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# SIDON SETS AND RIESZ PRODUCTS 

by Jean BOURGAIN

## 1. Notations.

In what follows, $G$ will be a compact abelian group and $\Gamma=\hat{G}$ the dual group. According to the context, we will use the additive or multiplicative notation for the group operation in $\Gamma$. For $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty, L^{p}(\mathrm{G})$ denotes the usual Lebesgue space. For $\mu \in M(G)$, let $\|\mu\|_{P M}=\sup _{\gamma \in \Gamma}|\hat{\mu}(\gamma)|$.

A subset $\Lambda$ of $\Gamma$ is called a Sidon set provided there is a constant C such that the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda}\left|\alpha_{\gamma}\right| \leqslant \mathrm{C}\left\|\sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda} \alpha_{\gamma} \gamma\right\|_{\infty} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all finite scalar sequences $\left(\alpha_{\gamma}\right)_{\gamma \in \Lambda}$. The smallest constant $S(\Lambda)$ fulfilling (1) is called the Sidon constant of $\Lambda$. The reader is referred to [3] for elementary Sidon set theory.
$|A|$ stands for the cardinal of the set $A$.
Assume A a subset of $\Gamma$ and $d \geqslant 0$. We will consider the set of characters

$$
\mathrm{P}_{d}[\mathrm{~A}]=\left\{\sum_{\gamma \in \mathrm{A}} z_{\gamma} \gamma \mid z_{\gamma} \in \mathbf{Z}(\gamma \in \mathrm{A}) \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{\gamma \in \mathrm{A}}\left|z_{\gamma}\right| \leqslant d\right\}
$$

Then $\quad\left|\mathrm{P}_{d}[\mathrm{~A}]\right| \leqslant\left(\frac{\mathrm{C}|\mathrm{A}|}{d}\right)^{d} \quad$ if $\quad d \leqslant|\mathrm{~A}|$
and $\quad\left|\mathrm{P}_{d}[\mathrm{~A}]\right| \leqslant\left(\frac{\mathrm{C} d}{|\mathrm{~A}|}\right)^{|\mathrm{A}|} \quad$ if $\quad d>|\mathrm{A}|$
where $C$ is a numerical constant (cf. [7], p. 46).

Mots-clefs : Ensemble de Sidon, Ensemble quasi-indépendant, Produits de Riesz.

We say that $A \subset \Gamma$ is quasi-independent, if the relation $\Sigma_{A} z_{\gamma} \gamma=0, z_{\gamma}=-1,0,1(\gamma \in A) \quad$ implies $\quad z_{\gamma}=0(\gamma \in A)$.

If $A$ is quasi-independent, the measure

$$
\mu=\prod_{\gamma \in \mathrm{A}}\left(1+\operatorname{Re} a_{\gamma} \gamma\right)
$$

where $a_{\gamma} \in \mathrm{C},\left|a_{\gamma}\right| \leqslant 1$, is positive and $\|\mu\|_{\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{G})}=1$.
We call it a Riesz product.
Say that $A \subset \Gamma$ tends to infinity provided to each finite subset $\Gamma_{0}$ of $\Gamma$ corresponds a finite subset $A_{0}$ of $A$ such that

$$
\gamma, \delta \in A \backslash A_{0}, \gamma \neq \delta \Longrightarrow \gamma-\delta \notin \Gamma_{0}
$$

A Sidon set $\Lambda$ is of first type provided there is a constant $C<\infty$ and, for each nonempty open subset $I$ of $G$, there is a finite subset $\Lambda_{0}$ of $\Lambda$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda \backslash \Lambda_{0}}\left|\alpha_{\gamma}\right| \leqslant C\left\|\sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda \backslash \Lambda_{0}} \alpha_{\gamma} \gamma\right\|_{C(1)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for finite scalar sequences $\left(\alpha_{\gamma}\right)_{\gamma \in \Lambda \backslash \Lambda_{0}}$, where

$$
\|f\|_{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{I})}=\sup _{x \in \mathrm{I}}|f(x)|
$$

## 2. Interpolation by averaging Riesz products.

In this section, we will prove the following result:

Theorem. - For a subset $\Lambda$ of $\Gamma$, the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $\Lambda$ is a Sidon set
(2) $\left\|\Sigma_{\Lambda} \alpha_{\gamma} \gamma\right\|_{p} \leqslant C p^{1 / 2}\left(\Sigma\left|\alpha_{\gamma}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ for all finite scalar sequen$\operatorname{ces}\left(\alpha_{\gamma}\right)_{\gamma \in \Lambda}$ and $p \geqslant 1$.
(3) There is $\delta>0$ such that each finite subset A of $\Lambda$ contains a quasi-independent subset B with $|\mathrm{B}| \geqslant \delta|\mathrm{A}|$.
(4) There is $\delta>0$ such that if $\left(\alpha_{\gamma}\right)_{\gamma \in \Lambda}$ is a finite sequence of scalars, there exists a quasi-independent subset A of $\Lambda$ such that

$$
\sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda}\left|\alpha_{\gamma}\right| \geqslant \delta \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda}\left|\alpha_{\gamma}\right|
$$

Implication $(1) \Longrightarrow(2)$ is a consequence of Khintchine's inequalities and is due to W. Rudin [8]. The standard argument that quasiindependent sets are Sidon sets yields $(4) \Longrightarrow(1)$. We will not give it here since it will appear in the next section in the context of an application. Finally, the results $(2) \Longrightarrow(1)$ and $(1) \Longrightarrow$ (3) are due to G. Pisier (see [4], [5] and [6]. The characterization (4) is new. It has the following consequence (by a duality argument):

Corollary 1. - If $\Lambda$ is a Sidon set, there is $\delta>0$ such that whenever $\left(a_{\gamma}\right)_{\gamma \in \Lambda}$ is a finite scalar sequence and $\left|a_{\gamma}\right| \leqslant \delta$, then we have

$$
\hat{\mu}(\gamma)=\int_{G} \bar{\gamma}(x) \mu(d x)=a_{\gamma} \quad \text { for } \quad \gamma \in \Lambda
$$

where $\mu$ is in the $\sigma$-convex hull of a sequence of Riesz products.
Recall that the $\sigma$-convex hull of a bounded subset $P$ of a complex Banach space X is the set of all elements $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{i} x_{i}$ where $x_{i} \in P, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{i}\right| \leqslant 1$.

The remainder of the paragraph is devoted to the proof of (2) $\Longrightarrow$ (3) $\Longrightarrow$ (4) .

Let us point out that in the case of bounded groups, i.e. which elements are of bounded order, they can be simplified using algebraic arguments.

Lemma 1. - Condition (2) implies (3) with $\delta \sim \mathrm{C}^{-2}$.

Proof. - We first exhibit a subset $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ of $\mathrm{A},\left|\mathrm{A}_{1}\right| \gtrsim \mathrm{C}^{-2}|\mathrm{~A}|$, such that if $\sum_{\gamma \in A_{1}} \epsilon_{\gamma} \gamma=0$ and $\epsilon_{\gamma}=-1,0,1$, then $\Sigma\left|\epsilon_{\gamma}\right|<\frac{1}{2}\left|A_{1}\right| . \quad$ If $\quad \sum_{\gamma \in A_{2}} \epsilon_{\gamma} \gamma=0, \quad \epsilon_{\gamma}= \pm 1 \quad$ and $\quad A_{2} \subset A_{1}$ is choosen with $\left|A_{2}\right|$ maximum, the set $B=A_{1} \backslash A_{2}$ will be quasi-independent and $|B|>\delta|A|$.

The set $A_{2}$ is obtained using a probabilistic argument. Fix $\tau=\mathrm{C}_{1}^{-1} \mathrm{C}^{-2}$ and $\ell=\frac{1}{4} \tau|\mathrm{~A}| \quad\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right.$ is a fixed constant, choosen to fulfil a next estimation). Let $\left(\xi_{\gamma}\right)_{\gamma \in \mathrm{A}}$ be independent $(0,1)$ valued random variables in $\omega$ and define

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\omega}(x)=\sum_{m=\ell}^{|\mathrm{A}|} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{S} \subset \mathrm{A} \\|\mathrm{~S}|=m}} \prod_{\gamma \in \mathrm{S}} \xi_{\gamma}(\omega)(\gamma(x)+\bar{\gamma}(x))
$$

Notice that the property $\int_{G} F_{\omega}(x) d x=0$ is equivalent to the fact $\int_{G} \prod_{\gamma \in S}(\gamma+\bar{\gamma})=0$ whenever $S$ is a subset of the random set $\left\{\gamma \in \mathrm{A} \mid \xi_{\gamma}(\omega)=1\right\}$ with $|S| \geqslant \ell$.

Thus the random set does not present $( \pm 1)$-relations of length at least $\ell$.

Using condition (2) and the choice of $\tau, \ell$, we may evaluate $\iint_{\mathrm{G}} \mathrm{F}_{\omega}(x) d x d \omega \leqslant \sum_{m=\ell}^{|\mathrm{A}|} \tau^{m} \frac{1}{m!} \int_{\mathrm{G}}\left|\Sigma_{\mathrm{A}}(\gamma+\bar{\gamma})\right|^{m}$ $\leqslant \sum_{m \geqslant \ell} r^{m}(6 \mathrm{C})^{m}\left(\frac{|\mathrm{~A}|}{m}\right)^{m / 2}<2^{-\ell / 2}$.
Hence

$$
\frac{\tau|\mathrm{A}|}{2}+2^{\ell / 2} \iint_{\mathrm{G}} \mathrm{~F}_{\omega}(x) d x d \omega<\int \sum_{\gamma \in \mathrm{A}} \xi_{\gamma}(\omega)
$$

implying the existence of $\omega$ s.t.

$$
\left|\mathrm{A}_{1}\right|>\frac{\tau|\mathrm{A}|}{2}
$$

where $A_{1}=\left\{\gamma \in A \mid \xi_{\gamma}(\omega)=1\right\}$
and

$$
\int_{\mathrm{G}} \mathrm{~F}_{\omega}(x)<2^{-\ell / 2}|\mathrm{~A}|<1, \text { so } \int_{G} \mathrm{~F}_{\omega}(x)=0
$$

By definition of $F_{\omega}$ and the choice of $\ell$, it follows that $A_{1}$ has the desired properties.

The key step is the following construction:
Lemma 2.-Assume $\quad \Lambda_{1}, \ldots, \Lambda_{\mathrm{J}}$ disjoint quasi-independent subsets of $\Gamma$ and

$$
\frac{\left|\Lambda_{j+1}\right|}{\left|\Lambda_{j}\right|}>\mathrm{R} \quad \text { for } \quad j=1, \ldots, \mathrm{~J}-1
$$

where the ratio $\mathrm{R}>10$ is some fixed numerical constant (appearing through later computations).

Then there are subsets $\Lambda_{j}^{\prime}$ of $\Lambda_{j}(1 \leqslant j \leqslant \mathbf{J})$ s.t.
(1) $\left|\Lambda_{j}^{\prime}\right|>\frac{1}{10}\left|\Lambda_{j}\right|$ and
(2) $\bigcup_{j=1}^{j} \Lambda_{j}^{\prime}$ is quasi-independent.

Proof. - Fixing $j=1, \ldots, \mathrm{~J}$, we will exhibit a subset $\Lambda_{j}^{\prime}$ of $\Lambda_{j}$ satisfying the following condition (*)

$$
\eta_{1} \ldots \eta_{j-1} \eta_{j+1} \ldots \eta_{\mathrm{J}} \neq 0
$$

if

$$
0 \neq \eta_{j}=\sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda^{\prime}} \epsilon_{\gamma} \gamma\left(\epsilon_{\gamma}=-1,0,1\right)
$$

and for each $k \neq j$

$$
\eta_{k} \in \mathrm{P}_{d_{k}}\left(\Lambda_{k}\right) \quad \text { where } \quad d_{k}=\frac{\left|\Lambda_{j}\right|}{\left|\Lambda_{k}\right|} \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda_{j}^{\prime}}\left|\epsilon_{\gamma}\right|
$$

Those sets $\Lambda_{i}^{\prime}$ satisfy (2). Indeed if

$$
\eta_{1} \ldots \eta_{\mathrm{J}}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \eta_{j}=\sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda_{j}^{\prime}} \epsilon_{\gamma} \gamma\left(\epsilon_{\gamma}=-1,0,1\right)
$$

then, defining $d_{j}=\sum_{\Lambda_{j^{\prime}}}\left|\epsilon_{\gamma}\right|$, either $d_{j}=0$ or $d_{k}\left|\Lambda_{k}\right|>d_{j}\left|\Lambda_{j}\right|$ for some $k \neq j$. If the $d_{j}$ are not all 0 , we may consider $j^{\prime}$ s.t. $d_{j}\left|\Lambda_{j^{\prime}}\right|$ is maximum, leading to a contradiction.

The construction of $\Lambda_{j}^{\prime}$ for fixed $j$ is done in the spirit of Lemma 1. It suffices to construct first $\bar{\Lambda}_{i} \subset \Lambda_{i}, \quad\left|\bar{\Lambda}_{i}\right|>\frac{1}{5}\left|\Lambda_{i}\right|$, fulfilling (*) under the additional restriction

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\gamma \in \bar{\Lambda}_{j}^{-}}\left|\epsilon_{\gamma}\right|>\frac{1}{2}\left|\bar{\Lambda}_{j}\right| . \tag{**}
\end{equation*}
$$

This set $\bar{\Lambda}_{j}$ is again found randomly. Consider independent $(0,1)$ valued random variable $\left\{\xi_{\gamma} \mid \gamma \in \Lambda_{j}\right\}$ of mean $\frac{1}{4}$ and define the random function on $G$
$\mathrm{F}_{\omega}=\sum_{m=\left|\Lambda_{j}\right| / 10}^{\left|\Lambda_{j}\right|} \sum_{\substack{\mathrm{S} \subset \Lambda_{j} \\|\mathrm{~S}|=m}} \prod_{\gamma \in \mathrm{S}} \xi_{\gamma}(\omega)(\gamma+\bar{\gamma}) \prod_{k \neq j} \Sigma\left\{\eta \in \mathrm{P}_{d_{k}(m)}\left(\Lambda_{k}\right)\right\}$
where $d_{k}(m)=\frac{\left|\Lambda_{j}\right|}{\left|\Lambda_{k}\right|} m$. Write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iint_{\mathrm{G}} \mathrm{~F}_{\omega}(x) d x d \omega \leqslant & \sum_{m=\left|\Lambda_{j}\right| 10}^{\left|\Lambda_{j}\right|} 2^{-m} \\
& \int_{\mathrm{G}} \prod_{\gamma \in \Lambda_{j}}(1+\operatorname{Re} \gamma) \prod_{k \neq j} \Sigma\left\{\eta \in \mathrm{P}_{d_{k}(m)}\left(\Lambda_{k}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and using the estimation on $\left|\mathrm{P}_{\boldsymbol{d}}(\mathrm{A})\right|$ mentioned in the introduction, it follows the majoration by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
2^{-\frac{\left|\Lambda_{j}\right|}{10}} \prod_{k \neq j}\left|\mathbb{P}_{d_{k}}\left(\Lambda_{k}\right)\right| \quad\left(d_{k}=d_{k}\left(\left|\Lambda_{j}\right|\right)=\frac{\left|\Lambda_{j}\right|^{2}}{\left|\Lambda_{k}\right|}\right) \\
\leqslant 2^{-\frac{\left|\Lambda_{j}\right|}{10}} \exp \left\{2 \sum_{k<j}\left|\Lambda_{k}\right| \log C \frac{\left|\Lambda_{j}\right|}{\left|\Lambda_{k}\right|}+2 \sum_{k>j} \frac{\left|\Lambda_{j}\right|^{2}}{\left|\Lambda_{k}\right|} \log \mathrm{C} \frac{\left|\Lambda_{k}\right|}{\left|\Lambda_{j}\right|}\right\}
\end{array}
$$

Since $\log x<2 \sqrt{x}$ for $x \geqslant 1$, we may further estimate by

$$
2^{-\frac{\left|\Lambda_{j}\right|}{10}} \exp \left\{C_{1} \sum_{k<j}\left(\frac{\left|\Lambda_{k}\right|}{\left|\Lambda_{j}\right|}\right)^{1 / 2}+C_{1} \sum_{k>j}\left(\frac{\left|\Lambda_{j}\right|}{\left|\Lambda_{k}\right|}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\}\left|\Lambda_{j}\right|<2^{-\frac{\left|\Lambda_{j}\right|}{11}}
$$

for an appropriate choice of the ratio $R$.
So again, since we may assume $\left|\Lambda_{j}\right|>20$

$$
\frac{1}{5}\left|\Lambda_{j}\right|+2^{\frac{\left|\Lambda_{j}\right|}{11}} \iint_{\mathrm{G}} \mathrm{~F}_{\omega}(x) d x d \omega<\int \sum_{\Lambda_{j}} \xi_{\gamma}(\omega) d \omega
$$

and there exists therefore some $\omega$ s.t. if $\bar{\Lambda}_{j}=\left\{\gamma \in \Lambda_{j} \mid \xi_{\gamma}(\omega)=1\right\}$ we have

$$
\left|\bar{\Lambda}_{j}\right|>\frac{1}{5}\left|\Lambda_{j}\right| \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{\mathrm{G}} \mathrm{~F}_{\omega}(x) d x=0
$$

But the latter property means that (*) holds under the restriction (**).

This proves lemma 2.
We derive now the implication $(3) \Longrightarrow(4)$.

Lemma 3. - If (3) of the theorem holds, then (4) is valid with $\delta(4) \sim \delta(3)$.

Proof. - From Lemma 2, the argument is routine. Let R be the constant appearing in Lemma 2 and fix a sequence $\left(\alpha_{\gamma}\right)_{\gamma \in \Lambda}$ s.t. $\Sigma\left|\alpha_{\gamma}\right|=1$.

Define for $k=0,1,2, \ldots$

$$
\Lambda_{k}=\left\{\gamma \in \Lambda\left|\mathrm{R}_{1}^{-k} \geqslant\left|\alpha_{\gamma}\right|>\mathrm{R}_{1}^{-k-1}\right\}\right.
$$

where $R_{1}$ is a numerical constant with $R_{1}>4 R$.
By hypothesis, there exists for each $k$ a quasi-independent subset $\Lambda_{k}^{1}$ of $\Lambda_{k}$ s.t.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Lambda_{k}^{1}\right|>\delta\left|\Lambda_{k}\right| . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Defining

$$
\Omega_{e}=\underset{k \text { even }}{\cup} \Lambda_{k}^{1} \quad \text { and } \quad \Omega_{0}=\underset{k \text { odd }}{\cup} \Lambda_{k}^{1}
$$

we have

$$
\sum_{\gamma \in \Omega_{e}}\left|\alpha_{\gamma}\right|+\sum_{\gamma \in \Omega_{0}}\left|\alpha_{\gamma}\right| \geqslant \frac{\delta}{\mathrm{R}_{1}}
$$

and may for instance assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\gamma \in \Omega_{e}}\left|\alpha_{\gamma}\right| \geqslant \frac{\delta}{2 \mathrm{R}_{1}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define inductively the sequence $\left(k_{j}\right)_{j=1,2, \ldots}$ by

$$
k_{1}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad k_{j+1}=\min \left\{k>k_{j}| | \Lambda_{2 k}^{1}|>\mathrm{R}| \Lambda_{2 k_{j}}^{1} \mid\right\} .
$$

If we take $\Lambda_{j}^{2}=\Lambda_{2 k_{j}}^{1}$, it follows by construction that $\frac{\left|\Lambda_{j+1}^{2}\right|}{\left|\Lambda_{j}^{2}\right|}>\mathrm{R}$.
Moreover

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j} \sum_{k_{j}<k<k_{j+1}} \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda_{2 k}^{1}}\left|\alpha_{\gamma}\right| \\
& \leqslant \sum_{j} \sum_{k>k_{j}} \mathrm{R}_{1}^{-2 k} \mathrm{R}\left|\Lambda_{2 k_{j}}^{1}\right| \\
& \leqslant \frac{2 \mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{R}_{1}} \sum_{j} \mathrm{R}_{1}^{-2 k j-1}\left|\Lambda_{2 k_{j}}^{1}\right| \\
& \leqslant \frac{2 \mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{R}_{1}} \sum_{\gamma \in \Omega_{e}}\left|\alpha_{\gamma}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

and since $R_{1}>4 R$, it follows thus by (2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j} \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda_{j}^{2}}\left|\alpha_{\gamma}\right|>\frac{1}{4 \mathrm{R}_{1}} \delta \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Application of Lemma 2 to the sequence $\left(\Lambda_{j}^{2}\right)_{j=1,2}, \ldots$ leads to further subsets $\Lambda_{j}^{3} \subset \Lambda_{j}^{2}$ satisfying

$$
\left|\Lambda_{j}^{3}\right| \geqslant \frac{1}{10}\left|\Lambda_{j}^{2}\right| \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{A}=\cup \Lambda_{j}^{3} \text { is quasi-independent. }
$$

It remains to write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\gamma \in \mathrm{A}}\left|\alpha_{\gamma}\right| \geqslant \sum_{j} \mathrm{R}_{1}^{-2 k_{j}-1}\left|\Lambda_{j}^{3}\right| \geqslant \frac{1}{10 \mathrm{R}_{1}} \sum_{j} \mathrm{R}_{1}^{-2 k_{j}}\left|\Lambda_{j}^{2}\right| \\
& \geqslant \frac{1}{10 \mathrm{R}_{1}} \sum_{j} \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda_{j}^{2}}\left|\alpha_{\gamma}\right|
\end{aligned} \\
& \text { and use (3). }
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark. - Say that a subset $A$ of the dual group $\Gamma$ is $d$-independent ( $d=1,2, \ldots$ ) provided the relation

$$
\sum_{\gamma \in \mathrm{A}}^{\prime} \epsilon_{\gamma} \gamma=0\left(\epsilon_{\gamma}=-d,-d+1, \ldots, d\right)
$$

implies $\epsilon_{\gamma}=0(\gamma \in A)$.
With this terminology, 1 -independent corresponds to quasiindependent.

Assume G a torsion-free compact, abelian group. Fixing an integer $d$, statements (3) and (4) of the theorem can be reformulated for $d$-independent sets. The proof is a straightforward modification.

## 3. Sidon sets of first type.

As an application of previous section, we show
Corollary 2. $-A$ sidon set tending to infinity is a Sidon set of first type.

Notice that conversely each set of first type tends to infinity (see [2]). Also, each Sidon set is the finite union of sets tending to infinity (see [3], p. 141 and [1] for the general case).

Proof of Cor. 2. - Fix a Sidon set $\Lambda$ tending to infinity and a nonempty open subset I of G . Choose $\delta>0$ s.t. (4) of the previous theorem holds.
Let $p \in \mathrm{~L}^{1}(\mathrm{G})$ be a polynomial s.t. $p \geqslant 0, \hat{p} \geqslant 0$, $\int_{G} p=1$ and $|p|<\epsilon$ on $G \backslash I$ (where $\epsilon>0$ will be defined later). Denote $\Gamma_{0}$ the spectrum of $p$. By hypothesis, we may assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma-\delta \notin \Gamma_{0} \quad \text { for } \quad \gamma \neq \delta \quad \text { in } \quad \Lambda . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim the existence of a finite subset $\Lambda_{0}$ of $\Lambda$ s.t. if $\left(\alpha_{\gamma}\right)_{\gamma \in \Lambda \backslash \Lambda_{0}}$ is a finite scalar sequence, there exists a quasiindependent subset $A$ of $\Lambda \backslash A_{0}$ s.t.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\gamma \in A}\left|\alpha_{\gamma}\right|>\frac{\delta}{2} \Sigma\left|\alpha_{\gamma}\right| \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int p \prod_{\gamma \in \mathrm{A}}(1+\operatorname{Re} \gamma)<2 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The existence of $\Lambda_{0}$ is shown by contradiction. Indeed, one should otherwise obtain finite disjointly supported systems

$$
\left(\alpha_{\gamma}\right)_{\gamma \in \Lambda_{1}}, \ldots,\left(\alpha_{\gamma}\right)_{\gamma \in \Lambda_{r}}, \ldots\left(\Lambda_{r} \subset \Lambda\right)
$$

with

$$
\sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda_{r}}\left|\alpha_{\gamma}\right|=1
$$

and for which a quasi-independent set fulfilling (2), (3) does not exist.

Fix R large and apply (4) of the Theorem to the system

$$
\left\{\alpha_{\gamma} \mid \gamma \in \bigcup_{r=1}^{\mathrm{R}} \Lambda_{r}\right\} .
$$

This yields a quasi-independent set $B \subset \Lambda$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{r=1}^{\mathrm{R}} \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda_{r} \cap \mathrm{~B}}\left|\alpha_{\gamma}\right|>\delta \mathrm{R} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, since $\hat{p} \geqslant 0$

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{r=1}^{\mathrm{R}} \int p\left\{\prod_{\gamma \in \mathrm{B} \cap \Lambda_{r}}(1\right. & +\operatorname{Re} \gamma)-1\} \\
& \leqslant \int p \prod_{\gamma \in \mathrm{B}}(1+\operatorname{Re} \gamma) \leqslant\|p\|_{\infty} \leqslant\left|\Gamma_{0}\right| \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

As a consequence of (4), (5), there must be some $r=1, \ldots, R$ for which $\sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda_{r} \cap B}\left|\alpha_{\gamma}\right|>\frac{\delta}{2}$ as well as

$$
\int p \prod_{\gamma \in B \cap \Lambda_{r}}(1+\operatorname{Re} \gamma)<1+\int p=2
$$

provided $R$ is chosen large enough. Since $A=B \cap \Lambda_{r}$ is quasiindependent, a contradiction follows. This ensures the existence of $\Lambda_{0}$. We assume $\Gamma_{0} \subset \Lambda_{0}$.

Let now $\left(\alpha_{\gamma}\right)_{\gamma \in \Lambda \backslash \Lambda_{0}}$ a finite scalar sequence and $A$ a quasi-independent set fulfilling (2), (3). Clearly, whenever $\left|a_{\gamma}\right| \leqslant 1(\gamma \in \mathrm{~A})$, by construction of $p$,

$$
\left|\int \prod_{\gamma \in \mathrm{A}}\left(1+\operatorname{Re} a_{\gamma} \gamma\right)\left(\Sigma \cdot \alpha_{\gamma} \gamma\right) p\right| \leqslant 2\left\|\Sigma \alpha_{\gamma} \gamma\right\|_{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{I})}+\epsilon \Sigma\left|\alpha_{\gamma}\right|
$$

We now analyze the left side, defining $a_{\gamma}=\kappa b_{\gamma}\left(\left|b_{\gamma}\right|=1\right), \kappa$ to be specified later. Write

$$
\prod_{\gamma \in \mathrm{A}}\left(1+\operatorname{Re} a_{\gamma} \gamma\right)=1+\kappa \sum_{\gamma \in \mathrm{A}} \operatorname{Re} b_{\gamma} \gamma+\sum_{\ell>2} \kappa^{\ell} \mathrm{Q}_{\ell}
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{Q}_{\ell}=\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{S} \subset \mathbf{A} \\|\mathbf{S}|=\ell}} \prod_{\gamma \in \mathbf{S}} \operatorname{Re} b_{\gamma} \gamma \quad \text { and, } \text { since } \int\left(\Sigma \alpha_{\gamma} \gamma\right) p=0
$$

minorate consequently the left member as
$\kappa\left|\int\left(\sum_{\gamma \in \mathrm{A}} \operatorname{Re} b_{\gamma} \gamma\right)\left(\Sigma \alpha_{\gamma} \gamma\right) p\right|-\sum_{\ell \geqslant 2} \kappa^{\ell}\left|\int \mathrm{Q}_{\ell} p\left(\Sigma \alpha_{\gamma} \gamma\right)\right|$.
Since $\hat{p} \geqslant 0$, we have for fixed $\ell$ (from (3))

$$
\left|\int \mathrm{Q}_{\ell} p\left(\Sigma \alpha_{\gamma} \gamma\right)\right| \leqslant\left\|\mathrm{Q}_{\ell} p\right\|_{\mathrm{PM}} \cdot \Sigma\left|\alpha_{\gamma}\right|
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathrm{Q}_{\ell .} p\right\|_{\mathrm{PM}} \leqslant\left\|\left(\sum_{\substack{\mathrm{S} \subset \mathrm{~A} \\
|\mathrm{~S}|=\ell}} \prod_{\gamma \in \mathrm{S}} \operatorname{Re} \gamma\right) p\right\|_{\mathrm{PM}} & \\
& \leqslant\left\|\prod_{\gamma \in \mathrm{A}}(1+\operatorname{Re} \gamma) \cdot p\right\|_{1}<2
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus (*) can be minorated as

$$
\kappa\left|\int\left(\sum_{\gamma \in \mathrm{A}} \operatorname{Re} b_{\gamma} \gamma\right)\left(\Sigma \alpha_{\gamma} \gamma\right) p\right|-3 \kappa^{2} \Sigma\left|\alpha_{\gamma}\right|
$$

Since $\operatorname{Re} b_{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ can be replaced by $\operatorname{Im} b_{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$, we see that
$2\left\|\Sigma \alpha_{\gamma} \gamma\right\|_{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{I})} \geqslant \frac{\kappa}{2}\left|\int\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{A}} b_{\gamma} \bar{\gamma}\right)\left(\Sigma_{\Lambda} \alpha_{\gamma} \gamma\right) p\right|-\left(\epsilon+3 \kappa^{2}\right) \Sigma\left|\alpha_{\gamma}\right|$.
Now, for $\gamma \in \mathrm{A} \subset \Lambda$ and $\delta \in \Lambda$, either $\gamma=\delta$ or $\int \bar{\gamma} \delta p=0$.
This as a consequence of (1). Thus, taking $b_{\gamma}=\frac{\overline{\alpha_{\gamma}}}{\left|\alpha_{\gamma}\right|}$,

$$
\int\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{A}} b_{\gamma} \bar{\gamma}\right)\left(\Sigma_{\Lambda} \alpha_{\gamma} \gamma\right) p=\Sigma_{\mathrm{A}}\left|\alpha_{\gamma}\right|>\frac{\delta}{2} \Sigma\left|\alpha_{\gamma}\right|
$$

Choosing $\epsilon, \kappa$ appropriately, the proof is completed.

Remark. - Let $G$ be a compactly generated, locally compact abelian group and $B$ the dual group. A subset $\Lambda$ of $\Gamma$ is called a topological Sidon set provided there exists a compact subset $K$ of $\quad G \quad$ satisfying $\sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda}\left|\alpha_{\gamma}\right| \leqslant C \sup _{x \in K}\left|\sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda} \alpha_{\gamma} \gamma(x)\right|$ where $C$ is a fixed constant.

Similarly to the case of compact groups, we define Sidon sets of first type. Then Cor. 2 remains valid. It is indeed easy using the stability property of topological Sidon sets for small perturbations (see [2] for details) to reduce the problem to the periodic case.
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