

# ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

ROBERT KAUFMAN

## **Analysis on some linear sets**

*Annales de l'institut Fourier*, tome 21, n° 2 (1971), p. 23-29

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIF\\_1971\\_\\_21\\_2\\_23\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIF_1971__21_2_23_0)

© Annales de l'institut Fourier, 1971, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'institut Fourier » (<http://annalif.ujf-grenoble.fr/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

## ANALYSIS ON SOME LINEAR SETS

by Robert KAUFMAN

### 0.

Let  $F$  be a compact subset of  $(-\infty, \infty)$  and for each integer  $N \geq 1$  let  $\nu_N \equiv \nu(N; F)$  be the number of intervals  $[kN^{-1}, (k+1)N^{-1}]$  meeting  $F$ ;  $F$  is called *small* provided  $\log \nu_N = o(\log N)$ . The existence of small sets of « multiplicity » ( $M_0$ -sets in [6I, p. 344]) was proved in 1942 by Salem and used by Rudin [4, VIII]; a program somewhat analogous for locally compact abelian groups was completed by Varopoulos [5].

Does there exist a small set  $F$  with the property that both  $F$  and (say)  $F_2 = \{x^2 : x \in F\}$  are  $M_0$ -sets? The construction of these sets doesn't seem accessible by the method of Rudin and Salem [4], nor by the Brownian motion [3]. In this note an affirmative answer is given to a more general problem.

**THEOREM 1.** — *Let  $(h_n)$  be a sequence of real functions of class  $C^1(-\infty, \infty)$  with derivatives  $h'_n > 0$ . Then there is a small set  $F$  with the property that each  $h_n(F)$  is an  $M_0$ -set.*

Small sets occur naturally in the construction of independent sets [3, 4, 5]; after the metrical theory of Diophantine approximation a set  $F$  is called *metrically independent* if to each integer  $N \geq 1$  and each  $\varepsilon$  in  $(0, 1)$  there is a  $U_0$  so that the simultaneous inequalities

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^N u_j x_j - \nu \right| < U^{-N-\varepsilon}, \quad U = \max(|u_1|, \dots, |u_N|) > U_0 \\ |x_i - x_j| \geq \varepsilon \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq N$$

have no solution in integers  $u_1, \dots, u_N, \nu$  and members  $x_1, \dots, x_N$  of  $F$ . Compare [1, VII].

Uncountable metrically independent subsets could perhaps be constructed by classical arguments, for example that of Perron [1, p. 79] or Davenport [2].

**THEOREM 2.** — *The set  $F$  determined in Theorem 1 can be required to have the property that each  $h_n(F)$  be metrically independent.*

**THEOREMS 1a, 2a.** — *Theorems 1 and 2 remain true provided each  $h_n$  is monotone-continuous and  $h'_n > 0$  almost everywhere.*

### 1.

In the proof of Theorem 1 we require two arrays of independent random variables  $(Y_{k,m})$  and  $(\xi_{k,m})$  defined on a space  $(\Omega, P)$  for  $1 \leq k < \infty$ ,  $1 \leq m \leq k^6$ . Each  $Y_k$  is uniformly distributed upon  $[0, 1]$  while

$$P\{\xi_{k,m} = 1\} = \pi_k = k^{-1} = 1 - P\{\xi_{k,m} = 0\}.$$

Suppose that  $f$  is a measurable function on  $(-\infty, \infty)$  and  $-1 \leq f \leq 1$ , and let  $\mu = \pi_k E(f(Y))$ ; elementary calculations show that

$$E(e^{t\xi_k f(Y_k)} e^{-t\mu}) \leq \exp \frac{1}{2} \pi_k t^2 \exp 0(\pi_k t^3)$$

with an '0' uniform for  $-1 \leq f \leq 1$ ,  $-1 \leq t \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq \pi_k \leq 1$ . Hence for any  $z > 0$  and  $1 > t > 0$

$$P\left\{\left|\sum_m \xi_{k,m} - k^5\right| > zk^5\right\} \leq 2 \exp -zk^5 t \exp \frac{1}{2} k^6 \pi_k t^2 \exp 0(\pi_k k^6 t^3).$$

Choosing  $z = t = k^{-2}$  and using  $\pi_k = k^{-1}$  we obtain

$$P\left\{\left|\sum_m \xi_{k,m} - k^5\right| \geq k^3\right\} \leq 0(1) \exp -\frac{1}{2} k.$$

Thus

**LEMMA 1.** —  $\sum_{m=1}^{k^6} \xi_{k,m} = k^5 + 0(k^3)$  almost surely in  $\Omega$ .

A sequence of random measures  $\lambda_k$  is now determined as

follows: for any function  $g$  on  $(-\infty, \infty)$

$$\int g d\lambda_k = k^{-2}g(0) + k^{-5} \sum_m \xi_{n,m} g(e^{-k \log^2 k} Y_{k,m}).$$

Thus in every instance  $\lambda_k \geq 0$  and  $\|\lambda_k\| \geq k^{-2}$ ; moreover  $\|\lambda_k\| = 1 + O(k^{-2})$  almost surely. Because  $\sum e^{-k \log^2 k} < \infty$  the convolution  $\lambda = \pi * \lambda_k$  converges, and  $F$  is defined to be its closed support.  $F$  is contained in at most

$$\prod_{j=1}^k [j^5 + O(j^3)] = e^{O(k \log k)}$$

intervals of length  $e^{-k \log^2 k}$ .

Because  $(k+1) \log^2(k+1)/k \log^2 k \rightarrow 1$ , this is sufficient to obtain

LEMMA 2. —  $F$  is almost surely a small set.

LEMMA 3. — Let  $h \in C^1(-\infty, \infty)$  and  $h' > 0$ ; let  $(c_m)$ ,  $(u_m)$ ,  $(v_m)$  be sequences of real numbers such that

$$|c_m| + |v_m| = O(1) \quad \text{and} \quad |u_m v_m| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \exp iu_m h(c_m + v_m t) dt = 0.$$

*Proof.* — Let  $g$  denote the  $C^1$  function inverse to  $h$ , and let  $v_m > 0$ . The integral is transformed to

$$J = \int_{\alpha_m}^{\beta_m} g'(y) \exp iu_m y \cdot v_m^{-1} dy,$$

where  $\alpha_m = h(c_m)$ ,  $\beta_m = h(v_m + c_m)$ . A further substitution  $y = y_1 + \pi u_m^{-1}$  yields

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\alpha_m}^{\beta_m} g'(y) \exp iu_m y \cdot v_m^{-1} dy \\ - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\alpha_m - \pi u_m^{-1}}^{\beta_m - \pi u_m^{-1}} g'(y + \pi u_m^{-1}) \exp iu_m y \cdot v_m^{-1} dy.$$

This tends to 0 because  $\beta_m - \alpha_m = O(v_m)$  and  $v_m^{-1} u_m^{-1} = o(1)$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.* — We show that for each function  $h_n$   $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \int \exp iuh_n(s) \lambda(ds) = 0$ , almost surely. Then  $h_n(F)$  is an

$M_0$ -set; because  $h_n(F)$  is compact it is enough to prove

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int \exp ir^{\frac{1}{3}} h_n(s) \lambda(ds) = 0, \quad r = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

To each integer  $r \geq 3$  we attach the integer  $k(r)$  defined by  $k(r) \leq \log^{\frac{1}{3}} r < k(r) + 1$  and write  $\lambda'_k = \prod_{j \neq k} * \lambda_j$ . Then

$$\int \exp ir^{\frac{1}{3}} h_n(s) \lambda(ds) = \iint \exp ir^{\frac{1}{2}} h_n(s + \omega) \lambda'_k(ds) \lambda'_k(d\omega).$$

For each real number  $\omega$  in the support of  $\lambda'_k$  let  $m(\omega)$  be the expected value of  $\int \exp ir^{\frac{1}{2}} h_n(s + \omega) \lambda'_k(ds)$ . Then

$$\left| \int \exp ir^{\frac{1}{2}} h_n(s) \lambda(ds) \right| \leq \int \left| \int \exp ir^{\frac{1}{2}} h_n(s + \omega) \lambda'_k(ds) - m(\omega) \right| \lambda'_k(d\omega) + \|\lambda'_k\| \max |m(\omega)|.$$

The second integral, say I, can be handled by Jensen's inequality and the estimates at the beginning of 1. Let  $-1 < t < 1$  and  $\Phi(x) = e^{tx}$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}(\Phi(\|\lambda'_k\|^{-1} k^5 \text{Re I})) \leq 2 \exp \frac{1}{2} k^5 t^2 0 (\exp k^5 t^3).$$

Choosing  $t = k^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  we observe

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left\{ |\text{Re I}| > \|\lambda'_k\| k^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\} &= \mathbb{P}\left\{ \Phi(\|\lambda'_k\|^{-1} k^5 \text{Re I}) > \exp k^4 \right\} \\ &\leq 2 \exp \frac{1}{2} k^4 \exp 0(k^{7/2}) \exp -k^4. \end{aligned}$$

This is the general term of a convergent series, inasmuch as  $k = k(r) > -1 + \log^{\frac{1}{3}} r$ . Thus, almost surely in  $\Omega$ , for  $r > r_0$

$$\left| \text{Re} \int \exp ir^{\frac{1}{2}} h_n(s) \lambda(ds) \right| \leq k^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\lambda'_k\| + \|\lambda'_k\| \max |m(\omega)|$$

and of course a similar statement holds for the imaginary part of the integral. Now

$$|m(\omega)| \leq k^{-2} + \left| \int_0^1 \exp ir^{\frac{1}{2}} h_n(e^{-k \log^2 kt} + \omega) dt \right|$$

with  $\omega = 0(1)$  and  $k = k(r)$ . To apply Lemma 3 we must

verify  $r^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-k \log^2 k} \rightarrow \infty$  but this is plain from  $k(r) < \log^{\frac{1}{3}} r$ . Because  $\max_k \|\lambda'_k\| < \infty$  almost surely, the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

## 2.

Theorem 2 requires the construction of a random function  $\varphi$  in  $C^\infty(-\infty, \infty)$ . Let  $\psi$  be a function in  $C^\infty(-\infty, \infty)$  with the properties

- (i)  $\psi = 0$  on  $[-\infty, -2]$ ,  $\psi = 3$  on  $[2, \infty]$ ,
- (ii)  $\psi' \geq 0$ , and  $\psi' > 1$  on  $(-1, 1)$ .

Let  $(a_p)$  be a sequence of real numbers such that every real number belongs to infinitely many of the intervals  $(a_p - p^{-1}, a_p + p^{-1})$ . Finally, let  $(Z_p)$  be a sequence of independent random variables on  $(\Omega, P)$ , uniformly distributed upon  $[0, 1]$ . We define

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} e^{-p\psi} (p^{-1}Z_p + p^{\frac{1}{2}}(x - a_p)) + x.$$

To each compact set  $F$  and number  $\delta > 0$  there are numbers  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  so that

$$q_1 \geq 4, \quad q_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta \geq 5, \quad \bigcup_{p=q_1}^{q_2} (a_p - p^{-1}, a_p + p^{-1}) \supseteq F.$$

**THEOREM 3.** — *Let  $F$  be a small set and  $h \in C^1(-\infty, \infty)$ ,  $h' > 0$ ; then  $h\varphi(F)$  is almost surely metrically independent.*

For each integer  $U \geq 1$  we can choose a subset  $S(N, U)$  of  $R^N$  so that every point in  $F^N$  has distance  $< U^{-3N}$  from some point in  $S(N, U)$ , while  $\text{card } S(N, U) \leq \nu^N(NU^{3N}; F)$ .

Beginning with an inequality

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^N u_j h\varphi(y_j) - \nu \right| < U^{-N-\epsilon}, \quad |h\varphi(y_j) - h\varphi(y_i)| > \epsilon \quad (i \neq j)$$

we conclude first that  $|y_i - y_j| > \eta$  for some fixed  $\eta > 0$ . Let  $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  be the member of  $S(N, U)$  associated to

$(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ . Then

$$(1) \quad \left| \sum_{j=1}^N u_j h\varphi(z_j) - \nu \right| < U^{-N-\varepsilon} + 0(U \cdot U^{-3N}),$$

$$|z_i - z_j| > \eta - 2U^{-3N}.$$

For large  $U$  we can find  $\delta < \eta - 2U^{-3N}$  and corresponding numbers  $q_1, q_2$ . Let  $q_1 \leq p \leq q_2$ ,  $|z_i - a_p| < p^{-1}$ .

$$\left| p^{-1}Z_p + p^{\frac{1}{2}}(z_i - a_p) \right| < p^{-1} + p^{-\frac{1}{2}} < 1,$$

$$|p^{-1}Z_p + p^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z_j - a_p)| > p^{\frac{1}{2}}\delta - p^{-1} - p^{-\frac{1}{2}} > 4, \quad \text{when } j \neq i.$$

Therefore  $\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_p} \sum_{j=1}^N u_j h\varphi(Z_j) = u_i \frac{\partial}{\partial Z_p} h\varphi(Z_i)$  exceeds  $\alpha|u_i|$  in modulus, with an  $\alpha > 0$  independent of  $u_1, \dots, u_n$ . Hence the probability of the inequality (1) is  $0(U^{-1} \cdot U^{-N-\varepsilon})$  for each  $(z_1, \dots, z_N)$ . The requirement  $U = \max(|u_1|, \dots, |u_n|)$  determines  $0(U^{N-1})$   $N$ -tuples and plainly  $\nu = 0(U)$ . Because  $F$  is a small set  $\nu^N(NU^{3N}; F) = U^{o(1)}$  as  $U \rightarrow \infty$ . Theorem 3 follows from this and  $\Sigma U^{-1-\varepsilon} U^{o(1)} < \infty$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2.* — Here we use the fact that  $F$  and  $\varphi$  depend on independent  $\sigma$ -fields.  $F$  is almost surely small, whence each  $h_n\varphi(F)$  is almost surely metrically independent, by Theorem 3. By Theorem 1, each  $h_n\varphi(F)$  is almost surely an  $M_0$ -set and Theorem 2 is proved.

### 3.

*Proof of Theorems 1a and 2a.* — According to a theorem of Marcinkiewicz [6II, pp. 73-77], to each  $\delta > 0$  there exist functions  $g_n$  in  $C^1(-\infty, \infty)$  so that

$$m(h_n \neq g_n) < \delta n^{-2}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

At almost all points of density of the set  $(h_n = g_n)$ ,  $g'_n = h'_n > 0$ . Passing to a perfect subset of the set  $(g'_n > 0, g'_n = h'_n, g_n = h_n)$ , we can find a  $\tilde{g}_n$  in  $C^1(-\infty, \infty)$  such that

$$m(h_n \neq \tilde{g}_n) < 2\delta n^{-2}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

$\tilde{g}'_n > 0$  everywhere.

We observe next that to each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a constant  $B(\varepsilon)$  so that for all Borel sets  $S$

$$\int_{\Omega} \lambda(S) dP \leq \varepsilon + B(\varepsilon)m(S).$$

Thus to each  $\varepsilon > 0$  we can choose functions  $\tilde{g}_n$  by Marcinkiewicz' theorem, so that

$$P\{\lambda(x: \tilde{g}_n\varphi(x) \neq \tilde{h}_n\varphi(x) \text{ for some } n) > \varepsilon\} < \varepsilon.$$

In proving this implication it must be observed that  $\varphi$  and  $\lambda$  are stochastically independent and  $\varphi' > 1$ . Writing  $G$  for the inner set in the last inequality, we know that  $h_n\varphi(G' \cap F) = \tilde{g}_n\varphi(G' \cap F)$  is almost surely metrically independent and that  $h_n\varphi(G' \cap F)$  is almost surely an  $M_0$ -set, if only  $\lambda(G' \cap F) > 0$ ; and this holds for  $\|\lambda\| > \varepsilon$  excepting an event of probability  $< \varepsilon$ . Thus Theorems 1a and 2a are derived from Theorems 1 and 2.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] J. W. S. CASSELS, An introduction to Diophantine approximation, Cambridge Tract 45, (1957).
- [2] H. DAVENPORT, A note on Diophantine approximation II. *Mathematika* 11 (1964), 50-58.
- [3] J.-P. KAHANE, Images browniennes des ensembles parfaits, *C.R. Acad. Sci.*, Paris 263A (1966), 613-615.
- [4] J.-P. KAHANE and R. SALEM, Ensembles parfaits, Hermann, Paris, 1963.
- [5] N. TH. VAROPOULOS, Sets of multiplicity in locally compact abelian groups, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 16 (1966), 123-158.
- [6] A. ZYGMUND, Trigonometric Series, Cambridge, (1959, 1968).

Manuscrit reçu le 21 avril 1970.

Robert KAUFMAN  
 Altgeld Hall,  
 Department of Mathematics,  
 University of Illinois,  
 Urbana (Illinois).