putting $m = e^3 - e^2$ and $M = e^3 - e$, we first have

$$s^{7} \int_{(0,T)\times\{0\}} e^{-4s\alpha + 2s\alpha(.,-1)} \phi^{7} |\varphi|^{2} \lesssim s^{7} T^{-14} \exp\left(\frac{s(8M - 16m)}{T^{2}}\right) \int_{(0,T)\times\{0\}} |\varphi|^{2}$$

On the other hand, using that $\phi \gtrsim \frac{1}{T^2}$ on $[\frac{T}{4}, \frac{3T}{4}]$, we have the following estimate from below for the left hand-side of the Carleman inequality (2.2)

$$\frac{s^3}{T^6} \exp\left(-\frac{32sM}{T^2}\right) \left(\int_{\frac{T}{4}}^{\frac{3T}{4}} \int_{-1}^{0} |\varphi|^2 + \int_{\frac{T}{4}}^{\frac{3T}{4}} \int_{\{-1,0\}} |\varphi|^2\right).$$

Consequently we get that

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^2((T/4,3T/4);X^1)}^2 \lesssim C \int_{(0,T)\times\{0\}} |\varphi|^2,$$

where $C = s^4 T^{-8} \exp\left(\frac{16s(3M-m)}{T^2}\right)$. Choosing now $s \sim \varepsilon^{-1}(T + \max\{(a\varepsilon)^{1/2}, 1\}T^2)$, C is estimated by, for some c' > 0 independent from $T \ge 1$,

$$\varepsilon^{-4} \max\{(a\varepsilon)^2, 1\} \exp\left(c'\varepsilon^{-1} \max\{(a\varepsilon)^{1/2}, 1\}\right) \lesssim \exp\left(c''\varepsilon^{-1} \max\{(a\varepsilon)^{1/2}, 1\}\right)$$

for any c'' > c'. Summing up, we have obtained

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^2((T/4,3T/4);X^1)}^2 \lesssim \exp\left(c''\varepsilon^{-1}\max\{(a\varepsilon)^{1/2},1\}\right) \int_{(0,T)\times\{0\}} |\varphi|^2.$$
(2.5)

We now use the dissipation property (2.4) with $t_1 = 0$ and $t_2 = t \in \left[\frac{T}{4}, \frac{3T}{4}\right]$. We easily get, for $T \ge 8$,

$$\frac{T}{2} \exp\left(\frac{c_0 T}{16} \varepsilon^{-1} \max\{(a\varepsilon)^{1/2}, 1\}\right) \|\varphi(0)\|_{X^1}^2 \leqslant \|\varphi\|_{L^2((T/4, 3T/4); X^1)}^2.$$
(2.6)

Combining (2.5) with (2.6) finally gives the result with moreover

$$k = \frac{c_0 T}{16} - c'' > 0 \iff T > 16 \frac{c''}{c_0}$$

using Proposition 2.4.

3. Proof of the main results

We are now able to deduce Theorems 1.1 and 1.3.

As long as Theorem 1.1 is concerned, we will show that the cost associated to the null controllability problem

$$(S_v) \begin{cases} u_t + \partial_{x_n} u - \varepsilon \Delta u = 0 & \text{in} & (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ \varepsilon (u_t + \partial_{\nu} u) = v & \text{on} & (0, T) \times \Gamma_0, \\ \varepsilon (u_t + \partial_{\nu} u) + u = 0 & \text{on} & (0, T) \times \Gamma_1, \\ u(0, .) = u_0 & \text{in} & \Omega, \end{cases}$$

can be estimated using a Fourier transform in x'.

On the other hand, we will use a standard approach combining a dissipation result and a kind of conservation of energy to prove Theorem 1.3.

We first define, for any $f \in X$ and for a.e. $\xi' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, the Fourier transform of f with respect to x' by

$$\hat{f}^{\xi'}(x_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \mathrm{e}^{-i\xi' \cdot x'} f(x', x_n) \mathrm{d}x'$$

For real-valued functions f, we also define its real and imaginary part by, for a.e. $\xi' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$,

$$\hat{f}_{r}^{\xi'}(x_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \cos(\xi'.x') f(x', x_n) dx' \text{ and } \hat{f}_{i}^{\xi'}(x_n) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \sin(\xi'.x') f(x', x_n) dx'.$$

3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We make use of Proposition 2.1. We obtain that, for T sufficiently large, ε sufficiently small and for a.e. $\xi' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, there exists $v_r^{\xi'} \in L^2(0,T)$ such that the solution $\hat{u}_r^{\xi'}$ of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \hat{u}_r^{\xi'} + \partial_{x_n} \hat{u}_r^{\xi'} - \varepsilon \partial_{x_n}^2 \hat{u}_r^{\xi'} + \varepsilon |\xi'|^2 \hat{u}_r^{\xi'} = 0 & \text{in} & (0, T) \times (-1, 0), \\ \varepsilon (\partial_t \hat{u}_r^{\xi'} + \partial_\nu \hat{u}_r^{\xi'}) = v_r^{\xi'} & \text{on} & (0, T) \times \{0\}, \\ \varepsilon (\partial_t \hat{u}_r^{\xi'} + \partial_\nu \hat{u}_r^{\xi'}) + \hat{u}_r^{\xi'} = 0 & \text{on} & (0, T) \times \{-1\}, \\ \hat{u}_r^{\xi'}(0, .) = \hat{u}_0 \xi' & \text{in} & (-1, 0), \end{cases}$$

satisfies

$$\hat{u}_r^{\xi'}(T) \equiv 0$$

and

$$\left\| v_r^{\xi'} \right\|_{L^2(0,T)} \leq C \exp\left(-\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right) \left\| \hat{u_0}_r^{\xi'} \right\|_{X^1}.$$

Using analogous notations for the imaginary part, we deduce that, putting $v^{\xi'} = v_r^{\xi'} - iv_i^{\xi'}$, the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \hat{u}^{\xi'} + \partial_{x_n} \hat{u}^{\xi'} - \varepsilon \partial_{x_n}^2 \hat{u}^{\xi'} + \varepsilon |\xi'|^2 \hat{u}^{\xi'} = 0 & \text{in} & (0, T) \times (-1, 0), \\ \varepsilon (\partial_t \hat{u}^{\xi'} + \partial_\nu \hat{u}^{\xi'}) = v^{\xi'} & \text{on} & (0, T) \times \{0\}, \\ \varepsilon (\partial_t \hat{u}^{\xi'} + \partial_\nu \hat{u}^{\xi'}) + \hat{u}^{\xi'} = 0 & \text{on} & (0, T) \times \{-1\}, \\ \hat{u}^{\xi'}(0, .) = \hat{u}_0^{\xi'} & \text{in} & (-1, 0), \end{cases}$$

satisfies

and

 $\hat{u}^{\xi'}(T) \equiv 0$

$$\left\| v^{\xi'} \right\|_{L^2(0,T)} \leq C \exp\left(-\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right) \left\| \hat{u_0}^{\xi'} \right\|_{X^1}.$$

It is now straightforward that, defining v as the inverse Fourier transform of $\xi' \mapsto v^{\xi'}$, the solution of

$$(S_v) \begin{cases} u_t + \partial_{x_n} u - \varepsilon \Delta u = 0 & \text{in} & (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ \varepsilon(u_t + \partial_{\nu} u) = v & \text{on} & (0, T) \times \Gamma_0, \\ \varepsilon(u_t + \partial_{\nu} u) + u = 0 & \text{on} & (0, T) \times \Gamma_1, \\ u(0, .) = u_0 & \text{in} & \Omega, \end{cases}$$

satisfies

 $u(T) \equiv 0$

and, using Parseval–Plancherel's identity,

$$\|v\|_{L^{2}((0,T),\Gamma_{0})} \leq C \exp\left(-\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right) \|u_{0}\|_{X}$$

This ends the proof.

3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this paragraph, we follow the method exposed in [8], Section 5 to get a lower bound on the cost of nullcontrol. More precisely, we are going to find a function φ_T such that the associated solution to (S') satisfies

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^2((0,T),\Gamma_0)} \lesssim e^{-C/\varepsilon} \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\|\varphi(0,\cdot)\|_X \gtrsim 1,\tag{3.2}$$

whenever ε is small enough and T < 1.

Let $\delta > 0$ small enough such that $4\delta < 1 - T$ and let φ_T be a smooth function defined in $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times (-1, 0)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{Supp}(\varphi_T) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times (-2\delta, -\delta), \\ \|\varphi_T\|_X^2 = \int_{\Omega} |\varphi_T|^2 = 1. \end{cases}$$
(3.3)

• Proof of (3.1)

We consider $\rho(x_n) = \exp\{\lambda \varepsilon^{-1} x_n\}$ for all $x_n \in (-1,0)$ and some $\lambda \in (0,1)$. Furthermore, we define a function $\Psi \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \Psi = 0 & \text{ in } (-\infty, -3\delta), \\ \Psi = 1 & \text{ in } (-2\delta, +\infty), \\ \Psi' \ge 0 & \end{cases}$$

and denote

$$\psi_j(t,x) := \Psi^{(j)}(x_n + T - t) \quad 0 \le j \le 2.$$

Then, we multiply the equation in (S') by $2\rho\psi_0\varphi$ and we integrate in Ω :

$$-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_{\Omega}\rho\psi_{0}|\varphi|^{2} = -\varepsilon\int_{\Omega}\rho\psi_{0}\Delta\varphi\varphi - \int_{\Omega}\rho\psi_{0}\partial_{x_{n}}\varphi\varphi - \frac{1}{2}\int_{\Omega}\rho\psi_{1}|\varphi|^{2}.$$
(3.4)

Integrating by parts in the first term of the right-hand side, we have

$$-\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \rho \psi_0 \Delta \varphi \varphi = -\varepsilon \int_{\Gamma} \rho \psi_0 \partial_{\nu} \varphi \varphi + \lambda \int_{\Omega} \rho \psi_0 \partial_{x_n} \varphi \varphi + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \rho \psi_1 \partial_{x_n} \varphi \varphi + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \rho \psi_0 |\nabla \varphi|^2.$$

We use the boundary conditions in (S') for the first term and we integrate by parts again in the second and third term. This yields:

$$\begin{split} -\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \rho \psi_0 \Delta \varphi \varphi &= -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int_{\Gamma} \rho \psi_0 |\varphi|^2 + \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} + 1\right) \int_{\Gamma_0} \rho \psi_0 |\varphi|^2 \\ &- \varepsilon \int_{\Gamma_1} \rho \psi_1 |\varphi|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} \rho \psi_0 |\varphi|^2 + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \rho \psi_0 |\nabla \varphi|^2 \\ &- \frac{\lambda^2}{2\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} \rho \psi_0 |\varphi|^2 - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \rho \psi_1 |\varphi|^2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\Omega} \rho \psi_2 |\varphi|^2. \end{split}$$

We plug this into (3.4) and we integrate by parts in the second term of the right-hand side of (3.4). We obtain:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int_{\Omega} \rho \psi_0 |\varphi|^2 &= 2\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \rho \psi_0 |\nabla \varphi|^2 + \frac{\lambda(1-\lambda)}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} \rho \psi_0 |\varphi|^2 \\ &- 2\lambda \int_{\Omega} \rho \psi_1 |\varphi|^2 - \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \rho \psi_2 |\varphi|^2 + (1+\lambda) \int_{\Gamma_0} \rho \psi_0 |\varphi|^2 \\ &- \int_{\Gamma_1} \rho((1-\lambda)\psi_0 + 2\varepsilon \psi_1) |\varphi|^2 - \varepsilon \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int_{\Gamma} \rho \psi_0 |\varphi|^2. \end{split}$$

Observe that, thanks to the choice of the function Ψ , we have that $\psi_{0|\Gamma_1} = \psi_{1|\Gamma_1} = 0$ and so the sixth term in the right-hand side vanishes. Since $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, the second term is positive. Consequently,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(\int_{\Omega} \rho \psi_0 |\varphi|^2 + \varepsilon \int_{\Gamma} \rho \psi_0 |\varphi|^2 \right) \ge - \int_{\Omega} (2\lambda \rho \psi_1 + \varepsilon \rho \psi_2) |\varphi|^2.$$

Since the supports of the functions $\psi_1(t, \cdot)$ and $\psi_2(t, \cdot)$ are included in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times (-\infty, -2\delta)$, we obtain:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \| (\rho \psi_0)^{1/2} \varphi \|_X^2 \ge -C \mathrm{e}^{-2\delta \lambda/\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} |\varphi|^2.$$

Then, from Remark 2.3, we deduce that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \| (\rho \psi_0)^{1/2} \varphi \|_X^2 \ge -C \mathrm{e}^{-2\delta\lambda/\varepsilon} \| \varphi_T \|_X^2 = -C \mathrm{e}^{-2\delta\lambda/\varepsilon}.$$

Integrating between t and T, we have:

$$\|(\rho\psi_0(t))^{1/2}\varphi(t)\|_X^2 \le \|(\rho\psi_0(T))^{1/2}\varphi_T\|_X^2 + C\mathrm{e}^{-2\delta\lambda/\varepsilon} \le \mathrm{e}^{-\delta\lambda/\varepsilon}\|\varphi_T\|_X^2 + C\mathrm{e}^{-2\delta\lambda/\varepsilon} \le C\mathrm{e}^{-\delta\lambda/\varepsilon}$$

Finally, since $\psi_0(t)|_{\Gamma_0} = \rho_{|\Gamma_0} = 1$, we find in particular

$$\varepsilon \|\varphi(t)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_0)}^2 \le C \mathrm{e}^{-\delta\lambda/\varepsilon} \quad t \in (0,T).$$

This gives the desired result (3.1).

• Proof of (3.2)

In this part we prove a quasi-conservation result for the X-norm of φ (solution of (S') associated to φ_T) if ε is small enough. Let θ be the solution of the transport equation

$$\begin{cases} \theta_t + \partial_{x_n} \theta = 0 & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ \theta(T, .) = \varphi_T & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

One notes that, in fact,

$$\forall (t,x) \in (0,T) \times \Omega, \ \theta(t,x) = \varphi_T(x',T-t+x_n)$$

and, consequently, thanks to $4\delta < 1 - T$,

$$\theta = \theta_t = \partial_{x_n} \theta = 0 \text{ on } (0, T) \times \partial \Omega$$

We then multiply the equation satisfied by φ (see (S')) by θ and we integrate it over $(0, T) \times \Omega$ to get, after integration by parts,

$$\int_{\Omega} \theta(T, .)\varphi_T - \int_{\Omega} \theta(0, .)\varphi(0, .) + \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \Delta\theta\varphi = 0$$

Using $\theta(T, .) = \varphi_T$ and Remark 2.3, one gets for some C > 0,

$$\|\varphi(0)\|_X \ge \int_{\Omega} \theta(0, .)\varphi(0, .) \ge 1 - C\varepsilon$$

so that, for $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{2C}$,

$$|\varphi(0)\|_X \ge \frac{1}{2}.$$
 (3.5)

This gives (3.2).

The proof of Theorem 1.3 is complete.

P. CORNILLEAU AND S. GUERRERO

Appendix A. Proof of Proposition 2.5

We will use the following notations: $q := (0,T) \times (-1,0)$, $\sigma := (0,T) \times \{-1,0\}$, $\sigma_0 := (0,T) \times \{0\}$ and $\sigma_1 := (0,T) \times \{-1\}$. We will now explain how to get the following result.

We perform the proof of this theorem for smooth solutions, so that the general proof follows from a density argument.

We recall the following properties of the weight functions:

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha_t| \lesssim T\phi^2, \ |\alpha_{xt}| \lesssim T\phi^2, \ |\alpha_{tt}| \lesssim T^2\phi^3, \\ \alpha_x &= -\phi, \ \alpha_{xx} = -\phi \end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

and we follow the standard method introduced in [6]. Let $\psi := \varphi e^{-s\alpha}$; then, using the equation satisfied by φ , we find

$$P_1\psi + P_2\psi = P_3\psi \quad \text{in } q,$$

$$P_1\psi = \psi_t + 2\varepsilon s\alpha_x\psi_x + \psi_x, \qquad (A.2)$$

$$P_2\psi = \varepsilon\psi_{xx} + \varepsilon s^2 \alpha_x^2 \psi + s\alpha_t \psi + s\alpha_x \psi - a\psi, \tag{A.3}$$

and

where

$$P_3\psi = -\varepsilon s\alpha_{xx}\psi.$$

On the other hand, the boundary conditions are:

$$\psi_t + s\alpha_t \psi - \psi_x - s\alpha_x \psi - \varepsilon^{-1} \psi = 0 \quad \text{on } \sigma_0, \tag{A.4}$$

$$\psi_t + s\alpha_t \psi + \psi_x + s\alpha_x \psi = 0 \quad \text{on } \sigma_1. \tag{A.5}$$

We take the L^2 norm in both sides of the identity in q:

$$\|P_1\psi\|_{L^2(q)}^2 + \|P_2\psi\|_{L^2(q)}^2 + 2(P_1\psi, P_2\psi)_{L^2(q)} = \|P_3\psi\|_{L^2(q)}^2.$$
(A.6)

Using (A.1), we directly obtain

$$||P_3\psi||^2_{L^2(q)} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 s^2 \int_q \phi^2 |\psi|^2.$$
 (A.7)

We focus on the expression of the product $(P_1\psi, P_2\psi)_{L^2(q)}$. This product contains 15 terms which will be denoted by $T_{ij}(\psi)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq 5$.

• For the first term in $P_1\psi$, we integrate by parts in time and space. Using that $\psi_{|t=T} = \psi_{|t=0} = 0$ and that a is constant, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{5} T_{1i} = \int_{q} \psi_{t} (\varepsilon \psi_{xx} + \varepsilon s^{2} \alpha_{x}^{2} \psi + s \alpha_{t} \psi + s \alpha_{x} \psi - a \psi)$$

$$= -\varepsilon s^{2} \int_{q} \alpha_{x} \alpha_{xt} |\psi|^{2} - \frac{s}{2} \int_{q} (\alpha_{tt} + \alpha_{xt}) |\psi|^{2} + \varepsilon \int_{\sigma} \psi_{t} \partial_{\nu} \psi$$

$$\gtrsim -sT(\varepsilon s + T + T^{2}) \int_{q} \phi^{3} |\psi|^{2}.$$
(A.8)

In order to obtain the last estimate, we have used (A.1) and the boundary conditions.

• For the second term in $P_1\psi$, we first have:

$$T_{21} = -\varepsilon^2 s \int_{\sigma_0} \phi |\psi_x|^2 + \varepsilon^2 s \int_{\sigma_1} \phi |\psi_x|^2 + \varepsilon^2 s \int_q \phi |\psi_x|^2.$$
(A.9)

Integrating by parts in space, we find

$$T_{22} = -\varepsilon^2 s^3 \int_{\sigma_0} \phi^3 |\psi|^2 + \varepsilon^2 s^3 \int_{\sigma_1} \phi^3 |\psi|^2 + 3\varepsilon^2 s^3 \int_q \phi^3 |\psi|^2$$
(A.10)

and

$$\sum_{i=3}^{5} T_{2i} = \varepsilon s \int_{\sigma_0} \alpha_x (s\alpha_t + s\alpha_x - a) |\psi|^2 - \varepsilon s \int_{\sigma_1} \alpha_x (s\alpha_t + s\alpha_x - a) |\psi|^2$$
$$-\varepsilon s \int_q [\alpha_{xx} (s\alpha_t + 2s\alpha_x - a) + s\alpha_x \alpha_{xt}] |\psi|^2$$
$$\gtrsim -\varepsilon T s^2 \int_{\sigma_0} \phi^3 |\psi|^2 - \varepsilon s [s(T + T^2) + aT^4] \left(\int_{\sigma_1} \phi^3 |\psi|^2 + \int_q \phi^3 |\psi|^2 \right), \tag{A.11}$$

where we have used estimates (A.1).

• Finally, for the third term in $P_1\psi$ we obtain:

$$T_{31} + T_{32} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left(\int_{\sigma_0} |\psi_x|^2 - \int_{\sigma_1} |\psi_x|^2 + s^2 \int_{\sigma_0} \phi^2 |\psi|^2 - s^2 \int_{\sigma_1} \phi^2 |\psi|^2 \right) - \varepsilon s^2 \int_q \phi^2 |\psi|^2$$

$$\gtrsim -\varepsilon T^2 \left(\int_{\sigma_1} \phi |\psi_x|^2 + s^2 \int_{\sigma_1} \phi^3 |\psi|^2 + s^2 \int_q \phi^3 |\psi|^2 \right)$$
(A.12)

and

$$\sum_{i=3}^{5} T_{3i} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\sigma_0} (s\alpha_t + s\alpha_x - a) |\psi|^2 - \int_{\sigma_1} (s\alpha_t + s\alpha_x - a) |\psi|^2 - s \int_q (\alpha_{tx} + \alpha_{xx}) |\psi|^2 \right)$$

$$\gtrsim -s(T^3 + T^4) \left(\int_{\sigma_0} \phi^3 |\psi|^2 + \int_{\sigma_1} \phi^3 |\psi|^2 + \int_q \phi^3 |\psi|^2 \right) - aT^6 \int_{\sigma_0} \phi^3 |\psi|^2.$$
(A.13)

Putting together (A.8)–(A.13), we obtain, since $T^2 \lesssim T + T^3$,

$$(P_{1}\psi, P_{2}\psi)_{L^{2}(q)} = \sum_{\substack{1 \le i \le 3\\1 \le j \le 5}} T_{ij}(\psi) \ge \varepsilon^{2} s^{3} \left(\int_{\sigma_{1}} \phi^{3} |\psi|^{2} + \int_{q} \phi^{3} |\psi|^{2} \right) + \varepsilon^{2} s \left(\int_{\sigma_{1}} \phi |\psi_{x}|^{2} + \int_{q} \phi |\psi_{x}|^{2} \right) - C \left(sT[\varepsilon(sT + s + aT^{3}) + T + T^{3}] \left(\int_{\sigma_{1}} \phi^{3} |\psi|^{2} + \int_{q} \phi^{3} |\psi|^{2} \right) + \left[s^{2} \varepsilon(T + \varepsilon s) + T^{3}(s + sT + aT^{3}) \right] \int_{\sigma_{0}} \phi^{3} |\psi|^{2} + \varepsilon^{2} s \int_{\sigma_{0}} \phi |\psi_{x}|^{2} + \varepsilon T^{2} \int_{\sigma_{1}} \phi |\psi_{x}|^{2} \right).$$
(A.14)

We readily observe that the second line of this expression can be absorbed by the first term in the right-hand side of the first line, that is to say,

$$\varepsilon^2 s^3 \left(\int_{\sigma_1} \phi^3 |\psi|^2 + \int_q \phi^3 |\psi|^2 \right),$$

provided that

$$s \gtrsim \varepsilon^{-1}(T+T^2) + a^{1/2}\varepsilon^{-1/2}T^2.$$
 (A.15)

Consequently, we obtain

$$(P_{1}\psi, P_{2}\psi)_{L^{2}(q)} \geq \frac{\varepsilon^{2}s^{3}}{2} \left(\int_{\sigma_{1}} \phi^{3}|\psi|^{2} + \int_{q} \phi^{3}|\psi|^{2} \right) + \varepsilon^{2}s \left(\int_{\sigma_{1}} \phi|\psi_{x}|^{2} + \int_{q} \phi|\psi_{x}|^{2} \right)$$
$$- C \left(\left[s^{2}\varepsilon(T + \varepsilon s) + T^{3}(s + sT + aT^{3}) \right] \int_{\sigma_{0}} \phi^{3}|\psi|^{2} + \varepsilon^{2}s \int_{\sigma_{0}} \phi|\psi_{x}|^{2} + \varepsilon T^{2} \int_{\sigma_{1}} \phi|\psi_{x}|^{2} \right).$$
(A.16)

Furthermore, the last term in this expression is absorbed by

$$\varepsilon^2 s \int_{\sigma_1} \phi^3 |\psi|^2$$

if $s \gtrsim \varepsilon^{-1}T^2$. We also observe that the term in σ_0 can be estimated as follows:

$$[s^2\varepsilon(T+\varepsilon s)+T^3(s+sT+aT^3)]\int_{\sigma_0}\phi^3|\psi|^2\lesssim \varepsilon^2s^3\int_{\sigma_0}\phi^3|\psi|^2,$$

provided that $s \gtrsim T^2(\varepsilon^{-1} + a^{1/3}\varepsilon^{-2/3})$. This choice of the parameter s is implied by (A.15).

Coming back to (A.6), we have proved that

$$\|P_{1}\psi\|_{L^{2}(q)}^{2} + \|P_{2}\psi\|_{L^{2}(q)}^{2} + \varepsilon^{2}s^{3}\left(\int_{\sigma_{1}}\phi^{3}|\psi|^{2} + \int_{q}\phi^{3}|\psi|^{2}\right) + \varepsilon^{2}s\left(\int_{\sigma_{1}}\phi|\psi_{x}|^{2} + \int_{q}\phi|\psi_{x}|^{2}\right)$$

$$\lesssim \varepsilon^{2}s^{3}\int_{\sigma_{0}}\phi^{3}|\psi|^{2} + \|P_{3}\psi\|_{L^{2}(q)}^{2} + \varepsilon^{2}s\int_{\sigma_{0}}\phi|\psi_{x}|^{2}.$$
(A.17)

for s as in (A.15). Observe that from (A.2) and $s \varepsilon \gtrsim T^2$, we deduce that

$$s^{-1} \int_{q} \phi^{-1} |\psi_{t}|^{2} + \varepsilon^{2} s^{3} \left(\int_{\sigma_{1}} \phi^{3} |\psi|^{2} + \int_{q} \phi^{3} |\psi|^{2} \right) + \varepsilon^{2} s \left(\int_{\sigma_{1}} \phi |\psi_{x}|^{2} + \int_{q} \phi |\psi_{x}|^{2} \right)$$

$$\lesssim \varepsilon^{2} s^{3} \int_{\sigma_{0}} \phi^{3} |\psi|^{2} + \|P_{3}\psi\|_{L^{2}(q)}^{2} + \varepsilon^{2} s \int_{\sigma_{0}} \phi |\psi_{x}|^{2}.$$
(A.18)

The term in $P_3\psi$ can be absorbed by the term in the left-hand side thanks to (A.7) and for $s \gtrsim \varepsilon^{-1}T^2 \gtrsim T^2$. We finally estimate

$$\varepsilon^2 s \int_{\sigma_0} \phi |\psi_x|^2$$

using the boundary condition at x = 0 given by (A.4). It follows that

$$\varepsilon^2 s \int_{\sigma_0} \phi |\psi_x|^2 \lesssim \varepsilon^2 s \left(\int_{\sigma_0} \phi (s^2 (\alpha_t)^2 + s^2 (\alpha_x)^2 + \varepsilon^{-2}) |\psi|^2 + \int_{\sigma_0} \phi |\psi_t|^2 \right)$$

Using (A.1), we find

$$\varepsilon^2 s \int_{\sigma_0} \phi |\psi_x|^2 \lesssim \varepsilon^2 s^3 \int_{\sigma_0} (\phi^3 + T^2 \phi^5) |\psi|^2 + \varepsilon^2 s \int_{\sigma_0} \phi |\psi_t|^2.$$
(A.19)

We now come back to φ , recalling that $\psi = e^{-s\alpha}\varphi$. Then, using again (A.1) and (A.15), we get from (A.18) and (A.19)

$$s^{-1} \int_{q} \phi^{-1} e^{-2s\alpha} |\varphi_{t}|^{2} + \varepsilon^{2} s^{3} \left(\int_{q} \phi^{3} e^{-2s\alpha} |\varphi|^{2} + \int_{\sigma} \phi^{3} e^{-2s\alpha} |\varphi|^{2} \right) + \varepsilon^{2} s \int_{\sigma_{1}} \phi e^{-2s\alpha} |\varphi_{x}|^{2}$$

$$\lesssim \varepsilon^{2} s^{3} \int_{\sigma_{0}} (\phi^{3} + T^{2} \phi^{5}) e^{-2s\alpha} |\varphi|^{2} + \varepsilon^{2} s \int_{\sigma_{0}} \phi e^{-2s\alpha} |\varphi_{t}|^{2}.$$
(A.20)

The last step is to estimate the term in $|\varphi_t|^2$ on σ_0 in the right-hand side of (A.20). Using that $s\varphi \gtrsim 1$ for s satisfying (A.15), we have

$$\varepsilon^{2}s \int_{\sigma_{0}} \phi e^{-2s\alpha} |\varphi_{t}|^{2} = -\varepsilon^{2}s \int_{\sigma_{0}} \phi e^{-2s\alpha} \varphi_{tt} \varphi + \frac{\varepsilon^{2}s}{2} \int_{\sigma_{0}} (\phi e^{-2s\alpha})_{tt} |\varphi|^{2}$$
$$\lesssim \varepsilon^{2}s \int_{\sigma_{0}} \phi e^{-2s\alpha} |\varphi_{tt}| |\varphi| + \varepsilon^{2}T^{2}s^{3} \int_{\sigma_{0}} \phi^{5} e^{-2s\alpha} |\varphi|^{2}.$$
(A.21)

The goal is now to estimate φ_{tt} on σ_0 . For this purpose, let us set $\rho(t) := s^{-5/2} \phi(t, -1)^{-5/2} e^{-s\alpha(t, -1)}$ and $w^* := \rho \varphi_t$. Then, w^* satisfies

$$(S_*'^a) \begin{cases} w_t^* + w_x^* + \varepsilon w_{xx}^* - aw^* = \rho' \varphi_t & \text{ in } & (0,T) \times (-1,0), \\ \varepsilon (w_t^* - \partial_\nu w^*) - w^* = \varepsilon \rho' \varphi_t & \text{ on } & (0,T) \times \{0\}, \\ w_t^* - \partial_\nu w^* = \rho' \varphi_t & \text{ on } & (0,T) \times \{-1\}, \\ w^*(T,.) = 0 & \text{ in } & (-1,0). \end{cases}$$

• In a first step, we multiply this system by w^* and we integrate in q. After some computations, we obtain

$$\varepsilon \int_{q} (w_x^*)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\sigma} |w^*|^2 + a \int_{q} |w^*|^2 = \int_{q} \rho' \varphi_t w^* - \varepsilon \int_{\sigma} \rho' \varphi_t w^*$$

In particular, we have, using Young inequality,

$$\varepsilon \int_{q} (w_x^*)^2 \lesssim \int_{q} |\rho' \varphi_t|^2 + \varepsilon^2 \int_{\sigma} |\rho' \varphi_t|^2.$$
(A.22)

• Then, we multiply by εw_t^* . Analogously, we get

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{q} (w_t^*)^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\sigma} (w_t^*)^2 \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \int_{\sigma} |\rho' \varphi_t|^2 + \varepsilon \int_{q} |\rho' \varphi_t|^2 + \varepsilon \int_{q} (w_x^*)^2.$$

Combining this with (A.22), we obtain

$$\varepsilon^2 \int_{\sigma} |w_t|^2 \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \int_{\sigma} |\rho' \varphi_t|^2 + \int_{q} |\rho' \varphi_t|^2.$$

Since $w_t = \rho' \varphi_t + \rho \varphi_{tt}$, we have

$$\varepsilon^2 \int_{\sigma} \rho^2 |\varphi_{tt}|^2 \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \int_{\sigma} |\rho' \varphi_t|^2 + \int_{q} |\rho' \varphi_t|^2.$$

In particular, we find

$$\varepsilon^{2} s^{-5} \int_{\sigma_{0}} \phi^{-5}(t,-1) \mathrm{e}^{-2s\alpha(t,-1)} |\varphi_{tt}|^{2} \lesssim \varepsilon^{2} s^{-1} \int_{\sigma} \phi^{-1} \mathrm{e}^{-2s\alpha} |\varphi_{t}|^{2} + s^{-1} \int_{q} \phi^{-1} \mathrm{e}^{-2s\alpha} |\varphi_{t}|^{2}.$$
(A.23)

Here, we have used that

$$\phi^{-1}(t,-1)e^{-2s\alpha(t,-1)} \le \phi^{-1}(t,x)e^{-2s\alpha(t,x)}$$
 for all $x \in (-1,0)$.

Coming back to (A.21), we have

$$\varepsilon^2 s \int_{\sigma_0} \phi e^{-2s\alpha} |\varphi_t|^2 \le C \varepsilon^2 s^7 \int_{\sigma_0} \phi^7 e^{-4s\alpha + 2s\alpha(t, -1)} |\varphi|^2 + \delta \varepsilon^2 s^{-5} \int_{\sigma_0} \phi^{-5}(t, -1) e^{-2s\alpha(t, -1)} |\varphi_{tt}|^2$$

for $s \gtrsim T^2$ and all $\delta > 0$. From (A.23), we now obtain

$$\varepsilon^{2}s \int_{\sigma_{0}} \phi e^{-2s\alpha} |\varphi_{t}|^{2} \leq C\varepsilon^{2}s^{7} \int_{\sigma_{0}} \phi^{7} e^{-4s\alpha+2s\alpha(t,-1)} |\varphi|^{2} + C\delta \left(\varepsilon^{2}s^{-1} \int_{\sigma} \phi^{-1} e^{-2s\alpha} |\varphi_{t}|^{2} + s^{-1} \int_{q} \phi^{-1} e^{-2s\alpha} |\varphi_{t}|^{2}\right).$$

Combining this with (A.20), using the boundary conditions and taking δ small enough, we conclude that if s satisfies (A.15),

$$\begin{split} s^{-1} \int_{q} \phi^{-1} \mathrm{e}^{-2s\alpha} |\varphi_{t}|^{2} + \varepsilon^{2} s^{3} \left(\int_{q} \phi^{3} \mathrm{e}^{-2s\alpha} |\varphi|^{2} + \int_{\sigma} \phi^{3} \mathrm{e}^{-2s\alpha} |\varphi|^{2} \right) + \varepsilon^{2} s \int_{\sigma_{1}} \phi \mathrm{e}^{-2s\alpha} |\varphi_{x}|^{2} \\ \lesssim \varepsilon^{2} s^{7} \int_{\sigma_{0}} \phi^{7} \mathrm{e}^{-4s\alpha + 2s\alpha(t, -1)} |\varphi|^{2}. \end{split}$$

In particular, this implies the desired inequality (2.2).

References

- J.-M. Coron and S. Guerrero, Singular optimal control: a linear 1-D parabolic-hyperbolic example. Asymptot. Anal. 44 (2005) 237–257.
- [2] P. Cornilleau and S. Guerrero, Controllability and observability of an artificial advection-diffusion problem. Math. Control Signals Syst. 24 (2012) 265–294
- [3] R. Danchin, Poches de tourbillon visqueuses. J. Math. Pures Appl. 76 (1997) 609-647.
- [4] S. Dolecki and D. Russell, A general theory of observation and control. SIAM J. Control Optim. 15 (1977) 185–220.
- [5] K.J. Engel and R. Nagel, One-parameter semigroups for linear evolution equations. *Graduate texts in mathematics*. Springer-Verlag (2000).
- [6] A.V. Fursikov and O. Imanuvilov, Controllability of evolution equations. In Lect. Notes Ser. vol. 34. Seoul National University, Research Institute of Mathematics, Global Analysis Research Center, Seoul (1996).
- [7] O. Glass, A complex-analytic approach to the problem of uniform controllability of a transport equation in the vanishing viscosity limit. J. Funct. Anal. 258 (2010) 852–868.
- [8] O. Glass and S. Guerrero, Uniform controllability of a transport equation in zero diffusion-dispersion limit. M3AS 19 (2009) 1567–1601.
- [9] P. Grisvard, Elliptic problems in nonsmooth domains. Pitman, London (1985).
- [10] S. Guerrero and G.Lebeau, Singular optimal control for a transport-diffusion equation. Commun. Partial Differ. Equ. 32 (2007) 1813–1836.
- [11] L. Halpern, Artificial boundary for the linear advection diffusion equation. Math. Comput. 46 (1986) 425-438.
- [12] L. Miller, On the null-controllability of the heat equation in unbounded domains. Bull. Sci. Math. 129 (2005) 175-185.