BULLETIN DE LA S. M. F.

JAN KUBARSKI

About Stefan's definition of a foliation with singularities: a reduction of the axioms

Bulletin de la S. M. F., tome 118, nº 4 (1990), p. 391-394

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=BSMF 1990 118 4 391 0>

© Bulletin de la S. M. F., 1990, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Bulletin de la S. M. F. » (http://smf.emath.fr/Publications/Bulletin/Presentation.html) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.



Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

ABOUT STEFAN'S DEFINITION OF A FOLIATION WITH SINGULARITIES: A REDUCTION OF THE AXIOMS

BY

JAN KUBARSKI (*)

RÉSUMÉ. — L'article présent concerne la définition d'un feuilletage de Stefan. Le résultat principal de cet article est le fait qu'un axiome de la définition d'un feuilletage de Stefan [4, chap. 1] est une conséquence des autres.

ABSTRACT. — The aim of this paper is to give an accurate proof of the fact formulated in [3, p. 45] that one of the axioms of Stefan's foliations [4, chap. 1] follows from the remaining ones.

The following definitions of a foliation with singularities comes from the work by P. Stefan [4].

Suppose V is a connected Hausdorff C^{∞} and paracompact (equivalently and with a countable basis) manifold of dimension n. By a foliation of V with singularities we mean a partition \mathcal{F} of V into sets such that :

- (1) for each element $L \in \mathcal{F}$, there exists a structure of differentiable manifold σ on L such that
 - (i) (L, σ) is a connected immersed submanifold of V,
 - (ii) (L, σ) is a *leaf* of V with respect to all locally connected topological spaces, i.e. if X is an arbitrary locally connected topological space and $f: X \to V$ is a continuous function such that $f[X] \subset L$, then $f: X \to (L, \sigma)$ is continuous;
- (2) for each $x \in V$, there exists a local chart φ on V around x with the following properties :
 - (a) φ is a surjection $D_{\varphi} \to U_{\varphi} \times W_{\varphi}$ where U_{φ} , W_{φ} are open neighbourhoods of 0 in \mathbb{R}^k and \mathbb{R}^{n-k} , respectively, and k is the dimension of the leaf through x (denoted by L_x);
 - (b) $\varphi(x) = (0,0);$

BULLETIN DE LA SOCIÉTÉ MATHÉMATIQUE DE FRANCE 0037-9484/1990/391/\$ 5.00 © Société mathématique de France

^(*) Texte reçu le 19 mai 1988, révisé le 26 septembre 1990.

J. Kubarski, Institute of Mathematics, Technical University of Lodz, Al. Politechniki 11, 90-924 Lodz, Pologne.

392 J. KUBARSKI

(c) if $L \in \mathcal{F}$, then $\varphi[L \cap D_{\varphi}] = U_{\varphi} \times \ell_{\varphi,L}$ where

$$\ell_{\varphi,L} = \left\{ w \in W_{\varphi} : \varphi^{-1}(0,w) \in L \right\}$$

A chart φ which fulfils the above condition is called *distinguished* around x.

Theorem. — Let \mathcal{F} be a partition of V into connected immersed submanifolds of V, fulfilling (2). Then \mathcal{F} is a foliation with singularities.

REMARK. — This theorem is formulated in [3, p. 45] without an accurate proof. The author say that it easily follows in the same way as in the case without singularities, indicating [1]. It turns out that this theorem needs a subtler proof. The reasoning as in [1] gives the proof provided some added assumption

$$(1) \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi^{i}}\Big|_{y}\in T_{y}(L_{x})\,\,{\rm for}\,\,i\leq k\,\,{\rm and}\,\,{\rm all}\,\,y\in L_{x}\cap D_{\varphi},\,\,k=\dim L_{x},$$

is satisfied, which is exactly the body of Stefan's lemma [4, Lemma 3.1]. That this added condition follows from the remaining ones is the aim of our paper.

Proof of the Theorem: according to Stefan ([4, Lemma 3.1]), it is sufficient to show that each distinguished chart $\varphi = (\varphi^1, \dots, \varphi^n)$ around x has the property (1).

Assume to the contrary that, for a distinguished chart φ around x, this property does not hold at a point $y_0 \in L_x \cap D_{\varphi}$. Then, of course, there exists a vector $v \in T_{y_0}(L_x)$ such that

(2)
$$\varphi_{*y_0}(v) \notin T_{(\hat{y}_0,c_0)}(U_{\varphi} \times \{c_0\})$$

where $(\hat{y}_0, c_0) = \varphi(y_0), \, \hat{y}_0 \in U_{\varphi}, \, c_0 \in W_{\varphi}.$

Take any smooth curve

$$c:(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)\longrightarrow L_x, \quad \varepsilon>0,$$

such that $c(0) = y_0$, $\dot{c}(0) = v$ and $\operatorname{Im} c \subset D_{\varphi}$. Consider the curve $\varphi \circ c : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \to \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $pr_2 : U_{\varphi} \times W_{\varphi} \to W_{\varphi}$ denotes the projection onto the second factor. By (2):

$$(pr_2)_*((\varphi \circ c)(0)) \neq 0.$$

tome
$$118-1990-n^{\circ}4$$

Diminishing $\varepsilon > 0$, if necessary, we may assume that

$$pr_2 \circ \varphi \circ c : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \longrightarrow W_{\varphi}$$

is an embedding. Denote the set $\operatorname{Im}(pr_2 \circ \varphi \circ c)$ by I. Of course,

$$U_{\varphi} \times I \subset U_{\varphi} \times \ell_{\varphi, L_x}$$

(because $I \subset pr_2 \circ \varphi[D_{\varphi} \cap L_x] = \ell_{\varphi,L_x}$) and $U_{\varphi} \times I$ is a k+1-dimensional hypersurface of \mathbb{R}^n , thus a locally compact space. Put — for brevity —

$$M := L_x \cap D_x$$

understanding it as an open submanifold of L_x , and consider the injective immersion

$$\bar{\varphi}: M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \quad x \longmapsto \varphi(x).$$

By the above $\bar{\varphi}[M] \supset U_{\varphi} \times I$.

For each point $x \in M$, we choose a neighbourhood $U(x) \subset M$ of x such that

$$\bar{\varphi}|_{U(x)}:U(x)\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}^n$$

is an embedding. By the assumption of the second axiom of countability of V, each connected immersed submanifold of V fulfils this axiom (see Appendix). Then M, as an open submanifold of the manifold L_x , has a countable basis. Choose a countable open covering $\{U_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of M such that each \overline{U}_i is compact and contained in some $U(x_i)$. We prove that

$$\bar{\varphi}[\bar{U}_i] \cap (U_{\varphi} \times I)$$

— as a subset of the space $U_{\varphi} \times I$ — has no interior. We have a little more, namely that the set $\bar{\varphi}[U(x_i)] \cap (U_{\varphi} \times I)$ has no interior. If it were not, then by taking an nonempty and open subset $U \subset U_{\varphi} \times I$ such that $X \subset \bar{\varphi}[U(x_i)]$, we would obtain the mapping

$$\left(\bar{\varphi}|_{U(x_i)}\right)^{-1}|_X:X\to U(x_i)$$

from a (k+1)-dimensional manifold to a k-dimensional one, being an immersion, which is not possible. Thus $U_{\varphi} \times I$ is an union of a countable sequence of nowhere dense sets

$$\Big\{\bar{\varphi}\big[\bar{U}_i\big]\cap (U_\varphi\times I)\,;\ i\in\mathbb{N}\Big\},$$

which leads to a contradiction with Baire's theorem for locally compact spaces. The theorem is proved. \Box

BULLETIN DE LA SOCIÉTÉ MATHÉMATIQUE DE FRANCE

Appendix: The following theorem is well known; here we give a simple proof of it.

Theorem. — Each connected immersed submanifold L of a C^{∞} Hausdorff paracompact manifold V has a countable basis.

Proof. — Let $f: L \to V$ be an immersion. The assumptions imply the existence of a Riemann tensor G on V. Its pullback f^*G is a Riemann tensor on L. A connected manifold which possesses a Riemann tensor is separable [2], therefore it has a countable basis. \square

BIBLIOGRAPHIE

- [1] CHEVALLEY (C.). Theory of Lie groups. Princeton University Press, 1946.
- [2] Helgason (S.). Differential Geometry and symmetric spaces. New York and London, Academic Press, 1962.
- [3] Pradines (J.). Graph and holonomy of singular foliations. Preprint, Santiago de Compostela, September 1984.
- [4] Stefan (P.). Accessible sets, orbits, and foliations with singularities, Proc. London Math. Soc., t. 29, 1974, p. 699–713.