



ANNALES

DE

L'INSTITUT FOURIER

Alex DEGTYAREV, Torsten EKEDAHL, Iliia ITENBERG,
Boris SHAPIRO & Michael SHAPIRO

On total reality of meromorphic functions

Tome 57, n° 6 (2007), p. 2015-2030.

http://aif.cedram.org/item?id=AIF_2007__57_6_2015_0

© Association des Annales de l'institut Fourier, 2007, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Annales de l'institut Fourier » (<http://aif.cedram.org/>), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://aif.cedram.org/legal/>). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation à fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

cedram

Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du
Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques
<http://www.cedram.org/>

ON TOTAL REALITY OF MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

by Alex DEGTYAREV, Torsten EKEDAHN, Ilia ITENBERG,
Boris SHAPIRO & Michael SHAPIRO (*)

ABSTRACT. — We show that, if a meromorphic function of degree at most four on a real algebraic curve of an arbitrary genus has only real critical points, then it is conjugate to a real meromorphic function by a suitable projective automorphism of the image.

RÉSUMÉ. — On montre que, si tous les points critiques d'une fonction méromorphe de degré au plus quatre sur une courbe algébrique réelle de genre arbitraire sont réels, alors la fonction est conjuguée à une fonction méromorphe réelle par un automorphisme projectif approprié de l'image.

1. Introduction

Let $\gamma : \mathbb{CP}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^n$ be a rational curve in \mathbb{CP}^n . We say that a point $t \in \mathbb{CP}^1$ is a *flattening point* of γ if the osculating frame formed by $\gamma'(t), \gamma''(t), \dots, \gamma^{(n)}(t)$ is degenerate. In other words, flattening points of $\gamma(t) = (\gamma_0(t) : \gamma_1(t) : \dots : \gamma_n(t))$ are roots of the Wronskian

$$W(\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_n) = \begin{vmatrix} \gamma_0 & \dots & \gamma_n \\ \gamma'_0 & \dots & \gamma'_n \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \gamma_0^{(n)} & \dots & \gamma_n^{(n)} \end{vmatrix}.$$

In 1993 B. and M. Shapiro made the following claim which we will refer to as *rational total reality conjecture*.

Keywords: Total reality, meromorphic function, real curves on ellipsoid, K3-surface.

Math. classification: 14P05, 14P25.

(*) I.I. is partially supported by the ANR-05-0053-01 grant of Agence Nationale de la Recherche and a grant of Université Louis Pasteur, Strasbourg.

M.S. is partially supported by the grants DMS-0401178, PHY-0555346, and by BSF-2002375.

CONJECTURE 1.1. — *If all flattening points of a rational curve $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ lie on the real line $\mathbb{R}P^1 \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ then the curve is conjugate to a real algebraic curve under an appropriate projective automorphism of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$.*

Notice that coordinates γ_i of the rational curve γ are homogeneous polynomials of a certain degree, say d . Considering them as vectors in the space of homogeneous degree d polynomials we can reformulate the above conjecture as a statement of total reality in Schubert calculus, see [7], [14]-[12], [17]. Namely, for any $0 \leq d < n$ let $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_{(n+1)(d-n)}$ be a sequence of real numbers and $\mathbf{r} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{d+1}$ be a rational normal curve with coordinates $r_i(t) = t^i$, $i = \overline{0, d}$. Denote by T_i the osculating $(d-n)$ -dimensional plane to \mathbf{r} at the moment $t = t_i$. Then the above rational total reality conjecture is equivalent to the following claim.

CONJECTURE 1.2 (Schubert calculus interpretation). — *In the above notation any $(n+1)$ -dimensional subspace in \mathbb{C}^{d+1} which meets all $(n+1) \times (d-n)$ subspaces T_i nontrivially is real.*

It was first supported by extensive numerical evidences, see [14]-[12], [17] and later settled for $n = 1$, see [4]. The case $n \geq 2$ resisted all efforts for a long time. In fall 2005 the authors were informed by A. Eremenko and A. Gabrielov that they were able to prove Conjecture 1.1 for plane rational quintics. Just few months later it was completely established by E. Mukhin, V. Tarasov, and A. Varchenko in [8].

Their proof reveals the deep connection between Schubert calculus and theory of integrable systems and is based on the Bethe ansatz method in the Gaudin model. More exactly, conjectures 1 and 2 are reduced to the question of reality of $(n+1)$ -dimensional subspaces of the space V of polynomials of degree d with given asymptotics at infinity and fixed Wronskian. Choosing a base in such a subspace we get the rational curve $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$, whose flattening points coincide with the roots of the above mentioned Wronskian. The subspaces with desired properties are constructed explicitly using properties of spectra of Gaudin Hamiltonians. Namely, relaxing the reality condition these polynomial subspaces are labeled by common eigenvectors of Gaudin Hamiltonians, one-parameter families of commuting linear maps on some vector space, $H_1(x), \dots, H_{n+1}(x) : V \rightarrow V$. The subspace, labeled by an eigenvector, is the kernel of a certain linear differential operator of order $n+1$, assigned to each eigenvector of the Hamiltonians. The coefficients of that differential operator, are the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonians on that eigenvector. It turns out that in the case of real rooted Wronskians Gaudin Hamiltonians are symmetric with respect

to the so-called tensor Shapovalov form, and thus have real spectra. Moreover, their eigenvalues are real rational functions. This fact implies that the kernels of the above fundamental differential operators are real subspaces in V which concludes the proof.

Meanwhile two different generalizations of the original conjectures (both dealing with the case $n = 1$) were suggested in [5] and [3]. The former replaces the condition of reality of critical points by the existence of separated collections of real points such that a meromorphic function takes the same value on each set. The latter discusses the generalization of the total reality conjecture to higher genus curves.

The present paper is the sequel of [3]. Here we prove the higher genus version of the total reality conjecture for all meromorphic functions of degree at most four.

For reader's convenience and to make the paper self-contained we included some of results of [3] here. We start with some standard notation.

Definition. A pair (\mathcal{C}, σ) consisting of a compact Riemann surface \mathcal{C} and its antiholomorphic involution σ is called a *real algebraic curve*. The set $\mathcal{C}_\sigma \subset \mathcal{C}$ of all fixed points of σ is called the *real part* of (\mathcal{C}, σ) .

If (\mathcal{C}, σ) and (\mathcal{D}, τ) are real curves (varieties) and $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ a holomorphic map, then we denote by \bar{f} the holomorphic map $\tau \circ f \circ \sigma$. Notice that f is *real* if and only if $\bar{f} = f$.

The main question we discuss below is as follows.

Main Problem. Given a meromorphic function $f : (\mathcal{C}, \sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ such that

- i) all its critical points and values are distinct;
- ii) all its critical points belong to \mathcal{C}_σ ;

is it true that f becomes a real meromorphic function after an appropriate choice of a real structure on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$?

DEFINITION 1.3. — We say that the space of meromorphic functions of degree d on a genus g real algebraic curve (\mathcal{C}, σ) has the total reality property (or is totally real) if the Main Problem has the affirmative answer for any meromorphic function from this space which satisfies the above assumptions. We say that a pair of positive integers (g, d) has a total reality property if the space of meromorphic functions of degree d is totally real on **any** real algebraic curve of genus g .

Notice that the existence of real meromorphic functions with all real (and closely located) critical points on real curves of positive genus was recently proved by B. Osserman in [10].

The following results were proven in [3] (see Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 there).

THEOREM 1.4. — *The space of meromorphic functions of any degree d which is a prime on any real curve (\mathcal{C}, σ) of genus g which additionally satisfies the inequality: $g > \frac{d^2 - 4d + 3}{3}$ has the total reality property.*

COROLLARY 1.5. — *The total reality property holds for all meromorphic functions of degrees 2, 3, i.e. for all pairs $(g, 2)$ and $(g, 3)$.*

The proof of Theorem 1.4 is based on the following observation. Consider the space $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ equipped with the involution $s : (x, y) \mapsto (\bar{y}, \bar{x})$ which we call the *involutive real structure* (here \bar{x} and \bar{y} stand for the complex conjugates of x and y with respect to the standard real structure in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$). The pair **Ell** = $(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1, s)$ is usually referred to as the standard *ellipsoid*, see [6]. (Sometimes by the ellipsoid one means the set of fixed points of s on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$.) The next statement translates the problem of total reality into the question of (non)existence of certain real algebraic curves on **Ell**.

PROPOSITION 1.6. — *For any positive integer g and **prime** d the total reality property holds for the pair (g, d) if and only if there is **no** real algebraic curve on **Ell** with the following properties:*

- i) *its geometric genus equals g ;*
- ii) *its bi-degree as a curve on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ equals (d, d) ;*
- iii) *its only singularities are $2d - 2 + 2g$ real cusps on **Ell** and possibly some number of (not necessarily transversal) intersections of smooth branches.*

Extending slightly the arguments proving Proposition 1.6 one gets the following statement.

PROPOSITION 1.7. — *The total reality property holds for all real meromorphic functions, i.e. for all pairs (g', d') if and only if for **no** pair (g, d) , $d > 1$ there exists a real algebraic curve on **Ell** satisfying conditions i) - iii) of Proposition 1.6.*

The main result of the present paper obtained using a version of Proposition 1.6 and technique related to integer lattices and $K3$ -surfaces is as follows.

THEOREM 1.8. — *The total reality property holds for all meromorphic functions of degree 4, i.e. for all pairs $(g, 4)$.*

The structure of the note is as follows. Section 3 contains the proofs of Propositions 1.6 and 1.7, and reduction of Theorem 1.8 to the question of nonexistence of a real curve \mathcal{D} on \mathbf{Ell} of bi-degree $(4, 4)$ with eight real cusps and no other singularities. The nonexistence of such a curve \mathcal{D} is shown in Section 6, the necessary notions and facts related to integral bilinear forms and $K3$ -surfaces being introduced in Sections 4 and 5, respectively. Section 7 contains a number of remarks and open problems.

2. Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to A. Gabrielov, A. Eremenko, R. Kulkarni, B. Osserman, V. Tarasov, A. Vainshtein, and A. Varchenko for discussions of the topic. The third, fourth and fifth authors want to acknowledge the hospitality of MSRI in Spring 2004 during the program 'Topological methods in real algebraic geometry' which gave them a large number of valuable research inputs.

3. Reduction

If not mentioned explicitly we assume below that $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ is provided with its standard real structure.

Assume now that (\mathcal{C}, σ) is a proper irreducible real curve and $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ a non-constant meromorphic function. It defines the holomorphic map

$$\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{(f, \bar{f})} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$$

and if $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ is given the involutive real structure $s : (x, y) \rightarrow (\bar{y}, \bar{x})$ then it is clearly a real map. The following result is proved in [3].

PROPOSITION 3.1. —

- (1) *The image \mathcal{D} of the curve \mathcal{C} under the map (f, \bar{f}) is of type (δ, δ) for some positive integer δ and if ∂ is the degree of the map $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ we have that $d = \delta\partial$, where d is the degree of the original f .*
- (2) *The function f is real for some real structure on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ precisely when $\delta = 1$.*
- (3) *Assume that \mathcal{C} is smooth and all the critical points of f are real. Then all the critical points of $\psi : \tilde{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$, the composite of the normalization map $\tilde{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and the restriction of the projection of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$, are real.*

The image of \mathcal{C} under the real holomorphic map (f, \bar{f}) is a real curve so that \mathcal{D} is a real curve in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ with respect to its involutive real structure, i.e. a real curve on the ellipsoid **EII**. Any such curve is of type (δ, δ) for some positive integer δ since the involutive real structure permutes the two degrees.

By a *cuspl* we mean a curve singularity of multiplicity 2 and whose tangent cone is a double line. It has the local form $y^2 = x^k$ for some integer $k \geq 3$ where k is an invariant which we shall call its *type*. A cuspl of type k gives a contribution of $\lceil (k-1)/2 \rceil$ to the arithmetic genus of a curve. A cuspl of type 3 will be called *ordinary*.

If \mathcal{C} is a curve and p_1, \dots, p_k are its smooth points then consider the finite map $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(p_1, \dots, p_k)$ which is a homeomorphism and for which $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}(p_1, \dots, p_k)} \rightarrow \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is an isomorphism outside of $\{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ such that the image of the map $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}(p_1, \dots, p_k), \pi(p_i)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}, p_i}$ is the inverse image of \mathcal{C} in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}, p_i} / \mathfrak{m}_{p_i}^2$. In other words, $\mathcal{C}(p_1, \dots, p_k)$ has ordinary cuspls at all points $\pi(p_i)$.

Then π has the following two (obvious) properties.

LEMMA 3.2. —

- (1) A holomorphic map $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow X$ which is not an immersion at all the points p_1, \dots, p_k factors through π .
- (2) If \mathcal{C} is proper, then the arithmetic genus of $\mathcal{C}(p_1, \dots, p_k)$ is k plus the arithmetic genus of \mathcal{C} .

Now it is easy to derive Proposition 1.6 from Proposition 3.1. Indeed, if a meromorphic function $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ of a prime degree d with all $2g + 2d - 2$ real critical points can not be made real then its image under (f, \bar{f}) in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ is the real curve on **EII** with $2g + 2d - 2$ real cuspls and no other singularities different from intersections of smooth branches. (Intersections of smooth branches in the image might occur and are moreover necessary to produce the required genus.) Vice versa, assume that such a curve $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ which is real in the involutive structure does exist. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ be the normalization of \mathcal{D} , and consider the natural birational projection map $\mu : \tilde{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$. Define $f : \tilde{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ as a composition $\tilde{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$, where the last map is induced by the projection of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ on the first factor. It remains to notice that all $2g + 2d - 2$ critical points of f are real while f can not be made real by Proposition 3.1. \square

Similar arguments show the validity of Proposition 1.7. Indeed, assume that there exists a meromorphic function ϕ of some degree d' on a real curve \mathcal{C}' of some genus g' violating the total reality conjecture. Let $\mathcal{D}' \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ be the image curve of bi-degree (d, d) obtained by application

of the map $(\phi, \bar{\phi})$ to \mathcal{C}' and let $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}'}$ be the normalization of \mathcal{D}' . Let $\mu' : \widetilde{\mathcal{D}'}$ \rightarrow \mathcal{D}' be the canonical birational map and, finally, let $\phi : \widetilde{\mathcal{D}'}$ \rightarrow $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ be the composition of μ' and the projection of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ on its first factor. Then ϕ has degree d and all the critical points of ϕ are real by Proposition 3.1(3). Note that if f is not conjugate to a real function by a Möbius transformation the same holds for ϕ as well. Hence, $\phi : \widetilde{\mathcal{D}'}$ \rightarrow $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ also violates the total reality conjecture. The image of $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}'}$ $\xrightarrow{(\phi, \bar{\phi})}$ $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ coincides with \mathcal{D}' , and the map $\mu' : \widetilde{\mathcal{D}'}$ \rightarrow \mathcal{D}' is birational. So \mathcal{D}' satisfies the assumptions i)-iii) of Proposition 1.6 for $g = g(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}'})$ and $d = \delta > 1$, see Proposition 3.1. Indeed, the map $\mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$ lifts to a map $\mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{D}'}$ of degree $\delta = d'/d$ with only simple ramifications whose number by the Riemann-Hurwitz formulas is $2g(\mathcal{C}') - 2 - \delta(2g(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}'}) - 2)$. Hence the number of critical points of f that are the preimages of cusps of \mathcal{D}' can be computed as $K = 2g(\mathcal{C}') - 2 + 2d' - (2g(\mathcal{C}') - 2 - \delta(2g(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}'}) - 2))$. Note that each cusp has as preimages exactly δ critical points. Finally we compute the number of cusps of \mathcal{D}' as $\frac{1}{\delta}K = 2g(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}'}) - 2 + 2d$.

And conversely, exactly as in the above proof given a curve $\mathcal{D}' \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ satisfying the assumptions i)-iii) of Proposition 1.6 we get a meromorphic function violating the total reality conjecture by composing the birational projection μ' from the normalization $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}'}$ to \mathcal{D}' with the projection of \mathcal{D}' on the first coordinate in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$. □

Now we can start proving Theorem 1.8. Using a version of Proposition 3.1 we reduce the case of degree $d = 4$ to the existence problem of a real curve on the ellipsoid **Ell** = $(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbf{s})$ of bi-degree $(4, 4)$ with 8 ordinary real cusps and **no** other singularities. Indeed, we have three possibilities for the image \mathcal{D} of \mathcal{C} under the map (f, \bar{f}) . Namely, \mathcal{D} might have bi-degrees $(1, 1)$, $(2, 2)$, or $(4, 4)$. In the first case f can be made real. In the second case, by Proposition 3.1, the projection on the first factor will give a map from the normalization $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}$ of \mathcal{D} . The arithmetic genus $p_a(\mathcal{D}) = 1$, and the geometric genus $g(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}})$ of the normalization $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}$ does not exceed 1. Let $\tilde{h} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}$ be the lift of $h : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$. Note that if $p_i \in \mathcal{C}$ is a critical point of \tilde{f} then either its image $h(p_i)$ is a cusp of \mathcal{D} or p_i is a ramification point of \tilde{h} . The ramification divisor $R(\tilde{h}) = 2g(\mathcal{C}) + 2 - 4g(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}})$. The number of cusps of \mathcal{D} does not exceed 1, whereas the number of distinct critical points of f is $2g(\mathcal{C}) + 6$. Note that any cusp has two critical points of f as preimages. Therefore, we must have $\frac{1}{2} \left(2g(\mathcal{C}) + 6 - \left(2g(\mathcal{C}) + 2 - 4g(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}) \right) \right) \leq 1$ which is impossible.

We are hence left with the case when \mathcal{D} has bi-degree $(4, 4)$. The only case when $2 \cdot 4 - 2 + 3g(\mathcal{C}) \leq 9$ for $g(\mathcal{C}) > 0$ is the case of $g(\mathcal{C}) = 1$. If

all the critical points p_1, \dots, p_8 of $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$ are real, then we get a birational map $\mathcal{C}(p_1, \dots, p_8) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and as then both $\mathcal{C}(p_1, \dots, p_8)$ and \mathcal{D} have arithmetic genus 9, this map is an isomorphism. Hence \mathcal{D} is a curve with 8 ordinary real cusps and no other singularities. To finish the proof of Theorem 1.8 we have to show that such curves do not exist. This is done in §6 below; the necessary notation and techniques are introduced in §4 and §5.

4. Discriminant forms

A *lattice* is a finitely generated free abelian group L supplied with a symmetric bilinear form $b : L \otimes L \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. We abbreviate $b(x, y) = x \cdot y$ and $b(x, x) = x^2$. A lattice L is *even* if $x^2 = 0 \pmod{2}$ for all $x \in L$. As the transition matrix between two integral bases has determinant ± 1 , the determinant $\det L \in \mathbb{Z}$ (*i.e.*, the determinant of the Gram matrix of b in any basis of L) is well defined. A lattice L is called *nondegenerate* if the determinant $\det L \neq 0$; it is called *unimodular* if $\det L = \pm 1$.

Given a lattice L , the bilinear form can be extended to $L \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ by linearity. If L is nondegenerate, the dual group $L^\vee = \text{Hom}(L, \mathbb{Z})$ can be identified with the subgroup

$$\{x \in L \otimes \mathbb{Q} \mid x \cdot y \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } y \in L\}.$$

In particular, $L \subset L^\vee$. The quotient L^\vee/L is a finite group; it is called the *discriminant group* of L and is denoted by $\text{discr } L$ or \mathcal{L} . The discriminant group \mathcal{L} inherits from $L \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ a symmetric bilinear form $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, called the *discriminant form*, and, if L is even, its quadratic extension $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/2\mathbb{Z}$. When speaking about the discriminant groups, their (anti-)isomorphisms, etc, we always assume that the discriminant form (and its quadratic extension if the lattice is even) is taken into account. One has $\#\mathcal{L} = |\det L|$; in particular, $\mathcal{L} = 0$ if and only if L is unimodular.

In what follows we denote by \mathbf{U} the *hyperbolic plane*, *i.e.*, the lattice generated by a pair of vectors u, v (referred to as a *standard basis* for \mathbf{U}) with $u^2 = v^2 = 0$ and $u \cdot v = 1$. Furthermore, given a lattice L , we denote by nL , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the orthogonal sum of n copies of L , and by $L(p)$, $p \in \mathbb{Q}$, the lattice obtained from L by multiplying the form by q (assuming that the result is still an integral lattice). The notation $n\mathcal{L}$ is also used for the orthogonal sum of n copies of a discriminant group \mathcal{L} .

Two lattices L_1, L_2 are said to have the same *genus* if all localizations $L_i \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$, p prime, and $L_i \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ are pairwise isomorphic. As a general rule,

it is relatively easy to compare the genera of two lattices; for example, the genus of an even lattice is determined by its signature and the isomorphism class of the discriminant group, see [9]. In the same paper [9] one can find a few classes of lattices whose genus is known to contain a single isomorphism class.

Following V. V. Nikulin, we denote by $\ell(\mathcal{L})$ the minimal number of generators of a finite group \mathcal{L} and, for a prime p , let $\ell_p(\mathcal{L}) = \ell(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)$. (Here \mathbb{Z}_p stands for the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.) If L is a nondegenerate lattice, there is a canonical epimorphism $\text{Hom}(L, \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$. It is an isomorphism if and only if $\text{rank } L = \ell_p(\mathcal{L})$.

An *extension* of a lattice L is another lattice M containing L . An extension is called *primitive* if M/L is torsion free. In what follows we are only interested in the case when both L and M are even. The relation between extensions of even lattices and their discriminant forms was studied in details by Nikulin; next two theorems are found in [9].

THEOREM 4.1. — *Given a nondegenerate even lattice L , there is a canonical one-to-one correspondence between the set of isomorphism classes of finite index extensions $M \supset L$ and the set of isotropic subgroups $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{L}$. Under this correspondence one has $M = \{x \in L^\vee \mid x \bmod L \in \mathcal{K}\}$ and $\text{discr } M = \mathcal{K}^\perp / \mathcal{K}$.*

THEOREM 4.2. — *Let $M \supset L$ be a primitive extension of a nondegenerate even lattice L to a unimodular even lattice M . Then there is a canonical anti-isometry $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \text{discr } L^\perp$ of discriminant forms; its graph is the kernel $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{L} \oplus \text{discr } L^\perp$ of the finite index extension $M \supset L \oplus L^\perp$, see Theorem 4.1. Furthermore, a pair of auto-isometries of L and L^\perp extends to an auto-isometry of M if and only if the induced automorphisms of \mathcal{L} and $\text{discr } L^\perp$, respectively, agree via the above anti-isometry of the discriminant groups.*

The general case $M \supset L$ splits into the finite index extension $\tilde{L} \supset L$ and primitive extension $M \supset \tilde{L}$, where

$$\tilde{L} = \{x \in M \mid nx \in L \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

is the *primitive hull* of L in M .

A *root* in an even lattice L is a vector $r \in L$ of square -2 . A *root system* is an even negative definite lattice generated by its roots. Recall that each root system splits (uniquely up to order of the summands) into orthogonal sum of indecomposable root systems, the latter being those of types \mathbf{A}_p , $p \geq 1$, \mathbf{D}_q , $q \geq 4$, \mathbf{E}_6 , \mathbf{E}_7 , or \mathbf{E}_8 , see [2]. A finite index extension $\Sigma \subset \tilde{\Sigma}$ of a root system Σ is called *quasi-primitive* if each root of $\tilde{\Sigma}$ belongs to Σ .

Each root system that can be embedded in \mathbf{E}_8 is unique in its genus, see [9]. In what follows we need the discriminant group $\text{discr } \mathbf{A}_2 = \langle -\frac{2}{3} \rangle$: it is the cyclic group \mathbb{Z}_3 generated by an element of square $-\frac{2}{3} \pmod{2\mathbb{Z}}$.

5. $K3$ -surfaces and ramified double coverings of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$

A $K3$ -surface is a nonsingular compact connected and simply connected complex surface with trivial first Chern class. From the Castelnuovo–Enriques classification of surfaces it follows that all $K3$ -surfaces form a single deformation family. In particular, they are all diffeomorphic, and the calculation for an example (say, a quartic in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3$) shows that

$$\chi(X) = 24, \quad h^{2,0}(X) = 1, \quad h^{1,1}(X) = 20.$$

(see, for instance, [1]). Hence, the intersection lattice $H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is an even (since $w_2(X) = K_X \pmod{2} = 0$) unimodular (as intersection lattice of any closed 4-manifold) lattice of rank 22 and signature -16 . All such lattices are isomorphic to $L = 2\mathbf{E}_8 \oplus 3\mathbf{U}$. In particular, the quadratic space $H_2(X; \mathbb{R}) \cong L \otimes \mathbb{R}$ has three positive squares; for a maximal positive definite subspace one can choose the subspace spanned by the real and imaginary parts of the class $[\omega]$ of a holomorphic form ω on X and the class $[\rho]$ of the fundamental form of a Kähler metric on X . (We identify the homology and cohomology *via* the Poincaré duality.)

A *real* $K3$ -surface is a pair (X, conj) , where X is a $K3$ -surface and $\text{conj} : X \rightarrow X$ an anti-holomorphic involution, i.e., a real structure on X . The $(+1)$ -eigenlattice $\ker(1 - \text{conj}_*) \subset H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ of conj_* is hyperbolic, i.e., it has one positive square in the diagonal form over \mathbb{R} . This follows, e.g., from the fact that ω and ρ above can be chosen so that $\text{conj}_*[\omega] = [\bar{\omega}]$ and $\text{conj}_*[\rho] = -[\rho]$.

Let $Y = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ and let $C \subset Y$ be an irreducible curve of bi-degree $(4, 4)$ with at worst simple singularities (*i.e.*, those of type \mathbf{A}_p , \mathbf{D}_q , \mathbf{E}_6 , \mathbf{E}_7 , or \mathbf{E}_8). Then, the minimal resolution X of the double covering of Y ramified along C is a $K3$ -surface. Recall that the standard ellipsoid is the pair $\mathbf{Ell} = (Y, s)$ where s is the anti-holomorphic involution $s : Y \rightarrow Y$, $s : (x, y) \mapsto (\bar{y}, \bar{x})$. If C is s -invariant, the involution s lifts to two different real structures on X , which commute with each other and with the deck translation of the covering $X \rightarrow Y$. Choose one of the two lifts and denote it by conj .

Let $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ be the pull-backs of the classes of two lines belonging to the two rulings of Y . Then $\ell_1^2 = \ell_2^2 = 0$ and $\ell_1 \cdot \ell_2 = 2$, i.e., ℓ_1 and ℓ_2

span a sublattice $\mathbf{U}(2)$, and conj_* acts via

$$\ell_1 \mapsto -\ell_2, \quad \ell_2 \mapsto -\ell_1.$$

Each (simple) singular point of C gives rise to a singular point of the double covering, and the exceptional divisors of its resolution span a root system in $H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ of the same type (\mathbf{A} , \mathbf{D} , or \mathbf{E}) as the original singular point. These root systems are orthogonal to each other and to ℓ_1, ℓ_2 ; denote their sum by Σ . If all singular points are real, then conj_* acts on Σ via multiplication by (-1) .

LEMMA 5.1. — *The sublattice $\Sigma \subset H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is quasi-primitive in its primitive hull.*

Proof. — Let $r \notin \Sigma$ be a root in the primitive hull of Σ . Since, obviously, $\Sigma \subset \text{Pic}X$ and $H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})/\text{Pic}X$ is torsion free, one has $r \in \text{Pic}X$. Then, the Riemann-Roch theorem implies that either r or $-r$ is effective, i.e., it is realized by a (-2) -curve in X (possibly, reducible), which is not contracted by the blow down (as $r \notin \Sigma$). On the other hand, r is orthogonal to ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 . Hence, the curve projects to a curve in Y orthogonal to both the rulings, which is impossible. \square

6. The calculation

LEMMA 6.1. — *The lattice $\Sigma = 3\mathbf{A}_2$ has no non-trivial quasi-primitive extensions.*

Proof. — Up to automorphism of $3\mathbf{A}_2$, the discriminant group $\text{discr } 3\mathbf{A}_2 \cong \langle -\frac{2}{3} \rangle$ has a unique isotropic element, which is the sum of all three generators. Then, for the corresponding extension $\tilde{\Sigma} \supset \Sigma$ one has $\text{discr } \tilde{\Sigma} = \langle \frac{2}{3} \rangle$, i.e., $\tilde{\Sigma}$ has the genus of \mathbf{E}_6 . Since the latter is unique in its genus (see [9]), one has $\tilde{\Sigma} \cong \mathbf{E}_6$. Alternatively, one can argue that, on one hand, an imprimitive extension of $3\mathbf{A}_2$ is unique and, on the other hand, an embedding $3\mathbf{A}_2 \subset \mathbf{E}_6$ is known: if $2\mathbf{A}_2$ is embedded into \mathbf{E}_6 via the Dynkin diagrams, the orthogonal complement is again a copy of \mathbf{A}_2 . \square

LEMMA 6.2. — *Up to automorphism, the lattice $\Sigma = 8\mathbf{A}_2$ has two non-trivial quasi-primitive extensions $\tilde{\Sigma} \supset \Sigma$; one has $\ell_3(\tilde{\Sigma}) = 6$ or 4 .*

Proof. — We will show that there are at most two classes. The fact that the two extensions constructed are indeed quasi-primitive is rather straightforward, but it is not needed in the sequel.

Let $\mathcal{S} = \text{discr } \Sigma \cong 8 \langle -\frac{2}{3} \rangle$ be the discriminant group, and let G be the set of generators of \mathcal{S} . The automorphisms of Σ act via transpositions of G or reversing some of the generators. (Recall that the decomposition of a definite lattice into an orthogonal sum of indecomposable summands is unique up to transposing the summands.) For an element $a \in \mathcal{S}$ define its *support* $\text{supp } a \subset G$ as the subset consisting of the generators appearing in the expansion of a with a non-zero coefficient. Since each nontrivial summand in the expansion of an element $a \in \mathcal{S}$ contributes $-\frac{2}{3} \pmod{2\mathbb{Z}}$ to the square, a is isotropic if and only if $\#\text{supp } a = 0 \pmod{3}$; in view of Lemma 6.1, such an element cannot belong to the kernel of a quasi-primitive extension unless $\#\text{supp } a = 6$. (Indeed, if $\#\text{supp } a = 3$, then a belongs to the discriminant group of the sum Σ' of certain three of the eight \mathbf{A}_2 -summands of Σ , and already Σ' is not primitive, hence, not quasi-primitive.)

All elements $a \in \mathcal{S}$ with $\#\text{supp } a = 6$ form a single orbit of the action of $\text{Aut } \Sigma$, thus giving rise to a unique isomorphism class of quasi-primitive extensions $\tilde{\Sigma} \supset \Sigma$ with $\ell_3(\text{discr } \tilde{\Sigma}) = 6$. Consider the extensions with $\ell_3(\text{discr } \tilde{\Sigma}) = 4$, i.e., those whose kernel \mathcal{K} is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3$. Up to the action of $\text{Aut } \Sigma$ the generators g_1, \dots, g_8 of \mathcal{S} and two elements a_1, a_2 generating \mathcal{K} can be chosen so that

$$a_1 = g_1 + \dots + g_6$$

and

$$a_2 = (g_1 + \dots + g_p - g_{p+1} - \dots - g_{p+q}) + \sigma$$

where $\sigma = 0, g_7$, or $g_7 + g_8$, and $p \geq q \geq 0$ are certain integers such that $p + q = \#(\text{supp } a_1 \cap \text{supp } a_2) \leq 6$. Since $\text{supp } a_1$ and $\text{supp } a_2$ are two six element sets and $\#(\text{supp } a_1 \cup \text{supp } a_2) \leq 8$, one has $p + q \geq 4$. Furthermore, since $a_1 \cdot a_2 = \frac{2}{3}(p - q) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}} = 0$, one has $p - q = 0 \pmod{3}$. This leaves three pairs of values: $(p, q) = (2, 2), (3, 3)$, or $(4, 1)$. In the first case, $(p, q) = (2, 2)$, one does obtain a quasi-primitive extension, unique up to automorphism. In the other two cases one has $\#\text{supp } (a_1 - a_2) = 3$ and, hence, the extension is not quasi-primitive due to Lemma 6.1 (cf. the previous paragraph).

Note that, in the only quasi-primitive case $(p, q) = (2, 2)$, for any pair a_1, a_2 of generators of \mathcal{K} one has

$$(6.1) \quad \text{supp } a_1 \cup \text{supp } a_2 = G \quad \text{and} \quad \#(\text{supp } a_1 \cap \text{supp } a_2) = 4.$$

As a by-product, the same relations must hold for any two independent (over \mathbb{Z}_3) elements a_1, a_2 in the kernel of any quasi-primitive extension.

Now, assume that the kernel of the extension $\tilde{\Sigma} \supset \Sigma$ contains $\mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3$, i.e., $\ell_3(\text{discr } \tilde{\Sigma}) < 4$. Pick three independent (over \mathbb{Z}_3) elements a_1, a_2, a_3 in the kernel. In view of (6.1), the principle of inclusion and exclusion implies that $\#(\text{supp } a_1 \cap \text{supp } a_2 \cap \text{supp } a_3) = 2$. Important is the fact that the intersection is nonempty. Hence, with appropriate choice of the signs, there is a generator of \mathcal{S} , say, g_1 , whose coefficients in the expansions of all three elements a_i coincide. Then the two differences $b_1 = a_1 - a_3$ and $b_2 = a_2 - a_3$ belong to the kernel, are independent, and their supports do not contain g_1 . This contradicts to (6.1). \square

PROPOSITION 6.3. — *Let L be a lattice isomorphic to $2\mathbf{E}_8 \oplus 3\mathbf{U}$, and let $S = \Sigma \oplus \mathbf{U}(2)$ be a sublattice of L with $\Sigma \cong 8\mathbf{A}_2$ quasi-primitive in its primitive hull. Then L has no involutive automorphism c acting identically on Σ , interchanging the two elements of a standard basis of $\mathbf{U}(2)$, and having exactly two positive squares in the $(+1)$ -eigenlattice $L^{+c} = \ker(1 - c) \subset L$.*

Proof. — Assume that such an involution c exists. Let $\tilde{\Sigma}$ and \tilde{S} be the primitive hulls of Σ and S , respectively, in L , and let $T = S^\perp$ be the orthogonal complement. The lattice T has rank 4 and signature 0, i.e., it has two positive and two negative squares.

Since $\text{discr } \mathbf{U}(2) = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ (as a group) has 2-torsion only, the 3-torsion parts $(\text{discr } \tilde{\Sigma}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_3$ and $(\text{discr } \tilde{S}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_3$ coincide. In particular, c must act identically on $(\text{discr } \tilde{\Sigma}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_3$ (as, by the assumption, so it does on Σ) and, hence, on $(\text{discr } T) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_3$, see Theorem 4.2. Furthermore, due to Lemma 6.2 one has $\ell_3(\text{discr } T) = \ell_3(\text{discr } \tilde{S}) \geq 4$. On the other hand, $\ell_3(\text{discr } T) \leq \text{rank } T = 4$. Hence, $\ell_3(\text{discr } T) = \text{rank } T = 4$ and the canonical homomorphism $T^\vee \otimes \mathbb{Z}_3 \rightarrow (\text{discr } T) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_3$ is an isomorphism. Thus, c must also act identically on $T^\vee \otimes \mathbb{Z}_3$ and, hence, both on T^\vee and $T \subset T^\vee$. Indeed, for any free abelian group V , any involution $c : V \rightarrow V$, and any odd prime p , one has a direct sum decomposition $V \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p = (V^{+c} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p) \oplus (V^{-c} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)$. Hence, c acts identically on V (i.e., $V^{-c} = 0$) if and only if it acts identically on $V \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ (i.e., $V^{-c} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p = 0$).

It remains to notice that, under the assumptions, the skew-invariant part $S^{-c} = \ker(1 + c) \cong \Sigma \oplus \langle -4 \rangle$ is negative definite. Since the total skew-invariant part L^{-c} has exactly one $(= 3 - 2)$ positive square, one of the two positive squares of T , should fall to T^{-c} and the other, to T^{+c} . In particular, $T^{-c} \neq 0$, and the action of c on T is not identical. \square

Now we have finally reached the goal of this section.

THEOREM 6.4. — *The ellipsoid $\mathbf{EII} = (Y, s)$ (see §1 and §5) does not contain a real curve C of bi-degree $(4, 4)$ having eight real cusps (and no other singularities).*

Proof. — Any such curve C would be irreducible; hence, as in §5, it would give rise to a sublattice $8\mathbf{A}_2 \oplus \mathbf{U}(2) \subset L = H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}) \cong 2\mathbf{E}_8 \oplus 3\mathbf{U}$ and involution $c = -\text{conj}_* : L \rightarrow L$ which do not exist due to Proposition 6.3. \square

7. Remarks and problems

I. Analogously to the total reality property for rational curves one can ask a similar question for projective curves of any genus.

PROBLEM 7.1. — *Given a real algebraic curve (\mathcal{C}, σ) with compact \mathcal{C} and nonempty real part \mathcal{C}_σ and a complex algebraic map $\Psi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ such that the inverse images of all the flattening points of $\Psi(\mathcal{C})$ lie on the real part $\mathcal{C}_\sigma \subset \mathcal{C}$, is it true that Ψ is real algebraic up to a projective automorphism of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$?*

The feeling is that this problem has a negative answer.

II. In recent paper [5] the authors found another generalization of the conjecture on total reality in case of the usual rational functions.

PROBLEM 7.2. — *Extend the results of [5] to the case of meromorphic functions on curves of higher genera.*

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] W. BARTH, C. PETERS & A. V. DE VEN, *Compact Complex Surfaces*, Springer-Verlag, 1984.
- [2] N. BOURBAKI, “Groupes et algèbres de Lie”, *Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles*, vol. 1337, chap. Éléments de mathématique. Fasc. XXXIV, *Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles*, Hermann, Paris, 1968, Chap. 4-6.
- [3] T. EKEDAH, B. SHAPIRO & M. SHAPIRO, “First steps towards total reality of meromorphic functions”, submitted to *Moscow Mathematical Journal*.
- [4] A. EREMENKO & A. GABRIELOV, “Rational functions with real critical points and the B. and M. Shapiro conjecture in real enumerative geometry”, *Ann. of Math.(2)* **155** (2002), no. 1, p. 105-129.
- [5] A. EREMENKO, A. GABRIELOV, M. SHAPIRO & A. VAINSHTEIN, “Rational functions and real Schubert calculus”, *math.AG/0407408*.
- [6] D. GUDKOV & E. SHUSTIN, “Classification of nonsingular eighth-order curves on an ellipsoid. (Russian)”, *Methods of the qualitative theory of differential equations* (1980), p. 104-107, Gor’kov. Gos. Univ., Gorki.

- [7] V. KHARLAMOV & F. SOTTILE, “Maximally inflected real rational curves”, *Mosc. Math. J.* **3** (2003), no. 3, p. 947-987, 1199-1200.
- [8] E. MUKHIN, V. TARASOV & A. VARCHENKO, “The B. and M. Shapiro conjecture in real algebraic geometry and the Bethe ansatz”, preprint math.AG/0512299.
- [9] V. V. NIKULIN, “Integer quadratic forms and some of their geometrical applications”, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat* **43** (1979), no. 1, p. 111-177, English transl. in *Math. USSR-Izv.* vol **43** (1979), 103–167.
- [10] B. OSSERMAN, “Linear series over real and p -adic fields”, *Proc. AMS* **134** (2005), no. 4, p. 989-993.
- [11] J. RUFFO, Y. SIVAN, E. SOPRUNOVA & F. SOTTILE, “Experimentation and conjectures in the real Schubert calculus for flag manifolds”, Preprint (2005), math.AG/0507377.
- [12] F. SOTTILE, website - www.expmath.org/extra/9.2/sottile.
- [13] ———, “Enumerative geometry for real varieties”, *Proc. of Symp. Pur. Math.* **62** (1997), no. 1, p. 435-447.
- [14] ———, “Enumerative geometry for the real Grassmannian of lines in projective space”, *Duke Math J.* **87** (1997), p. 59-85.
- [15] ———, “The special Schubert calculus is real”, *Electronic Res. Ann. of the AMS* **5** (1999), no. 1, p. 35-39.
- [16] ———, “Real Schubert calculus: polynomial systems and a conjecture of Shapiro and Shapiro”, *Experiment. Math.* **9** (2000), no. 2, p. 161-182.
- [17] J. VERSHELDE, “Numerical evidence for a conjecture in real algebraic geometry”, *Experiment. Math.* **9** (2000), no. 2, p. 183-196.
- [18] R. J. WALKER, “Algebraic Curves” (Princeton, N. J.) (P. U. Press, ed.), Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 13, 1950, p. x+201.

Manuscrit reçu le 23 juin 2006,
 accepté le 26 octobre 2006.

Alex DEGTYAREV
 Bilkent University
 Department of Mathematics
 Bilkent, Ankara 06533 (Turkey)
 degt@fen.bilkent.edu.tr

Torsten EKEDAHL
 Stockholm University
 Department of Mathematics
 SE-106 91 Stockholm (Sweden)
 teke@math.su.se

Ilya ITENBERG
 Université Louis Pasteur
 IRMA
 7 rue René Descartes
 67084 Strasbourg cedex (France)
 itenberg@math.u-strasbg.fr

Boris SHAPIRO
 Stockholm University
 Department of Mathematics
 SE-106 91 Stockholm (Sweden)
 shapiro@math.su.se

Michael SHAPIRO
Michigan State University
Department of Mathematics
East Lansing
MI 48824-1027 (USA)
mshapiro@math.msu.edu