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## cedram

# SYMPLECTIC PERIODS OF THE CONTINUOUS SPECTRUM OF GL( $2 n$ ) 

by Shunsuke YAMANA

Abstract. - We provide a formula for the symplectic period of an Eisenstein series on GL( $2 n$ ) and determine when it is not identically zero.

RÉSUMÉ. - On donne une formule pour la période symplectique d'une série d'Eisenstein pour le groupe GL $(2 n)$ et on détermine sous quelles conditions celle-ci n'est pas identiquement nulle.

## Introduction

After Jacquet and Rallis [6] initiated the study of global symplectic periods for automorphic representations of GL $(2 n)$, Offen $[10,11]$ determined which automorphic representations in the discrete spectrum of GL( $2 n$ ) have a nonvanishing symplectic period. In this paper we generalize his result to the entire automorphic spectrum of GL( $2 n$ ).

We write $G$ for the group GL $(2 n)$ viewed as an algebraic group over a number field $F$ with adele ring $\mathbb{A}$. Fix a skew symmetric matrix $\epsilon$ in $G(F)$ and let $H=H_{\epsilon}$ denote its symplectic group. For an automorphic form $\phi$ on $G(\mathbb{A})$, we define the symplectic period of $\phi$ by

$$
P^{H}(\phi)=\int_{H(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A})} \phi(h) d h .
$$

The integral may not converge in general, but can be defined via regularization (see [10]). Let $\pi$ be an irreducible subrepresentation of the space of automorphic forms on $G(\mathbb{A})$. We say that $\pi$ is $H$-distinguished if there is $\phi \in \pi$ such that $P^{H}(\phi) \neq 0$. In the description below, we refer to the body of this paper for all unexplained notation.

The theory of Eisenstein series provides a description of the continuous spectrum of $L^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$ in terms of the discrete spectrum of Levi subgroups of $G$. Let $Q=L V$ be a standard parabolic subgroup of $G$ of type $\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{r}\right)$. Given a square-integrable automorphic form $\psi$ on $V(\mathbb{A}) Q(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})$ and $s \in \mathfrak{a}_{L, \mathbb{C}}^{*}$, the Eisenstein series

$$
E(g, \psi, s)=\sum_{\gamma \in Q(F) \backslash G(F)} \psi(\gamma g) e^{\left\langle s, H_{L}(\gamma g)\right\rangle}
$$

converges for $\Re s$ regular enough in the positive Weyl chamber. The Eisenstein series is meromorphic in the complex parameter $s$ and is holomorphic near the imaginary axis $\sqrt{-1} \mathfrak{a}_{L}^{*}$.

Lemma 2.4 shows that if $s \in \sqrt{-1} \mathfrak{a}_{L}^{*}$, then $E(\psi, s)$ has a convergent integral over $H(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A})$. Thus $P^{H}(E(\psi, s))$ is a meromorphic function on $\mathfrak{a}_{L, \mathbb{C}}^{*}$ which is holomorphic on $\sqrt{-1} \mathfrak{a}_{L}^{*}$. In Proposition 2.5 we will show that $P^{H}(E(\psi, s))$ is identically zero unless all $k_{i}$ are even. In the latter case we derive a formula of $P^{H}(E(\psi, s))$ from a formula of the symplectic period of truncated cuspidal Eisenstein series obtained by Offen [10] and the description of the discrete spectrum proven by Moeglin and Waldspurger [8], using Cauchy's integral formula and Fubini's theorem. The idea of the proof is the same as that of Arthur [1]. This formula generalizes the formula that was proven by Jacquet and Rallis [6] and then extended by Offen [10].

To rewrite this formula in a form which is more suitable for our purpose, Section 3 extends the theory of the intertwining period to square-integrable, but not necessarily cuspidal, automorphic forms on $V(\mathbb{A}) Q(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})$. Suppose that all $k_{i}$ are even. We take a skew symmetric matrix $y$ in $L(F)$ and $\eta \in G(F)$ so that $y=\eta \epsilon^{t} \eta$. Let $H_{y}=\eta H \eta^{-1}$ be the symplectic group of $y$. Put $L_{y}=H_{y} \cap L$. The period integral

$$
P^{L_{y}}(\psi)(g)=\int_{L_{y}(F) \backslash L_{y}(\mathbb{A})} \psi(l g) d l
$$

is convergent. We define the global intertwining period by the integral

$$
J\left(w_{\theta L}, \psi, s\right)=\int_{\eta^{-1} L_{y}(\mathbb{A}) \eta \backslash H(\mathbb{A})} P^{L_{y}}(\psi)(\eta h) e^{\left\langle s, H_{L}(\eta h)\right\rangle} d h
$$

which converges absolutely for $\Re s \in \mathfrak{a}_{L}^{*}$ sufficiently regular in the positive Weyl chamber. We will prove in Theorem 3.2 that for $s \in \mathfrak{a}_{L, \mathbb{C}}^{*}$ in general position

$$
P^{H}(E(\psi, s))=J\left(w_{\theta L}, \psi, s\right)
$$

By the description of the discrete spectrum of $\operatorname{GL}(N)$ alluded to above, there is a bijection between irreducible automorphic representations in the discrete spectrum of $L$ and pairs $(\mathbf{d}, \sigma)$, where $\mathbf{d}=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{r}\right), d_{i}$ is a
factor of $k_{i}$ for each $i, n_{i}=k_{i} / d_{i}$ and $\sigma=\otimes_{i=1}^{r} \sigma_{i}$ is an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $\prod_{i=1}^{r} \mathrm{GL}\left(n_{i}, \mathbb{A}\right)$. Let $P_{i}$ be the standard parabolic subgroup of GL $\left(k_{i}\right)$ of type $\left(n_{i}, \ldots, n_{i}\right)$ and view $\prod_{i=1}^{r} P_{i}$ as the standard parabolic subgroup of $L$. Let $\pi$ be the unique irreducible quotient of the induced representation of $L(\mathbb{A})$ obtained from the representation $\otimes_{i=1}^{r}\left(\sigma_{i}^{\otimes d_{i}} \otimes \rho_{P_{i}}^{1 / n_{i}}\right)$ of $\prod_{i=1}^{r} P_{i}(\mathbb{A})$, where $\rho_{P_{i}}$ is the square root of the modulus function of $P_{i}(\mathbb{A})$. Then $\pi$ occurs in the discrete spectrum of $L$.

For $s \in \mathfrak{a}_{L, \mathbb{C}}^{*}$ let $I(\pi, s)$ denote the automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$ induced from $\pi[s]:=\pi \otimes e^{\left\langle s, H_{L}(\cdot)\right\rangle}$. Let $\psi \in I(\pi, 0)$. If not all $d_{i}$ are even, then $J\left(w_{\theta L}, \psi, s\right)$ is identically zero as $P^{L_{y}}(\psi)=0$ by the result of Offen [10]. When all $d_{i}$ are even, we will show in Section 4 that $J\left(w_{\theta L}, \psi, s\right)$ is factorizable and expressed as a ratio of $L$-functions up to finitely many local factors at the ramified places and conclude that $J\left(w_{\theta L}, \psi, s\right)$ is not identically zero for a suitable choice of $\psi$ by appealing to Offen's works [10, 11]. In particular, $I(\pi, s)$ is $H$-distinguished for generic values of the parameter $s$, if and only if there is a point $s \in \mathfrak{a}_{L, \mathbb{C}}^{*}$ such that $I(\pi, s)$ is $H$ distinguished, and if and only if all $d_{i}$ are even. This concludes the project initiated by Jacquet and Rallis and then developed by Offen.

This project was suggested to us by Erez Lapid, to whom we are most thankful. We have profited from conversations with Erez Lapid and Omer Offen during the course of this work. We would like to thank the Hebrew University of Jerusalem, where this paper was partly written. The author is supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Research Activity Start-up 24840033. This work is partially supported by the JSPS Institutional Program for Young Researcher Overseas Visits "Promoting international young researchers in mathematics and mathematical sciences led by OCAMI". Last, but not least, we thank the referee for useful comments.

## Notation

Let $F$ be a number field with adele ring $\mathbb{A}$. For any positive integer $m$ we denote by $G_{m}$ the group $\mathrm{GL}(m)$ viewed as an algebraic group over $F$. We fix a natural number $n$ and denote $G=G_{2 n}$. Let $K$ be the standard maximal compact subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A})$ and $P_{0}=M_{0} U_{0}$ the Borel subgroup of $G$ of upper triangular matrices in $G$, where $M_{0}$ is the subgroup of diagonal matrices and the unipotent radical $U_{0}$ of $P_{0}$ is the subgroup of unipotent upper triangular matrices. A parabolic subgroup of $G$ is called standard if it contains $P_{0}$. A Levi subgroup of a standard parabolic subgroup of $G$ is called standard if it contains $M_{0}$. By parabolic and Levi subgroups of $G$
we always mean standard parabolic and Levi subgroups of $G$. There is a bijection between standard Levi subgroups of $G_{m}$ and ordered partitions of $m$.

Let $P=M U$ be a parabolic subgroup of $G$. We denote the lattice of rational characters of $M$ by $X^{*}(M)$. For $\chi \in X^{*}(M)$ we define a homomorphism $|\chi|: M(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}^{\times}$by $|\chi|(m)=\prod_{v}\left|\chi_{v}\left(m_{v}\right)\right|_{v}$, where the product ranges over all places $v$ of $F, \chi_{v}$ is the extension of $\chi$ to $M\left(F_{v}\right)$ and $|\cdot|_{v}$ is the standard absolute value on $F_{v}$. We form the real vector space $\mathfrak{a}_{M}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(X^{*}(M), \mathbb{R}\right)$. We also have the dual vector space $\mathfrak{a}_{M}^{*}=X^{*}(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, and its complexification $\mathfrak{a}_{M, \mathbb{C}}^{*}=X^{*}(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$. In case $M=M_{0}$ we write $\mathfrak{a}_{0}^{*}=\mathfrak{a}_{M_{0}}^{*}$. The canonical pairing on $\mathfrak{a}_{0}^{*} \times \mathfrak{a}_{0}$ is denoted by $\langle$,$\rangle , which induces a nondegenerate pairing on \mathfrak{a}_{M}^{*} \times \mathfrak{a}_{M}$. A height function $H_{M}: G(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}_{M}$ is the left $U(\mathbb{A})$-invariant, right $K$-invariant function on $G(\mathbb{A})$ satisfying $e^{\left\langle\chi, H_{M}(m)\right\rangle}=|\chi|(m)$ for $m \in M(\mathbb{A})$ and $\chi \in X^{*}(M)$. Put $M(\mathbb{A})^{1}=\left\{m \in M(\mathbb{A}) \mid H_{M}(m)=0\right\}$. Let $A_{0}$ be the image of $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2 n}$ in $M_{0}(\mathbb{A})$ under the isomorphism $M_{0} \simeq \mathbb{G}_{m}^{2 n}$, where $\mathbb{R} \hookrightarrow F \otimes \mathbb{R}$ is given by $x \mapsto 1 \otimes x$. We can form the central subgroup $T_{M}$ of $M$, the intersection $A_{M}$ of $A_{0}$ with $T_{M}(\mathbb{A})$ and the discrete part $L_{\text {disc }}^{2}\left(M(F) \backslash M(\mathbb{A})^{1}\right)$ of $L^{2}\left(M(F) \backslash M(\mathbb{A})^{1}\right)$. Note that $M(\mathbb{A})=A_{M} \times M(\mathbb{A})^{1}$ and $H_{M}$ induces an isomorphism $A_{M} \simeq \mathfrak{a}_{M}$. It is well-known that $L_{\text {disc }}^{2}\left(M(F) \backslash M(\mathbb{A})^{1}\right)$ decomposes with multiplicity one. We denote by $\Pi_{d}(M)$ the set of irreducible subrepresentations of the representation of $M(\mathbb{A})^{1}$ on $L_{\text {disc }}^{2}\left(M(F) \backslash M(\mathbb{A})^{1}\right)$, and by $\Pi_{c}(M)$ the set of irreducible cuspidal automorphic representations of $M(\mathbb{A})^{1}$. We view irreducible representations of $M(\mathbb{A})^{1}$ as representations of $M(\mathbb{A})$ by extending the action of $M(\mathbb{A})^{1}$ to $M(\mathbb{A})$ so that $A_{M}$ acts trivially. If $\pi$ is an irreducible representation of $M(\mathbb{A})$ and $\lambda$ belongs to $\mathfrak{a}_{M, \mathbb{C}}^{*}$, then $\pi[\lambda](m)=\pi(m) e^{\left\langle\lambda, H_{M}(m)\right\rangle}$ is another irreducible representation of $M(\mathbb{A})$. The set of associated $\mathfrak{a}_{M, \mathbb{C}}^{*}$ orbits is in bijective correspondence under the restriction mapping from $M(\mathbb{A})$ to $M(\mathbb{A})^{1}$ with the set of irreducible unitary representations of $M(\mathbb{A})^{1}$.

Let $R^{+}\left(M_{0}, M\right)$ and $\Delta_{0}^{M}$ be the sets of positive roots and simple roots of $M_{0}$ in $M$, respectively. We write $\rho_{0}^{M} \in \mathfrak{a}_{0}^{*}$ for half the sum of elements in $R^{+}\left(M_{0}, M\right)$. More generally, for another Levi subgroup $L$ of $G$ with $M \subset L$, the parabolic subgroup $P \cap L$ of $L$ determines the sets $R^{+}\left(T_{M}, L\right)$ and $\Delta_{M}^{L}$. Namely, $R^{+}\left(T_{M}, L\right)$ is the set of elements in $X^{*}\left(T_{M}\right)$ obtained by decomposing the Lie algebra of $U \cap L$ under the adjoint action of $T_{M}$, and $\Delta_{M}^{L}$ the set of linear forms on $\mathfrak{a}_{M}$ obtained by restriction of elements in the complement of $\Delta_{0}^{M}$ in $\Delta_{0}^{L}$. Let $\left(\mathfrak{a}_{M}^{L}\right)^{*}$ be the vector subspace of $\mathfrak{a}_{M}^{*}$ generated by $\Delta_{M}^{L}$. Note that there is a canonical direct sum decomposition
$\mathfrak{a}_{M}^{*}=\mathfrak{a}_{L}^{*} \oplus\left(\mathfrak{a}_{M}^{L}\right)^{*}$. Let $\rho_{M}^{L}=\rho_{P}^{L}$ be the projection of $\rho_{0}^{L}$ on $\mathfrak{a}_{M}^{*}$. When $L=G$, we will write $\rho_{P}$ and $\Delta_{M}$ in place of $\rho_{P}^{G}$ and $\Delta_{M}^{G}$.

Let $W^{M}$ denote the Weyl group of $M$. We write $W=W^{G}$. For standard Levi subgroups $M, M^{\prime}$ of $G$ we write $W\left(M, M^{\prime}\right)$ for the set of elements $w \in W$ of minimal length in $w W^{M}$ such that $w M w^{-1}=M^{\prime}$. A parabolic subgroup $P^{\prime}=M^{\prime} U^{\prime}$ is said to be associated to $P$ if $W\left(M, M^{\prime}\right)$ is not empty. Set $W(M)=\bigcup_{M^{\prime}} W\left(M, M^{\prime}\right)$. Explicitly, an element of $W(M)$ is represented by a unique permutation matrix that shuffles the diagonal blocks of $M$ without causing any internal change within each block.

Let $w_{n}$ be the $n \times n$ permutation matrix with unit anti-diagonal. Put

$$
\epsilon=\epsilon_{2 n}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & w_{n} \\
-w_{n} & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We represent $\theta$ as the automorphism $\theta(g)=\epsilon^{t} g^{-1} \epsilon^{-1}$. The symmetric space attached to $(G, \theta)$ is the variety

$$
\mathcal{C}=\{x \in G \mid x \theta(x)=1\} .
$$

The group $G$ acts on $\mathcal{C}$ by the twisted conjugation $g \star x=g x \theta(g)^{-1}$. Since $\mathcal{C}$ is a translate by $\epsilon$ of the space of nondegenerate skew symmetric matrices of size $2 n$, the space $\mathcal{C}$ is a single $G$-orbit. For $x \in \mathcal{C}$ and any subgroup $Q$ of $G$ we will denote the stabilizer of $x$ in $Q$ by $Q_{x}$. However, we will denote by $H_{x}$ the group $G_{x}$ and further by

$$
H=S p(n)=\left\{g \in G \mid g \epsilon^{t} g=\epsilon\right\}
$$

the stabilizer in $G$ of the identity. For a subgroup $Q$ of $G$ we will always denote $Q_{H}=Q \cap H$, which gives a bijection between $\theta$-stable parabolic subgroups of $G$ and parabolic subgroups of $H$. If $Q=L V$ is a $\theta$-stable parabolic subgroup, then $Q_{H}=L_{H} V_{H}$ is a Levi decomposition for $Q_{H}$.

Note that $\theta$ stabilizes the Borel subgroup $P_{0}$ and hence defines involutions on $\mathfrak{a}_{0}$ and $\mathfrak{a}_{0}^{*}$. Let $\left(\mathfrak{a}_{0}^{*}\right)_{\theta}^{ \pm}$denote the $\pm 1$ eigenspaces of $\theta$ in $\mathfrak{a}_{0}^{*}$. We identify $\left(\mathfrak{a}_{0}^{*}\right)_{\theta}^{+}$with $X^{*}\left(\left(M_{0}\right)_{H}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. For $\theta$-stable Levi subgroups $M \subset L$ of $G$ let $\Delta_{M_{H}}^{L_{H}}$ be the set of nontrivial projections of elements of $\Delta_{M}^{L}$ onto $\left(\mathfrak{a}_{0}^{*}\right)_{\theta}^{+}$. Then $\Delta_{M_{H}}^{L_{H}}$ is a basis of $\left(\left(\mathfrak{a}_{M}^{L}\right)^{*}\right)_{\theta}^{+}$, and $\Delta_{\left(M_{0}\right)_{H}}^{H}$ forms a set of simple roots for $H$ with respect to the Borel subgroup $\left(P_{0}\right)_{H}$ of $H$. We make similar definitions for the set of coroots and denote by $\left(\hat{\Delta}^{\vee}\right)_{M_{H}}^{L_{H}}$ the dual basis of $\Delta_{M_{H}}^{L_{H}}$ in $\left(\mathfrak{a}_{M}^{L}\right)_{\theta}^{+}$. There is a unique element $\rho_{P_{H}} \in\left(\mathfrak{a}_{M}^{*}\right)_{\theta}^{+}$such that $\delta_{P_{H}}(m)=e^{\left\langle 2 \rho_{P_{H}}, H_{M}(m)\right\rangle}$ for all $m \in M_{H}(\mathbb{A})$, where $\delta_{P_{H}}$ denotes the modulus function on $P_{H}(\mathbb{A})$. We fix Haar measures on various groups as in [10].

## 1. Residues of cuspidal Eisenstein series

This section explains how the general Eisenstein series is obtained as a residue of a cuspidal Eisenstein series. For two integers $a \leqslant b$ we denote the set $\{a, a+1, \ldots, b\}$ by $[a, b]$. We understand that $[a, b]=\emptyset$ if $a>b$. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ we define the character $\nu^{\lambda}$ of $G_{m}(\mathbb{A})$ by $g \rightarrow|\operatorname{det} g|^{\lambda}$. Let $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{t}\right)$ be an ordered partition of $2 n$ and $P=M U$ the standard parabolic subgroup of $G$ of type $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{t}\right)$. For $\rho=\otimes_{i \in[1, t]} \rho_{i} \in \Pi_{d}(M)$ and $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{t}\right) \in \mathfrak{a}_{M, \mathbb{C}}^{*} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{t}$ we write $\rho[\lambda]$ for the pull-back of $\otimes_{i \in[1, t]} \nu^{\lambda_{i}} \rho_{i}$ to $P(\mathbb{A})$. We denote by $I(\rho, \lambda)$ the representation induced from $\rho[\lambda]$ to $G(\mathbb{A})$ using normalized parabolic induction. We will write $I(\rho)$ in place of $I(\rho, 0)$ and identify the spaces of the representations $I(\rho, \lambda)$ with the space $I(\rho)$ by restricting functions to $K$. The action is then given by

$$
[I(\rho, \lambda)(g) \psi](y)=\psi(y g) e^{\left\langle\lambda, H_{M}(y g)\right\rangle-\left\langle\lambda, H_{M}(y)\right\rangle} \quad(\psi \in I(\rho), g, y \in G(\mathbb{A}))
$$

For $\psi \in I(\rho)$ we define $\psi_{\lambda} \in I(\rho, \lambda)$ by

$$
\psi_{\lambda}(g)=e^{\left\langle\lambda, H_{M}(g)\right\rangle} \psi(g)
$$

We will identify $W(M)$ with the permutation group $\mathfrak{S}_{t}$ of $[1, t]$ in the following way. For $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{t}$ we define a permutation matrix $w_{M}(\tau) \in W(M)$ by $w_{M}(\tau)=\left(A_{i j}\right)$, where $A_{i j}$ is the $m_{\tau^{-1}(i)} \times m_{j}$ zero matrix unless $i=$ $\tau(j)$, in which case $A_{i j}=\mathbf{1}_{m_{j}}$. Note that when $w=w_{M}(\tau)$,

$$
w \operatorname{diag}\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{t}\right) w^{-1}=\operatorname{diag}\left(g_{\tau^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, g_{\tau^{-1}(t)}\right)
$$

Thus $w M w^{-1}$ is of type $\left(m_{\tau^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, m_{\tau^{-1}(t)}\right)$,

$$
w \rho=\otimes_{i \in[1, t]} \rho_{\tau^{-1}(i)}, \quad w \lambda=\left(\lambda_{\tau^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, \lambda_{\tau^{-1}(t)}\right)
$$

We form the Eisenstein series on $G(\mathbb{A})$ by

$$
E(g, \psi, \lambda)=\sum_{\gamma \in P(F) \backslash G(F)} \psi_{\lambda}(\gamma g) .
$$

If $P^{\prime}=M^{\prime} U^{\prime}$ is associated to $P$, then for $w \in W\left(M, M^{\prime}\right)$ the intertwining operator $M(w, \lambda)$ is defined by

$$
M(w, \lambda) \psi(g)=e^{-\left\langle w \lambda, H_{M^{\prime}}(g)\right\rangle} \int_{U_{w}(\mathbb{A}) \backslash U^{\prime}(\mathbb{A})} \psi\left(w^{-1} u g\right) e^{\left\langle\lambda, H_{M}\left(w^{-1} u g\right)\right\rangle} d u
$$

where $U_{w}=U^{\prime} \cap w U w^{-1}$. The series and the integral both converge absolutely if $\Re \lambda$ sufficiently regular in the positive Weyl chamber, and they possess meromorphic continuations to the space $\mathfrak{a}_{M, \mathbb{C}}^{*}$.

The classification of the discrete spectrum for $G_{m}(\mathbb{A})$ was established through a deep study by Moeglin and Waldspurger of residues of cuspidal Eisenstein series in [8]. The representations in $\Pi_{d}\left(G_{m}\right)$ are parametrized
by pairs $\left(d, \sigma_{0}\right)$ where $d$ divides $m$ and $\sigma_{0} \in \Pi_{c}\left(G_{m / d}\right)$. Given such a pair $\left(d, \sigma_{0}\right)$, the representation $I\left(\sigma_{0}^{\otimes d}, \Lambda_{d}\right)$ has the unique irreducible quotient which is denoted by $L\left(\sigma_{0}, \Lambda_{d}\right)$, where

$$
\Lambda_{d}=\left(\frac{d-1}{2}, \frac{d-3}{2}, \ldots, \frac{1-d}{2}\right) .
$$

The representation $L\left(\sigma_{0}, \Lambda_{d}\right)$ occurs in $L_{\text {disc }}^{2}\left(G_{m}(F) \backslash G_{m}(\mathbb{A})^{1}\right)$ with multiplicity one. In particular, $L\left(\sigma_{0}, \Lambda_{d}\right) \in \Pi_{c}\left(G_{m}\right)$ if and only if $d=1$. For $\varphi \in I\left(\sigma_{0}^{\otimes d}\right)$ the meromorphic function

$$
E(\varphi, \lambda) \prod_{i=1}^{d-1}\left(\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{i+1}-1\right)
$$

is holomorphic at $\lambda=\Lambda_{d}$. We define the multiresidue $E_{-1}(\varphi)$ of $E(\varphi, \lambda)$ at $\lambda=\Lambda_{d}$ to be its limit as $\lambda \rightarrow \Lambda_{d}$. The functions $E_{-1}(\varphi)$ are square integrable automorphic forms on $G_{m}(\mathbb{A})$, and $\varphi_{\Lambda_{d}} \mapsto E_{-1}(\varphi)$ defines an intertwining map from $I\left(\sigma_{0}^{\otimes d}, \Lambda_{d}\right)$ onto $L\left(\sigma_{0}, \Lambda_{d}\right)$.

Let $k_{i}=d_{i} n_{i}$ and let $\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{r}\right)$ be a partition of $2 n$. Take $P$ to be the standard parabolic subgroup of $G$ with Levi component

$$
M=\underbrace{\operatorname{GL}\left(n_{1}\right) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}\left(n_{1}\right)}_{d_{1}} \times \cdots \times \underbrace{\mathrm{GL}\left(n_{r}\right) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}\left(n_{r}\right)}_{d_{r}} .
$$

Let $Q=L V$ denote the standard parabolic subgroup of $G$ of type $\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{r}\right)$. Put

$$
\sigma=\otimes_{i \in[1, r]} \sigma_{i}^{\otimes d_{i}} \in \Pi_{c}(M), \quad \pi=\otimes_{i \in[1, r]} L\left(\sigma_{i}, \Lambda_{d_{i}}\right) \in \Pi_{d}(L)
$$

Put

$$
\Delta_{i}=\left[d_{i}^{\prime}+1, d_{i+1}^{\prime}\right], \quad \Delta_{i}^{\prime}=\left[d_{i}^{\prime}+1, d_{i+1}^{\prime}-1\right], \quad i \in[1, r]
$$

where $d_{i}^{\prime}=\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} d_{j}$ for $i \in[1, r+1]$. We put $|\mathbf{d}|=d_{r+1}^{\prime}$ and set

$$
\Lambda_{\mathbf{d}}=\left(\Lambda_{d_{1}}, \Lambda_{d_{2}}, \ldots, \Lambda_{d_{r}}\right) \in \mathfrak{a}_{M}^{*} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{|\mathbf{d}|}
$$

We define on $\mathfrak{a}_{M, \mathbb{C}}^{*}$ the linear functionals

$$
R_{j}(\lambda)=\lambda_{j}-\lambda_{j+1}, \quad j \in[1,|\mathbf{d}|-1]
$$

For $\varphi \in I(\sigma)$ let

$$
E^{Q}(g, \varphi, \lambda)=\sum_{\gamma \in P(F) \backslash Q(F)} \varphi(\gamma g) e^{\left\langle\lambda, H_{M}(\gamma g)\right\rangle}
$$

be an Eisenstein series induced from $P \cap L$ to $L$. The function $E_{-1}^{Q}(\varphi)$ is defined by

$$
E_{-1}^{Q}(\varphi)=\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \Lambda_{\mathrm{d}}}\left[E^{Q}(\varphi, \lambda) \prod_{i=1}^{r} \prod_{j \in \Delta_{i}^{\prime}}\left(R_{j}(\lambda)-1\right)\right]
$$

The limit exists and $\varphi_{\Lambda_{\mathrm{d}}} \mapsto E_{-1}^{Q}(\varphi)$ defines a nonzero intertwining map

$$
I\left(\sigma, \Lambda_{\mathbf{d}}\right) \rightarrow I(\pi)
$$

As a representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$ induced from a unitary representation, $I(\pi)$ is known to be irreducible [2,13]. For $s \in \mathfrak{a}_{L, \mathbb{C}}^{*}$ we study the Eisenstein series $E\left(E_{-1}^{Q}(\varphi), s\right)$. The series $E\left(E_{-1}^{Q}(\varphi), s\right)$ can be continued to a meromorphic function on the space $\mathfrak{a}_{L, \mathbb{C}}^{*}$ which is holomorphic on $\sqrt{-1} \mathfrak{a}_{L}^{*}$ (cf. [9]). It is important to note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(E_{-1}^{Q}(\varphi), s\right)=\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \Lambda_{\mathbf{d}}}\left[E(\varphi, \lambda+s) \prod_{i=1}^{r} \prod_{j \in \Delta_{i}^{\prime}}\left(R_{j}(\lambda)-1\right)\right] \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $w \in W(M)$ we define the multiresidue $M_{-1}(w, s)$ of the intertwining operator $M(w, \lambda)$ to be the limit

$$
M_{-1}(w, s)=\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \Lambda_{\mathbf{d}}}\left[M(w, \lambda+s) \prod_{i=1}^{r} \prod_{j \in \Delta_{i}^{\prime}, w(j)>w(j+1)}\left(R_{j}(\lambda)-1\right)\right]
$$

The limit exists when $s \in \mathfrak{a}_{L, \mathbb{C}}^{*}$ is in a general position.

## 2. The period of the residue

Let $\mathscr{A}(G)$ be the space of automorphic forms on $G(\mathbb{A})$. For $\phi \in \mathscr{A}(G)$ and a parabolic subgroup $P$ of $G$ we denote by $\mathcal{E}_{P}(\phi)$ the set of exponents of $\phi$ along $P$. Let $\mathscr{A}(G)^{\prime}$ denote the space of automorphic forms on $G(\mathbb{A})$ whose exponents $\lambda$ along $P$ satisfy

$$
\left\langle\lambda, \varpi^{\vee}\right\rangle \neq\left\langle 2 \rho_{P_{H}}-\rho_{P}, \varpi^{\vee}\right\rangle
$$

for all $\varpi^{\vee} \in\left(\hat{\Delta}^{\vee}\right)_{M_{H}}^{H}$ and all $\theta$-stable standard parabolic subgroups $P=$ $M U$ of $G$. Let $\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}}$ be the finite part of $\mathbb{A}$. The procedure outlined in $[4,7]$, using a mixed truncation operator $\Lambda_{m}^{T}$ to regularize the integral, can be applied to our case with little adjustment. We refer to [10] for the precise definition and necessary modifications. Here we recall the properties of the regularized period and its characterization:

Proposition 2.1 ([10]). - (1) The regularized integral

$$
\phi \mapsto \int_{H(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A})}^{*} \phi(h) d h
$$

gives a right $H\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$-invariant functional on $\mathscr{A}(G)^{\prime}$.
(2) If $\phi \in \mathscr{A}(G)$ is integrable over the domain $H(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A})$, then

$$
\int_{H(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A})}^{*} \phi(h) d h=\int_{H(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A})} \phi(h) d h .
$$

(3) For any $\phi \in \mathscr{A}(G)$ the function $T \mapsto \int_{H(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A})} \Lambda_{m}^{T} \phi(h) d h$, defined for $T \in\left(\mathfrak{a}_{0}\right)_{\theta}^{+}$sufficiently regular in the positive Weyl chamber, is of the form $\sum_{\lambda} p_{\lambda}(T) e^{\langle\lambda, T\rangle}$, where $\lambda$ may be taken from the set $\bigcup_{P_{H}}\left(\rho_{P}-2 \rho_{P_{H}}+\mathcal{E}_{P}(\phi)\right)$ and $p_{\lambda}(T)$ are polynomials. Moreover, if $\phi \in \mathscr{A}(G)^{\prime}$, then $p_{0}(T)$ is constant and is equal to $\int_{H(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A})}^{*} \phi(h) d h$.

Let $P=M U$ be a $\theta$-stable standard parabolic subgroup of $G$ and $\rho \in$ $\Pi_{c}(M)$. We denote by $v_{M_{H}}$ the volume of the parallelogram formed by $\Delta_{M_{H}}^{\vee}$. For $\psi \in I(\rho)$ we define $j(\psi)$ by

$$
j(\psi)=\int_{K_{H}} \int_{M_{H}(F) \backslash M_{H}(\mathbb{A})^{1}} \psi(m k) d m d k .
$$

Let us put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\rho_{P_{0}}-2 \rho_{\left(P_{0}\right)_{H}}=\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \ldots,-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}\right) \in\left(\mathfrak{a}_{0}^{*}\right)_{\theta}^{+} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.2 (Offen [10]). - Let $\rho \in \Pi_{c}(M)$ and $\psi \in I(\rho)$. Then

$$
\int_{H(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A})} \Lambda_{m}^{T} E(h, \psi, \lambda) d h=\sum_{w} \frac{v_{M_{H}^{\prime}} e^{\langle\mu+w \lambda, T\rangle}}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_{M_{H}^{H}}^{H}}\left\langle\mu+w \lambda, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle} j(M(w, \lambda) \psi),
$$

where the sum is over all permutations $w \in W(M)$ such that the type of $M^{\prime}=w M w^{-1}$ is of the form $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{t}, m_{t}, \ldots, m_{1}\right)$.

Proof. - If $M^{\prime}=w M w^{-1}$ is of type $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{t}, m_{t}, \ldots, m_{1}\right)$, then

$$
\rho_{P^{\prime}}-2 \rho_{P_{H}^{\prime}}=\left(\rho_{P_{0}}-\rho_{P_{0}}^{M^{\prime}}\right)-2\left(\rho_{\left(P_{0}\right)_{H}}-\rho_{\left(P_{0}\right)_{H}}^{M_{H}^{\prime}}\right)=\rho_{P_{0}}-2 \rho_{\left(P_{0}\right)_{H}},
$$

from which Theorem 2.2 is nothing but Theorem 7.8 of [10].
Let $P, Q, \sigma$ and $\pi$ be the same as in Section 1 in the rest of this section.
Lemma 2.3. - Let $s \in \sqrt{-1} \mathfrak{a}_{L}^{*}$ and $\psi \in I(\pi)$. Then the real parts of cuspidal exponents of $E(\psi, s)$ are permutations of the sequence

$$
\left(-\Lambda_{d_{1}} ;-\Lambda_{d_{2}} ; \ldots ;-\Lambda_{d_{r}}\right)
$$

of length $|\mathbf{d}|$ in which the order of elements in the segment $-\Lambda_{d_{i}}$ is preserved for every $i \in[1, r]$.

Proof. - Let $\varphi \in I(\sigma)$. The Eisenstein series $E(\varphi, \lambda)$ is concentrated on parabolic subgroups associated to $P$ and hence so is its residue $E\left(E_{-1}^{Q}(\varphi), s\right)$. If $P^{\prime}=M^{\prime} U^{\prime}$ is associated to $P$, then the constant term of $E(\varphi, \lambda)$ relative to $P^{\prime}$ is given by

$$
\sum_{w \in W\left(M, M^{\prime}\right)} M(w, \lambda) \varphi(g) e^{\left\langle w \lambda, H_{M^{\prime}}(g)\right\rangle} .
$$

Lemme on p. 650 of [8] and the adjoint formula of the intertwining operators show that

$$
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \Lambda_{\mathbf{d}}}\left[M(w, \lambda+s) \varphi(g) \prod_{i=1}^{r} \prod_{j \in \Delta_{i}^{\prime}}\left(R_{j}(\lambda)-1\right)\right]=0
$$

unless $w$ reverses the orders of elements in the segments $\Delta_{1}, \ldots, \Delta_{r}$, which completes the proof by (1.1).

Lemma 2.4. - If $s \in \sqrt{-1} \mathfrak{a}_{L}^{*}$ and $\psi \in I(\pi)$, then the integral

$$
\int_{H(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A})} E(h, \psi, s) d h
$$

is absolutely convergent.
Proof. - It is explained in the proof of Proposition 1 of [3] how the convergence of the period of an automorphic form depends only on its cuspidal exponents. The symplectic period of an automorphic form $\phi$ on $G(\mathbb{A})$ converges if there is $\kappa \in \mathfrak{a}_{0}^{*}$ such that for each standard parabolic subgroup $P^{\prime}=M^{\prime} U^{\prime}$ of $G$

$$
\left\langle\rho_{P^{\prime}}-2 \rho_{\left(P_{0}\right)_{H}}+\nu+\kappa^{M^{\prime}}, \varpi^{\vee}\right\rangle<0
$$

for all $\varpi^{\vee} \in\left(\hat{\Delta}^{\vee}\right)_{\left(P_{0}\right)_{H}}^{H}$ and all the real parts of cuspidal exponents $\nu$ of $\phi$ along $P^{\prime}$, where $\kappa^{M^{\prime}}$ is the projection of $\kappa$ on $\left(\mathfrak{a}_{0}^{M^{\prime}}\right)^{*}$. Put

$$
e_{i}^{+}=(\underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{i}, 0, \ldots, 0), \quad e_{i}^{-}=-(0, \ldots, 0, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{i}) .
$$

Lemma 2.3 shows that $\left\langle\nu, e_{i}^{ \pm}\right\rangle \leqslant 0$ for all $i \in[1,2 n]$ and all the real parts of cuspidal exponents $\nu$ of $E(\psi, s)$. Since $\varpi^{\vee} \in\left(\hat{\Delta}^{\vee}\right)_{\left(P_{0}\right)_{H}}^{H}$ has the form $\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{n}^{+}+e_{n}^{-}\right)$or $e_{i}^{+}+e_{i}^{-}$for $i \in[1, n-1]$, we see that $\left\langle\nu, \varpi^{\vee}\right\rangle \leqslant 0$. Note that $\rho_{P_{0}}=\rho_{P_{0}}^{M^{\prime}}+\rho_{P^{\prime}}$. Thus $\kappa=\rho_{P_{0}}$ works in view of (2.1).

For any permutation $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{t}$ we define $\kappa_{\tau} \in \mathfrak{S}_{2 t}$ via

$$
\kappa_{\tau}(2 i-1)=\tau^{-1}(i), \quad \kappa_{\tau}(2 i)=2 t+1-\tau^{-1}(i), \quad i \in[1, t]
$$

Put $M^{\tau}=\kappa_{\tau} M \kappa_{\tau}^{-1}$. When $\tau=1$, we denote $\kappa_{2 t}=\kappa_{\tau}$ and $M^{\dagger}=M^{\tau}$.
Proposition 2.5. - Let $\varphi \in I(\sigma)$ and $s \in \sqrt{-1} \mathfrak{a}_{L}^{*}$. Then

$$
\int_{H(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A})} E\left(h, E_{-1}^{Q}(\varphi), s\right) d h=0
$$

unless all $d_{i}$ are even. If all $d_{i}$ are even, then for each $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{t}$,

$$
\int_{H(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A})} E\left(h, E_{-1}^{Q}(\varphi), s\right) d h=v_{M_{H}^{\tau}} j\left(M_{-1}\left(\kappa_{\tau}, s\right) \varphi\right) .
$$

In particular, the right hand side is independent of the choice of $\tau$.
Offen demonstrated the special case of this result for $r=1$ in [10]. Though the proof holds almost verbatim for our general case, we reproduce it here. For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{M, \mathbb{C}}^{*}$ we write $W(M)_{\lambda}$ for the subset of $W(M)$ consisting of all elements $w$ that satisfy the following conditions:

- the type of $w M w^{-1}$ is of the form $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{t}, m_{t}, \ldots, m_{1}\right)$;
- $\mu+w \lambda \in\left(\mathfrak{a}_{0}^{*}\right)_{\theta}^{-}$.

Lemma 2.6. - Let $s=\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}\right) \in \sqrt{-1} \mathfrak{a}_{L}^{*}$. Suppose that $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}$ are distinct. Then $W(M)_{\Lambda_{\mathrm{d}}+s}$ is empty unless all $d_{i}$ are even. If all $d_{i}$ are even and if we put $t=|\mathbf{d}| / 2$, then $\tau \mapsto \kappa_{\tau}$ is a bijection between $\mathfrak{S}_{t}$ and $W(M)_{\Lambda_{\mathrm{d}}+s}$.

Proof. - Assume that $w \in W(M)_{\Lambda_{\mathbf{d}}+s}$. Note that for $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 t}\right) \in$ $\mathfrak{a}_{M^{\prime}, \mathbb{C}}^{*}$, where $M^{\prime}$ is of type $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{t}, m_{t}, \ldots, m_{1}\right), x \in\left(\mathfrak{a}_{0}^{*}\right)_{\theta}^{-}$if and only if $x_{j}=x_{2 t+1-j}$ for all $j \in[1, t]$. If we put $\lambda[y]=\left(\lambda_{1}+y, \ldots, \lambda_{a}+y\right)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{a}$ and $y \in \mathbb{C}$, then

$$
\Lambda_{\mathbf{d}}+s=\left(\Lambda_{d_{1}}\left[s_{1}\right], \Lambda_{d_{2}}\left[s_{2}\right], \ldots, \Lambda_{d_{r}}\left[s_{r}\right]\right) .
$$

By the assumption on $s$, for each $j$ there is a segment $\Delta_{i}$ to which both $w^{-1}(j)$ and $w^{-1}(2 t+1-j)$ belong. Therefore all $d_{i}$ must be even for $W(M)_{\Lambda_{\mathbf{d}}+s}$ to be not empty. We can infer from (2.1) that

$$
w^{-1}(2 t+1-j)-w^{-1}(j)=1, \quad j \in[1, t]
$$

Lemma 2.6 is now proven in exactly the same way as in the proof of Lemma 8.3 of [10].

We appeal to Lemma 8.1 of [10]. There is a minor error in that lemma. It is true not for a fixed $T$ but as $T$ varies.

Lemma 2.7 (cf. [10, Lemma 8.1]). - Let $V$ be a finite dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{C}$. Let

$$
f_{\lambda}(T)=\sum_{i=1}^{d} a_{i}(\lambda) e^{\left\langle b_{i}(\lambda), T\right\rangle}
$$

where $T \in V, a_{i}$ are meromorphic functions near a point $\lambda=\lambda_{0} \in V^{*}$ and $b_{i}$ are linear endomorphisms of $V^{*}$ such that $b_{1}\left(\lambda_{0}\right), \ldots, b_{d}\left(\lambda_{0}\right) \in V^{*}$ are distinct. Assume that $\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{0}} f_{\lambda}(T)$ exists. Then $a_{i}$ is holomorphic at $\lambda_{0}$ for all $i$ and

$$
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{0}} f_{\lambda}(T)=\sum_{i=1}^{d} a_{i}\left(\lambda_{0}\right) e^{\left\langle b_{i}\left(\lambda_{0}\right), T\right\rangle} .
$$

Now we are ready to prove Proposition 2.5. In view of Lemma 2.4 and Proposition 2.1(2) our task is to compute

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{H(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A})}^{*} E\left(h, E_{-1}^{Q}(\varphi), s\right) d h . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use Cauchy's integral formula to express the residue $E\left(E_{-1}^{Q}(\varphi), s\right)$ as a Cauchy integral of $E(\varphi, \lambda)$. The Cauchy integral can be interchanged with the truncation operator, and then Fubini's theorem allows us to exchange the Cauchy integral and the period integral. This argument is the same as that introduced by Arthur on pp. 47-48 of [1] (see also p. 293 of [10]). Therefore we deduce from (1.1) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{H(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A})} \Lambda_{m}^{T} E\left(h, E_{-1}^{Q}(\varphi), s\right) d h \\
&= \lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \Lambda_{\mathrm{d}}}\left[\int_{H(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A})} \Lambda_{m}^{T} E(h, \varphi, \lambda+s) d h \prod_{i=1}^{r} \prod_{j \in \Delta_{i}^{\prime}}\left(R_{j}(\lambda)-1\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

This limit exists, and Theorem 2.2 combined with Proposition 2.1(3) and Lemma 2.7 shows that (2.2) is equal to

$$
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \Lambda_{\mathbf{d}}} \sum_{w \in W(M)_{\Lambda_{\mathbf{d}}+s}} v_{M_{H}^{\prime}} j(M(w, \lambda+s) \varphi) \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{r} \prod_{j \in \Delta_{i}^{\prime}}\left(R_{j}(\lambda)-1\right)}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_{M_{H}^{\prime}}^{H}}\left\langle\mu+w(\lambda+s), \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle} .
$$

Since (2.2) can be viewed as a meromorphic function in $s$ (cf. Proposition 12 of [4]), it suffices to prove Proposition 2.5 for $s$ in a general position of $\sqrt{-1} \mathfrak{a}_{L}^{*}$. Thus we assume that $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}$ are distinct and that $M_{-1}\left(\kappa_{\tau}, s\right)$ are holomorphic at $s$ for all $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{t}$. Since the first part of Proposition 2.5 follows immediately from Lemma 2.6, we hereafter assume that all $d_{i}$ are even. Since we know that the limit exists, we may compute it by computing
a directional limit in a 'good' direction. Recall $t=|\mathbf{d}| / 2$. For $w \in W(M)$ and $i \in[1, t-1]$ we define the functionals $L_{w, i}$ on $\mathfrak{a}_{M, \mathbb{C}}^{*}$ by

$$
L_{w, i}(\lambda)=\lambda_{w^{-1}(i)}-\lambda_{w^{-1}(i+1)}+\lambda_{w^{-1}(2 t-i)}-\lambda_{w^{-1}(2 t+1-i)},
$$

and we set $L_{w, t}(\lambda)=\lambda_{w^{-1}(t)}-\lambda_{w^{-1}(t+1)}$. Then

$$
\left\{\left\langle w \lambda, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle \mid \alpha \in \Delta_{M_{H}^{\prime}}^{H}\right\}=\left\{L_{w, i}(\lambda) \mid i \in[1, t]\right\} .
$$

We fix $v_{0} \in \mathfrak{a}_{M}^{*}$ so that $L_{\kappa_{\tau}, i}\left(v_{0}\right) \neq 0$ for all $i \in[1, t]$ and $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{t}$. Since $\left\langle\mu+\kappa_{\tau}\left(\Lambda_{\mathbf{d}}+s\right), \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle=0$ for all $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{t},(2.2)$ is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{c \rightarrow 0} \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{t}} v_{M_{H}^{\tau}} c^{t-r} j\left(M\left(\kappa_{\tau}, \Lambda_{\mathbf{d}}+s+c v_{0}\right) \varphi\right) \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{r} \prod_{j \in \Delta_{i}^{\prime}} R_{j}\left(v_{0}\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{t} L_{\kappa_{\tau}, i}\left(v_{0}\right)} \\
= & \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{t}} v_{M_{H}^{\tau}} j\left(M_{-1}\left(\kappa_{\tau}, s\right) \varphi\right) \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{t} R_{2 j-1}\left(v_{0}\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{t} L_{\kappa_{\tau}, i}\left(v_{0}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

by Lemma 2.6. The remaining part of the proof continues as in p. 296 of [10]. Consequently, $v_{M_{H}^{\tau}} j\left(M_{-1}\left(\kappa_{\tau}, s\right) \varphi\right)$ is independent of $\tau$.

## 3. The intertwining periods

Let $P=M U$ be a parabolic subgroup of $G$. Offen provides a complete analysis of the double cosets $P \backslash G / H$ in $[10,11]$. We recall the necessary definitions and results. Let $w_{0}^{M}$ denote the longest element of $W^{M}$. Put $w_{0}=w_{0}^{G}$ and $w_{\theta M}=w_{0}^{M} w_{0}^{G}$. Set

$$
W(\theta)=\left\{w w_{0} w^{-1} w_{0} \mid w \in W\right\} .
$$

We will identify $W^{M} \backslash W / W^{\theta M}$ with the set ${ }_{M} W_{\theta M}$ of reduced representatives. We use the relative Bruhat decomposition to define a map $\iota_{M}: P \backslash \mathcal{C} \rightarrow{ }_{M} W_{\theta M}$ by $\iota_{M}(P \star x)=\xi$, where $P \xi \theta(P)=P x \theta(P)$. Proposition 3.5 of [10] asserts that $\iota_{M}$ defines a bijection $P \backslash \mathcal{C} \simeq W(\theta) \cap_{M} W_{\theta M}$. For $\xi \in W(\theta) \cap{ }_{M} W_{\theta M}$ we write $\mathcal{O}_{\xi}$ for the unique $P$-orbit in $\mathcal{C}$ that $\iota_{M}$ maps to $\xi$.

The set of admissible twisted involutions is defined by

$$
\mathfrak{I}_{M}(\theta)=\left\{\xi \in{ }_{M} W_{\theta M} \mid w_{0} \xi w_{0}=\xi^{-1}, \xi \theta(M) \xi^{-1}=M\right\} \subset W(\theta M, M)
$$

If $\xi \in \mathfrak{I}_{M}(\theta)$, then $\xi \theta$ acts as an involution on $\mathfrak{a}_{M}^{*}$, and $\left(\mathfrak{a}_{M}^{*}\right)_{\xi \theta}^{ \pm}$denotes the $\pm 1$ eigenspaces of $\xi \theta$ in $\mathfrak{a}_{M}^{*}$. For $\xi \in \mathfrak{I}_{M}(\theta)$ we put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{\xi}=\left\{\beta \in R^{+}\left(T_{M}, G\right) \mid \xi \theta \beta<0\right\} \\
& \Psi_{\xi}=\left\{\beta \in R^{+}\left(T_{M}, G\right) \mid \xi \theta \beta=\beta\right\} \\
& \Psi_{\xi}^{0}=\left\{\beta \in R^{+}\left(T_{M}, G\right) \mid \xi \theta \beta= \pm \beta\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\xi \in \mathfrak{I}_{M}(\theta)$ and $\xi^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{I}_{M^{\prime}}(\theta)$ we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
W\left(\xi, \xi^{\prime}\right) & =\left\{w \in W\left(M, M^{\prime}\right) \mid w \xi=\xi^{\prime} w_{0} w w_{0}, w \beta>0 \text { for } \beta \in \Psi_{\xi}\right\} \\
W^{0}\left(\xi, \xi^{\prime}\right) & =\left\{w \in W\left(M, M^{\prime}\right) \mid w \xi=\xi^{\prime} w_{0} w w_{0}, w \beta>0 \text { for } \beta \in \Psi_{\xi}^{0}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{t}\right)$ be the type of $M$. An $M$-admissible involution of $[1, t]$ is a permutation $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{t}$ which satisfies $\tau^{2}=1$ and $m_{i}=m_{\tau^{-1}(i)}$ for $i \in[1, t]$ and such that $m_{i}$ is even whenever $\tau(i)=i$. We associate to $\xi \in \mathfrak{I}_{M}(\theta) \cap W(\theta)$ an $M$-admissible involution $\tau_{\xi}$ of $[1, t]$ via

$$
w_{0}^{M} \xi w_{0}=w_{M}\left(\tau_{\xi}\right)
$$

The map $\xi \mapsto \tau_{\xi}$ is a bijection between $\mathfrak{I}_{M}(\theta) \cap W(\theta)$ and the set of all $M$-admissible involutions of $[1, t]$. We put $S_{\xi}=\left\{i \in[1, t] \mid \tau_{\xi}(i)=i\right\}$.

Let $\rho \in \Pi_{d}(M)$. Let $\xi \in \mathfrak{I}_{M}(\theta) \cap W(\theta)$ and choose $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\xi} \cap M \xi$. We define $\rho_{\xi} \in\left(\mathfrak{a}_{M}^{*}\right)_{\xi \theta}^{+}$by requiring $\delta_{P_{x}}(m)=e^{\left\langle 2 \rho_{\xi}, H_{M}(m)\right\rangle}$ for all $m \in M_{x}(\mathbb{A})$, where $\delta_{P_{x}}$ is the modulus function of $P_{x}(\mathbb{A})$ and $\left(\mathfrak{a}_{M}^{*}\right)_{\xi \theta}^{+}$is identified with $X^{*}\left(M_{x}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. Since $\mathcal{O}_{\xi} \cap M \xi$ is a unique $M$ orbit by [10, Proposition 3.6(2)], $\rho_{\xi}$ is independent of the choice of $x$. There is $m \in M$ such that $m M_{x} m^{-1}$ is the subgroup of $M$ consisting of matrices of the form $\operatorname{diag}\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{t}\right]$, where $a_{i}={ }^{t} a_{j}^{-1} \in G_{m_{i}}$ whenever $\tau_{\xi}(i)=j \neq i$, and $a_{i} \in S p\left(m_{i} / 2\right)$ whenever $\tau_{\xi}(i)=i$. Lemma 2.4 shows that for any $\psi \in I(\rho)$ the period integral

$$
P^{M_{x}}(\psi)(g)=\int_{M_{x}(F) \backslash M_{x}(\mathbb{A})^{1}} \psi(m g) d m
$$

is well-defined.
We choose $\eta$ so that $x=\eta \star \mathbf{1}_{2 n}$. The intertwining period is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(\xi, \psi, \lambda)=\int_{\eta^{-1} P_{x}(\mathbb{A}) \eta \backslash H(\mathbb{A})} P^{M_{x}}(\psi)(\eta h) e^{\left\langle\lambda, H_{M}(\eta h)\right\rangle} d h \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\lambda$ in some open set of $2 \rho_{\xi}-\rho_{P}+\left(\mathfrak{a}_{M, \mathbb{C}}^{*}\right)_{\xi \theta}^{-}$. The integral makes sense and depends neither on the choice of $x$ nor on $\eta$.

Proposition 3.1. - Notation being as above, if $\gamma$ is a sufficiently large real number, then the integral (3.1) converges absolutely when $\Re \lambda-2 \rho_{\xi}+\rho_{P}$ belongs to

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\xi, M}=\left\{\Lambda \in\left(\mathfrak{a}_{M}^{*}\right)_{\xi \theta}^{-} \mid\left\langle\Lambda, \beta^{\vee}\right\rangle>\gamma \text { for all } \beta \in \Phi_{\xi}\right\} .
$$

Proof. - For each $i$ there is a pair $\left(d_{i}, \sigma_{i}\right)$ such that $\rho_{i} \simeq L\left(\sigma_{i}, \Lambda_{d_{i}}\right)$, where $d_{i}$ divides $m_{i}$ and $\sigma_{i} \in \Pi_{c}\left(G_{m_{i} / d_{i}}\right)$. We can take $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\xi} \cap M_{0} \xi$ to define $J(\xi, \psi, \lambda)$. Let $P^{\prime}=M^{\prime} U^{\prime}$ be the parabolic subgroup contained in $P$ which corresponds to the partition obtained from $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{t}\right)$ by replacing the entry $m_{i}$ by $\left(\frac{m_{i}}{d_{i}}, \ldots, \frac{m_{i}}{d_{i}}\right)$ for $i \in S_{\xi}$. Let $\rho^{\prime}=\otimes_{i \in[1, t]} \rho_{i}^{\prime}$ be a
representation of $M^{\prime}(\mathbb{A})$, where $\rho_{i}^{\prime}=\rho_{i}$ if $i \notin S_{\xi}$, and $\rho_{i}^{\prime}=\sigma_{i}^{\otimes d_{i}}$ if $i \in S_{\xi}$. Define $\lambda^{\prime}=\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \lambda_{t}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathfrak{a}_{M^{\prime}}^{*}$ by $\lambda_{i}^{\prime}=0$ if $i \notin S_{\xi}$, and $\lambda_{i}^{\prime}=\kappa_{d_{i}} \Lambda_{d_{i}}$ if $i \in S_{\xi}$. Since Proposition 2.5 shows that $P^{M_{x}}(\psi)$ is identically zero unless all $d_{i}$ are even, we may suppose that all $d_{i}$ are even. Applying Proposition 2.5 to $\rho_{i}$ for each $i \in S_{\xi}$, we see that there is an element $\psi^{\prime} \in I\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)$ satisfying

$$
P^{M_{x}}(\psi)(g)=\int_{P_{x}^{\prime}(\mathbb{A}) \backslash P_{x}(\mathbb{A})} P^{M_{x}^{\prime}}\left(\psi^{\prime}\right)(p g) e^{\left\langle\lambda^{\prime}, H_{M^{\prime}}(p g)\right\rangle} d p
$$

and hence

$$
J(\xi, \psi, \lambda)=J\left(\xi_{M^{\prime}}, \psi^{\prime}, \lambda+\lambda^{\prime}\right)
$$

where we view $\xi_{M^{\prime}}=\xi$ as an element of $\mathfrak{I}_{M^{\prime}}(\theta)$. One can readily check that $\mathcal{D}_{\xi, M} \subset \mathcal{D}_{\xi_{M^{\prime}}, M^{\prime}}$ and

$$
\lambda+\lambda^{\prime}+\rho_{P^{\prime}}-2 \rho_{\xi_{M^{\prime}}} \in\left(\mathfrak{a}_{M^{\prime}, \mathbb{C}}^{*}\right)_{\xi_{M^{\prime}} \theta}^{-}
$$

which reduces the statement to the case where $S_{\xi}$ is empty.
We write $\tau_{\xi}$ as a product of disjoint reflections

$$
\tau_{\xi}=\left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right) \cdots\left(i_{t / 2}, j_{t / 2}\right)
$$

There is no harm in assuming that $\rho_{i_{k}} \simeq \rho_{j_{k}}$ for $k \in[1, t / 2]$. We write an Iwasawa decomposition of $g \in G(\mathbb{A})$ with respect to $P(\mathbb{A})$ as $g=p(g) k(g)$. Taking into account a canonical identification of $\rho_{i_{k}}$ with its contragredient, we see that

$$
e^{-\left\langle\rho_{P}, H_{M}(g)\right\rangle} P^{M_{x}}(\psi)(g)=\int_{M_{x}(F) \backslash M_{x}(\mathbb{A})^{1}} \rho(m p(g)) \psi(k(g)) d m
$$

is a matrix coefficient of the unitary representation $\rho_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \rho_{i_{t / 2}}$, so that

$$
\sup _{g \in G(\mathbb{A})}\left|e^{-\left\langle\rho_{P}, H_{M}(g)\right\rangle} P^{M_{x}}(\psi)(g)\right|<\infty
$$

Proposition 4.3 of [10] now completes our proof.
Theorem 3.2. - Let $\psi \in I(\pi)$ and $s \in \sqrt{-1} \mathfrak{a}_{L}^{*}$. Then

$$
\int_{H(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A})} E(h, \psi, s) d h=0
$$

unless all $d_{i}$ are even. If all $d_{i}$ are even, then

$$
\int_{H(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A})} E(h, \psi, s) d h=J\left(w_{\theta L}, \psi, s\right) .
$$

Proof. - We may assume that all $d_{i}$ are even. Put

$$
x=\operatorname{diag}\left[\epsilon_{k_{1}}, \ldots, \epsilon_{k_{r}}\right] \epsilon \in \mathcal{C}, \quad \kappa_{\mathbf{d}}=\operatorname{diag}\left[\kappa_{d_{1}}, \ldots, \kappa_{d_{r}}\right] \in W(M, M)
$$

Note that $\iota_{L}(x)=w_{\theta L} \in \mathfrak{I}_{L}(\theta) \cap W(\theta)$. The intertwining operator $M_{-1}\left(\kappa_{\mathbf{d}}\right)=M_{-1}\left(\kappa_{\mathbf{d}}, s\right)$ is independent of $s$. We define $w^{\prime} \in W(M)$ by
$\kappa_{|\mathbf{d}|}=w^{\prime} \kappa_{\mathbf{d}}$. If $L^{\prime}$ is the Levi subgroup of $G$ of type $\left(\frac{k_{1}}{2}, \frac{k_{1}}{2}, \ldots, \frac{k_{r}}{2}, \frac{k_{r}}{2}\right)$, then $w^{\prime}$ is given by $w^{\prime}=w_{L^{\prime}}\left(\kappa_{2 r}\right)$. When we view $w_{\theta L}$ as an element of $\mathfrak{I}_{M}(\theta)$, we will rewrite it as $\xi_{M}$. One can check that $w^{\prime} \in W^{0}\left(\xi_{M}, \mathbf{1}_{2 n}\right)$. By the functional equation stated in Theorem 7.7 of [10]

$$
j\left(M\left(w^{\prime}, \lambda\right) \phi\right)=J\left(\mathbf{1}_{2 n}, M\left(w^{\prime}, \lambda\right) \phi, w^{\prime} \lambda\right)=J\left(\xi_{M}, \phi, \lambda\right)
$$

for all $\phi \in I(\sigma)$. By rewriting the formula of Proposition 2.5, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{H(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A})}^{*} E\left(h, E_{-1}^{Q}(\varphi), s\right) d h & =v_{M_{H}^{\dagger}} j\left(M\left(w^{\prime}, \kappa_{\mathbf{d}} \Lambda_{\mathbf{d}}+s\right) M_{-1}\left(\kappa_{\mathbf{d}}\right) \varphi\right) \\
& =v_{M_{H}^{\dagger}} J\left(\xi_{M}, M_{-1}\left(\kappa_{\mathbf{d}}\right) \varphi, \kappa_{\mathbf{d}} \Lambda_{\mathbf{d}}+s\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
x \in \mathcal{C} \cap M_{0} \xi_{M}, \quad Q_{x}=L_{x}, \quad \rho_{w_{\theta L}}=\rho_{Q_{x}}=0, \quad\left(\mathfrak{a}_{L, \mathbb{C}}^{*}\right)_{w_{\theta L} \theta}^{-}=\mathfrak{a}_{L, \mathbb{C}}^{*} .
$$

Applying Proposition 2.5 to $E_{-1}^{Q}(\varphi)$ with $L$ and $L_{x}$ in place of $G$ and $H$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{M_{H}^{\dagger}} \int_{P_{x}(\mathbb{A}) \backslash Q_{x}(\mathbb{A})} P^{M_{x}}\left(M_{-1}\left(\kappa_{\mathbf{d}}\right) \varphi\right)(q g) e^{\left\langle\kappa_{\mathbf{d}} \Lambda_{\mathbf{d}}, H_{M}(q g)\right\rangle} d q \\
= & \int_{L_{x}(F) \backslash L_{x}(\mathbb{A})} E_{-1}^{Q}(\varphi)(h g) d h=P^{L_{x}}\left(E_{-1}^{Q}(\varphi)\right)(g) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\left\langle s, H_{M}(q g)\right\rangle=\left\langle s, H_{L}(q g)\right\rangle=\left\langle s, H_{L}(g)\right\rangle
$$

for $s \in \mathfrak{a}_{L, \mathbb{C}}^{*}, q \in Q_{x}(\mathbb{A})$ and $g \in G(\mathbb{A})$, we finally obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{H(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A})}^{*} E\left(h, E_{-1}^{Q}(\varphi), s\right) d h=J\left(w_{\theta L}, E_{-1}^{Q}(\varphi), s\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $s$ in some open set of $\mathfrak{a}_{L, \mathbb{C}}^{*}$. Since the left hand side is meromorphically continued to $\mathfrak{a}_{L, \mathbb{C}}^{*}$ and holomorphic on $\sqrt{-1} \mathfrak{a}_{L}^{*}$ by Lemma 2.4 (cf. Proposition 12 of [4]), so is $J\left(w_{\theta L}, E_{-1}^{Q}(\varphi), s\right)$. The stated identity is obtained by evaluating at $s \in \sqrt{-1} \mathfrak{a}_{L}^{*}$.

We are going to prove the following result in the next section.
Proposition 3.3. - Notation being as in Theorem 3.2, we assume that all $d_{i}$ are even. Then there is $\psi \in I(\pi)$ such that the function $s \mapsto$ $\int_{H(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A})} E(h, \psi, s) d h$ is not identically zero.

We set forth the following conjecture:
Conjecture 3.4. - Let $\sigma \in \Pi_{c}(M)$ and $\pi \in \Pi_{d}(L)$ be as in Section 1. If all $d_{i}$ are even, then $I(\pi, \lambda)$ is distinguished by $H$ for each $\lambda \in \sqrt{-1} \mathfrak{a}_{L}^{*}$.

The following theorem generalizes Theorem 7.7 of [10].
Theorem 3.5. - Let $\rho \in \Pi_{d}(M), \psi \in I(\rho)$ and $\xi \in \mathfrak{I}_{M}(\theta) \cap W(\theta)$.
(1) $J(\xi, \psi, \lambda)$ extends to a meromorphic function on the space $2 \rho_{\xi}-$ $\rho_{P}+\left(\mathfrak{a}_{M, \mathbb{C}}^{*}\right)_{\bar{\xi} \theta}$.
(2) For $\xi^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{I}_{M^{\prime}}(\theta)$ and $w \in W\left(\xi, \xi^{\prime}\right)$

$$
J\left(\xi^{\prime}, M(w, \lambda) \psi, w \lambda\right)=J(\xi, \psi, \lambda)
$$

Proof. - We can deduce the theorem from (3.2) and [10, Lemma 4.4] by the same technique as in $[4,7]$. The detail is left to the reader.

## 4. The local intertwining periods

Let $P=M U$ be a parabolic subgroup of $G$ of type $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{t}\right), \rho \in$ $\Pi_{d}(M)$ and $\xi \in \Im_{M}(\theta) \cap W(\theta)$. Choose $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\xi} \cap M \xi$ and $\eta$ so that $x=$ $\eta \star \mathbf{1}_{2 n}$. We assume that $\tau_{\xi}(i) \neq i$ for all $i \in[1, t]$. We may suppose that $\rho_{i} \simeq \rho_{\tau_{\xi}(i)}$ for all $i \in[1, t]$ as $P^{M_{x}}(\psi)$ is identically zero for all $\psi \in I(\rho)$ otherwise. Then the period integral $P^{M_{x}}$ gives rise to the unique (up to a scalar) $M_{x}(\mathbb{A})$-invariant form $l_{M_{x}}$ on $\rho$. We fix an identification of $\rho$ with a restricted tensor product $\otimes_{v} \rho_{v}$. This identification presupposes the choice of $K_{v}$-fixed vectors in the space of $\rho_{v}$ for almost all $v$. The invariant form $l_{M_{x}}$ on $\rho$ decomposes into local invariant forms $l_{M_{x}, v}$ on $\rho_{v}$. The local intertwining period is defined by

$$
J_{v}\left(\xi, \psi_{v}, \lambda\right)=\int_{\eta^{-1} P_{x}\left(F_{v}\right) \eta \backslash H\left(F_{v}\right)} l_{M_{x}, v}\left(\psi_{v}(\eta h)\right) e^{\left\langle\lambda, H_{M}(\eta h)\right\rangle} d h
$$

for $\psi_{v} \in I\left(\rho_{v}\right)$ and for $\lambda$ in some open set of $2 \rho_{\xi}-\rho_{P}+\left(\mathfrak{a}_{M, \mathbb{C}}^{*}\right)_{\xi \theta}^{-}$. Then we have the factorization

$$
J(\xi, \psi, \lambda)=\prod_{v} J_{v}\left(\xi, \psi_{v}, \lambda\right)
$$

provided that $\psi=\otimes_{v} \psi_{v}$ is factorizable.
We switch to a local setting and drop the index $v$ from our notation. Thus $F=F_{v}$ is a local field of characteristic zero. When $X$ is an algebraic group over $F$, we will write $X=X(F)$ for simplicity. The length function $\ell_{M}: W(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$ is defined in [9] by

$$
\ell_{M}(w)=\#\left\{\alpha \in R^{+}\left(T_{M}, G\right) \mid w \alpha<0\right\} .
$$

For any Levi subgroup $M$ and $\alpha \in \Delta_{M}$ there is an element $s_{\alpha} \in W(M)$ characterized by the property that $\ell_{M}\left(s_{\alpha}\right)=1$ and $s_{\alpha} \alpha<0$.

Lemma 4.1. - Let $\xi \in \Im_{M}(\theta) \cap W(\theta), \xi^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{I}_{M^{\prime}}(\theta) \cap W(\theta)$, and $\alpha \in \Delta_{M}$. Assume that $s_{\alpha} \in W\left(\xi, \xi^{\prime}\right)$.
(1) $\ell_{\theta M^{\prime}}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)=\ell_{\theta M}(\xi), \ell_{\theta M}(\xi)+2$ or $\ell_{\theta M}(\xi)-2$ according as $\xi \theta \alpha= \pm \alpha$, $\alpha \neq \xi \theta \alpha>0$ or $-\alpha \neq \xi \theta \alpha<0$.
(2) Assume that $S_{\xi}$ is empty and $-\alpha \neq \xi \theta(\alpha)<0$. Let $\rho$ be an irreducible unitary representation of $M$. Let $\psi \in I(\rho)$ and $\lambda \in 2 \rho_{\xi}-$ $\rho_{P}+\left(\mathfrak{a}_{M, \mathbb{C}}^{*}\right)_{\xi \theta}$. If the double integral defining $J(\xi, \psi, \lambda)$ converges absolutely, then

$$
J\left(\xi^{\prime}, M\left(s_{\alpha}, \lambda\right) \psi, s_{\alpha} \lambda\right)=J(\xi, \psi, \lambda)
$$

Proof. - The proof of (1) is the same as that of Lemma 3.2.1 of [7]. Since $w_{M^{\prime}}\left(\tau_{\xi^{\prime}}\right)=s_{\alpha} w_{M}\left(\tau_{\xi}\right) s_{\alpha}^{-1}$, if $S_{\xi}$ is empty, then $S_{\xi^{\prime}}$ is empty. The proof of (2) mimics the argument of Proposition 10.1.1 of [7] by utilizing Lemma 3.8 of [10].

By the same reasoning as $[4,7,10]$ we can use Lemma 4.1 to deduce convergence and meromorphic continuation of $J(\xi, \psi, \lambda)$ from those of the intertwining operators. As far as the convergence is concerned, we may replace $\rho$ by the trivial representation. We will not repeat the proof.

Proposition 4.2. - Let $\rho$ be an irreducible unitary representation of $M, \psi \in I(\rho)$ and $\xi$ an element of $\mathfrak{I}_{M}(\theta) \cap W(\theta)$ such that $S_{\xi}$ is empty.
(1) $J(\xi, \psi, \lambda)$ converges absolutely when $\Re \lambda-2 \rho_{\xi}+\rho_{P} \in \mathcal{D}_{\xi, M}$.
(2) $J(\xi, \psi, \lambda)$ is continued meromorphically to $2 \rho_{\xi}-\rho_{P}+\left(\mathfrak{a}_{M, \mathbb{C}}^{*}\right)_{\xi \theta}$.

Let $\left(d_{1} n_{1}, \ldots, d_{r} n_{r}\right)$ be a partition of $2 n, P=M U$ the parabolic subgroup of $G$ of type $\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}, \ldots, n_{r}\right)$, and $\sigma=\otimes_{i \in[1, r]} \sigma_{i}^{\otimes d_{i}}$ an irreducible unitary generic representation of $M$. Suppose that all $d_{i}=2 t_{i}$ are even. The local $L$ factors $L\left(s, \sigma_{i} \times \sigma_{j}^{\vee}\right)$ are defined by Jacquet, PiatetskiShapiro and Shalika [5] in the nonarchimedean case. Since $\sigma$ is unitary and generic, the factors $L\left(s, \sigma_{i} \times \sigma_{j}^{\vee}\right)$ are holomorphic in $\Re s \geqslant 1$.

We use the notation defined in the proof of Theorem 3.2. Take a decomposition $w^{\prime}=s_{\alpha_{\ell}} \cdots s_{\alpha_{1}}$, where $\ell=\ell_{M}\left(w^{\prime}\right), \alpha_{i} \in \Delta_{M_{i}}$, and $M_{1}=M$, $M_{i+1}=s_{\alpha_{i}} M_{i} s_{\alpha_{i}}^{-1}$ for $i \in[1, \ell]$. Since $\ell_{\theta M}\left(\xi_{M}\right)=2 \ell_{M}\left(w^{\prime}\right)$, Lemma 4.1(1) shows that $-\alpha_{i} \neq \xi_{i} \theta \alpha_{i}<0$, where $\xi_{1}=\xi_{M}, \xi_{i+1}=s_{\alpha_{i}} \xi_{i}\left(w_{0} s_{\alpha_{i}} w_{0}\right)^{-1}$ for $i \in[1, \ell]$. Applying Lemma 4.1(2) successively, we get

$$
J\left(\xi_{M}, \phi, \lambda\right)=J\left(\mathbf{1}_{2 n}, M\left(w^{\prime}, \lambda\right) \phi, w^{\prime} \lambda\right), \quad \phi \in I(\sigma)
$$

Observe that $M\left(\kappa_{\mathbf{d}}\right)=M\left(\kappa_{\mathbf{d}}, \Lambda_{\mathbf{d}}+s\right)$ is independent of $s$. Note that when $d$ is even,

$$
\left\{(j, k) \mid 1 \leqslant j<k \leqslant d, \kappa_{d}(j)>\kappa_{d}(k)\right\}=\{(2 j, k) \mid 1 \leqslant 2 j<k \leqslant d\}
$$

If $F$ is a nonarchimedean field, $\sigma$ is unramified and $\phi \in I(\sigma)$ is $K$-invariant such that $\phi(e)=1$, then by the Gindikin-Karpelevich formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
M\left(\kappa_{\mathbf{d}}\right) \phi & =\phi \prod_{i=1}^{r} \prod_{j=1}^{t_{i}-1} \prod_{k=2 j+1}^{2 t_{i}} \frac{L\left(k-2 j, \sigma_{i} \otimes \sigma_{i}^{\vee}\right)}{L\left(k-2 j+1, \sigma_{i} \otimes \sigma_{i}^{\vee}\right)} \\
& =\phi \prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{L\left(1, \sigma_{i} \otimes \sigma_{i}^{\vee}\right)^{t_{i}-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^{t_{i}-1} L\left(2 j+1, \sigma_{i} \otimes \sigma_{i}^{\vee}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $M\left(w^{\prime}, \kappa_{\mathbf{d}} \Lambda_{\mathbf{d}}+s\right) \phi(e)$ is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant r} \prod_{k=1}^{t_{i}} \prod_{l=1}^{2 t_{j}} \frac{L\left(l-2 k+s_{i}-s_{j}+t_{i}-t_{j}, \sigma_{i} \otimes \sigma_{j}^{\vee}\right)}{L\left(l-2 k+s_{i}-s_{j}+t_{i}-t_{j}+1, \sigma_{i} \otimes \sigma_{j}^{\vee}\right)} \\
= & \prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant r} \prod_{k=1}^{t_{i}} \frac{L\left(s_{i}-s_{j}+2 k-t_{i}-t_{j}-1, \sigma_{i} \otimes \sigma_{j}^{\vee}\right)}{L\left(s_{i}-s_{j}+t_{i}+t_{j}+1-2 k, \sigma_{i} \otimes \sigma_{j}^{\vee}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 4.3. - Notation being as above, $J\left(\xi_{M}, M\left(\kappa_{\mathbf{d}}\right) \varphi, \kappa_{\mathbf{d}} \Lambda_{\mathbf{d}}+\right.$ $s)$ is not identically zero as a meromorphic function and as $\varphi$ varies.

Proof. - For $g \in G$ and $\varphi \in I(\sigma)$ we put

$$
j_{L_{x}}(\varphi)(g)=\int_{P_{x} \backslash L_{x}} l_{M_{x}}\left(M\left(\kappa_{\mathbf{d}}\right) \varphi(q g)\right) e^{\left\langle\kappa_{\mathbf{d}} \Lambda_{\mathbf{d}}, H_{M}(q g)\right\rangle} d q
$$

as in [12]. Theorem 5 of [11] tells us that $j_{L_{x}}$ is not identically zero on $I(\sigma)$. Note that

$$
J\left(\xi_{M}, M\left(\kappa_{\mathbf{d}}\right) \varphi, \kappa_{\mathbf{d}} \Lambda_{\mathbf{d}}+s\right)=\int_{Q_{x} \backslash H_{x}} j_{L_{x}}(\varphi)(h \eta) e^{\left\langle s, H_{L}(h \eta)\right\rangle} d h
$$

Since $\operatorname{dim} Q+\operatorname{dim} H_{x}-\operatorname{dim} L_{x}=\operatorname{dim} G$, we see that $Q H_{x}$ is an open set in $G$. Thus this integral can be taken to be nonzero by choosing $\varphi$ to be supported in a small neighborhood inside $Q \backslash Q H_{x} \eta$.

Back to the global setup, we are now ready to prove Proposition 3.3. Let $S$ be a finite set of places of $F$ which contains all the archimedean places and such that for all $v \notin S, \sigma_{v}$ is unramified and $\varphi_{v}$ is $K_{v}$-invariant. Then

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\int_{H(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A})}^{*} E\left(h, E_{-1}^{Q}(\varphi), s\right) d h= & \prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\operatorname{Res}_{s=1} L^{S}\left(s, \sigma_{i} \otimes \sigma_{i}^{\vee}\right)^{t_{i}-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^{t_{i}-1} L^{S}\left(2 j+1, \sigma_{i} \otimes \sigma_{i}^{\vee}\right)} \\
\left.\times \prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant r} \prod_{k=1}^{t_{i}} \frac{L^{S}\left(s_{i}-s_{j}+\right.}{}+2 k-t_{i}-t_{j}-1, \sigma_{i} \otimes \sigma_{j}^{\vee}\right) \\
L^{S}\left(s_{i}-s_{j}+t_{i}+t_{j}+1-2 k, \sigma_{i} \otimes \sigma_{j}^{\vee}\right)
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Proposition 4.3 now completes the proof of Proposition 3.3.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

[1] J. Arthur, "On the inner product of truncated Eisenstein series", Duke Math. J. 49 (1982), p. 35-70.
[2] J. Bernstein, " $P$-invariant distributions on GL $(N)$ and the classification of unitary representations of GL $(N)$ (non-archimedean case)", in Lie Group Representations II, Lec. Notes in Math., vol. 1041, Springer, 1984, p. 50-102.
[3] H. Jacquet, E. Lapid \& S. Rallis, "A spectral identity for skew symmetric matrices", in Contributions to Automorphic Forms, Geometry, and Number Theory, Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, 2004, p. 421-455.
[4] H. Jacquet, E. Lapid \& J. Rogawski, "Periods of automorphic forms", J. Am. Math. Soc. 12 (1999), p. 173-240.
[5] H. Jacquet, I. Piatetski-Shapiro \& J. Shalika, "Rankin-Selberg Convolutions", Am. J. Math. 105 (1983), p. 367-464.
[6] H. JacQuet \& S. Rallis, "Symplectic periods", J. Reine Angew. Math. 423 (1992), p. 175-197.
[7] E. Lapid \& J. Rogawski, "Periods of Eisenstein series: the Galois case", Duke Math. J. 120 (2003), no. 1, p. 153-226.
[8] C. Moeglin \& J.-L. Waldspurger, "Le spectre résiduel de GL( $n$ )", Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 22 (1989), p. 605-674.
[9] ——, Spectral Decomposition and Eisenstein Series, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 113, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
[10] O. Offen, "On symplectic periods of discrete spectrum of $\mathrm{GL}_{2 n}$ ", Israel J. Math. 154 (2006), p. 253-298.
[11] , "Residual spectrum of $\mathrm{GL}_{2 n}$ distinguished by the symplectic group", Duke Math. J. 134 (2006), no. 2, p. 313-357.
[12] O. Offen \& E. Sayag, "On unitary representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{2 n}$ distinguished by the symplectic group", J. Number Theory 125 (2007), p. 344-355.
[13] D. Vogan, "The unitary dual of GL( $n$ ) over an Archimedean field", Invent. Math. 83 (1986), p. 449-505.

Manuscrit reçu le 18 octobre 2012, accepté le 12 février 2013.

Shunsuke YAMANA
Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University, 744, Motooka, Nishi-ku, Fukuoka, 819-0395, Japan
yamana@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp

