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Gilcione Nonato Costa ${ }^{(1)}$


#### Abstract

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a holomorphic foliation by curves on $\mathbf{P}^{3}$. We treat the case where the set $\operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ consists of disjoint regular curves and some isolated points outside of them. In this situation, using Baum-Bott's formula and Porteuos'theorem, we determine the number of isolated singularities, counted with multiplicities, in terms of the degree of $\mathcal{F}$, the multiplicity of $\mathcal{F}$ along the curves and the degree and genus of the curves.


RÉSUMÉ. - Soit $\mathcal{F}$ un feuilletage holomorphe de dimension 1 dans $\mathbf{P}^{3}$. Nous considérons le cas où l'ensemble $\operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ est formé par des courbes lisses et disjointes et quelques points isolés en dehors de ces courbes. Dans cette situation, en employant la formule de Baum-Bott et le théorème de Porteous, nous déterminons le nombre de singularités isolées, comptées avec multiplicités, en fonction du degré de $\mathcal{F}$, de la multiplicité de $\mathcal{F}$ le long des courbes et du degré et du genre des courbes.

## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper $\mathcal{F}$ denotes a holomorphic foliation by curves with non-isolated singularities in a three-dimensional complex manifold $M$. More precisely, we consider foliations with singular sets consisting of smooth and disjoint curves, possibly with some isolated points. In [8], F. Sancho determines a bound for the number of curves that can appear on $\operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ in terms of the degree of the holomorphic foliation defined on $\mathbf{P}^{3}$.

Our aim is to describe $\mathcal{F}$ from information obtained by blowing-up $M$, $\tilde{M} \xrightarrow{\pi} M$, along a regular curve $\mathcal{C} \subset \operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$. As in the case of isolated singularities, concepts as dicritical and non-dicritical curve of singularities are

[^0]directly obtained. The algebraic multiplicity of $\mathcal{F}$ along $\mathcal{C}$ and the order of tangency of $\pi^{*} \mathcal{F}$ on $E$, the exceptional divisor, will be denoted by mult $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{F})$ and $\operatorname{tang}\left(\pi^{*} \mathcal{F}, E\right)$, respectively.

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ be the pullback foliation, defined in $\tilde{M}$, obtained from $\mathcal{F}$ via $\pi$. The foliation $\mathcal{F}$ will be called special along $\mathcal{C}$ if $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ has $E$ as an invariant set and contains only isolated singularities on $E$. As we will see, if $\mathcal{F}$ is special along $\mathcal{C}$ then $\operatorname{mult}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{F})=\operatorname{tang}\left(\pi^{*} \mathcal{F}, E\right)$. In case $M=\mathbf{P}^{3}$ and $\operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ consisting of only one curve of singularities, we determine the number of isolated singularities, counted with multiplicities, of $\mathcal{F}$ in $\mathbf{P}^{3}$. More precisely,

THEOREM 1.1. - Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a holomorphic foliation by curves on $\mathbf{P}^{3}$, special along a regular curve $\mathcal{C}$ of genus $g$ and degree $d$. Suppose that $\operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F})=\mathcal{C} \cup\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{q}\right\}$, disjoint union. Then,
$\sum_{j=1}^{q} \mu\left(\mathcal{F}, p_{j}\right)=1+k+k^{2}+k^{3}+(\ell+1)\left[(2 g-2)\left(\ell^{2}+\ell+1\right)+4 d \ell^{2}-d(k-1)(3 \ell+1)\right]$
where $\left.\mu\left(\mathcal{F}, p_{j}\right)\right)$ is the multiplicity of $\mathcal{F}$ at $p_{j}, k=\operatorname{degree}(\mathcal{F})$ and $\ell=\operatorname{tang}\left(\pi^{*} \mathcal{F}, E\right)$.

If we make a small pertubation of $\mathcal{F}$, a regular curve $\mathcal{C} \subset \operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ may be destroyed and transformed into isolated singularities. Theorem 1.1 gives the number of isolated singularities, counted with multiplicities, that will appear near $\mathcal{C}$. In fact, this number is $(\ell+1)\left[(2-2 g)\left(\ell^{2}+\ell+1\right)-4 d \ell^{2}+d(k-\right.$ $1)(3 \ell+1)]$, because $1+k+k^{2}+k^{3}$ is the total number of isolated singularities, counted with multiplicities, after this small pertubation. Therefore, this number may be seen as a Milnor number of $\mathcal{C}$ relative to $\mathcal{F}$.

## 2. Preliminaries

A foliation by curves (with singularities) $\mathcal{F}$ on a $n$-dimensional complex manifold $M$ may be defined by a family of holomorphic vector fields $\left\{X_{\alpha}\right\}$ on an open cover $\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}$ of $M$, which satisfies $X_{\alpha}=f_{\alpha \beta} X_{\beta}$ in $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}$, where $f_{\alpha \beta} \in \mathcal{O}^{*}\left(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}\right)$. The singular set of $\mathcal{F}$ is the analytic subvariety defined by

$$
\operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F})=\left\{p \in M \mid X_{\alpha}(p)=0, \text { for some } \alpha\right\}
$$

We assume that $\operatorname{cod}(\operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F})) \geqslant 2$.
Let $z$ be a coordinate for $M$ near $p \in \operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ and let $\mathcal{F}$ be given by a vector field $X(z)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{i}(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}$. We have the following objects associated to $p$ :
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1. The multiplicity $\mu(\mathcal{F}, p)$ of $\mathcal{F}$ at $p$ which is the codimension in the ring $\mathcal{O}_{M, p}$ of the ideal generated by $\left\{P_{i}\right\}_{j=1}^{j=n}$

$$
\mu(\mathcal{F}, p)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_{M, p}}{\left.<P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}\right\rangle}
$$

It is well known that $\mu(\mathcal{F}, p)$ is finite if and only if $p$ is an isolated singularity.
2. The algebraic multiplicity of $\mathcal{F}$ at $p$, which is the degree of the smallest non-zero coefficient in the power series expansion of $X$. We will say that $\mathcal{F}$ is non-dicritical at $p$ if the terms of smallest degree of $X$ are not a multiple of the radial vector field.

Let us recall the notion of quadratic transformation or blow up of a polydisc along a coordinate plane. Let $\Delta$ be a n-dimensional polydisc with holomorphic coordinates $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}$ and $V \subset \Delta$ be the locus $z_{1}=\ldots=z_{k}=0$. Let $\left[l_{1}, \ldots, l_{k}\right]$ be homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbf{P}^{k-1}$, and let

$$
\tilde{\Delta} \subset \Delta \times \mathbf{P}^{k-1}
$$

be the smooth variety defined by the relations

$$
\tilde{\Delta}=\left\{(z,[l]) \quad \mid \quad z_{i} l_{j}=z_{j} l_{i} ; \quad 1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant k\right\} .
$$

The projection $\pi: \tilde{\Delta} \rightarrow \Delta$ on the first factor is an isomorphism away from $V$, while the inverse image of a point $z \in V$ is a projective space $\mathbf{P}^{k-1}$. The manifold $\tilde{\Delta}$ together with the map $\pi: \tilde{\Delta} \rightarrow \Delta$ is called the blow-up or quadratic transformation of $\Delta$ along $V$. The inverse image $E=\pi^{-1}(V)$ is called the exceptional divisor of the blow-up.

The set $\tilde{\Delta}$ has a natural structure of $n$-dimensional complex manifold. For each $j \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ let $U_{j}=\left\{\left[l_{1}, \ldots, l_{k}\right], l_{j} \neq 0\right\} \subset \mathbf{P}^{k-1}$ be the standard open cover, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{U}_{j}=\left\{(z,[\varsigma]) \in \tilde{\Delta} ;[\varsigma] \in U_{j}\right\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with holomorphic coordinates $\sigma\left(\varsigma_{1}, \ldots, \varsigma_{n}\right)=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ given by

$$
z_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\varsigma_{i}, \quad \text { for } i=j \text { or } i>k \\
\varsigma_{i} \varsigma_{j}, \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, \hat{j}, \ldots, k
\end{array}\right.
$$

The coordinates $\varsigma \in \mathbf{C}^{n}$ are affine coordinates on each fiber $\pi^{-1}(p) \cong \mathbf{P}^{k-1}$ of $E$.

We can generalize this construction. Let $S \subset M$ be a submanifold of dimension $n-k$. Let $\left\{\phi_{\alpha}, U_{\alpha}\right\}$ be a collection of local charts covering $S$ and
$\phi_{\alpha}: U_{\alpha} \rightarrow \Delta_{\alpha}$, where $\Delta_{\alpha}$ is a $n$-dimensional polydisc. We may suppose that $V_{\alpha}=\phi_{\alpha}\left(X \cap U_{\alpha}\right)$ is given by $z_{1}=\ldots=z_{k}=0$. Let $\pi_{\alpha}: \tilde{\Delta}_{\alpha} \rightarrow \Delta_{\alpha}$ be the blow-up of $\Delta_{\alpha}$ along $V_{\alpha}$. Then, we have isomorphisms

$$
\pi_{\alpha \beta}: \pi_{\alpha}^{-1}\left[\phi_{\alpha}\left(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}\right)\right] \rightarrow \pi_{\beta}^{-1}\left[\phi_{\beta}\left(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}\right)\right]
$$

and using them, we can patch together the blow-ups $\tilde{\Delta}_{\pi_{\alpha}}$ to form a manifold $\tilde{\Delta}=\cup_{\pi_{\alpha \beta}} \tilde{\Delta}_{\alpha}$ with the map $\pi: \tilde{\Delta} \rightarrow \cup \tilde{\Delta}_{\alpha}$.

Finally, since $\pi$ is an isomorphism away from the exceptional divisor, we can take $\tilde{M}=(M-S) \cup_{\pi} \tilde{\Delta}$, together with the map $\pi: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$, extending $\pi$ on $\tilde{\Delta}$ and the identity on $M-S$, is called the blow-up of $M$ along $X$. The blow-up has the following properties:

1. The exceptional divisor $E$ is a fibre bundle over $S$ with fiber $\mathbf{P}^{k-1}$. Indeed, $\pi_{E}=\left.\pi\right|_{E}: E \rightarrow S$ is naturally identified with the projectivization $\mathbf{P}\left(N_{S / M}\right)$ of the normal bundle $N_{S / M}$ of $S$ in $M$. If $M$ is an algebraic threefold and $S$ a regular compact curve, the exceptional divisor $E$ will be a ruled surface.
2. For any variety $Y \subset M$, we may define the proper transform $\tilde{Y} \subset \tilde{M}$ of $\tilde{Y}$ in the blow-up $\tilde{M}_{S}$ to be the closure in $\tilde{M}_{S}$ of the inverse image

$$
\pi^{-1}(Y-S)=\pi^{-1}(Y)-E
$$

of $Y$ away from the exceptional divisor $E$. The intersection $\tilde{Y} \cap E \subset \mathbf{P}\left(N_{S / M}\right)$ corresponds to the image in $N_{S / M}$ of the tangent cones $T_{p}(Y) \subset T_{p}(M)$ to $Y$ at points of $Y \cap S$. In particular, for $Y \subset M$ a divisor,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{Y}=\pi^{-1}(Y)-m \cdot E \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
m=\operatorname{mult}_{S}(Y)
$$

is the multiplicity of $Y$ at a generic point of $S$.
From (2.2) follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Pic}(\tilde{M})=\pi^{*} \operatorname{Pic}(M)+\mathbf{Z}[E] . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For additional informations, see [5].
The coholomology of a blow-up. - Let $\rho: F \rightarrow S$ be a complex vector bundle with transition functions $\left\{g_{\alpha \beta}\right\}: U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(r, \mathbf{C})$. We write $F_{p}$ for the fiber over $p$. The projectivization of $F, \rho_{F}: \mathbf{P}(F) \rightarrow S$, is by definition the fiber bundle whose fiber at a point $p$ in $S$ is the projective
space $\mathbf{P}\left(F_{p}\right)$ and whose transition functions $\bar{g}_{\alpha \beta}: U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \rightarrow \operatorname{PGL}(r, \mathbf{C})$ are induced from $g_{\alpha \beta}$. Thus a point of $\mathbf{P}(F)$ is a line $\ell_{p}$ in the fiber $F_{p}$. On $\mathbf{P}(F)$ there are several tautological bundles: the pullback $\pi^{-1} F$, the universal, also called the tautological subbundle $T$, and the universal quotient bundle $Q$ (See [2]). The cohomology ring $H^{*}(\mathbf{P}(F))$ is, via the pullback map, $H^{*}(S) \xrightarrow{\rho_{F}^{*}} H^{*}(\mathbf{P}(F))$ an algebra over the ring $H^{*}(S)$. A complete description of $H^{*}(\mathbf{P}(F))$ is given in these terms by the

Proposition 2.1. - For $S$ any compact oriented $C^{\infty}$ manifold, $F \rightarrow S$ any complex vector bundle of rank $r$, the cohomology ring $H^{*}(\mathbf{P}(F))$ is generated, as an $H^{*}(S)$-algebra, by the Chern class $\zeta=c_{1}(T)$ of tautological bundle, with the single relation

$$
\zeta^{r}-\rho_{F}^{*} c_{1}(F) \zeta^{r-1}+\ldots+(-1)^{r-1} \rho_{F}^{*} c_{r-1}(F) \zeta+(-1)^{r} \rho_{F}^{*} c_{r}(F)=0
$$

Proof. - See [5], page 606.
Moreover, if $\tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ is the blow-up of the manifold $M$ along the submanifold $S, E=\mathbf{P}\left(N_{S / M}\right)$ the exceptional divisor, then the normal bundle to $E$ in $\tilde{M}$ is just the tautological bundle on $E \cong \mathbf{P}\left(N_{S / M}\right)$. As a consequence, we see that restriction to $E$ of the cohomology class $e=c_{1}([E])$ is

$$
\left.e\right|_{E}=c_{1}\left(N_{E / \tilde{M}}\right)=c_{1}(T)=\zeta
$$

and correspondingly, with the knowlegde of $H^{*}(E)$ and the restriction map $H^{*}(M) \rightarrow H^{*}(S)$, we may compute effectively in the cohomology ring of blow-up $\tilde{M}_{S}$. We note $c_{1}\left(N_{E / \tilde{M}}\right)$ by $[E]$.

Example 2.2. - Let $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{3} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbf{P}^{3}$ be the blow-up of $\mathbf{P}^{3}$ along a regular curve $\mathcal{C}$ which has genus $g$ and degree $d$. From the Proposition 2.1,

$$
\pi_{E}^{*} c_{2}\left(N_{\mathcal{C} / \mathbf{P}^{3}}\right)-\pi_{E}^{*} c_{1}\left(N_{\mathcal{C} / \mathbf{P}^{3}}\right) \cdot \zeta+\zeta^{2}=0
$$

As $\int_{E} \pi_{E}^{*} c_{2}\left(N_{\mathcal{C} / \mathbf{P}^{3}}\right)=\int_{\mathcal{C}} c_{2}\left(N_{\mathcal{C} / \mathbf{P}^{3}}\right)=0$, and the restriction of $\zeta$ to each fiber of $E$ is just the tautological bundle class of $\mathbf{P}^{1}$, results that $\int_{E} \zeta^{2}=$ $\int_{E} \pi_{E}^{*} c_{1}\left(N_{\mathcal{C} / \mathbf{P}^{3}}\right) \cdot \zeta=-\int_{\mathcal{C}} c_{1}\left(N_{\mathcal{C} / \mathbf{P}^{3}}\right)$. From Whitney's formula, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{E} \zeta^{2}=\int_{\mathcal{C}}\left[c_{1}(T \mathcal{C})-c_{1}\left(T \mathbf{P}^{3}\right)\right]=2-2 g-4 d \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Chern class of a blow-up. - Our objective is to compare $c(T \tilde{M})$ with $\pi^{*} c(T M)$. Let $i: S \rightarrow M, j: E \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ be the inclusions. We write $N=N_{S / M}$ and $c(M), c(\tilde{M})$ and $c(S)$ for $c(T M), c(T \tilde{M})$ and $c(T S)$ respectively. Then, we have that

Theorem 2.3 (Porteous). - With the above notation, and $\zeta=c_{1}(T)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(\tilde{M})-\pi^{*} c(M)=j_{*}\left(\pi_{E}^{*} c(S) \cdot \alpha\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\alpha=\frac{1}{\zeta} \sum_{i=0}^{r}\left[1-(1-\zeta)(1+\zeta)^{i}\right] \pi_{E}^{*} c_{r-i}(N)
$$

In this expression, the term in brackets is expanded as a polynomial in $\zeta$, and $\alpha$ is the polynomial one obtains after formally dividing by $\zeta$ and $r$ is the rank of $N$.

Proof. - The proof may be found in [7] or [3], page 298.

Example 2.4. - In order to calculate the Chern class $c(\tilde{M})$ we have to compare the terms of (2.5) with same degree. Equating terms of degree one,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}(\tilde{M})-\pi^{*} c_{1}(M)=j_{*}(1-r)=(1-r)[E] \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For terms of degree two and $r=2$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2}(\tilde{M})-\pi^{*} c_{2}(M)=-j_{*} \pi_{E}^{*} c_{1}(S)-[E] \cdot[E]=\pi^{*} i_{*}[S]-\pi^{*} c_{1}(M) \cdot[E] \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[S] \in H^{4}(M)$ is the class of $S$. The second part of (2.7) may be found in [3], page 114 or in [5], page 609.

For terms of degree three and $r=2$, as $\left.c_{1}(M)\right|_{S}=c_{1}(S)+\left.c_{1}(N)\right|_{E}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{3}(\tilde{M})-\pi^{*} c_{3}(M)=-\pi_{E}^{*} c_{2}(N) \cdot[E]-\pi_{E}^{*} c_{1}(M) \cdot[E]^{2}+[E]^{3} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Blowing-up curves of singularities of a foliation. - We will assume that $M$ is a 3-dimensional manifold and $\mathcal{C} \subset M$ a regular curve. Let $f$ be a holomorphic complex function on $M$ vanishing along $\mathcal{C}$. By a holomorphic change of coordinates, this curve can be given locally as $z_{1}=z_{2}=0$ and $f$ can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z_{1} f_{1}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)+z_{2} f_{2}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ also vanish on the $z_{3}$-axis, we can apply (2.9) again to all of them. Thus, the function $f$ can be rewritten as

$$
f(z)=z_{1}^{2} f_{2,0}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)+z_{1} z_{2} f_{1,1}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)+z_{2}^{2} f_{0,2}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)
$$

We will repeat this process, until we find some function $f_{i, j}$ which does not vanish on the $z_{3}$-axis. Then, the function $f$ will be of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\sum_{i+j=m} z_{1}^{i} z_{2}^{j} f_{i, j}(z) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $f_{i, j}\left(0,0, z_{3}\right) \not \equiv 0$ for some $i, j$ and $z_{1}^{i} z_{2}^{j} f_{i, j}$ are linearly independent over C.

Definition 2.5. - The number $m$ in (2.10) will be called the multiplicity of $f$ along $\mathcal{C}$ and will be denoted by $\operatorname{mult}_{\mathcal{C}}(f)$.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a holomorphic foliation by curves on $M$ and suppose that $\operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ contains regular curves and possibly some isolated points. Assume that $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$. Then, there exists an open set $U \subset M$ such that $U \cap \mathcal{C} \neq \emptyset$ and the $\mathcal{F}$ is given in $U$ by the vector field

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(z)=P(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}}+Q(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{2}}+R(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{3}} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $P, Q$ and $R$ vanishing along $\mathcal{C}$. Thus, we can write these functions as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P(z)=z_{1}^{m} P_{0}(z)+z_{1}^{m-1} z_{2} P_{1}(z)+\ldots+z_{2}^{m} P_{m}(z),  \tag{2.12}\\
Q(z)=z_{1}^{n} Q_{0}(z)+z_{1}^{n-1} z_{2} Q_{1}(z)+\ldots+z_{2}^{n} Q_{n}(z), \\
R(z)=z_{1}^{p} R_{0}(z)+z_{1}^{p-1} z_{2} R_{1}(z)+\ldots+z_{2}^{p} R_{p}(z),
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $m=\operatorname{mult}_{\mathcal{C}}(P), \quad n=\operatorname{mult}_{\mathcal{C}}(Q)$ and $p=\operatorname{mult}_{\mathcal{C}}(R)$. By a linear change of variables, we may assume that $m \geqslant n$.

Definition 2.6. - The multiplicity of $\mathcal{F}$ along $\mathcal{C}$, noted mult $(\mathcal{C})$, will be the smallest of the numbers $m, n, p$.

Proposition 2.7. - Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a holomorphic foliation by curves on $M$ with $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ a regular curve. Then, $\operatorname{mult}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{F})$ is independent of the coordinate system choosen.

Proof. - Let us suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ is generated in an other coordinate system by the vector field

$$
Y(z)=A(w) \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{1}}+B(w) \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{2}}+C(w) \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{3}}
$$

with $A, B$ and $C$ vanishing along the $w_{3}$-axis. There is a biholomorphism $w=\Phi(z)=\left(\Phi_{1}(z), \Phi_{2}(z), \Phi_{3}(z)\right)$ such that $X=\Phi^{*} Y$. Consequently, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{j}=z_{1} \phi_{j 1}(z)+z_{2} \phi_{j 2}(z), \text { for } j=1,2 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular,

$$
\left.\left[\phi_{11}(z) \phi_{22}(z)-\phi_{12}(z) \phi_{21}(z)\right] \frac{\partial \Phi_{3}(z)}{\partial z_{3}}\right|_{z=\left(0,0, z_{3}\right)} \neq 0
$$

Given that $z_{j}=w_{1} \psi_{j 1}(w)+w_{2} \psi_{j 2}(w)$ too for $j=1,2$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P \circ \Psi(w)=\left.\sum_{i=0}^{m} z_{1}^{m-i} z_{2}^{i} P_{i}(z)\right|_{z=\Psi(w)}=\sum_{i=0}^{m} w_{1}^{m-i} w_{2}^{i} \tilde{P}_{i}(w) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some $\tilde{P}_{i}\left(0,0, w_{3}\right) \not \equiv 0$. In fact, let us suppose that $\tilde{P}_{i}\left(0,0, w_{3}\right) \equiv 0$, for all $i$. From (2.13), if we rewrite the right side of (2.14) in terms of the variable $z$, we will obtain $P_{i}\left(0,0, z_{3}\right) \equiv 0$, for $i=0, \ldots, m$. An absurd, because $\operatorname{mult}_{\mathcal{C}}(P)=m$. From (2.13), follows that

$$
Y(w)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
\dot{w}_{1}= & {\left[\phi_{11} \circ \Psi(w)+\eta_{11}(w)\right] P \circ \Psi(w)+\left[\phi_{21} \circ \Psi(w)\right.} \\
& \left.+\eta_{12}(w)\right] Q \circ \Psi(w)+\eta_{13}(w) R \circ \Psi(w) \\
\dot{w}_{2}= & {\left[\phi_{21} \circ \Psi(w)+\eta_{21}(w)\right] P \circ \Psi(w)+\left[\phi_{22} \circ \Psi(w)\right.} \\
& \left.+\eta_{22}(w)\right] Q \circ \Psi(w)+\eta_{23}(w) R \circ \Psi(w) \\
\dot{w}_{3}= & \frac{\partial \Phi_{3}}{\partial z_{1}} \circ \Psi(w) P \circ \Psi(w)+\frac{\partial \Phi_{3}}{\partial z_{2}} \circ \Psi(w) Q \circ \Psi(w) \\
& +\frac{\partial \Phi_{3}}{\partial z_{3}} \circ \Psi(w) R \circ \Psi(w)
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

with $\eta_{i j}\left(0,0, w_{3}\right) \equiv 0$ for all $i, j$, that is, $\operatorname{mult}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\eta_{i j}\right) \geqslant 1$. As before, $m \geqslant n$, consequently, $\operatorname{mult}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{F})$ will be $n$ or $p$. Firstly, we will assume that $p<n$. Because $\partial \Phi_{3} / \partial z_{3} \circ \Psi\left(0,0, w_{3}\right) \neq 0$, the third component of $Y$ has multiplicity equal to p along axis- $w_{3}$, while the other components have multipliciy at least $p+1$. Therefore, we have that $\operatorname{mult}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y)=p$.

Now, let us suppose that $n \leqslant p$. The third component of $Y$ has multiplicity at least equal to $n$ along the $w_{3}$-axis. Because $\eta_{i 3}(w) R \circ \Psi(w)$ has multiplicity at least one, in order to complete the proof, it is enough to verify that one of these functions $M(w)=\left[\phi_{11} P+\phi_{12} Q\right] \circ \Psi(w)$ and $N(w)=\left[\phi_{21} P+\phi_{22} Q\right] \circ \Psi(w)$ has multiplicity $n$ along $\mathcal{C}$. In fact, as $\left[\phi_{11} \phi_{22}-\phi_{12} \phi_{21}\right]\left(0,0, z_{3}\right) \neq 0$, we have that

$$
P=\frac{M \phi_{22}-N \phi_{12}}{\phi_{11} \phi_{22}-\phi_{21} \phi_{21}} \text { and } Q=\frac{N \phi_{11}-M \phi_{21}}{\phi_{11} \phi_{22}-\phi_{21} \phi_{21}} .
$$

But, if the multiplicity of $M$ and $N$ is greater than $n$, the same will happen for $P$ and $Q$. Then, $\operatorname{mult}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y)=n$.

A bimeromorphic transformation $\phi: N \rightarrow M$ is given by a biholomorphism $\left.\Phi\right|_{N-\Sigma}: N-\Sigma \rightarrow M-\Gamma$, which $\Sigma$ and $\Gamma$ are analytic subsets. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be as before, on $M$, with $\mathcal{C} \subset \operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ a regular curve. Let us suppose that $\mathcal{C}$ is not contained in $\Gamma$. We may define a holomorphic foliation in $N$ called the pullback of $\mathcal{F}$ and denoted by $\mathcal{G}=\Phi^{*} \mathcal{F}$. This new foliation is also singular along the curve $\mathcal{C}_{1}=\Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{C} \backslash \Gamma)$. We will show that mult $\mathcal{C}_{1}(\mathcal{G})=\operatorname{mult}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{F})$. That is, the multiplicity is a bimeromorphic invariant whenever that $\mathcal{C} \not \subset \Gamma$.

Theorem 2.8. - Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a holomorphic foliation by curves on $M$ and $\mathcal{C} \subset \operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ a regular curve. Consider the bimeromorphism $\Phi: N \rightarrow M$ such that $\left.\Phi\right|_{N-\Sigma}: N-\Sigma \rightarrow M-\Gamma$ is a biholomorphism, with $\mathcal{C} \not \subset \Gamma$. Then, mult $_{\mathcal{C}_{1}}(\mathcal{G})=$ mult $_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{F})$, where $\mathcal{G}=\Phi^{*} \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{1}=\Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{C} \backslash \Gamma)$.

Proof. - Let $\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}$ be an open cover of $M$. Shrinking each $U_{\alpha}$, if necessary, we may assume that $\mathcal{C} \cap U_{\alpha}$, non-empty, is given by $z_{\alpha 1}=z_{\alpha 2}=0$ and $\mathcal{F}$ generated by a holomorphic vector field $X_{\alpha}=\left(P_{\alpha}, Q_{\alpha}, R_{\alpha}\right)$, with $P_{\alpha}, Q_{\alpha}$ and $R_{\alpha}$ as before. If $\mathcal{C} \cap U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \neq \emptyset$ then $X_{\alpha}=f_{\alpha \beta} X_{\beta}$, with $f_{\alpha \beta} \in \mathcal{O}^{*}\left(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}\right)$. As $\mathcal{C} \not \subset \Gamma$ and $\left.\Phi^{-1}\right|_{U_{\alpha} \backslash \Gamma \cap \mathcal{C}}: U_{\alpha} \backslash \Gamma \cap \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \Phi^{-1}\left(U_{\alpha} \backslash \Gamma \cap \mathcal{C}\right)$ is a biholomorphism, the vector field $Y_{\alpha}$ that generates the foliation $\mathcal{G}$ in $\Phi^{-1}\left(U_{\alpha} \backslash \Gamma \cap \mathcal{C}\right)$ is analytically conjugated to $X_{\alpha}$. As the multiplicity of a foliation along a curve of singularities is independent of coordinate system choosen, $X_{\alpha}$ and $Y_{\alpha}$ have the same multiplicity. Given that $X_{\alpha}=f_{\alpha \beta} X_{\beta}$, with $f_{\alpha \beta} \in \mathcal{O}^{*}\left(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}\right), X_{\alpha}$ and $X_{\beta}$ have the same multiplicity too. Therefore, $\operatorname{mult}_{\mathcal{C}_{1}}(\mathcal{G})=\operatorname{mult}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{F})$.

Now, we blow-up $M$ along $\mathcal{C}$ and describe the behavior of $\mathcal{F}$ under this transformation. Let $\mathcal{F}$ generated by vector a vector field as in (2.11). In an open set in $\tilde{U}_{1}$, as in (2.1), we have

$$
\sigma(\varsigma)=\left(\varsigma_{1}, \varsigma_{1} \varsigma_{2}, \varsigma_{3}\right)=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)
$$

Then, given that $z_{1}=\varsigma_{1}$ and $z_{2}=\varsigma_{1} \varsigma_{2}$, we have that

$$
\dot{\varsigma}_{1}=\sum_{i=0}^{m}\left(\varsigma_{1}\right)^{m-i}\left(\varsigma_{1} \varsigma_{2}\right)^{i} P_{i}\left(\varsigma_{1}, \varsigma_{1} \varsigma_{2}, \varsigma_{3}\right)=\varsigma_{1}^{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \varsigma_{2}^{i} P_{i}\left(\varsigma_{1}, \varsigma_{1} \varsigma_{2}, \varsigma_{3}\right) .
$$

But, $P_{i}\left(\varsigma_{1}, \varsigma_{1} \varsigma_{2}, \varsigma_{3}\right)=P_{i}\left(0,0, \varsigma_{3}\right)+\varsigma_{1} \tilde{P}_{i}\left(\varsigma_{1}, \varsigma_{2}, \varsigma_{3}\right)=p_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)+\varsigma_{1} \tilde{P}_{i}(\varsigma)$. Thus, we obtain that

$$
\dot{\varsigma}_{1}=\varsigma_{1}^{m}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{m} \varsigma_{2}^{i} p_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)+\varsigma_{1} P_{1}(\varsigma)\right] .
$$

with $P_{1}(\varsigma)=\sum_{i=0}^{m} \varsigma_{2}^{i} \tilde{P}_{i}(\varsigma)$. In the same way, we obtain that

$$
\dot{\varsigma}_{3}=\varsigma_{1}^{p}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{p} \varsigma_{2}^{i} r_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)+\varsigma_{1} R_{1}(\varsigma)\right] .
$$

Finally, from $z_{2}=\varsigma_{1} \varsigma_{2}$, we have that $\dot{z}_{2}=\dot{\varsigma}_{1} \varsigma_{2}+\varsigma_{1} \dot{\varsigma}_{2}$. Then

$$
\varsigma_{1}^{n}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{n} \varsigma_{2}^{i} q_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)+\varsigma_{1} \tilde{Q}_{1}(\varsigma)\right]=\varsigma_{2} \varsigma_{1}^{m}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{m} \varsigma_{2}^{i} p_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)+\varsigma_{1} P_{1}(\varsigma)\right]+\varsigma_{1} \dot{\varsigma}_{2},
$$

thus we obtain

$$
\dot{\varsigma}_{2}=\varsigma_{1}^{n-1}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{n} \varsigma_{2}^{i} q_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)-\varsigma_{1}^{m-n} \varsigma_{2} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \varsigma_{2}^{i} p_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)+\varsigma_{1}\left(\tilde{Q}(\varsigma)-\varsigma_{1}^{m-n} \varsigma_{2} P_{1}(\varsigma)\right)\right] .
$$

The following are equations for $\pi^{*}(\mathcal{F})$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{\varsigma}_{1}=\varsigma_{1}^{m}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{m} \varsigma_{2}^{i} p_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)+\varsigma_{1} P_{1}(\varsigma)\right]  \tag{2.15}\\
\dot{\varsigma}_{2}=\varsigma_{1}^{n-1}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{n} \varsigma_{2}^{i} q_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)-\varsigma_{1}^{m-n} \varsigma_{2} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \varsigma_{2}^{i} p_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)+\varsigma_{1} Q_{1}(\varsigma)\right] \\
\dot{\varsigma_{3}}=\varsigma_{1}^{p}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{p} \varsigma_{2}^{i} r_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)+\varsigma_{1} R_{1}(\varsigma)\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $Q_{1}(\varsigma)=\tilde{Q}(\varsigma)-\varsigma_{1}^{m-n} \varsigma_{2} P_{1}(\varsigma)$. Now, all points of $E$ given by $\varsigma_{1}=0$ are singularities of $\pi^{*}(\mathcal{F})$. We have some ways of desingularizing it, according to the possible values of $m, n$ and $p$. And if $n=m$ we must verify whether $\sum_{i=0}^{n} \varsigma_{2}^{i}\left(q_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)-\varsigma_{2} p_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)\right)$ is identically zero or not. Thus, we may divide it in
two cases, dicritical or non-dicrital curves of singularities, according to fact that the exceptional divisor is, or is not, invariant by the induced foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$.
(a) Non-dicritical curve of singularities.
(i) If $p+1=n<m-1$ or $p+1=n=m$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{n} \varsigma_{2}^{i}\left[q_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)-\varsigma_{2} p_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)\right]$ is not identically zero. Dividing (2.15) by $\varsigma_{1}^{p}$ we get

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\dot{\varsigma}_{1} & =\varsigma_{1}^{m-p}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{m} \varsigma_{2}^{i} p_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)+\varsigma_{1} P_{1}(\varsigma)\right]  \tag{2.16}\\
\dot{\varsigma}_{2} & \left.=\sum_{i=0}^{n} \varsigma_{2}^{i} q_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)-\varsigma_{1}^{m-n} \varsigma_{2} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \varsigma_{2} p_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)\right)+\varsigma_{1} Q_{1}(\varsigma) \\
\dot{\varsigma}_{3} & =\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \varsigma_{2}^{i} r_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)+\varsigma_{1} R_{1}(\varsigma)
\end{align*}\right.
$$

The expression in the other coordinate system (after dividing by $\varsigma_{2}^{p}$ ) fits with (2.16) to define a foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ in $\tilde{U}_{1}$ having the exceptional divisor as an invariant set. More precisely, the singularities on $E$ are given by the roots of

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{m} \varsigma_{2}^{i}\left[q_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)-\varsigma_{2} p_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)\right]=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{i=0}^{p} \varsigma_{2}^{i} r_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)=0
$$

if $n=m$ or

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{m} \varsigma_{2}^{i} q_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{i=0}^{p} \varsigma_{2}^{i} r_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)=0
$$

if $n<m, E$ is an invariant set of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\pi^{*}(\mathcal{F})$ coincide outside $E$.
(ii) If $p+1<n \leqslant m$, dividing (2.15) by $\varsigma_{1}^{p}$, we get

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{\varsigma}_{1}=\varsigma_{1}^{m-p}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{m} \varsigma_{2}^{i} p_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)+\varsigma_{1} P_{1}(\varsigma)\right]  \tag{2.17}\\
\dot{\varsigma}_{2}=\varsigma_{1}^{l}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{n} \varsigma_{2}^{i} q_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)-\varsigma_{2} \varsigma_{1}^{m-n} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \varsigma_{2}^{i} p_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)+\varsigma_{1} Q_{1}(\varsigma)\right] \\
\dot{\varsigma}_{3}=\sum_{i=0}^{p} \varsigma_{2}^{i} r_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)+\varsigma_{1} R_{1}(\varsigma)
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $l \geqslant 1$. In this situation, the exceptional divisor is also invariant by the foliation, but the restriction of the foliation to it is given by $\varsigma_{2}=\beta, \beta$ a constant.
(iii) If $n \leqslant p<m$ or $n<m \leqslant p$ or $n=m \leqslant p$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{m} \varsigma_{2}^{i}\left[q_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)-\varsigma_{2} p_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)\right]$ is not identically zero. Dividing (2.15) by $\varsigma_{1}^{n-1}$, we get

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{\varsigma}_{1}=\varsigma_{1}^{m-n+1}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{m} \varsigma_{2}^{i} p_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)+\varsigma_{1} P_{1}(\varsigma)\right]  \tag{2.18}\\
\dot{\varsigma}_{2}=\sum_{i=0}^{n} \varsigma_{2}^{i} q_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)-\varsigma_{1}^{m-n} \varsigma_{2} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \varsigma_{2}^{i} p_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)+\varsigma_{1} Q_{1}(\varsigma) \\
\dot{\varsigma_{3}}=\varsigma_{1}^{l} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \varsigma_{2}^{i} r_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)+\varsigma_{1} R_{1}(\varsigma)
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $l \geqslant 1$. The exceptional divisor is invariant by the foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$, but now the restriction of this foliation to it is given by $\varsigma_{3}=\beta, \beta$ a constant.

Remark. - If $\mathcal{F}$ is special along a regular curve then this condition (i) must be satisfied, because in the other two cases, new curves of singularities will appear on $E$.
(b) Dicritical curve of singularities:
(i) If $p=n=m$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{m} \varsigma_{2}^{i}\left[q_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)-\varsigma_{2} p_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)\right]$ is identically zero . Dividing (2.15) by $\varsigma_{1}^{m}$ we get

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{\varsigma}_{1}=\sum_{i=0}^{m} \varsigma_{2}^{i} p_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)+\varsigma_{1} P_{1}(\varsigma)  \tag{2.19}\\
\dot{\varsigma}_{2}=Q_{1}\left(\varsigma_{1}, \varsigma_{2}, \varsigma_{3}\right) \\
\dot{\varsigma}_{3}=\sum_{i=0}^{m} \varsigma_{2}^{i} r_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)+\varsigma_{1} R_{1}(\varsigma)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Combining this with the corresponding expression in the other coordinate systems, we get defining equations for a foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ which coincides with $\pi^{*}(\mathcal{F})$ outside $\underset{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}{E}$ but this time the exceptional divisor is no longer invariant. The foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is transverse to $E$ except at the hypersurface locally given by $\sum_{i=0}^{m} \varsigma_{2}^{i} p_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)=0$, which may or may not consist of singularities of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$.
(ii) If $n=m<p$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{n} \varsigma_{2}\left[q_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)-\varsigma_{2} p_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)\right]$ is identically zero. Dividing
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(2.15) by $\varsigma_{1}^{m}$, we get

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\dot{\varsigma}_{1} & =\sum_{i=0}^{m} \varsigma_{2}^{i} p_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)+\varsigma_{1} P_{1}(\varsigma)  \tag{2.20}\\
\dot{\varsigma}_{2} & =Q_{1}\left(\varsigma_{1}, \varsigma_{2}, \varsigma_{3}\right) \\
\dot{\varsigma}_{3} & =\varsigma_{1}^{l}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{m} \varsigma_{2}^{i} r_{i}\left(\varsigma_{3}\right)+\varsigma_{1} R_{1}(\varsigma)\right]
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $l \geqslant 1$. The exceptional divisor is not invariant by the foliation, but, on it, the third component of the vector field vanishes.

From (2.15) we have the following definition:

Definition 2.9. - The order of tangency of $\pi^{*} \mathcal{F}$, denoted by $\operatorname{tang}\left(\pi^{*} \mathcal{F}, E\right)$, is

$$
\operatorname{tang}\left(\pi^{*}(\mathcal{F}), E\right)= \begin{cases}\min \{m, n-1, p\}, & \text { if } \mathcal{C} \text { is non dicritical }  \tag{2.21}\\ \min \{m, n, p\}, & \text { if } \mathcal{C} \text { is dicritical }\end{cases}
$$

Observe that if $\mathcal{F}$ is special along $\mathcal{C}$ then $\operatorname{mult}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{F})=\operatorname{tang}\left(\pi^{*} \mathcal{F}, E\right)$.

## 3. Special foliations

In this section, unless said otherwise, $\mathcal{F}$ will be a holomorphic foliation by curves on $\mathbf{P}^{3}$, special along the compact, smooth and disjoint curves $\mathcal{C}_{j}$ for $j=1, \ldots, r$. We write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F})=\cup_{j=1}^{r} \mathcal{C}_{j} \cup\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{q}\right\} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{j}$ are isolated points. Our objective is to calculate $n_{\mathcal{F}}=\sum_{j=1}^{q} \mu\left(\mathcal{F}, p_{j}\right)$, the number of isolated singularities, counted with multiplicities, of $\mathcal{F}$. We assume that $r=1$, that is, $\operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ has only one one-dimensional component, noted $\mathcal{C}$. The case where $r>1$ will follow without difficulty.

In order to reach this goal, we blow-up $\mathbf{P}^{3}$ along $\mathcal{C}$. In this manner, we will obtain a foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ on $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{3}$ which has only isolated singularities as well as the exceptional divisor $E$ as an invariant set. Thus, using Baum-Bott's formula and Porteous'theorem we can calculate the number $n_{\mathcal{F}}$ which is a difference between the total number of singularities of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ in $\tilde{P}^{3}$ and in $E$ because the blow-up is an isomorphism away from the $E$.

In order to use the Baum-Bott's formula, we must calculate the Chern class of tangent bundle of the foliation $T_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}$. From [1], it follows that

$$
T_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}} \cong \pi^{*}\left(T_{\mathcal{F}}\right) \otimes[E]^{\ell}
$$

Therefore, in order to know $T_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}$ is enough to calculate the number $\ell$. With this notation, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}\left(T_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}\right)=\pi^{*} c_{1}\left(T_{\mathcal{F}}\right)+\ell[E], \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\ell=\operatorname{tang}\left(\pi^{*} \mathcal{F}, E\right)$.

Theorem 3.1. - Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a holomorphic foliation by curves on $\mathbf{P}^{3}$, special along some regular curve $\mathcal{C}$ of genus $g$ and degree $d$. Consider $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{3} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbf{P}^{3}$ the blow-up centered at $\mathcal{C}$ with $E$ the exceptional divisor. Then

$$
\sum_{q \in \operatorname{Sing}\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}\right)} \mu\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}, q\right)=(2-2 g)\left(\ell^{2}+2 \ell+2\right)+2 d(\ell+1)(k-2 \ell-1)
$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{1}=\left.\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\right|_{E}, k=\operatorname{degree}(\mathcal{F})$ and $\ell=\operatorname{tang}\left(\pi^{*} \mathcal{F}, E\right)$.
Proof. - By Baum-Bott's formula, we have that

$$
\sum_{q \in \operatorname{Sing}\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}\right)} \mu\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}, q\right)=\int_{E} c_{2}\left(T E \otimes T_{\mathcal{F}}^{*}\right)
$$

with

$$
c_{2}\left(T E \otimes T_{\mathcal{F}}^{*}\right)=c_{2}(T E)+c_{1}(T E) \cdot c_{1}\left(T_{\mathcal{F}}^{*}\right)+c_{1}^{2}\left(T_{\mathcal{F}}^{*}\right)
$$

From Whitney and (2.6), it follows that

$$
c_{1}(T E)=\left.\left(c_{1}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{3}\right)-[E]\right)\right|_{E}=\left.\left(\pi^{*} c_{1}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}\right)-2[E]\right)\right|_{E}
$$

As $c_{1}\left(T_{\mathcal{F}}^{*}\right)=\pi^{*} c_{1}\left(T_{\mathcal{F}}^{*}\right)-\ell[E], \int_{E} \pi^{*} c_{1}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}\right) \cdot \pi^{*} c_{1}\left(T_{\mathcal{F}}^{*}\right)=\int_{E} \pi^{*} c_{1}^{2}\left(T_{\mathcal{F}}^{*}\right)=0$ and $\int_{E} \pi^{*}[H] \cdot[E]=-\int_{\mathcal{C}}[H]=-d$, from the example 2.2, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{E} c_{2}\left(T E \otimes T_{\mathcal{F}}^{*}\right)= \int_{E}\left[c_{2}(T E)-\left[\ell \pi^{*} c_{1}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}\right)+2(1+\ell) \pi^{*} c_{1}\left(T_{\mathcal{F}}^{*}\right)\right] \cdot[E]\right. \\
&\left.+\left(2 \ell+\ell^{2}\right)[E]^{2}\right] \\
&= 2(2-2 g)+\int_{\mathcal{C}}\left[\ell c_{1}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}\right)+2(\ell+1) c_{1}\left(T_{\mathcal{F}}^{*}\right)\right] \\
&+\left(2 \ell+\ell^{2}\right) \int_{E}[E]^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\sum_{q \in \operatorname{Sing}\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}\right)} \mu\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}, q\right)=2(2-2 g)+4 \ell d+2(1+\ell)(k-1) d+\left(2 \ell+\ell^{2}\right)(2-2 g-4 d)
$$

Regrouping, we obtain the theorem.
Example 3.2. - Let $\mathcal{F}_{k}$ be a holomorphic foliation by curves on $\mathbf{P}^{3}$ with $\operatorname{degree}\left(\mathcal{F}_{k}\right)=k \geqslant 2$, induced on the affine open set $V_{3}=\left\{\left[\xi_{0}: \xi_{1}: \xi_{2}: \xi_{3}\right] \in \mathbf{P}^{3} \mid \xi_{3} \neq 0\right\}$ by the vector field

$$
X_{k}(z)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{z}_{1}=a_{0} z_{1}^{k}+a_{1} z_{1}^{k-1} z_{2}+\ldots+a_{k-1} z_{1} z_{2}^{k-1}+a_{k} z_{2}^{k}  \tag{3.3}\\
\dot{z}_{2}=b_{0} z_{1}^{k}+b_{1} z_{1}^{k-1} z_{2}+\ldots+b_{k-1} z_{1} z_{2}^{k-1}+b_{k} z_{2}^{k} \\
\dot{z}_{3}=z_{1}^{k-1} R_{0}(z)+z_{1}^{k-2} z_{2} R_{1}(z) \ldots+z_{2}^{k-1} R_{k-1}(z)
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $z_{1}=\xi_{0} / \xi_{3}, z_{2}=\xi_{1} / \xi_{3}, z_{3}=\xi_{2} / \xi_{3}, \sum_{i=0}^{k} a_{i} z_{1}^{k-i} z_{2}^{i}$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{k} b_{i} z_{1}^{k-i} z_{2}^{i}$ linearly independent over $\mathbf{C}$ and $R_{i}(z)=\alpha_{i}+\beta_{i} z_{1}+\gamma_{i} z_{2}+\delta_{i} z_{3}$ for $i=$ $0, \ldots, k-1$.

The curve defined by $\xi_{0}=\xi_{1}=0$ is a curve of singularities of $\mathcal{F}_{k}$. We blow-up $\mathbf{P}^{3}$ along this curve. In the open set $\tilde{U}_{1}$ with coordinates $\varsigma \in \mathbf{C}^{3}$, we have the relations

$$
\sigma_{1}\left(\varsigma_{1}, \varsigma_{2}, \varsigma_{3}\right)=\left(\varsigma_{1}, \varsigma_{1} \varsigma_{2}, \varsigma_{3}\right)=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)
$$

Because $m=n=p+1=k$ we have that $\ell=\operatorname{tang}\left(\pi^{*} \mathcal{F}, E\right)=k-1$. In this way, the foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{k}$ induced by $\mathcal{F}_{k}$ via $\pi$ is generated in $\tilde{V}_{3}$ by the vector field

$$
\tilde{X}_{k}(z)=\left\{\begin{align*}
\dot{\varsigma}_{1}= & \varsigma_{1}\left(a_{0}+a_{1} \varsigma_{2}+\ldots+a_{k} \varsigma_{2}^{k}\right)  \tag{3.4}\\
\dot{\varsigma}_{2}= & b_{0}+b_{1} \varsigma_{2}+\ldots+b_{k} \varsigma_{2}^{k}-\varsigma_{2}\left(a_{0}+a_{1} \varsigma_{2}+\ldots+a_{k} \varsigma_{2}^{k}\right) \\
\dot{\varsigma_{3}}= & \alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} \varsigma_{2}+\ldots+\alpha_{k-1} \varsigma_{2}^{k-1}+\varsigma_{3}\left(\delta_{0}+\delta_{1} \varsigma_{2}+\ldots\right. \\
& \left.+\delta_{k-1} \varsigma_{2}^{k-1}\right)+\varsigma_{1} R(\varsigma)
\end{align*}\right.
$$

for some polynomial $R$. It is not hard to see that on the affine open set, $\varsigma_{3} \in \mathbf{C}$, the foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{k}$, when restricted on the exceptional divisor, has $k+1$ singularities, counted with multiplicities. But, at fiber the $\pi^{-1}([0: 0: 1: 0])$ the foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{k}$ has $k+1$ additional singularities. Therefore, $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{k}$ has $2 k+2$ singularities on $E$.

THEOREM 3.3.- Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a holomorphic foliation on $\mathbf{P}^{3}$, special along a regular curve $\mathcal{C}$ of genus $g$ and degree $d$. Moreover, suppose that $\mathcal{C}$ is the unique one-dimensional irreducible component of $\operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$. Consider $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{3} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbf{P}^{3}$, the blow-up centered at $\mathcal{C}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ the foliation induced by $\mathcal{F}$ via $\pi$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{q \in \operatorname{Sing}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})} \mu(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}, q)= & 1+k+k^{2}+k^{3}-d(k-1)\left(3 \ell^{2}+2 \ell-1\right) \\
& -(2-2 g)\left(\ell^{3}+\ell^{2}-1\right)+4 \ell d\left(\ell^{2}-1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\operatorname{degree}(\mathcal{F})=k$ and $\ell=\operatorname{tang}\left(\pi^{*} \mathcal{F}, E\right)$.
Proof. - By Baum-Bott's formula, we have that

$$
\sum_{q \in \operatorname{Sing}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})} \mu(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}, q)=\int_{\tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{3}} c_{3}\left(T \tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{3} \otimes T_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}^{*}\right),
$$

with

$$
c_{3}\left(T \tilde{P}^{3} \otimes T_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}^{*}\right)=c_{3}\left(T \tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{3}\right)+c_{2}\left(T \tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{3}\right) c_{1}\left(T_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}^{*}\right)+c_{1}\left(T \tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{3}\right) c_{1}^{2}\left(T_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}^{*}\right)+c_{1}^{3}\left(T_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}^{*}\right)
$$

Let us calculate separately each term of the above expression. Writing $c_{i}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}\right)$ for $c_{i}\left(T \mathbf{P}^{3}\right)$, from (2.8) we obtain that

$$
\int_{\tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{3}} c_{3}\left(T \tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{3}\right)=\int_{\tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{3}}\left[\pi^{*} c_{3}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}\right)-\pi^{*} c_{2}(N) \cdot[E]-\pi^{*} c_{1}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}\right) \cdot[E]^{2}+[E]^{3}\right]
$$

where $N=N_{\mathcal{C} / \mathbf{P}^{3}}$ is the normal bundle of $\mathcal{C}$ in $\mathbf{P}^{3}$. Therefore,

$$
\int_{\tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{3}} c_{3}\left(T \tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{3}\right)=\int_{\mathbf{P}^{3}} c_{3}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}\right)+\int_{E}\left[-\pi^{*} c_{2}(N)-\pi^{*} c_{1}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}\right) \cdot[E]+[E]^{2}\right],
$$

because $[E]$ is Poincaré dual of $E$ in $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{3}$. As $\int_{E} \pi^{*} c_{2}(N)=\int_{\mathcal{C}} c_{2}(N)=0$ and $\int_{E}[E]^{2}=2-2 g-4 d$, example (2.2), follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{3}} c_{3}\left(T \tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{3}\right)=4+4 d+2-2 g-4 d=4+(2-2 g) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.7) and (3.2) we obtain that

$$
c_{2}\left(T \tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{3}\right) c_{1}\left(T_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}^{*}\right)=\left[\pi^{*} c_{2}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}\right)+\pi^{*}[\mathcal{C}]-\pi^{*} c_{1}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}\right) \cdot[E]\right]\left[\pi^{*} c_{1}\left(T_{\mathcal{F}}^{*}\right)-\ell[E]\right]
$$

As in the previous calculation,

$$
\int_{\tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{3}} c_{2}\left(T \tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{3}\right) c_{1}\left(T_{\mathcal{F}}^{*}\right)=\int_{\mathbf{P}^{3}} c_{2}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}\right) c_{1}\left(T_{\mathcal{F}}^{*}\right)+\int_{\mathcal{C}} c_{1}\left(T_{\mathcal{F}}^{*}\right)-\ell \int_{\mathcal{C}} c_{1}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}\right)
$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{3}} c_{2}\left(T \tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{3}\right) c_{1}\left(T_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}^{*}\right)=6(k-1)+(k-1) d-4 \ell d \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.6) and (3.2) follows that
$c_{1}\left(T \tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{3}\right) c_{1}^{2}\left(T_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}^{*}\right)=\left[\pi^{*} c_{1}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}\right)-[E]\right]\left[\pi^{*} c_{1}^{2}\left(T_{\mathcal{F}}^{*}\right)-2 \ell \pi^{*} c_{1}\left(T_{\mathcal{F}}^{*}\right) \cdot[E]+\ell^{2}[E]^{2}\right]$.
In the same way,
$\int_{\tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{3}} c_{1}\left(T \tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{3}\right) c_{1}^{2}\left(T_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}^{*}\right)=\int_{\mathbf{P}^{3}} c_{1}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}\right) c_{1}\left(T_{\mathcal{F}}^{*}\right)-\int_{\mathcal{C}}\left[\ell^{2} c_{1}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}\right)+2 \ell c_{1}\left(T_{\mathcal{F}}^{*}\right)\right]-\ell^{2} \int_{E}[E]^{2}$.
Thus, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{3}} c_{1}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{3}\right) c_{1}^{2}\left(T_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}^{*}\right)=4(k-1)^{2}-\ell^{2}(2-2 g)-2 \ell(k-1) d . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\int_{E} \pi^{*} c_{1}^{2}\left(T_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}\right) \cdot[E]=0$, from (3.2), we have that

$$
\int_{\tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{3}} c_{1}^{3}\left(T_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}^{*}\right)=\int_{\mathbf{P}^{3}} c_{1}^{3}\left(T_{\mathcal{F}}^{*}\right)-3 \ell^{2} \int_{\mathcal{C}} c_{1}\left(T_{\mathcal{F}}^{*}\right)-\ell^{3} \int_{E}[E]^{2}
$$

Finally,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{3}} c_{1}^{3}\left(T_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}^{*}\right)=(k-1)^{3}-3 \ell^{2}(k-1) d-\ell^{3}(2-2 g-4 d) . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the equations (3.5), (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8) added and regrouped, we conclude the proof of the theorem.

As a direct consequence of the Theorems 3.1 and 3.3 we can effectively calculate $n_{\mathcal{F}}$, that is, the proof of the Theorem 1.1.

Example 3.4. - Let $\mathcal{F}_{k}$ as in the example (3.2). The foliation $\mathcal{F}_{k}$ has no singularity in $V_{3}=\left\{\left[\xi_{j}\right] \in \mathbf{P}^{3} \mid \xi_{3} \neq 0\right\}$ moreover $\mathcal{C} \cap V_{3}$, which $\mathcal{C}$ is given by $\xi_{0}=\xi_{1}=0$.

Let $H_{3}=\mathbf{P}^{3} \backslash V_{3}$ be the infinity hyperplane. This hyperplane is isomorphic to $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ as well as is invariant by $\mathcal{F}_{k}$. As $\operatorname{degree}\left(\left.\mathcal{F}_{k}\right|_{H_{3}}\right)=k$ too, the number of isolated singularities, counted with multiplicities, of $\mathcal{F}_{k}$ on $H_{3}$ is $1+k+k^{2}$. Given that the singularity $q=[0: 0: 1: 0] \in \mathcal{C}$ has Milnor number $\mu\left(\left.\mathcal{F}_{k}\right|_{H_{3}}, q\right)=k^{2}, \mathcal{F}_{k}$ has $k+1$ singularities isolated on $\mathbf{P}^{3}$, counted with multiplicities.

The Theorem 1.1 may be generalized for special foliation along disjoint curves.

ThEOREM 3.5. - Let $\mathcal{F}_{0}$ be a holomorphic foliation by curves on $\mathbf{P}^{3}$ with degree $k$. Suppose that $\mathcal{C}_{i}^{0} \subset \operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ are regular and disjoint curves with genus $g_{i}$ and degree $d_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$. If $\mathcal{F}_{0}$ is special along each curve $\mathcal{C}_{i}$ then its number of isolated singularities, counted the multiplicities, will be

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{3} k^{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(\ell_{i}+1\right)\left[\left(2 g_{i}-2\right)\left(\ell_{i}^{2}+\ell_{i}+1\right)+4 d_{i} \ell_{i}^{2}-d_{i}(k-1)\left(3 \ell_{i}+1\right)\right]
$$

where $\ell_{i}=$ mult $_{\mathcal{C}_{i}^{0}}\left(\mathcal{F}_{0}\right)$.
Proof. - Let $M_{0}=\mathbf{P}^{3}$ and $\left\{\pi_{i}\right\}$ be a sequence of blow-up $\pi_{i}: M_{i} \rightarrow$ $M_{i-1}$ centered at $\mathcal{C}_{i}^{i-1}$ which $\mathcal{C}_{j}^{i}=\pi_{i}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{j}^{i-1}\right)$ for $j=i+1, \ldots, r$ and $E_{i}=$ $\pi_{i}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{i}^{i-1}\right)$ be the exceptional divisor of each blow-up. Apply successively the example (2.4), we obtain the Chern class of $c_{j}\left(T M_{r}\right)$. In the same way, we obtain $c_{1}\left(T_{\mathcal{F}_{r}}\right)$. We can assume that $E_{i} \cdot E_{j}=0$ if $i \neq j$ because the curves $\mathcal{C}_{j}$ are disjoint. Using Baum-Bott's formula, the proof follows like in Theorem 3.3.

We show that $n_{\mathcal{F}}=\sum_{j=1}^{q} \mu\left(\mathcal{F}, p_{j}\right)>0$ when $\operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ has a unique regular curve $\mathcal{C}$ which is also a complete intersection of surfaces. Let $f_{1}, f_{2}$ be two polynomials defined an affine open set of $\mathbf{P}^{3}$ such that $\mathcal{C}=f_{1}^{-1}(0) \cap f_{2}^{-1}(0)$ with $d_{j}=\operatorname{degree}\left(f_{j}\right)$ for $j=1,2$. Therefore, the degree of $\mathcal{C}$ is $d=d_{1} d_{2}$ while its genus is $g=1+d_{1} d_{2}\left(d_{1}+d_{2}-4\right) / 2$, see [6]. As $\mathcal{C}$ is a regular curve, we have $d f_{1} \wedge d f_{2} \neq 0$ along $\mathcal{C}$. Thus, given an open set $U$ such that $U \cap \mathcal{C} \neq \emptyset$, we may assume that $\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial z_{1}} \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial z_{2}}-\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial z_{2}} \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial z_{1}} \neq 0$ for $z \in U$. Let $F: U \rightarrow V \subset \mathbf{C}^{3}$, defined by $F(z)=\left(f_{1}(z), f_{2}(z), z_{3}\right)$, be local biholomorphism and $G=\left(g_{1}(w), g_{2}(w), w_{3}\right)$ its inverse biholomorphism. Notice the image of $\mathcal{C}$ by $F$ is the $w_{3}$-axis. Consider $\mathcal{F}$ described by a vector field $X$.

Let $Y=F_{*}(X)(w)$ be the push-forward of $X$,

$$
Y=P(w) \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{1}}+Q(w) \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{2}}+R(w) \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{3}}
$$

which $P, Q$, and $R$ are given as in (2.12). Given that $w_{j}=f_{j}(z)$, we obtain after the normalization by the factor $\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial z_{1}} \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial z_{2}}-\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial z_{2}} \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial z_{1}}$ that

$$
X(z)=\left\{\begin{align*}
\dot{z}_{1}= & \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial z_{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{m} f_{1}^{m-i}(z) f_{2}^{i}(z) P_{i} \circ F(z)  \tag{3.9}\\
& -\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial z_{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{n} f_{1}^{n-i}(z) f_{2}^{i}(z) Q_{i} \circ F(z) \\
& +\left(\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial z_{2}} \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial z_{3}}-\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial z_{3}} \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial z_{2}}\right) \sum_{i=0}^{p} f_{1}^{p-i}(z) f_{2}^{i}(z) R_{i} \circ F(z) \\
\dot{z}_{2}= & -\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial z_{1}} \sum_{i=0}^{m} f_{1}^{m-i}(z) f_{2}^{i}(z) P_{i} \circ F(z) \\
& +\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial z_{1}} \sum_{i=0}^{n} f_{1}^{n-i}(z) f_{2}^{i}(z) Q_{i} \circ F(z) \\
& -\left(\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial z_{1}} \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial z_{3}}-\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial z_{3}} \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial z_{1}}\right) \sum_{i=0}^{p} f_{1}^{p-i}(z) f_{2}^{i}(z) R_{i} \circ F(z) \\
\dot{z}_{3}= & \left(\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial z_{1}} \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial z_{2}}-\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial z_{2}} \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial z_{1}}\right) \sum_{i=0}^{p} f_{1}^{p-i}(z) f_{2}^{i}(z) R_{i} \circ F(z) .
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Lemma 3.6. - Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a special foliation along $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbf{P}^{3}$, a curve given by the complete intersection of surfaces $f_{1}^{-1}(0)$ and $f_{2}^{-1}(0)$, with $d_{j}=\operatorname{degree}\left(f_{j}\right)$ for $j=1,2$. Then

$$
k=\operatorname{degree}(\mathcal{F}) \geqslant \begin{cases}\ell+1, & \text { if } d_{2}=1 \\ (\ell+1) d_{2}+d_{1}-2, & \text { if } d_{2} \geqslant 2\end{cases}
$$

which $d_{2} \geqslant d_{1}$ and $\ell=\operatorname{mult}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{F})$.

Proof. - Let us suppose by absurd that exists a special foliation $\mathcal{F}$ along $\mathcal{C}$ such that $k<(\ell+1) d_{2}+d_{1}-2$ with $d_{2} \geqslant 2$. As $\mathcal{F}$ is special along $\mathcal{C}$, we have that $p=n-1=\ell$ in (3.9).

Let $f_{j, d_{j}}$ be the homogeneous terms of $f_{j}$ with degree $d_{j}$ for $j=1,2$. Given that $\mathcal{C}$ is the complete intersection of surfaces, the degree of $d f_{1} \wedge d f_{2}$ is $d_{1}+d_{2}-2$. In fact, if the three terms of $d f_{1} \wedge d f_{2}$ have degree smaller than
$d_{1}+d_{2}-2$ then we will have that $f_{1, d_{1}}=\lambda f_{2, d_{2}}$, for a some constant $\lambda$. But, it is an absurd. By the same reason, degree $\left(\frac{\partial f_{j}}{\partial z_{1}}\right)=d_{j}-1$ or $\operatorname{degree}\left(\frac{\partial f_{j}}{\partial z_{2}}\right)=$ $d_{j}-1$, for $j=1,2$.

If $P_{\ell+1} \not \equiv 0$ or $Q_{\ell+1} \not \equiv 0$, the degree of the first or the second component of (3.9) will be at least $(\ell+1) d_{2}+d_{1}-1$. Consequently, we must have $P_{\ell+1} \equiv Q_{\ell+1} \equiv 0$ and $R_{\ell} \not \equiv 0$ at most a constant because $\operatorname{cod} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{C}} \operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F}) \geqslant 2$.

In this way, the degree of each component of (3.9) is, at least, $\ell d_{2}+d_{1}+d_{2}-2=(\ell+1) d_{2}+d_{1}-2$. In order to exists a special foliation along $\mathcal{C}$ with $k<(\ell+1) d_{2}+d_{1}-2$, the infinity hyperplane must be noninvariant by $\mathcal{F}$. As the homogeneous term of $\sum_{j=0}^{p} f_{1}^{p-j} f_{2}^{j} R_{j} \circ F(z)$ of degree $(\ell+1) d_{2}+d_{1}-2$ is not divisible by $f_{1, d_{1}}$ because $R_{\ell} \not \equiv 0$, the homogeneous term of

$$
z_{1}\left[\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial z_{1}} \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial z_{2}}-\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial z_{2}} \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial z_{1}}\right]-z_{3}\left[\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial z_{2}} \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial z_{3}}-\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial z_{3}} \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial z_{2}}\right]
$$

with degree $(\ell+1) d_{2}+d_{1}-2$ must have $f_{1, d_{1}}$ as factor. That is,

$$
d_{1} f_{1, d_{1}} \frac{\partial f_{2, d_{2}}}{\partial z_{2}}-d_{2} f_{2, d_{2}} \frac{\partial f_{1, d_{1}}}{\partial z_{2}}
$$

must be divisible by $f_{1, d_{1}}$. An absurd, because $\mathcal{C}$ is a complete intersection.
From (2.12) it is not hard to see that $k \geqslant(\ell+1)$ if $d_{2}=1$.

THEOREM 3.7. - Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a special foliation along $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbf{P}^{3}$, with $\mathcal{C}$ a complete intersection and the unique one-dimensional component of $\operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$. Then $\mathcal{F}$ has isolated singularities.

Proof. - Let $\mathcal{C}$ be as in the Lemma 3.6. As $d$ and $g$ was calculated in terms of $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$, for $k=(\ell+1) d_{2}+d_{1}-2$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
n_{\mathcal{F}} \geqslant & d_{2}(\ell+1)\left\{\left(d_{2}-1\right)\left(d_{2}-2\right)+\left(d_{1}-1\right)\left[3\left(d_{1}+d_{2}\right)-7\right]+\left(d_{2}-d_{1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\ell\left(d_{2}-d_{1}\right)\left[2\left(d_{2}+d_{1}\right)-5\right]+\ell^{2}\left(d_{2}-d_{1}\right)^{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, $n_{\mathcal{F}} \geqslant 0$ for $d_{2} \geqslant d_{1} \geqslant 1$ with the equality only if $d_{2}=d_{1}=1$. But, if $d_{2}=1$ there is the sharp bound for $k$, that is, $k \geqslant(\ell+1)$. With the same procedure above, $n_{\mathcal{F}}=\ell+2$ if $k=(\ell+1)$ and $d_{1}=d_{2}=1$. In this way, $n_{\mathcal{F}}>0$ when $k$ assumes its minimal value.

Assuming that $k$ is a continuous variable, the partial derivative of $n_{\mathcal{F}}$ with respect to $k$ is

$$
n_{\mathcal{F}}^{\prime}=1+2 k+3 k^{2}-d(\ell+1)(3 \ell+1)
$$

As $k \geqslant(\ell+1) d_{2}+d_{1}-2$, we have that

$$
n_{\mathcal{F}}^{\prime}>\left(d_{1}-1\right)^{2}+2\left(d_{1}-2\right)^{2}+d_{2}(\ell+1)\left[3 \ell\left(d_{2}-d_{1}\right)+5 d_{1}+3 d_{2}-10\right]
$$

If $d_{2} \geqslant 2$ then $n_{\mathcal{F}}^{\prime}>0$ because we will have that $5 d_{1}+3 d_{2} \geqslant 11$. But, if $d_{2}=1$ then $n_{\mathcal{F}}^{\prime} \geqslant 1+4(\ell+1)>0$ because $k \geqslant(\ell+1)$. Therefore, $n_{\mathcal{F}}>0$.

## 4. Holomorphic foliations in ruled surfaces

A special foliation $\mathcal{F}$ along $\mathcal{C}$ gives a foliation with isolated singularites on $E$ and in case $\mathcal{F}$ is dicritical but not special new curves of singularities will appear. Two questions arise: given a foliaton $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ on $E$ with isolated singularities, is there a condition on $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ to be the restriction of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ on $E$ where $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is the foliation induced foliation from some holomorphic foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathbf{P}^{3}$ ? How many curves of singularities will appear on $E$ if $\mathcal{F}$ is not special? We shall give the answer to these questions with the determination of the Chern class of the holomorphic tangent bundle $T_{\mathcal{F}_{1}}$. Firstly, we describe the results on ruled surfaces that will be needed later.

Definition 4.1. - A ruled surface $S$ is a connected compact complex surface with a holomorphic map $\Psi: S \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ to a regular complex curve $\mathcal{C}$ giving $S$ the structure of a holomorphic $\mathbf{P}^{1}$-bundle over $\mathcal{C}$.

The map $\Psi$ induces on the level of cohomology an isomorphism $\Psi^{*}: H^{1}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Z}) \cong \mathbf{Z}^{2 g} \rightarrow H^{1}(S, \mathbf{Z})$, where $g$ is the genus of $\mathcal{C}$, and an injection $\Psi^{*}: H^{2}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Z}) \cong \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow H^{2}(S, \mathbf{Z})$ sending the fundamental class of $\mathcal{C}$ to the Poincaré dual of a fiber of the ruling $\Psi, f=\left[\Psi^{-1}(b)\right]^{*}$. If $\sigma: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$ denotes a holomorphic section of $\Psi$ and $f^{\prime}$ denotes the Poincaré dual of $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$, then $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ form a basis of $H^{2}(S, \mathbf{Z})$ satisfying $f \cdot f=0$ and $f \cdot f^{\prime}=1$. We shall carry out computations in $H^{2}(S, \mathbf{Z})$ by expanding its elements in terms of $f$ and $h=f^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2}\left(f^{\prime} \cdot f^{\prime}\right) f$, using that $f \cdot h=1$ and $h \cdot h=0$. Then, if $L$ is a line bundle, there are $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $c_{1}(L)=a f+b h$ which $c_{1}(L)$ is the first Chern class.

Let $T S$ be the tangent bundle of $S$ and $\tau \hookrightarrow T S$ be the sub-line bundle defined as the kernel of the Jacobian of $\Psi$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \tau \longrightarrow T S \xrightarrow{D \Psi} \Psi^{*}(T \mathcal{C})=N \longrightarrow 0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N$ is the normal bundle to the ruling.

## Gilcione Nonato Costa

Lemma 4.2. - The Chern classes of $\tau$ and $N$ are

$$
c_{1}(\tau)=2 h \text { and } c_{1}(N)=(2-2 g) f
$$

where $g$ is the genus of $\mathcal{C}$.
Proof. - See [4].

Definition 4.3. - A holomorphic foliation by curves in the connected complex surface $S$ is a nonidentically zero holomorphic bundle map $X: L \rightarrow T S$ from the line bundle $L$ to the tangent bundle of $S$.

Proposition 4.4. - Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a holomorphic foliation by curves on the ruled surface $S$ with isolated singularities and let $a f+b h$ be the first Chern class of $T_{\mathcal{F}}$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{p \in \operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F})} \mu(\mathcal{F}, p)=2(a+g-1)(b-1)+(2-2 g), \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) $\sum_{p \in \operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F})} B B(\mathcal{F}, p)=2(a+2 g-2)(b-2)$, where $B B(\mathcal{F}, p)$ is the Baum-Bott index of $\mathcal{F}$ at $p$.

Proof. - See [9].

Proposition 4.5. - Let $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{3} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbf{P}^{3}$ be the blow-up of $\mathbf{P}^{3}$ along a regular curve $\mathcal{C}$ of genus $g$ and degree $d$. Consider a holomorphic foliation by curves $\mathcal{F}$ such that $\mathcal{C} \subset \operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ is non-dicritical, not necessarily special, with $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ and $E$ as before. Then

$$
c_{1}\left(T_{\mathcal{F}_{1}}\right)=-[d(k-2 \ell-1)+\ell(1-g)] f-\ell h,
$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{1}=\left.\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\right|_{E}, k=\operatorname{degree}(\mathcal{F})$ and $\ell=\operatorname{tang}\left(\pi^{*} \mathcal{F}, E\right)$.

Proof.-From (3.2), we have that $c_{1}\left(T_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}\right)=\pi^{*} c_{1}\left(T_{\mathcal{F}}\right)+\ell[E]$. Let us suppose that $c_{1}\left(T_{\mathcal{F}_{1}}\right)=a f+b h$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{E} c_{1}^{2}\left(T_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}\right) & =\int_{E}\left[\pi^{*} c_{1}^{2}\left(T_{\mathcal{F}}\right)+2 \ell \pi^{*} c_{1}\left(T_{\mathcal{F}}\right) \cdot[E]+\ell^{2}[E]^{2}\right] \\
& =2 \ell(k-1) d+\ell^{2}(2-2 g-4 d) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By other side, $\int_{E} c_{1}^{2}\left(T_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}\right)=c_{1}^{2}\left(T_{\mathcal{F}_{1}}\right)=2 a b$.

In the same way, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{E} c_{1}\left(T_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}\right) c_{1}(T E) & =\int_{E}\left[\pi^{*} c_{1}\left(T_{\mathcal{F}}\right)+\ell[E]\right]\left[\pi^{*} c_{1}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}\right)-2[E]\right] \\
& =2(1-k) d-4 \ell d-2 \ell(2-2 g-4 d)
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{E} c_{1}\left(T_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}\right) c_{1}(T E) & =c_{1}\left(T_{\mathcal{F}_{1}}\right) \cdot c_{1}(S) \\
& =2 a+(2-2 g) b .
\end{aligned}
$$

From these equations, we obtain a linear system. Solving it for $a$ and $b$, the proposition is then proved.

With the determination of the Chern class of $T_{\mathcal{F}_{1}}$ we can see that the parameters $a$ and $b$ are related with the genus and the degree of the curve of singularities as well as the degree of the foliation and the order of tangency $\operatorname{tang}\left(\pi^{*} \mathcal{F}, E\right)$. Therefore, there is a restriction for a foliation on $E$ to be given by $\left.\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\right|_{E}$.

THEOREM 4.6. - Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a special foliation along $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbf{P}^{3}$ where $\mathcal{C}$ is the complete intersection, with $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{3}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ and $E$ as before. Then the foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ has singularities on $E$.

Proof. - Let us suppose by absurd that $\mathcal{F}_{1}=\left.\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\right|_{E}$ is non-singular. From item (ii) of the proposition 4.4, we must have that

$$
2(a+2 g-2)(b-2)=0
$$

As $b=-\ell<0$, the unique possibility is $a=2-2 g$. From item (i) of the same proposition 4.4,

$$
2(a+g-1)(b-1)+(2-2 g)=(2-2 g) b=0
$$

Therefore, necessarily $g=1$.
From the Theorem 3.1, since $g=1$, we obtain $2 d(\ell+1)(k-2 \ell-1)=0$. In order to exist a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ such that $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ is non-singular, we must have that $k=2 \ell+1$. As $\mathcal{C}=f_{1}^{-1}(0) \cap f_{2}^{-1}(0)$ with $d_{j}=\operatorname{degree}\left(f_{j}\right)$ and $d_{1} \leqslant d_{2}$ and from the Lemma 3.6, we obtain

$$
k=2 \ell+1 \geqslant(\ell+1) d_{2}+d_{1}-2 \Leftrightarrow \ell\left(2-d_{2}\right)+3-d_{1}-d_{2} \geqslant 0
$$

We have two possible cases for this inequality, that is, $d_{1}=d_{2}=1$ or $d_{1}=1$ and $d_{2}=2$. But, in both cases, we have that $g=0$. An absurd, because $g=1$.

Let us consider $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{C} \subset \operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ as before, but $\mathcal{F}$ non-dicritical and non-special along $\mathcal{C}$. Thus, we will assume locally that $\mathcal{F}$ is given by a vector field $X(z)$ as in (2.11) with $p+1 \neq n \leqslant m$. The foliation induced $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ when restricted to the exceptional divisor $E$ is either tangent or normal to a fiber $\pi^{-1}(q) \cong \mathbf{P}^{1}, q \in \mathcal{C}$, as was observed by equations (2.17) and (2.18). But, in both cases, new curves of singularities will appear on $E$. The number of these new curves is determined in the next result.

THEOREM 4.7. - Let $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{3} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbf{P}^{3}$ be the blow-up of $\mathbf{P}^{3}$ along a regular curve $\mathcal{C}$ of genus $g$ and degree $d$. Consider a holomorphic foliation by curves $\mathcal{F}$, with degree $k$, non-special along $\mathcal{C}$, with $p+1 \neq n \leqslant m$ as given above. The number of curves of singularities in the exceptional divisor, counted the multiplicities, is

$$
2+\ell
$$

in case $\mathcal{F}_{1}=\left.\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\right|_{E}$ be tangent to the fiber $\pi^{-1}(q) \cong \mathbf{P}^{1}, q \in \mathcal{C}$ and

$$
d(k-2 \ell-1)+(\ell+2)(1-g)
$$

in case $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ be normal to the fiber $\pi^{-1}(q) \cong \mathbf{P}^{1}, q \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\ell=\operatorname{tang}\left(\pi^{*} \mathcal{F}, E\right)$.
Proof. - Firstly, let us suppose $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ be tangent to the fiber $\pi^{-1}(q), q \in \mathcal{C}$, as in (2.18). The number of singularities in each fiber is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\tau} c_{1}\left(\tau \otimes T_{\mathcal{F}_{1}}^{*}\right) & =\int_{\tau}[2 h-a f-b h]=[(2-b) h-a f] \cdot f \\
& =2-b .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\mathcal{F}$ is analytical and $b=-\ell$ we conclude that there are $2+\ell$ curves of singularities on $E$.

Let us suppose that $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ is normal to the fiber $\pi^{-1}(q), \quad q \in \mathcal{C}$, as in (2.17). In the same way, the number of singularities in each fiber is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{N} c_{1}\left(N \otimes T_{\mathcal{F}_{1}}^{*}\right) & =\int_{N}[(2-2 g) f-a f-b h] \\
& =[(2-2 g-a) f-b h] \cdot h \\
& =(2-2 g-a) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $a=-d(k-2 \ell-1)-\ell(1-g)$ and by the same reason of the previous case we conclude that there are $2-2 g-a=d(k-2 \ell-1)+(\ell+2)(1-g)$ curves of singularities on $E$.
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