ANNALES DE LA FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES TOULOUSE Mathématiques

MATS ANDERSSON Uniqueness and factorization of Coleff-Herrera currents

Tome XVIII, nº 4 (2009), p. 651-661.

<http://afst.cedram.org/item?id=AFST_2009_6_18_4_651_0>

© Université Paul Sabatier, Toulouse, 2009, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Annales de la faculté des sciences de Toulouse Mathématiques » (http://afst.cedram.org/), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://afst.cedram. org/legal/). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation à fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

cedram

Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques http://www.cedram.org/

Mats Andersson⁽¹⁾

RÉSUMÉ. — Nous prouvons un résultat d'unicité pour les courants de Coleff-Herrera qui dit en particulier que si $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ définit une intersection complète, alors le produit de Coleff-Herrera classique associé à f est le seul courant de Coleff-Herrera qui soit cohomologue à 1 pour l'opérateur $\delta_f - \overline{\partial}$, où δ_f est le produit intérieur par f. De ce résultat d'unicité, nous déduisons que tout courant de Coleff-Herrera sur une variété Z est une somme finie de produits de courants résiduels supportés sur Z par des formes holomorphes.

ABSTRACT. — We prove a uniqueness result for Coleff-Herrera currents which in particular means that if $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_m)$ defines a complete intersection, then the classical Coleff-Herrera product associated to f is the unique Coleff-Herrera current that is cohomologous to 1 with respect to the operator $\delta_f - \bar{\partial}$, where δ_f is interior multiplication with f. From the uniqueness result we deduce that any Coleff-Herrera current on a variety Z is a finite sum of products of residue currents with support on Z and holomorphic forms.

1. Introduction

Let X be an n-dimensional complex manifold and let Z be an analytic variety of pure codimension p. The sheaf of Coleff-Herrera currents \mathcal{CH}_Z consists of all $\bar{\partial}$ -closed (*, p)-currents μ with support on Z such that

The author was partially supported by the Swedish Natural Science Research Council $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$

matsa@math.chalmers.se

^(*) Reçu le 25/09/07, accepté le 14/02/08

⁽¹⁾ Department of Mathematics, Chalmers University of Technology and the University of Göteborg, S-412 96 GÖTEBORG SWEDEN

 $\bar{\psi}\mu = 0$ for each ψ vanishing on Z, and which in addition fulfill the so-called standard extension property, SEP, see below. Locally, any $\mu \in C\mathcal{H}_Z$ can be realized as the result of an application of a meromorphic differential operator on the current of integration [Z] (combined with contractions with holomorphic vector fields), see, e.g., [4] and [5].

The model case of a Coleff-Herrera current is the Coleff-Herrera product associated to a complete intersection $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_p)$,

$$\mu^f = \left[\bar{\partial}\frac{1}{f_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial}\frac{1}{f_1}\right],\tag{1.1}$$

introduced by Coleff and Herrera in [6]. Equivalent definitions are given in [9] and [10]; see also [12]. It was proved in [7] and [9] that the annihilator of μ^f is equal to the ideal $\mathcal{J}(f)$ generated by f. Notice that formally (1.1) is just the pullback under f of the product $\mu^w = \bar{\partial}(1/w_1) \wedge \ldots \wedge \bar{\partial}(1/w_p)$. One can also express μ^w as $\bar{\partial}$ of the Bochner-Martinelli form

$$B(w) = \sum_{j} (-1)^{j} \bar{w}_{j} d\bar{w}_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge d\bar{w}_{j-1} \wedge d\bar{w}_{j+1} \wedge \ldots \wedge d\bar{w}_{p} / |w|^{2p}.$$

In [11], f^*B is defined as a principal value current, and it is proved that $\mu_{BM}^f = \bar{\partial} f^*B$ is indeed equal to μ^f . However the proof is quite involved. An alternative but still quite technical proof appeared in [1]. In this paper we prove a uniqueness result which states that any Coleff-Herrera current that is cohomologous to 1 with respect to the operator $\delta_f - \bar{\partial}$ (see Section 3 for definitions) must be equal to μ^f . In particular this implies that $\mu^f = \mu_{BM}^f$.

It is well-known that any Coleff-Herrera current can be written $\alpha \wedge \mu^f$, where α is a holomorphic (*, 0)-form and μ^f is a Coleff-Herrera product for a complete intersection f. However, unless Z is a complete intersection itself the support of μ^f is larger than Z. Using the uniqueness result we can prove

THEOREM 1.1. — For any $\mu \in C\mathcal{H}_Z$ (locally) there are residue currents R_I with support on Z and holomorphic (*,0)-forms α_I such that

$$\mu = \sum_{|I|=p}^{\prime} R_I \wedge \alpha_I. \tag{1.2}$$

Here R_I are currents of Bochner-Martinelli type from [11] associated with a not necessarily complete intersection. In particular, it follows that the Lelong current [Z] admits a factorization (1.2).

The SEP goes back to Barlet, [3]. We will use the following definition: Given any holomorphic h that does not vanish identically on any irreducible component of Z, the function $|h|^{2\lambda}\mu$, a priori defined only for $\operatorname{Re} \lambda >> 0$, has a current-valued analytic extension to $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > -\epsilon$, and the value at $\lambda = 0$ coincides with μ . The reason for this choice is merely practical; for the equivalence to the classical definition, see Section 5. Now, if $\mu \in C\mathcal{H}_Z$ has support on $Z \cap \{h = 0\}$, then $|h|^{2\lambda}\mu$ must vanish if $\operatorname{Re} \lambda$ is large enough, and by the uniqueness of analytic continuation thus $\mu = 0$. In particular, $\mu = 0$ identically if $\mu = 0$ on Z_{reg} .

By the uniqueness result we obtain simple proofs of the equivalence of various definitions of the SEP (Section 5) as well as the equivalence of various conditions for the vanishing of a Coleff-Herrera current (Section 6).

2. The Coleff-Herrera product

Let f_1, \ldots, f_p define a complete intersection in X, i.e., $\operatorname{codim} Z^f = p$, where $Z^f = \{f = 0\}$. Notice that (1.1) is elementarily defined if each f_j is a power of a coordinate function. The general definition relies on the possibility to resolve singularities: By Hironaka's theorem we can locally find a resolution $\pi: \tilde{\mathcal{U}} \to \mathcal{U}$ such that locally in $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$, each $\pi^* f_j$ is a monomial times a non-vanishing factor. It turns out that locally μ^f is a sum of terms

$$\sum_{\ell} \pi_* \tau_{\ell} \tag{2.1}$$

where each τ_{ℓ} is of the form

$$\tau_{\ell} = \bar{\partial} \frac{1}{t_1^{a_1}} \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} \frac{1}{t_p^{a_p}} \wedge \frac{\alpha}{t_{p+1}^{a_{p+1}} \cdots t_r^{a_r}},$$

t is a suitable local coordinate system in $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$, and α is a smooth function with compact support. This representation turns out to be very useful; though not explicitly stated, it follows from the definition in [6] as well as from any other reasonable definition of μ^f by taking limits in the resolution manifold; see, e.g., [2] for a further discussion.

It is well-known that μ^f is in \mathcal{CH}_{Z^f} but for further reference we sketch a proof. It follows immediately from the definition that μ^f is a $\bar{\partial}$ -closed (0, p)-current with support on Z^f . Given any holomorphic function ψ we may choose the resolution so that also $\pi^*\psi$ is a monomial. Notice that each $|\pi^*\psi|^{2\lambda}\tau_\ell$ has an analytic continuation to $\lambda = 0$ and that the value at 0 is equal to τ_ℓ if none of t_1, \ldots, t_p is a factor in $\pi^*\psi$ and zero otherwise.

According to this let us subdivide the set of τ_{ℓ} into two groups τ'_{ℓ} and τ''_{ℓ} . Notice that $|\psi|^{2\lambda}\mu^f = \sum_{\ell} \pi_*(|\pi^*\psi|^{2\lambda}\tau_{\ell})$ admits an analytic continutation and that the value at $\lambda = 0$ is $\sum \pi_*\tau'_{\ell}$. If $\psi = 0$ on Z^f , then $0 = |\psi|^{2\lambda}\mu^f$, and hence $\mu^f = \sum_{\ell} \pi_*\tau'_{\ell}$; it now follows that $\bar{\psi}\mu^f = d\bar{\psi}\wedge\mu^f = 0$. If h is holomorphic and the zero set of h intersects Z^f properly, then $T = \mu^f - |h|^{2\lambda}\mu^f|_{\lambda=0}$ is a current of the type (2.1) with support on $Y = Z^f \cap \{h = 0\}$ that has codimension p + 1. For the same reason as above, $d\bar{\psi}\wedge T = 0$ for each holomorphic ψ that vanishes on Y and by a standard argument it now follows that T = 0 for degree reasons. Thus μ^f has the SEP and so $\mu^f \in \mathcal{CH}_{Z^f}$. This proof is inspired by a forthcoming joint paper, [2], with Elizabeth Wulcan.

3. The uniqueness result

Let $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_m)$ be a holomorphic tuple on some complex manifold X. It is practical to introduce a (trivial) vector bundle $E \to X$ with global frame e_1, \ldots, e_m and consider $f = \sum f_j e_j^*$ as a section of the dual bundle E^* , where e_j^* is the dual frame. Then f induces a mapping δ_f , interior multiplication with f, on the exterior algebra ΛE . Let $\mathcal{C}_{0,k}(\Lambda^{\ell} E)$ be the sheaf of (0, k)-currents with values in $\Lambda^{\ell} E$, considered as as sections of the bundle $\Lambda(E \oplus T^*(X))$; thus a section of $\mathcal{C}_{0,k}(\Lambda^{\ell} E)$ is given by an expression $v = \sum'_{|I|=\ell} f_I \wedge e_I$ where f_I are (0, k)-currents and $d\bar{z}_j \wedge e_k = -e_k \wedge d\bar{z}_j$ etc. Notice that both $\bar{\partial}$ and δ_f act as anti-derivations on these spaces, i.e., $\bar{\partial}(f \wedge g) = \bar{\partial}f \wedge g + (-1)^{\deg f} f \wedge \bar{\partial}g$, if at least one of f and g is smooth, and similarly for δ_f . It is straight forward to check that $\delta_f \bar{\partial} = -\bar{\partial}\delta_f$. Therefore, if $\mathcal{L}^k = \oplus_j \mathcal{C}_{0,j+k}(\Lambda^j E)$ and $\nabla_f = \delta_f - \bar{\partial}$, then $\nabla_f : \mathcal{L}^k \to \mathcal{L}^{k+1}$, and $\nabla_f^2 = 0$. For example, $v \in \mathcal{L}^{-1}$ is of the form $v = v_1 + \cdots + v_m$, where v_k is a (0, k - 1)-current with values in $\Lambda^k E$. Also for a general current the subscript will denote degree in ΛE .

Example 3.1 (The Coleff-Herrera product). — Let $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_m)$ be a complete intersection in X. The current

$$V = \left[\frac{1}{f_1}\right] e_1 + \left[\frac{1}{f_2}\bar{\partial}\frac{1}{f_1}\right] \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2 + \left[\frac{1}{f_3}\bar{\partial}\frac{1}{f_2} \wedge \bar{\partial}\frac{1}{f_1}\right] \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3 + \cdots$$

$$(3.1)$$

is in \mathcal{L}^{-1} and solves $\nabla_f V = 1 - \mu^f \wedge e$, where μ^f is the Coleff-Herrera product and $e = e_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge e_m$. For definition of the coefficients of V and the computational rules used here, see [9]; one can obtain a simple proof of these rules by arguing as in Section 2, see [2].

Example 3.2 (Residues of Bochner-Martinelli type). — Introduce a Hermitian metric on E and let σ be the section of E over $X \setminus Z^f$ with minimal pointwise norm such that $\delta_f \sigma = f \cdot \sigma = 1$. Then $\bar{\partial}\sigma$ has even total degree (it is in \mathcal{L}^0) and we let $(\bar{\partial}\sigma)^2 = \bar{\partial}\sigma \wedge \bar{\partial}\sigma$, etc. Now

$$u = \sigma + \sigma \wedge \bar{\partial}\sigma + \sigma \wedge (\bar{\partial}\sigma)^2 + \sigma \wedge (\bar{\partial}\sigma)^3 \cdots$$
(3.2)

is smooth outside Z^f and $\nabla_f u = 1$ there; in fact, since $\delta_f(\bar{\partial}\sigma) = -\bar{\partial}\delta_f\sigma = -\bar{\partial}1 = 0$ we have that $\delta_f(\sigma \wedge (\bar{\partial}\sigma)^k) = (\bar{\partial}\sigma)^k = \bar{\partial}(\sigma \wedge (\bar{\partial}\sigma)^{k-1})$, so $\nabla_f u = (\delta_f - \bar{\partial})u$ becomes a telescoping sum. (A more elegant way is to notice that (3.2) is equal to $\sigma / \nabla_f \sigma$; then $\nabla_f u = 1$ follows by Leibniz' rule since $\nabla_f^2 = 0$, cf. [1]).

It turns out, see [1], that u has a natural current extension U across Z^f . For instance it can be defined as the value at $\lambda = 0$ of the analytic continuation of $|f|^{2\lambda}u$ from $\operatorname{Re}\lambda >> 0$ (the existence of the analytic continuation is of course nontrivial and requires a resolution of singularities). If $p = \operatorname{codim} Z^f$, then $\nabla_f U = 1 - R^f$, where

$$R^f = R^f_p + \dots + R^f_m,$$

 R^f is the value at $\lambda = 0$ of $\bar{\partial}|f|^{2\lambda} \wedge u$ and $R^f_k = \sigma \wedge (\bar{\partial}\sigma)^{k-1}|_{\lambda=0}$. Moreover, these currents have representations like (2.1) so if $\xi \in \mathcal{O}(\Lambda^{m-p}E)$ and $\xi \wedge R^f_p$ is $\bar{\partial}$ -closed, then it is in \mathcal{CH}^f_Z by the arguments given in Section 2. Notice that

$$R_k^f = \sum_{|I|=k}' R_I^f \wedge e_{I_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{I_k}.$$
(3.3)

If we choose the trivial metric, the coefficients R_I^f are precisely the currents introduced in [11]. In particular, if f is a complete intersection, i.e. m = p, then, see [1], $R_{1,\ldots,p}^f = \mu_{BM}^f \wedge e$.

THEOREM 3.3 (Uniqueness for Coleff-Herrera currents). — Assume that Z^f has pure codimension p. If $\tau \in C\mathcal{H}_{Z^f}$ and there is a solution $V \in \mathcal{L}^{p-m-1}$ to $\nabla_f V = \tau \wedge e$, then $\tau = 0$.

Remark 3.4. — If Z^f does not have pure codimension, the theorem still holds (with the same proof) with \mathcal{CH}_{Z^f} replaced by $\mathcal{CH}_{Z'}$, where Z' is the irreducible components of Z^f of maximal dimension.

In view of Examples 3.1 and 3.2 we get

COROLLARY 3.5. — Assume that f is a complete intersection. If $\mu \in C\mathcal{H}_{Z^f}$ and there is a current $U \in \mathcal{L}^{-1}$ such that $\nabla_f U = 1 - \mu \wedge e$, then μ is equal to the Coleff-Herrera product μ^f . In particular, $\mu^f_{BM} = \mu^f$.

The proof of Theorem 3.3 relies on the following lemma, which is probably known. However, for the reader's convenience we include a proof.

LEMMA 3.6. — If μ is in $C\mathcal{H}_Z$ and for each neighborhood ω of Z there is a current V with support in ω such that $\overline{\partial}V = \mu$, then $\mu = 0$.

Proof. — Locally on Z_{reg} we can choose coordinates (z, w) such that $Z = \{w = 0\}$. We claim that there is a natural number M such that

$$\mu = \sum_{|\alpha| \leqslant M-p} a_{\alpha}(z)\bar{\partial} \frac{1}{w_1^{\alpha_1+1}} \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} \frac{1}{w_p^{\alpha_p+1}}, \qquad (3.4)$$

where a_{α} are the push-forwards of $\mu \wedge w^{\alpha} dw/(2\pi i)^p$ under the projection $(z, w) \mapsto z$. In fact, since $\bar{w}_j \mu = 0$ and $\bar{\partial}\mu = 0$ it follows that $d\bar{w}_j \wedge \mu = 0$, $j = 1, \ldots, p$, and hence $\mu = \mu_0 d\bar{w}_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge d\bar{w}_p$. Therefore it is enough to check (3.4) for test forms of the form $\xi(z, w) dw \wedge d\bar{z} \wedge dz$. Since $\bar{w}_j \mu = 0$ we have by a Taylor expansion in w (the sum is finite since μ has finite order) that

$$\begin{split} \int_{z,w} \mu \wedge \xi dw \wedge d\bar{z} \wedge dz &= \sum_{\alpha} \int_{z,w} \mu \wedge \frac{\partial^{\alpha} \xi}{\partial w^{\alpha}}(z,0) \frac{w^{\alpha}}{\alpha!} dw \wedge d\bar{z} \wedge dz \\ &= \sum_{\alpha} \int_{z} a_{\alpha}(z) \frac{\partial^{\alpha} \xi}{\partial w^{\alpha}}(z,0) dw \wedge d\bar{z} \wedge dz (2\pi i)^{p} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha} \int_{z} a_{\alpha}(z) \int_{w} \bar{\partial} \frac{1}{w^{\alpha+1}} \wedge \xi(z,w) dw \wedge d\bar{z} \wedge dz. \end{split}$$

Since μ is ∂ -closed it follows that a_{α} are holomorphic. Notice that

$$\bar{\partial} \frac{1}{w_p^{\beta_p}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \bar{\partial} \frac{1}{w_1^{\beta_1}} \wedge dw_1^{\beta_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dw_p^{\beta_p} / (2\pi i)^p = \beta_1 \cdots \beta_p [w = 0],$$

where [w = 0] denote the current of integration over Z_{reg} (locally). Now assume that $\bar{\partial}\gamma = \mu$ and γ has support close to Z. We have, for $|\beta| = M$, that

$$\bar{\partial}(\gamma \wedge dw^{\beta}) = (2\pi i)^p a_{\beta-1}(z)\beta_1 \cdots \beta_p [w=0].$$

If ν is the component of $\gamma \wedge dw^{\beta}$ of bidegree (p, p-1) in w, thus

$$d_w\nu = \bar{\partial}_w\nu = (2\pi i)^p a_{\beta-1}\beta_1 \cdots \beta_p [w=0].$$

Integrating with respect to w we get that $a_{\beta-1}(z) = 0$. By finite induction we can conclude that $\mu = 0$ locally on Z_{reg} . Thus μ vanishes on Z_{reg} and by the SEP it follows that $\mu = 0$. \Box

Proof. — [Proof of Theorem 3.3] Let ω be any neighborhood of Z and take a cutoff function χ that is 1 in a neighborhood of Z and with support in ω . Let u be any smooth solution to $\nabla_f u = 1$ in $X \setminus Z^f$, cf. Example 3.2. Then $g = \chi - \bar{\partial}\chi \wedge u$ is a smooth form in $\mathcal{L}^0(\omega)$ and $\nabla_f g = 0$. Moreover, the scalar term g_0 is 1 in a neighborhood of Z^f . Therefore,

$$\nabla_f[g \wedge V] = g \wedge \tau \wedge e = g_0 \tau \wedge e = \tau \wedge e,$$

and hence the current coefficient W of the top degree component of $g \wedge V$ is a solution to $\bar{\partial}W = \tau$ with support in ω . In view of Lemma 3.6 we have that $\tau = 0$. \Box

4. The factorization

The double sheaf complex $C_{0,k}(\Lambda^{\ell} E)$ is exact in the k direction except at k = 0, where we have the cohomology $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda^{\ell} E)$. By a standard argument there are natural isomorphisms

$$\operatorname{Ker}_{\delta_f} \mathcal{O}(\Lambda^{\ell} E) / \delta_f \mathcal{O}(\Lambda^{\ell+1}) \simeq \operatorname{Ker}_{\nabla_f} \mathcal{L}^{-\ell} / \nabla_f \mathcal{L}^{-\ell-1}.$$
(4.1)

When $\ell = 0$ the left hand side is $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J}(f)$, where $\mathcal{J}(f)$ is the ideal sheaf generated by f. We have the following factorization result.

THEOREM 4.1. — Assume that Z^f has pure codimension p and let $\mu \in C\mathcal{H}_{Z^f}$ be (0,p) and such that $\mathcal{J}(f)\mu = 0$. Then there is locally $\xi \in \mathcal{O}(\Lambda^{m-p}E)$ such that

$$\mu \wedge e = R_p^f \wedge \xi. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. — Since $\nabla_f(\mu \wedge e) = 0$, by (4.1) there is $\xi \in \mathcal{O}(\Lambda^{m-p}E)$ such that $\nabla_f V = \xi - \mu \wedge e$. On the other hand, if U is the current from Example 3.2, then $\nabla_f(U \wedge \xi) = \xi - R^f \wedge \xi = \xi - R^f_p \wedge \xi$. Now (4.2) follows from Theorem 3.3.

Proof. — [Proof of Theorem 1.1] With no loss of generality we may assume that μ has bidegree (0, p). Let $g = (g_1, \ldots, g_m)$ be a tuple such that $Z^g = Z$. If $f_j = g_j^M$ and M is large enough, then $\mathcal{J}(f)\mu = 0$ and hence by Theorem 4.1 there is a form

$$\xi = \sum_{|J|=m-p}' \xi_J \wedge e_J$$

such that (4.2) holds. Then, cf. (3.3), (1.2) holds if $\alpha_I = \pm \xi_{I^c}$, where $I^c = \{1, \ldots, m\} \setminus I$. \Box

Example 4.2. — Let [Z] be any variety of pure codimension and choose f such that $Z = Z^f$. It is not hard to prove that (each term of) the Lelong current [Z] is in \mathcal{CH}_Z , and hence there is a holomorphic form ξ such that $R_p^f \wedge \xi = [Z] \wedge e$. (In fact, one can notice that the proof of Lemma 3.6 works for $\mu = [Z]$ just as well, and then one can obtain fakto for [Z] in the same way as for $\mu \in \mathcal{CH}_Z$. A posteriori it follows that indeed [Z] is in \mathcal{CH}_Z .) There are natural ways to regularize the current R_p^f , see, e.g. [12], and thus we get natural regularizations of [Z].

Next we recall the duality principle, [7], [8]: If f is a complete intersection, then

$$\operatorname{ann} \mu^f = \mathcal{J}(f). \tag{4.3}$$

In fact, if $\phi \in \operatorname{ann} \mu$, then $\nabla_f U \phi = \phi - \phi \mu \wedge e = \phi$ and hence $\phi \in \mathcal{J}(f)$ by (4.1). Conversely, if $\phi \in \mathcal{J}(f)$, then there is a holomorphic ψ such that $\phi = \delta_f \psi = \nabla_f \psi$ and hence $\phi \mu = \nabla_f \psi \wedge \mu = \nabla(\psi \wedge \mu) = 0$.

Notice that $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J}(f), \mathcal{CH}_{Z^f}(\Lambda^p E))$ is the sheaf of currents $\mu \wedge e$ with $\mu \in \mathcal{CH}_{Z^f}$ that are annihilated by $\mathcal{J}(f)$. From (4.3) and Theorem 4.1 we now get

THEOREM 4.3. — If f is a complete intersection, then the sheaf mapping

$$\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J}(f) \to \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J}(f), \mathcal{CH}_Z(\Lambda^p E)), \quad \phi \mapsto \phi \mu^f \wedge e,$$
 (4.4)

is an isomorphism.

5. The standard extension property

Given the other conditions in the definition of \mathcal{CH}_Z the SEP is automatically fulfilled on Z_{reg} ; this is easily seen, e.g. as in the proof of Lemma 3.6 (notice that the SEP is a local property), so the interesting case is when the zero set Y of h contains the singular locus of Z. Classically, cf. [3], [4], and [5], the SEP is expressed as

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \chi(|h|/\epsilon)\mu = \mu, \tag{5.1}$$

where $Y \supset Z_{sing}$ and h is not vanishing identically on any irreducible component of Z. Here $\chi(t)$ can be either the characteristic function for the interval $[1, \infty)$ or some smooth approximand.

PROPOSITION 5.1. — Let χ be a fixed function as above. The class of $\bar{\partial}$ -closed (0, p)-currents μ with support on Z that are annihilated by \bar{I}_Z and satisfy (5.1) coincides with our class $C\mathcal{H}_Z$.

If χ is not smooth the existence of the currents $\chi(|h|/\epsilon)\mu$ in a reasonable sense for small $\epsilon > 0$ is part of the statement.

Proof. — [Sketch of proof] Let f be a tuple such that $Z = Z^f$. We first show that R_p^f satisfies (5.1). From the arguments in Section 2, cf. Example 3.2, we know that R_p^f has a representation (2.1) such that π^*h is a pure monomial (since the possible nonvanishing factor can be incorporated in one of the coordinates) and none of the factors in π^*h occurs among the residue factors in τ_{ℓ} . Therefore, the existence of the product in (5.1) and the equality follow from the simple observation that

$$\int_{s_1,\ldots,s_\mu} \chi(|s_1^{c_1}\cdots s_\mu^{c_\mu}|/\epsilon) \frac{\psi(s)}{s_1^{\gamma_1}\cdots s_\mu^{\gamma_\mu}} \to \int_{s_1,\ldots,s_\mu} \frac{\psi(s)}{s_1^{\gamma_1}\cdots s_\mu^{\gamma_\mu}} \tag{5.2}$$

for test forms ψ , where the right hand side is a tensor product of one-variable principal value integrals acting on ψ . Let temporarily \mathcal{CH}_Z^{cl} denote the class of currents defined in the proposition. Since each $\mu \in \mathcal{CH}_Z$ admits the representation (4.2) it follows that $\mu \in \mathcal{CH}_Z^{cl}$. On the other hand, Lemma 3.6 and therefore Theorem 3.3 and (4.2) hold for \mathcal{CH}_Z^{cl} as well (with the same proofs), and thus we get the other inclusion. \Box

6. Vanishing of Coleff-Herrera currents

We conclude with some equivalent condition for the vanishing of a Coleff-Herrera current. This result is proved by the ideas above, it should be wellknown, but we have not seen it in this way in the literature.

THEOREM 6.1. — Assume that X is Stein and that the subvariety $Z \subset X$ has pure codimension p. If $\mu \in C\mathcal{H}_Z(X)$ and $\bar{\partial}V = \mu$ in X, then the following are equivalent:

(*i*) $\mu = 0$.

(ii) For all $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_{n,n-p}(X)$ such that $\bar{\partial}\psi = 0$ in some neighborhood of Z we have that

$$\int V \wedge \bar{\partial} \psi = 0.$$

(iii) There is a solution to $\bar{\partial}w = V$ in $X \setminus Z$.

(iv) For each neighborhood ω of Z there is a solution to $\bar{\partial}w = V$ in $X \setminus \bar{\omega}$.

Proof. — It is easy to check that (i) implies all the other conditions. Assume that (ii) holds. Locally on $Z_{reg} = \{w = 0\}$ we have (3.4), and by

choosing $\xi(z,w) = \psi(z)\chi(w)dw^{\beta} \wedge dz \wedge d\overline{z}$ for a suitable cutoff function χ and test functions ψ , we can conclude from (ii) that $a_{\beta} = 0$ if $|\beta| = M$. By finite induction it follows that $\mu = 0$ there. Hence $\mu = 0$ globally by the SEP. Clearly (iii) implies (iv). Finally, assume that (iv) holds. Given $\omega \supset Z$ choose $\omega' \subset \subset \omega$ and a solution to $\overline{\partial}w = V$ in $X \setminus \overline{\omega'}$. If we extend w arbitrarily across ω' the form $U = V - \overline{\partial}w$ is a solution to $\overline{\partial}U = \mu$ with support in ω . In view of Lemma 3.6 thus $\mu = 0$. \Box

Notice that V defines a Dolbeault cohomology class ω^{μ} in $X \setminus Z$ that only depends on μ , and that conditions (ii)-(iv) are statements about this class. For an interesting application, fix a current $\mu \in C\mathcal{H}_Z$. Then the theorem gives several equivalent ways to express that a given $\phi \in \mathcal{O}$ belongs to the annihilator ideal of μ . In the case when $\mu = \mu^f$ for a complete intersection f, one gets back the equivalent formulations of the duality theorem from [7] and [9].

Remark 6.2. — If μ is an arbitrary (0, p)-current with support on Z and $\overline{\partial}V = \mu$ we get an analogous theorem if condition (i) is replaced by: $\mu = \overline{\partial}\gamma$ for some γ with support on Z. This follows from the Dickenstein-Sessa decomposition $\mu = \mu_{CH} + \overline{\partial}\gamma$, where μ_{CH} is in \mathcal{CH}_Z . See [7] for the case Z is a complete intersection and [4] for the general case.

Bibliography

- ANDERSSON (M.). Residue currents and ideals of holomorphic functions Bull. Sci. Math., 128, p. 481-512 (2004).
- [2] ANDERSSON (M.) & WULCAN (E.). Decomposition of residue currents J. Reine Angew. Math. (to appear).
- [3] BARLET (D.). Fonctions de type trace Ann. Inst. Fourier 33, p. 43-76 (1983).
- BJÖRK (J-E) Residue calculus and D-modules om complex manifolds Preprint Stockholm (1996).
- [5] BJÖRK (J-E). Residues and D-modules The legacy of Niels Henrik Abel, 605– 651, Springer, Berlin, 2004.
- [6] COLEFF (N.r.) & HERRERA (M.e.). Les courants résiduels associés à une forme méromorphe Lect. Notes in Math. 633, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1978).
- [7] DICKENSTEIN (A.) & SESSA (C.). Canonical representatives in moderate cohomology Invent. Math. 80, p. 417-434 (1985).
- [8] PASSARE (M.). Residues, currents, and their relation to ideals of holomorphic functions Math. Scand. 62, p. 75-152 (1988).
- [9] PASSARE (M.). A calculus for meromorphic currents. J. Reine Angew. Math. 392, p. 37-56 (1988).

- [10] PASSARE (M.) & TSIKH (A.). Residue integrals and their Mellin transforms Canad. J. Math. 47, p. 1037-1050 (1995).
- [11] PASSARE (M.) & TSIKH (A.) & YGER (A.). Residue currents of the Bochner-Martinelli type Publ. Mat. 44, p. 85-117 (2000).
- [12] SAMUELSSON (H.). Regularizations of products of residue and principal value currents J. Funct. Anal. 239, p. 566-593 (2006).