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#### Abstract

The $(-\beta)$-integers are natural generalisations of the $\beta$-integers, and thus of the integers, for negative real bases. When $\beta$ is the analogue of a Parry number, we describe the structure of the set of $(-\beta)$-integers by a fixed point of an anti-morphism.
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## 1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to study the structure of the set of real numbers having a digital expansion of the form

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_{k}(-\beta)^{k}
$$

where $(-\beta)$ is a negative real base with $\beta>1$, the digits $a_{k} \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy certain conditions specified below, and $n \geq 0$. These numbers are called ( $-\beta$ )-integers, and have been recently studied by Ambrož et al. [1].

Before dealing with these numbers, we recall some facts about $\beta$-integers, which are the real numbers of the form

$$
\pm \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_{k} \beta^{k} \quad \text { such that } \quad 0 \leq \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} a_{k} \beta^{k}<\beta^{m} \quad \text { for all } 1 \leq m \leq n
$$

[^0]i.e., $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_{k} \beta^{k}$ is a greedy $\beta$-expansion. Equivalently, we can define the set of $\beta$-integers as
$$
\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}=\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+} \cup\left(-\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}\right) \quad \text { with } \quad \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}=\bigcup_{n \geq 0} \beta^{n} T_{\beta}^{-n}(0)
$$
where $T_{\beta}$ is the $\beta$-transformation, defined by
$$
T_{\beta}:[0,1) \rightarrow[0,1), \quad x \mapsto \beta x-\lfloor\beta x\rfloor .
$$

This map and the corresponding $\beta$-expansions were first studied by Rényi [20].
The notion of $\beta$-integers was introduced in the domain of quasicrystallography, see for instance [6], and the structure of the $\beta$-integers is very well understood now. We have $\beta \mathbb{Z}_{\beta} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$, the set of distances between consecutive elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$ is

$$
\Delta_{\beta}=\left\{T_{\beta}^{n}\left(1^{-}\right) \mid n \geq 0\right\}
$$

where $T_{\beta}^{n}\left(x^{-}\right)=\lim _{y \rightarrow x-} T_{\beta}^{n}(y)$, and the sequence of distances between consecutive elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}$is coded by the fixed point of a substition, see [9] for the case when $\Delta_{\beta}$ is a finite set, that is when $\beta$ is a Parry number. We give short proofs of these facts in Section 2. More detailed properties of this sequence can be found e.g. in $[2-4,11,16]$.

Closely related to $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}$are the sets

$$
S_{\beta}(x)=\bigcup_{n \geq 0} \beta^{n} T_{\beta}^{-n}(x) \quad(x \in[0,1))
$$

which were used by Thurston [21] to define (fractal) tilings of $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ when $\beta$ is a Pisot number of degree $d$, i.e., a root $>1$ of a polynomial $x^{d}+p_{1} x^{d-1}+\cdots+p_{d} \in$ $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ such that all other roots have modulus $<1$, and an algebraic unit, i.e., $p_{d}= \pm 1$. These tilings allow e.g. to determine the $k$ th digit $a_{k}$ of a number without knowing the other digits, see [15].

It is widely agreed that the greedy $\beta$-expansions are the natural representations of real numbers in a real base $\beta>1$. For the case of negative bases, the situation is not so clear. Ito and Sadahiro [14] proposed recently to use the $(-\beta)$ transformation defined by

$$
T_{-\beta}:\left[\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right), x \mapsto-\beta x-\left\lfloor\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}-\beta x\right\rfloor,
$$

see also [10]. This transformation has the important property that $T_{-\beta}(-x / \beta)=x$ for all $x \in\left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right)$. Some instances are depicted in Figures 1, 3, 4 and 5 .

The set of $(-\beta)$-integers is therefore defined by

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta}=\bigcup_{n \geq 0}(-\beta)^{n} T_{-\beta}^{-n}(0)
$$



Figure 1. The $(-\beta)$-transformation for $\beta=2$ (left), $\beta=\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \approx$ 1.618 (middle), and $\beta=\frac{1}{\beta}+\frac{1}{\beta^{2}} \approx 1.325$ (right).

These are the numbers
$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_{k}(-\beta)^{k} \quad$ such that $\quad \frac{-\beta}{\beta+1} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} a_{k}(-\beta)^{k-m}<\frac{1}{\beta+1} \quad$ for all $1 \leq m \leq n$.
Note that, in the case of $\beta$-integers, we have to add $-\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}$to $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}$in order to obtain a set resembling $\mathbb{Z}$. In the case of $(-\beta)$-integers, this is not necessary because the $(-\beta)$-transformation allows to represent positive and negative numbers.

It is not difficult to see that $\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta}=\mathbb{Z}=\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$ when $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}, \beta \geq 2$. Some other properties of $\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta}$ can be found in [1], mainly for the case when $T_{-\beta}^{n}\left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right) \leq 0$ and $T_{-\beta}^{2 n-1}\left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right) \geq \frac{1-\lfloor\beta\rfloor}{\beta}$ for all $n \geq 1$. (Note that $T_{-\beta}^{n}\left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right) \in\left(\frac{1}{\beta+1}-\frac{\lfloor\beta\rfloor}{\beta}, \frac{1-\lfloor\beta\rfloor}{\beta}\right) \cup$ $\left(\frac{-\beta^{-1}}{\beta+1}, 0\right)$ implies $\left.T_{-\beta}^{n+1}\left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right)>0\right)$.

The set

$$
V_{\beta}=\left\{\left.T_{-\beta}^{n}\left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right) \right\rvert\, n \geq 0\right\}
$$

plays a similar role for $(-\beta)$-expansions as the set $\left\{T_{\beta}^{n}\left(1^{-}\right) \mid n \geq 0\right\}$ for $\beta$ expansions. If $V_{\beta}$ is a finite set, then we call $\beta>1$ an Yrrap number. Note that these numbers are called Ito-Sadahiro numbers in [18], in reference to [14]. However, as the generalised $\beta$-transformations in [13] with $E=(1, \ldots, 1)$ are, up to conjugation by the map $x \mapsto \frac{1}{\beta+1}-x$, the same as our $(-\beta)$-transformations, these numbers were already considered by Góra and perhaps by other authors. Therefore, the neutral but intricate name ( $-\beta$ )-numbers was chosen in [17], referring to the original name $\beta$-numbers for Parry numbers [19]. The name Yrrap number, used in the present paper, refers to the connection with Parry numbers and to the fact that $T_{-\beta}$ is (locally) orientation-reversing.

For any Yrrap number $\beta \geq(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2$, we describe the sequence of $(-\beta)$-integers in terms of a two-sided infinite word on a finite alphabet which is a fixed point of an anti-morphism (Thm. 3.2). Note that the orientation-reversing property of the
map $x \mapsto-\beta x$ imposes the use of an anti-morphism instead of a morphism, and that anti-morphisms were considered in a similar context by Enomoto [8].

For $1<\beta<\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$, we have $\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta}=\{0\}$, as already proved in [1]. However, our study still makes sense for these bases $(-\beta)$ because we can also describe the sets

$$
S_{-\beta}(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}(-\beta)^{n} T_{-\beta}^{-n}(x) \quad\left(x \in\left[\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right)\right)
$$

where the limit set consists of the numbers lying in all but finitely many sets $(-\beta)^{n} T_{-\beta}^{-n}(x), n \geq 0$. Taking the limit instead of the union over all $n \geq 0$ implies that every $y \in \mathbb{R}$ lies in exactly one set $S_{-\beta}(x), x \in\left[\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right)$, see Lemma 3.1. Note that $T_{-\beta}^{2}\left(\frac{-\beta^{-1}}{\beta+1}\right) \neq \frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}$ when $\beta \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Other properties of the $(-\beta)$-transformation for $1<\beta<\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ are exhibited in [17].

## 2. $\beta$-INTEGERS

In this section, we consider the structure of $\beta$-integers. The results are not new, but it is useful to state and prove them in order to understand the differences with $(-\beta)$-integers.

Recall that $\Delta_{\beta}=\left\{T_{\beta}^{n}\left(1^{-}\right) \mid n \geq 0\right\}$, and let $\Delta_{\beta}^{*}$ be the free monoid generated by $\Delta_{\beta}$. Elements of $\Delta_{\beta}^{*}$ will be considered as words on the alphabet $\Delta_{\beta}$, and the operation is the concatenation of words. The $\beta$-substitution is the morphism $\varphi_{\beta}: \Delta_{\beta}^{*} \rightarrow \Delta_{\beta}^{*}$, defined by

$$
\varphi_{\beta}(x)=\underbrace{11 \cdots 1}_{\lceil\beta x\rceil-1 \text { times }} T_{\beta}\left(x^{-}\right) \quad\left(x \in \Delta_{\beta}\right) .
$$

Here, 1 is an element of $\Delta_{\beta}$ and not the identity element of $\Delta_{\beta}^{*}$ (which is the empty word). Recall that, as $\varphi_{\beta}$ is a morphism, we have $\varphi_{\beta}(u v)=\varphi_{\beta}(u) \varphi_{\beta}(v)$ for all $u, v \in \Delta_{\beta}^{*}$. Since $\varphi_{\beta}^{n+1}(1)=\varphi_{\beta}^{n}\left(\varphi_{\beta}(1)\right)$ and $\varphi_{\beta}(1)$ starts with $1, \varphi_{\beta}^{n}(1)$ is a prefix of $\varphi_{\beta}^{n+1}(1)$ for every $n \geq 0$.

Theorem 2.1. For any $\beta>1$, the set of non-negative $\beta$-integers takes the form

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}=\left\{z_{k} \mid k \geq 0\right\} \quad \text { with } \quad z_{k}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} u_{j}
$$

where $u_{1} u_{2} \cdots$ is the infinite word with letters in $\Delta_{\beta}$ which has $\varphi_{\beta}^{n}(1)$ as prefix for all $n \geq 0$.

The set of differences between consecutive $\beta$-integers is $\Delta_{\beta}$.
The main observation for the proof of the theorem is the following. We use the notation $|v|=k$ and $L(v)=\sum_{j=1}^{k} v_{j}$ for any $v=v_{1} \cdots v_{k} \in \Delta_{\beta}^{k}, k \geq 0$.

Lemma 2.1. For any $n \geq 0,1 \leq k \leq\left|\varphi_{\beta}^{n}(1)\right|$, we have

$$
T_{\beta}^{n}\left(\left[\frac{z_{k-1}}{\beta^{n}}, \frac{z_{k}}{\beta^{n}}\right)\right)=\left[0, u_{k}\right)
$$

and $z_{\left|\varphi_{\beta}^{n}(1)\right|}=L\left(\varphi_{\beta}^{n}(1)\right)=\beta^{n}$.
Proof. For $n=0$, we have $\left|\varphi_{\beta}^{0}(1)\right|=1, z_{0}=0, z_{1}=1, u_{1}=1$, thus the statements are true. Suppose that they hold for $n$, and consider

$$
u_{1} u_{2} \cdots u_{\left|\varphi_{\beta}^{n+1}(1)\right|}=\varphi_{\beta}^{n+1}(1)=\varphi_{\beta}\left(\varphi_{\beta}^{n}(1)\right)=\varphi_{\beta}\left(u_{1}\right) \varphi_{\beta}\left(u_{2}\right) \cdots \varphi_{\beta}\left(u_{\left|\varphi_{\beta}^{n}(1)\right|}\right)
$$

Let $1 \leq k \leq\left|\varphi_{\beta}^{n+1}(1)\right|$, and write $u_{1} \cdots u_{k}=\varphi_{\beta}\left(u_{1} \cdots u_{j-1}\right) v_{1} \cdots v_{i}$ with $1 \leq j \leq$ $\left|\varphi_{\beta}^{n}(1)\right|, 1 \leq i \leq\left|\varphi_{\beta}\left(u_{j}\right)\right|$, i.e., $v_{1} \cdots v_{i}$ is a non-empty prefix of $\varphi_{\beta}\left(u_{j}\right)$.

For any $x \in(0,1]$, we have $T_{\beta}\left(x^{-}\right)=\beta x-\lceil\beta x\rceil+1$, hence $L\left(\varphi_{\beta}(x)\right)=\beta x$ for $x \in \Delta_{\beta}$. This yields that

$$
z_{k}=L\left(u_{1} \cdots u_{k}\right)=\beta L\left(u_{1} \cdots u_{j-1}\right)+L\left(v_{1} \cdots v_{i}\right)=\beta z_{j-1}+i-1+v_{i}
$$

and $z_{k-1}=\beta z_{j-1}+i-1$, hence

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\frac{z_{k-1}}{\beta}, \frac{z_{k}}{\beta}\right)=\left[z_{j-1}+\frac{i-1}{\beta}, z_{j-1}+\frac{i-1+v_{i}}{\beta}\right) \subseteq\left[z_{j-1}, z_{j-1}+u_{j}\right)=\left[z_{j-1}, z_{j}\right),} \\
T_{\beta}^{n+1}\left(\left[\frac{z_{k-1}}{\beta^{n+1}}, \frac{z_{k}}{\beta^{n+1}}\right)\right)=T_{\beta}\left(\left[\frac{i-1}{\beta}, \frac{i-1+v_{i}}{\beta}\right)\right)=\left[0, v_{i}\right)=\left[0, u_{k}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Moreover, we have $L\left(\varphi_{\beta}^{n+1}(1)\right)=\beta L\left(\varphi_{\beta}^{n}(1)\right)=\beta^{n+1}$, thus the statements hold for $n+1$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. By Lemma 2.1, we have $z_{\left|\varphi_{\beta}^{n}(1)\right|}=\beta^{n}$ for all $n \geq 0$, thus $[0,1)$ is split into the intervals $\left[z_{k-1} / \beta^{n}, z_{k} / \beta^{n}\right), 1 \leq k \leq\left|\varphi_{\beta}^{n}(1)\right|$. Therefore, Lemma 2.1 yields that

$$
T_{\beta}^{-n}(0)=\left\{z_{k-1} / \beta^{n}\left|1 \leq k \leq\left|\varphi_{\beta}^{n}(1)\right|\right\}\right.
$$

hence

$$
\bigcup_{n \geq 0} \beta^{n} T_{\beta}^{-n}(0)=\left\{z_{k} \mid k \geq 0\right\}
$$

Since $u_{k} \in \Delta_{\beta}$ for all $k \geq 1$ and $u_{\left|\varphi^{n}(1)\right|}=T_{\beta}^{n}\left(1^{-}\right)$for all $n \geq 0$, we have

$$
\left\{z_{k}-z_{k-1} \mid k \geq 1\right\}=\left\{u_{k} \mid k \geq 1\right\}=\Delta_{\beta}
$$

For the sets $S_{\beta}(x)$, Lemma 2.1 gives the following corollary.

Corollary 2.1. For any $x \in[0,1)$, we have

$$
S_{\beta}(x)=\left\{z_{k}+x \mid k \geq 0, u_{k+1}>x\right\} \subseteq x+S_{\beta}(0)
$$

In particular, we have $S_{\beta}(x)-x=S_{\beta}(y)-y$ for all $x, y \in[0,1)$ with $(x, y] \cap$ $\Delta_{\beta}=\emptyset$. From the definition of $S_{\beta}(x)$ and since $x \in \beta T_{\beta}^{-1}(x)$, we also get that

$$
S_{\beta}(x)=\bigcup_{y \in T_{\beta}^{-1}(x)} \beta S_{\beta}(y) \quad(x \in[0,1))
$$

This shows that $S_{\beta}(x)$ is the solution of a graph-directed iterated function system (GIFS) when $\beta$ is a Parry number, cf. [15], Section 3.2.

## 3. $(-\beta)$-INTEGERS

We now turn to the discussion of $(-\beta)$-integers and sets $S_{-\beta}(x), x \in\left[\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right)$.
Lemma 3.1. For any $\beta>1, x \in\left[\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right)$, we have

$$
S_{-\beta}(x)=\bigcup_{n \geq 0}(-\beta)^{n}\left(T_{-\beta}^{-n}(x) \backslash\left\{\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right\}\right)=\bigcup_{y \in T_{-\beta}^{-1}(x)}(-\beta) S_{-\beta}(y)
$$

For any $y \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a unique $x \in\left[\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right)$ such that $y \in S_{-\beta}(x)$. If $T_{-\beta}(x)=x$, then $S_{-\beta}(x)=\bigcup_{n \geq 0}(-\beta)^{n} T_{-\beta}^{-n}(x)$, in particular $S_{-\beta}(0)=\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta}$.

Proof. If $y \in S_{-\beta}(x)$, then we have $\frac{y}{(-\beta)^{n}} \in T_{-\beta}^{-n}(x)$ for all sufficiently large $n$, thus $y \in(-\beta)^{n}\left(T_{-\beta}^{-n}(x) \backslash\left\{\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right\}\right)$ for some $n \geq 0$. On the other hand, $y \in$ $(-\beta)^{n}\left(T_{-\beta}^{-n}(x) \backslash\left\{\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right\}\right)$ for some $n \geq 0$ implies that $T_{-\beta}^{m}\left(\frac{y}{(-\beta)^{m}}\right)=T_{-\beta}^{n}\left(\frac{y}{(-\beta)^{n}}\right)=$ $x$ for all $m \geq n$, thus $y \in S_{-\beta}(x)$. This shows the first equation. Since $x \in$ $\left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right)$ implies that $x \in(-\beta)\left(T_{-\beta}^{-1}(x) \backslash\left\{\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right\}\right)$, we obtain that $S_{-\beta}(x)=$ $\bigcup_{y \in T_{-\beta}^{-1}(x)}(-\beta) S_{-\beta}(y)$ for all $x \in\left[\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right)$.

For any $y \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $y \in S_{-\beta}\left(T_{-\beta}^{n}\left(\frac{y}{(-\beta)^{n}}\right)\right)$ for all $n \geq 0$ such that $\frac{y}{(-\beta)^{n}} \in$ $\left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right)$, thus $y \in S_{-\beta}(x)$ for some $x \in\left[\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right)$. To show that this $x$ is unique, let $y \in S_{-\beta}(x)$ and $y \in S_{-\beta}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ for some $x, x^{\prime} \in\left[\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right)$. Then we have $y \in(-\beta)^{n}\left(T_{-\beta}^{-n}(x) \backslash\left\{\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right\}\right)$ and $y \in(-\beta)^{m}\left(T_{-\beta}^{-m}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \backslash\left\{\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right\}\right)$ for some $m, n \geq 0$, thus $x=T_{-\beta}^{n}\left(\frac{y}{(-\beta)^{n}}\right)=T_{-\beta}^{m}\left(\frac{y}{(-\beta)^{m}}\right)=x^{\prime}$.

If $T_{-\beta}^{n}\left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right)=x=T_{-\beta}(x)$, then $T_{-\beta}^{n+2}\left(\frac{-\beta^{-1}}{\beta+1}\right)=T_{-\beta}^{n+1}\left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right)=T_{-\beta}(x)=x$ yields that $(-\beta)^{n} \frac{-\beta}{\beta+1} \in S_{-\beta}(x)$, which shows that $S_{-\beta}(x)=\bigcup_{n \geq 0}(-\beta)^{n} T_{-\beta}^{-n}(x)$ when $T_{-\beta}(x)=x$.

The first two statements of the following proposition can also be found in [1].

Proposition 3.1. For any $\beta>1$, we have $(-\beta) \mathbb{Z}_{-\beta} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{-\beta}$.
If $\beta<(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2$, then $\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta}=\{0\}$.
If $\beta \geq(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2$, then

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta} \cap(-\beta)^{n}[-\beta, 1]=\left\{(-\beta)^{n},(-\beta)^{n+1}\right\} \cup(-\beta)^{n+2}\left(T_{-\beta}^{-n-2}(0) \cap\left(\frac{-1}{\beta}, \frac{1}{\beta^{2}}\right)\right)
$$

for all $n \geq 0$, in particular

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta} \cap[-\beta, 1]=\left\{\begin{array}{r}
\{-\beta,-\beta+1, \ldots,-\beta+\lfloor\beta\rfloor, 0,1\} \quad \text { if } \beta^{2} \geq\lfloor\beta\rfloor(\beta+1) \\
\{-\beta,-\beta+1, \ldots,-\beta+\lfloor\beta\rfloor-1,0,1\}
\end{array} \text { if } \beta^{2}<\lfloor\beta\rfloor(\beta+1) .\right.
$$

Proof. The inclusion $(-\beta) \mathbb{Z}_{-\beta} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{-\beta}$ is a consequence of Lemma 3.1 and $0 \in$ $T_{-\beta}^{-1}(0)$.

If $\beta<\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$, then $\frac{-1}{\beta}<\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}$, hence $T_{-\beta}^{-1}(0)=\{0\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta}=\{0\}$, see Figure 1 (right).

If $\beta \geq \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$, then $\frac{-1}{\beta} \in T_{-\beta}^{-1}(0)$ implies $1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{-\beta}$, thus $(-\beta)^{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{-\beta}$ for all $n \geq 0$. Clearly,

$$
(-\beta)^{n+2}\left(T_{-\beta}^{-n-2}(0) \cap\left(\frac{-1}{\beta}, \frac{1}{\beta^{2}}\right)\right) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{-\beta} \cap(-\beta)^{n}(-\beta, 1)
$$

To show the other inclusion, let $z \in(-\beta)^{m} T_{-\beta}^{-m}(0) \cap(-\beta)^{n}(-\beta, 1)$ for some $m \geq 0$. If $z \neq(-\beta)^{m} \frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}$, then $\frac{z}{(-\beta)^{m}} \in\left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right)$ and $\frac{z}{(-\beta)^{n+2}} \in\left(\frac{-1}{\beta}, \frac{1}{\beta^{2}}\right) \subseteq\left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right)$ imply that $T_{-\beta}^{n+2}\left(\frac{z}{(-\beta)^{n+2}}\right)=T_{-\beta}^{m}\left(\frac{z}{(-\beta)^{m}}\right)=0$. If $z=(-\beta)^{m} \frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{-\beta}^{n+2}\left(\frac{z}{(-\beta)^{n+2}}\right) & =T_{-\beta}^{n+2}\left(\frac{(-\beta)^{m-n-1}}{\beta+1}\right)=T_{-\beta}^{m+2}\left(\frac{-\beta^{-1}}{\beta+1}\right) \\
& =T_{-\beta}^{m+1}\left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right)=T_{-\beta}(0)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used that $\frac{z}{(-\beta)^{n+2}} \in\left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right)$ implies $m \leq n$. Therefore, we have $z \in(-\beta)^{n+2} T_{-\beta}^{-n-2}(0)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{Z}_{-\beta} \cap(-\beta)^{n}(-\beta, 1)$.

Consider now $n=0$, then

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta} \cap[-\beta, 1]=\{-\beta, 1\} \cup\left\{z \in(-\beta, 1) \mid T_{-\beta}^{2}\left(z / \beta^{2}\right)=0\right\}
$$

Since $\frac{-\lfloor\beta\rfloor}{\beta} \geq \frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}$ if and only if $\beta^{2} \geq\lfloor\beta\rfloor(\beta+1)$, we obtain that

$$
(-\beta) T_{-\beta}^{-1}(0)=\left\{\begin{array}{rr}
\{0,1, \ldots,\lfloor\beta\rfloor\} & \text { if } \beta^{2} \geq\lfloor\beta\rfloor(\beta+1) \\
\{0,1, \ldots,\lfloor\beta\rfloor-1\} & \text { if } \beta^{2}<\lfloor\beta\rfloor(\beta+1)
\end{array}\right.
$$

If $T_{-\beta}^{2}\left(z / \beta^{2}\right)=0$, then $z=-a_{1} \beta+a_{0}$ with $a_{0} \in(-\beta) T_{-\beta}^{-1}(0), a_{1} \in\{0,1, \ldots,\lfloor\beta\rfloor\}$, and $\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta} \cap[-\beta, 1]$ consists of those numbers $-a_{1} \beta+a_{0}$ lying in $[-\beta, 1]$.

Proposition 3.1 shows that the maximal difference between consecutive $(-\beta)$ integers exceeds 1 whenever $\beta^{2}<\lfloor\beta\rfloor(\beta+1)$, i.e., $T_{-\beta}\left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right)<0$. For an example, this was also proved in [1]. In Examples 3.3 and 3.4, we see that the distance between two consecutive $(-\beta)$-integers can be even greater than 2 , and the structure of $\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta}$ can be quite complicated. Therefore, we adapt a slightly different strategy as for $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$.

In the following, we always assume that the set

$$
V_{\beta}^{\prime}=V_{\beta} \cup\{0\}=\left\{\left.T_{-\beta}^{n}\left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right) \right\rvert\, n \geq 0\right\} \cup\{0\}
$$

is finite, i.e., $\beta$ is an Yrrap number, and let $\beta$ be fixed. For $x \in V_{\beta}^{\prime}$, let
$r_{x}=\min \left\{\left.y \in V_{\beta}^{\prime} \cup\left\{\frac{1}{\beta+1}\right\} \right\rvert\, y>x\right\}, \widehat{x}=\frac{x+r_{x}}{2}, J_{x}=\{x\}$ and $\quad J_{\widehat{x}}=\left(x, r_{x}\right)$.
Then $\left\{J_{a} \mid a \in A_{\beta}\right\}$ forms a partition of $\left[\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right)$, where

$$
A_{\beta}=V_{\beta}^{\prime} \cup \widehat{V}_{\beta}^{\prime}, \quad \text { with } \quad \widehat{V}_{\beta}^{\prime}=\left\{\widehat{x} \mid x \in V_{\beta}^{\prime}\right\}
$$

We have $T_{-\beta}\left(J_{x}\right)=J_{T_{-\beta}(x)}$ for every $x \in V_{\beta}^{\prime}$, and the following lemma shows that the image of every $J_{\widehat{x}}, x \in V_{\beta}^{\prime}$, is a union of intervals $J_{a}, a \in A_{\beta}$.
Lemma 3.2. Let $x \in V_{\beta}^{\prime}$ and write

$$
J_{\widehat{x}} \cap T_{-\beta}^{-1}\left(V_{\beta}^{\prime}\right)=\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right\}, \quad \text { with } \quad x=y_{0}<y_{1}<\cdots<y_{m}<y_{m+1}=r_{x}
$$

For any $0 \leq i \leq m$, we have
$T_{-\beta}\left(\left(y_{i}, y_{i+1}\right)\right)=J_{\widehat{x_{i}}} \quad$ with $\quad x_{i}=\lim _{y \rightarrow y_{i+1}-} T_{-\beta}(y)$, i.e., $\widehat{x_{i}}=T_{-\beta}\left(\frac{y_{i}+y_{i+1}}{2}\right)$,
and $\beta\left(y_{i+1}-y_{i}\right)=\lambda\left(J_{\widehat{x}_{i}}\right)$, where $\lambda$ denotes the Lebesgue measure.
Proof. Since $T_{-\beta}$ maps no point in $\left(y_{i}, y_{i+1}\right)$ to $\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1} \in V_{\beta}^{\prime}$, the map is continuous on this interval and $\lambda\left(T_{-\beta}\left(\left(y_{i}, y_{i+1}\right)\right)\right)=\beta\left(y_{i+1}-y_{i}\right)$. We have $x_{i} \in V_{\beta}^{\prime}$ since $x_{i}=T_{-\beta}\left(y_{i+1}\right)$ in case $y_{i+1}<\frac{1}{\beta+1}$, and $x_{i}=\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}$ in case $y_{i+1}=\frac{1}{\beta+1}$. Since $y_{i}=\max \left\{y \in T_{-\beta}^{-1}\left(V_{\beta}^{\prime}\right) \mid y<y_{i+1}\right\}$, we obtain that $r_{x_{i}}=\lim _{y \rightarrow y_{i}+} T_{-\beta}(y)$, thus $T_{-\beta}\left(\left(y_{i}, y_{i+1}\right)\right)=\left(x_{i}, r_{x_{i}}\right)$.

In view of Lemma 3.2, we define an anti-morphism $\psi_{\beta}: A_{\beta}^{*} \rightarrow A_{\beta}^{*}$ by

$$
\psi_{\beta}(x)=T_{-\beta}(x) \quad \text { and } \quad \psi_{\beta}(\widehat{x})=\widehat{x_{m}} T_{-\beta}\left(y_{m}\right) \cdots \widehat{x_{1}} T_{-\beta}\left(y_{1}\right) \widehat{x_{0}} \quad\left(x \in V_{\beta}^{\prime}\right)
$$

with $m, x_{i}$ and $y_{i}$ as in Lemma 3.2. Here, anti-morphism means that $\psi_{\beta}(u v)=$ $\psi_{\beta}(v) \psi_{\beta}(u)$ for all $u, v \in A_{\beta}^{*}$. Now, the last letter of $\psi_{\beta}(\widehat{0})$ is $\widehat{t}$, with $t=\max \{x \in$ $\left.V_{\beta} \mid x<0\right\}$, and the first letter of $\psi_{\beta}(\widehat{t})$ is $\widehat{0}$. Therefore, $\psi_{\beta}^{2 n}(\widehat{0})$ is a prefix of $\psi_{\beta}^{2 n+2}(\widehat{0})=\psi_{\beta}^{2 n}\left(\psi_{\beta}^{2}(\widehat{0})\right)$ and $\psi_{\beta}^{2 n+1}(\widehat{0})$ is a suffix of $\psi_{\beta}^{2 n+3}(\widehat{0})$ for every $n \geq 0$.

Theorem 3.1. For any Yrrap number $\beta \geq(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2$, we have

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta}=\left\{z_{k} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}, u_{2 k}=0\right\} \quad \text { with } \quad z_{k}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda\left(J_{u_{2 j-1}}\right) & \text { if } k \geq 0 \\
-\sum_{j=1}^{|k|} \lambda\left(J_{u_{-2 j+1}}\right) & \text { if } k \leq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\cdots u_{-1} u_{0} u_{1} \cdots$ is the two-sided infinite word on the finite alphabet $A_{\beta}$ such that $u_{0}=0, \psi_{\beta}^{2 n}(\widehat{0})$ is a prefix of $u_{1} u_{2} \cdots$ and $\psi_{\beta}^{2 n+1}(\widehat{0})$ is a suffix of $\cdots u_{-2} u_{-1}$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Note that $\cdots u_{-1} u_{0} u_{1} \cdots$ is a fixed point of $\psi_{\beta}$, with $u_{0}$ being mapped to $u_{0}$.
The following lemma is the analogue of Lemma 2.1. We use the notation

$$
L(v)=\sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda\left(J_{v_{j}}\right) \quad \text { if } v=v_{1} \cdots v_{k} \in A_{\beta}^{k} .
$$

Note that $u_{2 k} \in V_{\beta}^{\prime}$ and $u_{2 k+1} \in \widehat{V}_{\beta}^{\prime}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, thus $\lambda\left(J_{u_{2 k}}\right)=0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Lemma 3.3. For any $n \geq 0,0 \leq k<\left|\psi_{\beta}^{n}(\widehat{0})\right| / 2$, we have

$$
T_{-\beta}^{n}\left(\frac{z_{(-1)^{n} k}}{(-\beta)^{n}}\right)=u_{(-1)^{n} 2 k}, \quad T_{-\beta}^{n}\left(\left(\frac{z_{(-1)^{n} k}}{(-\beta)^{n}}, \frac{z_{(-1)^{n}(k+1)}}{(-\beta)^{n}}\right)\right)=J_{u_{(-1)^{n}(2 k+1)}}
$$

and $z_{(-1)^{n}\left(\left|\psi_{\beta}^{n}(\widehat{0})\right|+1\right) / 2}=(-1)^{n} L\left(\psi_{\beta}^{n}(\widehat{0})\right)=\lambda\left(J_{\widehat{0}}\right)(-\beta)^{n}=r_{0}(-\beta)^{n}$.
Proof. The statements are true for $n=0$ since $\left|\psi_{\beta}^{0}(\widehat{0})\right|=1, z_{0}=0, z_{1}=\lambda\left(J_{\widehat{0}}\right)=$ $r_{0}$.

Suppose that they hold for even $n$, and consider

$$
u_{-\left|\psi_{\beta}^{n+1}(\widehat{0})\right|} \cdots u_{-2} u_{-1}=\psi_{\beta}^{n+1}(\widehat{0})=\psi_{\beta}\left(\psi_{\beta}^{n}(\widehat{0})\right)=\psi_{\beta}\left(u_{\left|\psi_{\beta}^{n}(\widehat{0})\right|}\right) \cdots \psi_{\beta}\left(u_{2}\right) \psi_{\beta}\left(u_{1}\right)
$$

Let $0 \leq k<\left|\psi_{\beta}^{n+1}(\widehat{0})\right| / 2$, and write

$$
u_{-2 k-1} \cdots u_{-1}=v_{-2 i-1} \cdots v_{-1} \psi_{\beta}\left(u_{1} \cdots u_{2 j}\right)
$$

with $0 \leq j<\left|\psi_{\beta}^{n}(\widehat{0})\right| / 2,0 \leq i<\left|\psi_{\beta}\left(u_{2 j+1}\right)\right| / 2$, i.e., $u_{-2 i-1} \cdots u_{-1}$ is a suffix of $\psi_{\beta}\left(u_{2 j+1}\right)$.

By Lemma 3.2, we have $L\left(\psi_{\beta}(\widehat{x})\right)=\beta \lambda\left(J_{\widehat{x}}\right)$ for any $x \in V_{\beta}^{\prime}$. This yields that

$$
-z_{-k-1}=\beta L\left(u_{1} \cdots u_{2 j}\right)+L\left(v_{-2 i-1} \cdots v_{-1}\right)=\beta z_{j}+L\left(v_{-2 i-1} \cdots v_{-1}\right)
$$

and $-z_{-k}=\beta z_{j}+L\left(v_{-2 i} \cdots v_{-1}\right)$. By the induction hypothesis, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{-\beta}^{n+1}\left(\frac{z_{-k}}{(-\beta)^{n+1}}\right) & =T_{-\beta}^{n+1}\left(\frac{z_{j}}{(-\beta)^{n}}-\frac{L\left(v_{-2 i} \cdots v_{-1}\right)}{(-\beta)^{n+1}}\right) \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
T_{-\beta}\left(u_{2 j}\right)=\psi_{\beta}\left(u_{2 j}\right)=u_{-2 k} \\
T_{-\beta}\left(x+L\left(v_{-2 i} \cdots v_{-1}\right) / \beta\right)=T_{-\beta}\left(y_{i}\right)=v_{-2 i}=u_{-2 k} \\
\text { if } i=0
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where the $y_{i}$ 's are the numbers from Lemma 3.2 for $\widehat{x}=u_{2 j+1}$, and

$$
T_{-\beta}^{n+1}\left(\left(\frac{z_{-k}}{(-\beta)^{n+1}}, \frac{z_{-k-1}}{(-\beta)^{n+1}}\right)\right)=T_{-\beta}\left(\left(y_{i}, y_{i+1}\right)\right)=J_{v_{-2 i-1}}=J_{u_{-2 k-1}}
$$

Moreover, we have $L\left(\psi_{\beta}^{n+1}(\widehat{0})\right)=\beta L\left(\psi_{\beta}^{n}(\widehat{0})\right)=r_{0} \beta^{n+1}$, thus the statements hold for $n+1$.

The proof for odd $n$ runs along the same lines and is therefore omitted.
Proof of Theorem 3.1. By Lemma 3.3, we have $z_{(-1)^{n}\left(\left|\psi_{\beta}^{n}(0)\right|+1\right) / 2}=r_{0}(-\beta)^{n}$ for all $n \geq 0$, thus $\left[0, r_{0}\right)$ splits into the intervals $\left(z_{(-1)^{n} k}(-\beta)^{-n}, z_{(-1)^{n}(k+1)}(-\beta)^{-n}\right)$ and points $z_{(-1)^{n} k}(-\beta)^{-n}, 0 \leq k<\left|\psi_{\beta}^{n}(\widehat{0})\right| / 2$, hence

$$
T_{-\beta}^{-n}(0) \cap\left[0, r_{0}\right)=\left\{z_{(-1)^{n} k}(-\beta)^{-n}\left|0 \leq k<\left|\psi_{\beta}^{n}(\widehat{0})\right| / 2, u_{(-1)^{n} 2 k}=0\right\}\right.
$$

Let $m \geq 1$ be such that $\beta^{2 m} r_{0} \geq \frac{1}{\beta+1}$. Then we have $\left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right) \subseteq$ $\left(-\beta^{2 m+1} r_{0}, \beta^{2 m} r_{0}\right)$, and
$T_{-\beta}^{-n}(0) \backslash\left\{\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right\} \subseteq(-\beta)^{2 m}\left(T_{-\beta}^{-n-2 m}(0) \cap\left[0, r_{0}\right)\right) \cup(-\beta)^{2 m+1}\left(T_{-\beta}^{-n-2 m-1}(0) \cap\left[0, r_{0}\right)\right)$,
thus

$$
\bigcup_{n \geq 0}(-\beta)^{n}\left(T_{-\beta}^{-n}(0) \backslash\left\{\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right\}\right)=\bigcup_{n \geq 0}(-\beta)^{n}\left(T_{-\beta}^{-n}(0) \cap\left[0, r_{0}\right)\right)=\left\{z_{k} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}, u_{2 k}=0\right\}
$$

Together with Lemma 3.1, this proves the theorem.
As in the case of positive bases, the word $\cdots u_{-1} u_{0} u_{1} \cdots$ also describes the sets $S_{-\beta}(x)$. Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.3 give the following corollary.

Corollary 3.1. For any $x \in V_{\beta}^{\prime}, y \in J_{\widehat{x}}$, we have $S_{-\beta}(x)=\left\{z_{k} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}, u_{2 k}=x\right\} \quad$ and $\quad S_{-\beta}(y)=\left\{z_{k}+y-x \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}, u_{2 k+1}=\widehat{x}\right\}$.

Lemma 3.1 and Corollary 3.1 imply that $S_{-\beta}(x)$ is the solution of a GIFS for any Yrrap number $\beta \geq(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2, x \in\left[\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right)$,cf. the end of Section 2.

Recall that our main goal is to understand the structure of $\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta}$ (in case $\beta \geq$ $(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2)$, i.e., to describe the occurrences of 0 in the word $\cdots u_{-1} u_{0} u_{1} \cdots$ defined in Theorem 3.1 and the words between two successive occurrences. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{\beta}=\left\{u_{2 k} u_{2 k+1} \cdots u_{2 s(k)-1} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}, u_{2 k}=0\right\} \\
& \text { with } s(k)=\min \left\{j \in \mathbb{Z} \mid u_{2 j}=0, j>k\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

be the set of return words of 0 in $\cdots u_{-1} u_{0} u_{1} \cdots$.

Note that $s(k)$ is defined for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ since $(-\beta)^{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{-\beta}$ for all $n \geq 0$ by Proposition 3.1.

For any $w \in R_{\beta}$, the word $\psi_{\beta}(w 0)$ is a factor of $\cdots u_{-1} u_{0} u_{1} \cdots$ starting and ending with 0 , thus we can write $\psi_{\beta}(w 0)=w_{1} \cdots w_{m} 0$ with $w_{j} \in R_{\beta}, 1 \leq j \leq m$, and set

$$
\varphi_{-\beta}(w)=w_{1} \cdots w_{m}
$$

This defines an anti-morphism $\varphi_{-\beta}: R_{\beta}^{*} \rightarrow R_{\beta}^{*}$, which plays the role of the $\beta$-substitution.

Since $\cdots u_{-1} u_{0} u_{1} \cdots$ is generated by $u_{1}=\widehat{0}$, as described in Theorem 3.1, we consider $w_{\beta}=u_{0} u_{1} \cdots u_{2 s(0)-1}$. We have

$$
[0,1]=\left[0, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right) \cup\left[\frac{1}{\beta+1}, 1\right], \quad T_{-\beta}\left((-\beta)^{-1}\left[\frac{1}{\beta+1}, 1\right]\right)=\left[\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, 0\right]
$$

thus $L\left(w_{\beta}\right)=1$ and

$$
w_{\beta}=0 \widehat{0} x_{1} \widehat{x_{1}} \cdots x_{m} \widehat{x_{m}} x_{-\ell} \widehat{x_{-\ell}} \cdots x_{-1} \widehat{x_{-1}}
$$

where the $x_{j}$ are defined by $V_{\beta}^{\prime}=\left\{x_{-\ell}, \ldots, x_{-1}, 0, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right\}, x_{-\ell}<\cdots<x_{-1}<$ $0<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{m}$.

Theorem 3.2. For any Yrrap number $\beta \geq(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2$, we have

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta}=\left\{z_{k}^{\prime} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \quad \text { with } \quad z_{k}^{\prime}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\sum_{j=1}^{k} L\left(u_{j}^{\prime}\right) & \text { if } k \geq 0 \\
-\sum_{j=1}^{|k|} L\left(u_{-j}^{\prime}\right) & \text { if } k \leq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\cdots u_{-2}^{\prime} u_{-1}^{\prime} u_{1}^{\prime} u_{2}^{\prime} \cdots$ is the two-sided infinite word on the finite alphabet $R_{\beta}$ such that $\varphi_{-\beta}^{2 n}\left(w_{\beta}\right)$ is a prefix of $u_{1}^{\prime} u_{2}^{\prime} \cdots$ and $\varphi_{-\beta}^{2 n+1}\left(w_{\beta}\right)$ is a suffix of $\cdots u_{-2}^{\prime} u_{-1}^{\prime}$ for all $n \geq 0$.

The set of distances between consecutive $(-\beta)$-integers is

$$
\Delta_{-\beta}=\left\{z_{k+1}^{\prime}-z_{k}^{\prime} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}=\left\{L(w) \mid w \in R_{\beta}\right\}
$$

Note that the index 0 is omitted in $\cdots u_{-2}^{\prime} u_{-1}^{\prime} u_{1}^{\prime} u_{2}^{\prime} \cdots$ for reasons of symmetry.
Proof. The definitions of $\cdots u_{-1} u_{0} u_{1} \cdots$ in Theorem 3.1 and of $\varphi_{-\beta}$ imply that $\cdots u_{-2}^{\prime} u_{-1}^{\prime} u_{1}^{\prime} u_{2}^{\prime} \cdots$ is the derived word of $\cdots u_{-1} u_{0} u_{1} \cdots$ with respect to $R_{\beta}$, that is

$$
u_{k}^{\prime}=u_{\left|u_{1}^{\prime} \cdots u_{k-1}^{\prime}\right|} \cdots u_{\left|u_{1}^{\prime} \cdots u_{k}^{\prime}\right|-1}, \quad u_{-k}^{\prime}=u_{-\left|u_{-k}^{\prime} \cdots u_{-1}^{\prime}\right|} \cdots u_{-\left|u_{1-k}^{\prime} \cdots u_{-1}^{\prime}\right|-1} \quad(k \geq 1)
$$

with

$$
\left\{\left|u_{1}^{\prime} \cdots u_{k-1}^{\prime}\right| \mid k \geq 1\right\} \cup\left\{-\left|u_{-k}^{\prime} \cdots u_{-1}^{\prime}\right| \mid k \geq 1\right\}=\left\{k \in \mathbb{Z} \mid u_{k}=0\right\} .
$$

Here, for any $v \in R_{\beta}^{*},|v|$ denotes the length of $v$ as a word in $A_{\beta}^{*}$, not in $R_{\beta}^{*}$. Since

$$
z_{k}^{\prime}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} L\left(u_{j}^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{\left|u_{1}^{\prime} \cdots u_{k}^{\prime}\right|-1} \lambda\left(J_{u_{j}}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{\left|u_{1}^{\prime} \cdots u_{k}^{\prime}\right|} \lambda\left(J_{u_{j}}\right), \quad z_{-k}^{\prime}=-\sum_{j=1}^{k} L\left(u_{-j}^{\prime}\right)=-\sum_{j=1}^{\left|u_{-k}^{\prime} \cdots u_{-1}^{\prime}\right|} \lambda\left(J_{u_{-j}}\right)
$$

for all $k \geq 0$, Theorem 3.1 yields that $\left\{z_{k}^{\prime} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}=\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta}$.
It follows from the definition of $R_{\beta}$ that $\Delta_{-\beta}=\left\{L(w) \mid w \in R_{\beta}\right\}$.
It remains to show that $R_{\beta}$ is a finite set. We first show that the restriction of $\psi_{\beta}$ to $\widehat{V_{\beta}^{\prime}}$ is primitive, which means that there exists some $m \geq 1$ such that, for every $x \in V_{\beta}^{\prime}, \psi_{\beta}^{m}(\widehat{x})$ contains all elements of $\widehat{V}_{\beta}^{\prime}$. The proof is taken from [13], Proposition 8. If $\beta>2$, then the largest connected pieces of images of $J_{\widehat{x}}$ under $T_{-\beta}$ grow until they cover two consecutive discontinuity points $\frac{1}{\beta+1}-\frac{a+1}{\beta}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}-\frac{a}{\beta}$ of $T_{-\beta}$, and the next image covers all intervals $J_{\widehat{y}}, y \in V_{\beta}^{\prime}$. If $\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}<\beta \leq 2$, then $\beta^{2}>2$ implies that the largest connected pieces of images of $J_{\widehat{x}}$ under $T_{-\beta}^{2}$ grow until they cover two consecutive discontinuity points of $T_{-\beta}^{2}$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{-\beta}^{2}\left(\left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{\beta^{-2}}{\beta+1}-\frac{1}{\beta}\right)\right) & =\left(\frac{-\beta^{3}+\beta^{2}+\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right) \\
T_{-\beta}^{2}\left(\left(\frac{\beta^{-2}}{\beta+1}-\frac{1}{\beta}, \frac{-\beta^{-1}}{\beta+1}\right)\right) & =\left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{\beta^{2}-\beta-1}{\beta+1}\right) \\
T_{-\beta}^{2}\left(\left(\frac{-\beta^{-1}}{\beta+1}, \frac{\beta^{-2}}{\beta+1}\right)\right) & =\left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right) \\
T_{-\beta}^{2}\left(\left(\frac{\beta^{-2}}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right)\right) & =\left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{\beta^{2}-\beta-1}{\beta+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

the next image covers the fixed point 0 , and further images grow until after a finite number of steps they cover all intervals $J_{\widehat{y}}, y \in V_{\beta}^{\prime}$. The case $\beta=\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ is treated in Example 3.1.

If $T_{-\beta}^{n}\left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right) \neq 0$ for all $n \geq 0$, then $T_{-\beta}^{n}$ is continuous at all points $x \in$ $\left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right)$ with $T_{-\beta}^{n}(x)=0$, thus $u_{2 k}=0$ is equivalent to $u_{2 k+1}=\widehat{0}$ (see also Prop. 3.2 below). Hence we can consider the return words of $\widehat{0}$ in $\cdots u_{-1} u_{0} u_{1} \cdots$ instead of the return words of 0 . Since $\psi_{\beta}^{m}\left(\widehat{x_{0}} x_{1} \widehat{x_{2}}\right)$ has at least two occurrences of $\widehat{0}$ for all $x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2} \in V_{\beta}^{\prime}$, there are only finitely many such return words. If $T_{-\beta}^{n}\left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right)=0$, then $\psi_{\beta}^{n}\left(x_{0} \widehat{x_{1}} x_{2}\right)$ starts and ends with 0 for all $x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2} \in V_{\beta}^{\prime}$, hence $R_{\beta}$ is finite as well.

For details on derived words of primitive substitutive words, we refer to [7].
We remark that, for practical reasons, the set $R_{\beta}$ can be obtained from the set $R=\left\{w_{\beta}\right\}$ by adding to $R$ iteratively all return words of 0 which appear in $\psi_{\beta}(w 0)$ for some $w \in R$ until $R$ stabilises. The final set $R$ is equal to $R_{\beta}$.

Now, we apply the theorems in the case of two quadratic examples.

| A | A | B | A | B | A | A | B | A | A | B | A |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $-\beta^{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2^{2}-\beta \\ \beta^{3}+ \end{gathered}$ | $-\beta$ |  | +1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\beta^{4}$ 1 |

Figure 2. The $(-\beta)$-integers in $\left[-\beta^{3}, \beta^{4}\right], \beta=(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2$.

Example 3.1. Let $\beta=\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$, i.e., $\beta^{2}=\beta+1$, and $t=\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}=\frac{-1}{\beta}$, then $V_{\beta}=$ $V_{\beta}^{\prime}=\{t, 0\}$. Since

$$
J_{\widehat{t}}=(t, 0)=\left(t, \frac{-1}{\beta^{3}}\right) \cup\left\{\frac{-1}{\beta^{3}}\right\} \cup\left(\frac{-1}{\beta^{3}}, 0\right), \quad J_{\widehat{0}}=\left(0, \frac{1}{\beta^{2}}\right)
$$

see Figure 1 (middle), the anti-morphism $\psi_{\beta}$ on $A_{\beta}^{*}$ is defined by

$$
\psi_{\beta}: \quad t \mapsto 0, \quad \widehat{t} \mapsto \widehat{0} t \widehat{t}, \quad 0 \mapsto 0, \quad \widehat{0} \mapsto \widehat{t}
$$

Its two-sided fixed point $\cdots u_{-1} u_{0} u_{1} \cdots$ is

where $\dot{0}$ marks the central letter $u_{0}$. The return word of 0 starting at $u_{0}$ is $w_{\beta}=$ $0 \widehat{0} t \widehat{t}$. The image $\psi_{\beta}\left(w_{\beta} 0\right)=0 \widehat{0} t \widehat{t} 0 \widehat{t} 0$ contains the return words $w_{\beta}$ and $0 \widehat{t}$. Since $\psi_{\beta}(0 \widehat{t} 0)=0 \widehat{0} t \widehat{t} 0$, there are no other return words of 0 , i.e., $R_{\beta}=\{A, B\}$ with $A=0 \widehat{0} t \widehat{t}, B=0 \widehat{t}$. Therefore, $\cdots u_{-2}^{\prime} u_{-1}^{\prime} u_{1}^{\prime} u_{2}^{\prime} \cdots$ is a two-sided fixed point of the anti-morphism

$$
\varphi_{-\beta}: \quad A \mapsto A B, \quad B \mapsto A
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{-13}^{\prime} \cdots u_{-1}^{\prime} u_{1}^{\prime} \cdots u_{21}^{\prime}= \\
& A A B A A B A B A A B A B \quad A A B A A B A B A A B A A B A B A A B A B .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have $\lambda\left(J_{\widehat{0}}\right)=\frac{1}{\beta^{2}}, \lambda\left(J_{\overparen{t}}\right)=\frac{1}{\beta}$, thus $L(A)=1, L(B)=\frac{1}{\beta}=\beta-1$, and some $(-\beta)$-integers are shown in Figure 2. Note that $(-\beta)^{n}$ can also be represented as $(-\beta)^{n+2}+(-\beta)^{n+1}$.

Example 3.2. Let $\beta=\frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}$, i.e., $\beta^{2}=3 \beta-1$, then the $(-\beta)$-transformation is depicted in Figure 3, where $t_{0}=\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, t_{1}=T_{-\beta}\left(t_{0}\right)=\frac{\beta^{2}}{\beta+1}-2=\frac{-\beta^{-1}}{\beta+1}$,


| $A, B, B, A, B, A, B, B, A, B, B, A, B, A, B, B, A, B$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $-\beta^{3}$ | $-\beta^{3}+\beta^{2}-\beta-\beta^{3}+\beta^{2}+1-\beta^{3}+2 \beta^{2}-\beta+1$ | $-\beta+1$ | 0 | 1 | $\beta^{2}-2 \beta+1$ | $\beta^{2}$ |
| $-\beta^{3}+\beta^{2}-2 \beta+1$ | $-\beta^{3}+\beta^{2}-\beta^{3}+2 \beta^{2}-\beta$ | $-\beta$ |  | $\beta^{2}-\beta$ |  |  |
| $-\beta^{3}+1$ | $-\beta^{3}+\beta^{2}-\beta+1-\beta^{3}+2 \beta^{2}-2 \beta+1$ | $-2 \beta+1$ |  |  |  |  |

Figure 3. The $(-\beta)$-transformation and $\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta} \cap\left[-\beta^{3}, \beta^{2}\right], \beta=$ $(3+\sqrt{5}) / 2$.
$T_{-\beta}\left(t_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{\beta+1}-1=t_{0}$. Therefore, $V_{\beta}^{\prime}=\left\{t_{0}, t_{1}, 0\right\}$ and the anti-morphism $\psi_{\beta}$ : $A_{\beta}^{*} \rightarrow A_{\beta}^{*}$ is defined by
$\psi_{\beta}: \quad t_{0} \mapsto t_{1}, \quad \widehat{t_{0}} \mapsto \widehat{t_{0}} t_{1} \widehat{t_{1}} 0 \widehat{0} t_{0} \widehat{t_{0}}, \quad t_{1} \mapsto t_{0}, \quad \widehat{t_{1}} \mapsto \widehat{0}, \quad 0 \mapsto 0, \quad \widehat{0} \mapsto \widehat{t_{0}} t_{1} \widehat{t_{1}}$,
which has the two-sided fixed point

$$
\cdots \underbrace{0}_{\psi_{\beta}(0)} \underbrace{\widehat{0}}_{\psi_{\beta}\left(\hat{t_{1}}\right)} \underbrace{t_{0}}_{\psi_{\beta}\left(t_{1}\right)} \underbrace{\widehat{t_{0}} t_{1} \widehat{t_{1}} 0 \hat{0} t_{0} \widehat{t_{0}}}_{\psi_{\beta}\left(\widehat{t_{0}}\right)} \underbrace{t_{1}}_{\psi_{\beta}\left(t_{0}\right)} \underbrace{\widehat{t_{0}} t_{1} \widehat{t_{1}}}_{\psi_{\beta}(\hat{0})} \underbrace{\dot{0}}_{\psi_{\beta}(0)} \underbrace{\widehat{0}}_{\psi_{\beta}\left(\hat{t_{1}}\right)} \underbrace{t_{0}}_{\psi_{\beta}\left(t_{1}\right)} \underbrace{\widehat{t_{0}} t_{1} \widehat{t_{1}} 0 \widehat{0} t_{0} \widehat{t_{0}}}_{\psi_{\beta}\left(\widehat{t_{0}}\right)} \cdots
$$

where $\dot{0}$ marks the central letter $u_{0}$. We have $w_{\beta}=0 \widehat{0} t_{0} \widehat{t_{0}} t_{1} \widehat{t_{1}}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{\beta}: \quad 0 \widehat{0} t_{0} \widehat{t_{0}} t_{1} \widehat{t_{1}} 0 & \mapsto 0 \widehat{0} t_{0} \widehat{t_{0}} t_{1} \widehat{t_{1}} 0 \widehat{0} t_{0} \widehat{t_{0}} t_{1} \widehat{t_{0}} t_{1} \widehat{t_{1}} 0, \\
0 \widehat{0} t_{0} \widehat{t_{0}} t_{1} \widehat{t_{0}} t_{1} \widehat{t_{1}} 0 & \mapsto 0 \widehat{0} t_{0} \widehat{t_{0}} t_{1} \widehat{t_{1}} 0 \widehat{0} t_{0} \widehat{t_{0}} t_{0} \widehat{t_{0}} t_{1} \widehat{t_{1}} 0 \widehat{0} t_{0} \widehat{t_{0}} t_{1} \widehat{t_{0}} t_{1} \widehat{t_{1}} 0, \\
0 \widehat{0} t_{0} \widehat{t_{0}} t_{0} \widehat{t_{0}} t_{1} \widehat{t_{1}} 0 & \mapsto 0 \widehat{0} t_{0} \widehat{t_{0}} t_{1} \widehat{t_{1}} 0 \widehat{0} t_{0} \widehat{t_{0}} t_{1} \widehat{t_{0}} t_{1} \widehat{t_{1}} 0 \widehat{0} t_{0} \widehat{t_{0}} t_{1} \widehat{t_{0}} t_{1} \widehat{t_{1}} 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $0 \widehat{0} t_{0} \widehat{t_{0}} t_{1} \widehat{t_{0}} t_{1} \widehat{t_{1}}$ and $0 \widehat{0} t_{0} \widehat{t_{0}} t_{0} \widehat{t_{0}} t_{1} \widehat{t_{1}}$ differ only by a letter in $V_{\beta}^{\prime}$, and correspond therefore to intervals of the same length. Since the letters $t_{0}$ and $t_{1}$ are never mapped to 0 , we identify these two return words. This means that $R_{\beta}=\{A, B\}$ with $A=0 \widehat{0} t_{0} \widehat{t_{0}} t_{1} \widehat{t_{1}}, B=0 \widehat{0} t_{0} \widehat{t_{0}}\left\{t_{0}, t_{1}\right\} \widehat{t_{0}} t_{1} \widehat{t_{1}}$, and

$$
\cdots u_{-2}^{\prime} u_{-1}^{\prime} u_{1}^{\prime} u_{2}^{\prime} \cdots=\cdots A B B A B A B B A B B A B A B B A B A B B A B B A B \cdots
$$

is a two-sided fixed point of the anti-morphism

$$
\varphi_{-\beta}: \quad A \mapsto A B, \quad B \mapsto A B B
$$



Figure 4. The $(-\beta)$-transformation and $\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta} \cap\left[-\beta^{3}, \beta^{4}\right]$ from Example 3.3.

We have $L(A)=1, L(B)=\beta-1>1$, and some $(-\beta)$-integers are shown in Figure 3.

We remark that it is sufficient to consider the elements of $\widehat{V}_{\beta}^{\prime}$ when one is only interested in $\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta}$. This is made precise in the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2. Let $\beta$ and $\cdots u_{-1} u_{0} u_{1} \cdots$ be as in Theorem 3.1, $t=\max \{x \in$ $\left.V_{\beta} \mid x<0\right\}$. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}, u_{2 k}=0$ is equivalent to $u_{2 k-1}=\widehat{t}$ or $u_{2 k+1}=\widehat{0}$.

If $0 \notin V_{\beta}$ or the size of $V_{\beta}$ is even, then $u_{2 k}=0$ is equivalent to $u_{2 k-1}=\widehat{t}$.
If $0 \notin V_{\beta}$ or the size of $V_{\beta}$ is odd, then $u_{2 k}=0$ is equivalent to $u_{2 k+1}=\widehat{0}$.
Proof. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \geq 0$ such that $z_{k} / \beta^{2 m} \in\left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right)$. Then we have

- $u_{2 k}=0$ if and only if $T_{-\beta}^{2 m}\left(z_{k} / \beta^{2 m}\right)=0$,
- $u_{2 k-1}=\widehat{t}$ if and only if $\lim _{y \rightarrow z_{k}-} T_{-\beta}^{2 m}\left(y / \beta^{2 m}\right)=0$,
- $u_{2 k+1}=\widehat{0}$ if and only if $\lim _{y \rightarrow z_{k}+} T_{-\beta}^{2 m}\left(y / \beta^{2 m}\right)=0$.

Thus $u_{2 k}=0, u_{2 k-1}=\widehat{t}$ and $u_{2 k+1}=\widehat{0}$ are equivalent when $T_{-\beta}^{2 m}$ is continuous at $z_{k} / \beta^{2 m}$. Assume from now on that $z_{k} / \beta^{2 m}$ is a discontinuity point of $T_{-\beta}^{2 m}$. Then $T_{-\beta}^{\ell}\left(z_{k} / \beta^{2 m}\right)=\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}$ for some $1 \leq \ell \leq 2 m$ and, if $\ell$ is minimal with this property,

$$
\lim _{y \rightarrow z_{k}-} T_{-\beta}^{2\lfloor\ell / 2\rfloor+1}\left(y / \beta^{2 m}\right)=\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1} \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{y \rightarrow z_{k}+} T_{-\beta}^{2\lceil\ell / 2\rceil}\left(y / \beta^{2 m}\right)=\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}
$$



Figure 5. The $(-\beta)$-transformation and $\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta} \cap\left[-\beta, \beta^{2}\right]$ from Example 3.4.

Hence, if $0 \notin V_{\beta}$, we cannot have $u_{2 k}=0, u_{2 k-1}=\widehat{t}$ or $u_{2 k+1}=\widehat{0}$ at a discontinuity point, which proves the proposition in this case. If $0 \in V_{\beta}$, then $T_{-\beta}^{\# V_{\beta}-1}\left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right)=0$, thus

- $T_{-\beta}^{j}\left(z_{k} / \beta^{2 m}\right)=0$ if and only if $j \geq \ell+\# V_{\beta}-1$,
- $\lim _{y \rightarrow z_{k}-} T_{-\beta}^{j}\left(y / \beta^{2 m}\right)=0$ if and only if $j \geq 2\lfloor\ell / 2\rfloor+\# V_{\beta}$,
- $\lim _{y \rightarrow z_{k}+} T_{-\beta}^{j}\left(y / \beta^{2 m}\right)=0$ if and only if $j \geq 2\lceil\ell / 2\rceil+\# V_{\beta}-1$.

Since $2\lfloor\ell / 2\rfloor \geq \ell-1$ and $2\lceil\ell / 2\rceil \geq \ell$, we obtain $u_{2 k}=0$ whenever $u_{2 k-1}=\widehat{t}$ or $u_{2 k+1}=\widehat{0}$. If $\# V_{\beta}$ is even, then $u_{2 k}=0$ implies that $u_{2 k-1}=\widehat{t}$ since $2 m \geq$ $\ell+\# V_{\beta}-1$ implies that $2 m \geq 2\lfloor\ell / 2\rfloor+\# V_{\beta}$. If $\# V_{\beta}$ is odd, then $u_{2 k}=0$ implies that $u_{2 k+1}=\widehat{0}$ since $2 m \geq \ell+\# V_{\beta}-1$ implies that $2 m \geq 2\lceil\ell / 2\rceil+\# V_{\beta}-1$. This proves the proposition.

By Proposition 3.2, it suffices to consider the anti-morphism $\widehat{\psi}_{\beta}: \widehat{V}_{\beta}^{\prime *} \rightarrow \widehat{V}_{\beta}^{\prime *}$ defined by

$$
\widehat{\psi_{\beta}}(\widehat{x})=\widehat{x_{m}} \cdots \widehat{x_{1}} \widehat{x_{0}} \quad \text { when } \quad \psi_{\beta}(\widehat{x})=\widehat{x_{m}} T_{-\beta}\left(y_{m}\right) \cdots \widehat{x_{1}} T_{-\beta}\left(y_{1}\right) \widehat{x_{0}} \quad\left(x \in V_{\beta}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Then $\Delta_{-\beta}$ is given by the set $\widehat{R}_{\beta}$ which consists of the return words of $\widehat{0}$ when $0 \notin V_{\beta}$ or the size of $V_{\beta}$ is odd. When $0 \in V_{\beta}$ and the size of $V_{\beta}$ is even, as in Example 3.1, then $\widehat{R}_{\beta}$ consists of the words $w \widehat{t}$ such that $\widehat{t} w$ is a return word of $\widehat{t}$.

Example 3.3. Let $\beta>1$ with $\beta^{3}=2 \beta^{2}+1$, i.e., $\beta \approx 2.206$, and let $t_{n}=$ $T_{-\beta}^{n}\left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right)$ for $n \geq 0$. Then we have
$t_{0}=\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \quad t_{1}=\frac{\beta^{2}}{\beta+1}-2=\frac{\beta^{-1}-2}{\beta+1}, \quad t_{2}=\frac{2 \beta-1}{\beta+1}-1=\frac{\beta^{-2}}{\beta+1}$,

$$
t_{3}=\frac{-\beta^{-1}}{\beta+1}, \quad t_{4}=\frac{1}{\beta+1}-1=t_{0}
$$

see Figure 4. The anti-morphism $\widehat{\psi}_{\beta}: \widehat{V}_{\beta}^{\prime *} \rightarrow \widehat{V}_{\beta}^{\prime *}$ is therefore defined by

$$
\widehat{\psi_{\beta}}: \quad \widehat{t_{0}} \mapsto \widehat{t_{2}} \widehat{t_{0}}, \quad \widehat{t_{1}} \mapsto \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{1}} \widehat{t_{3}} \widehat{0}, \quad \widehat{t_{3}} \mapsto \widehat{0} \widehat{t_{2}}, \quad \widehat{0} \mapsto \widehat{t_{3}}, \quad \widehat{t_{2}} \mapsto \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{1}} .
$$

Since $0 \notin V_{\beta}$, we consider return words of $\widehat{0}$ in the $\widehat{\psi}_{\beta}$-images of $\widehat{w_{\beta}}=\widehat{0} \widehat{t_{2}} \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{1}} \widehat{t_{3}}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{0} \widehat{t_{2}} \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{1}} \widehat{t_{3}} \mapsto \widehat{0} \widehat{t_{2}} \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{1}} \widehat{t_{3}} \widehat{0} \widehat{t_{2}} \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{1}} \widehat{t_{3}}, \\
& \widehat{0} \widehat{t_{2}} \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{1}} \widehat{t_{3}} \mapsto \widehat{0} \widehat{t_{2}} \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{1}} \hat{t_{3}} \widehat{0} \widehat{t_{2}} \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{2}} \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{1}} \widehat{t_{3}},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{0} \widehat{t_{2}} \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{2}} \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{1}} \widehat{t_{2}} \hat{t_{0}} \hat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{1}} \widehat{t_{3}} \mapsto \widehat{t_{2}} \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{1}} \widehat{t_{3}} \widehat{0} \widehat{t_{2}} \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{2}} \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{1}} \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{1}} \widehat{t_{3}} \\
& \widehat{0} \widehat{t_{2}} \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{2}} \hat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{1}} \widehat{t_{2}} \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{1}} \widehat{t_{3}},
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\widehat{R}_{\beta}=\{A, B, C, D, E\}$ with $A=\widehat{0} \widehat{t_{2}} \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{1}} \widehat{t_{3}}, B=\widehat{0} \widehat{t_{2}} \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{1}} \widehat{t_{3}}, C=$ $\widehat{0} \widehat{t_{2}} \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{2}} \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{1}} \widehat{t_{3}}, D=\widehat{0} \widehat{t_{2}} \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{2}} \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{1}} \widehat{t_{2}} \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{1}} \widehat{t_{3}}, E=\widehat{t_{2}} \widehat{t_{2}} \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{1}} \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{1}} \hat{t_{3}}$, and $\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta}$ is described by the anti-morphism $\widehat{\varphi}_{-\beta}: \widehat{R}_{\beta}^{*} \rightarrow \widehat{R}_{\beta}^{*}$ given by

$$
\widehat{\varphi}_{-\beta}: \quad A \mapsto A B, \quad B \mapsto A C, \quad C \mapsto A D, \quad D \mapsto A E D, \quad E \mapsto A B D
$$

The $(-\beta)$-integers in $\left[-\beta^{3}, \beta^{4}\right]$ are represented in Figure 4, and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L(A)=1, \quad L(B)=\beta-1, \quad L(C)=\beta^{2}-\beta-1 \\
& L(D)=\beta^{2}-\beta \approx 2.659, \quad L(E)=\beta
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $L(D)>\beta>2$. Moreover, the cardinality of $\Delta_{-\beta}$ is larger than that of $V_{\beta}$, which in turn is larger than the algebraic degree $d$ of $\beta\left(\# \Delta_{-\beta}=5, \# V_{\beta}=4\right.$, $d=3$ ).
Example 3.4. Let $\beta>1$ with $\beta^{6}=3 \beta^{5}+2 \beta^{4}+2 \beta^{3}+\beta^{2}-2 \beta-1$, i.e., $\beta \approx 3.695$, then the $(-\beta)$-transformation is depicted in Figure 5, where $t_{n}=T_{-\beta}^{n}\left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right)$. We have $t_{5}=\frac{-1}{\beta+1}=t_{6}$. The anti-morphism $\widehat{\psi}_{\beta}: \widehat{V}_{\beta}^{\prime *} \rightarrow \widehat{V}_{\beta}^{\prime *}$ is therefore given by

$$
\begin{array}{rlrlr}
\widehat{\psi_{\beta}}: & \widehat{t_{0}} \mapsto \widehat{t_{3}} \widehat{t_{5}}, & \widehat{t_{2}} \mapsto \widehat{t_{4}} \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{2}}, & \widehat{t_{3}} \mapsto \widehat{t_{5}} \widehat{t_{1}} \widehat{0} \widehat{t_{4}} \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{2}} \widehat{t_{3}} \widehat{t_{5}} \widehat{t_{1}} \hat{0} \\
& \widehat{t_{5}} \mapsto \widehat{t_{2}} \widehat{t_{3}}, & \widehat{t_{1}} \mapsto \widehat{0} \widehat{t_{4}} \widehat{t_{0}}, & \widehat{0} \mapsto \widehat{t_{5}} \widehat{t_{1}}, & \widehat{t_{4}} \mapsto \widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{2}} \hat{t_{3}}
\end{array}
$$

In order to deal with shorter words, we group the letters forming the words

$$
\begin{aligned}
a=\widehat{0} \widehat{t_{4}}, b=\widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{2}} \widehat{t_{3}} \widehat{t_{5}} \widehat{t_{1}}, c=\widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{2}} \widehat{t_{3}} \widehat{t_{5}}, d= & \widehat{t_{2}} \widehat{t_{3}} \widehat{t_{5}} \widehat{t_{1}} \\
& e=\widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{2}}, f=\widehat{t_{4}}, g=\widehat{t_{0}} \widehat{t_{2}} \widehat{t_{3}}, h=\widehat{t_{5}} \widehat{t_{1}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which correspond to the intervals $J_{a}=\left(0, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right), J_{b}=\left(t_{0}, 0\right), J_{c}=\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right), J_{d}=$ $\left(t_{2}, 0\right), J_{e}=\left(t_{0}, t_{3}\right), J_{f}=\left(t_{4}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right), J_{g}=\left(t_{0}, t_{5}\right), J_{h}=\left(t_{5}, 0\right)$, occurring in iterated images of $J_{a}$. The anti-morphism $\widehat{\psi}_{\beta}$ acts on these words by

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\widehat{\psi}_{\beta}: & a \mapsto b, & b \mapsto a b a b a c, & & c \mapsto d a b a c,
\end{array} \quad d \mapsto a b a b a e, ~ d r a b l y .
$$

Since $\widehat{0}$ only occurs at the beginning of $a$, the return words of $\widehat{0}$ with their $\widehat{\psi}_{\beta^{-}}$ images are

$$
\begin{aligned}
a b & \mapsto a b a b a c b, & a e d & \mapsto a b a b \text { aefcb, } \\
a c b & \mapsto a b a b \text { acd } a b a c b, & a e f c b & \mapsto a b a b a c d a b \text { acgfcb, } \\
a c d & \mapsto a b a b \text { aed } a b a c b, & a c g f c b & \mapsto a b a b a c d a b \underbrace{a c g h}_{=a c b} a b a c d \text { ab acb. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta}$ is described by the anti-morphism $\widehat{\varphi}_{-\beta}: \widehat{R}_{\beta}^{*} \rightarrow \widehat{R}_{\beta}^{*}$ which is defined by

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\widehat{\varphi}_{-\beta}: & A \mapsto A A B, & L(A)=1, \\
& B \mapsto A A C A B, & L(B)=\beta-2 \approx 1.695 \\
& C \mapsto A D A B, & L(C)=\beta^{2}-3 \beta-1 \approx 1.569 \\
& D \mapsto A A E, & L(D)=\beta^{3}-3 \beta^{2}-2 \beta-1 \approx 1.104 \\
& E \mapsto A A C A F, & L(E)=\beta^{4}-3 \beta^{3}-2 \beta^{2}-\beta-2 \approx 2.081, \\
& F \mapsto A A C A B A C A B, & L(F)=\beta^{5}-3 \beta^{4}-2 \beta^{3}-2 \beta^{2}+\beta-2 \approx 3.12 .
\end{array}
$$

## 4. ConClusions

With every Yrrap number $\beta \geq(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2$, we have associated an anti-morphism $\varphi_{-\beta}$ on a finite alphabet. The distances between consecutive $(-\beta)$-integers are described by a fixed point of $\varphi_{-\beta}$. In [1], the anti-morphism is described explicitely for each $\beta>1$ such that $T_{-\beta}^{n}\left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right) \leq 0$ and $T_{-\beta}^{2 n-1}\left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right) \geq \frac{1-\lfloor\beta\rfloor}{\beta}$ for all $n \geq 1$. Examples 3.3 and 3.4 show that the situation can be quite complicated when this condition is not fulfilled. Although $\varphi_{-\beta}$ can be obtained by a simple algorithm, it seems to be difficult to find a priori bounds for the number of different distances between consecutive $(-\beta)$-integers or for their maximal value. Only the case of quadratic Pisot numbers $\beta$ is completely solved; here, we know from $[1,14]$ that $\# V_{\beta}=\# \Delta_{-\beta}=2$.

Recall that the maximal distance between consecutive $\beta$-integers is 1 , and the number of different distances is equal to the cardinality of the set $\left\{T_{\beta}^{n}\left(1^{-}\right) \mid n \geq 0\right\}$. Example 3.3 shows that the $(-\beta)$-integers do not satisfy similar properties. By generalising Example 3.4 to $\beta>1$ with $\beta^{6}=(m+1) \beta^{5}+m \beta^{4}+m \beta^{3}+\beta^{2}-m \beta-1$, $m \geq 2$, one sees that the maximal distance can be arbitrarily close to 4 for algebraic integers of degree 6 and $\# V_{\beta}=6$.

In a forthcoming paper, we associate anti-morphisms $\varphi_{-\beta}$ on infinite alphabets with non-Yrrap numbers $\beta$, by considering the intervals occurring in the iterated $T_{-\beta \text {-images of }}\left(0, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right)$, cf. Example 3.4, and we show that the distances between consecutive $(-\beta)$-integers can be unbounded, e.g. for $\beta>1$ satisfying $\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k}(-\beta)^{-k}$ where $a_{1} a_{2} \cdots=3123212312322 \cdots$ is a fixed point of the morphism $3 \mapsto 31232,2 \mapsto 2$, $1 \mapsto 1$. For Yrrap numbers $\beta$, this implies that there is no bound for the distance between consecutive $(-\beta)$-integers which is independent of $\beta$. However, large distances occur probably only far away from 0 and when $\# V_{\beta}$ is large, and it would be interesting to quantify these relations.

Another topic that is worth investigating is the structure of the sets $S_{-\beta}(x)$ for $x \neq 0$, and of the corresponding tilings when $\beta$ is a Pisot unit. A related question is whether $\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta}$ can be given by a cut and project scheme, cf. [5,12].
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