## CONFLUENTES

## MATHEMATICI

## Carlo PANDISCIA

## Ergodic Dilation of a Quantum Dynamical System

Tome 6, no 1 (2014), p. 77-91.
[http://cml.cedram.org/item?id=CML_2014__6_1_77_0](http://cml.cedram.org/item?id=CML_2014__6_1_77_0)
© Les auteurs et Confluentes Mathematici, 2014.
Tous droits réservés.
L'accès aux articles de la revue « Confluentes Mathematici » (http://cml.cedram.org/), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://cml.cedram.org/legal/). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie de cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation á fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

## cedram

Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du

# ERGODIC DILATION OF A QUANTUM DYNAMICAL SYSTEM 

## CARLO PANDISCIA


#### Abstract

Using the Nagy dilation of linear contractions on Hilbert space and the Stinespring's theorem for completely positive maps, we prove that any quantum dynamical system admits a dilation in the sense of Muhly and Solel which satisfies the same ergodic properties of the original quantum dynamical system.


## 1. Introduction

A quantum dynamical system is a pair $(\mathfrak{M}, \Phi)$ consisting of a von Neumann algebra $\mathfrak{M}$ and a normal, i.e. $\sigma$-weakly continuous, unital completely positive map $\Phi: \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$.

In this work we will prove that is possible to dilate any quantum dynamical system to a quantum dynamical system where the dynamics $\Phi$ is a *-homomorphism of a larger von Neumann algebra.

The existence of a dilation for a quantum dynamical system has been proven by Muhly and Solel [8, Prop. 2.24] using the minimal isometric dilation of completely contractive covariant representations of particular $W^{*}$-correspondences over von Neumann algebras. In contrast, we prove the existence of a dilation for a quantum dynamical system using the Nagy dilations for linear contractions on Hilbert spaces (see [9]) and a particular representation obtained by the Stinespring theorem for completely positive maps (see [13]).

Throughout this paper we will use the abbreviation ucp-map for unital completely positive maps, and we denote by $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$.

In the present paper by a dilation of a quantum dynamical system $(\mathfrak{M}, \Phi)$, with $\mathfrak{M}$ defined on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ we mean a quadruple $(\mathfrak{R}, \Theta, \mathcal{K}, Z)$ where $(\mathfrak{R}, \Theta)$ is a quantum dynamical system with $\mathfrak{R}$ defined on Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$ and $\Theta$ is a *-homomorphism of $\mathfrak{R}$; and $Z: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ is an isometry satisfying the following properties (see [8]):

- $Z \mathfrak{M} Z^{*} \subset \mathfrak{R} ;$
- $Z^{*} \mathfrak{R} Z \subset \mathfrak{M}$;
- $\Phi^{n}(A)=Z^{*} \Theta^{n}\left(Z A Z^{*}\right) Z$ for $A \in \mathfrak{M}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
- $Z^{*} \Theta^{n}(X) Z=\Phi^{n}\left(Z^{*} X Z\right)$ for $X \in \mathfrak{R}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Hence, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{rllll} 
& \mathfrak{R} \cdot Z^{*} & \xrightarrow{\Theta^{n}} & \mathfrak{R} & \\
& \uparrow & & \downarrow & Z^{*} \cdot Z \\
\mathfrak{M} & \xrightarrow{\Phi^{n}} & \mathfrak{M} &
\end{array}
$$

Notice that in the literature of dynamical systems the dilation problem has taken meanings different from that used here, see e.g. [2, 3, 4, 12].

By a representation of a quantum dynamical system $(\mathfrak{M}, \Phi)$ we mean a triple $(\pi, \mathcal{H}, V)$, where $\pi: \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a normal faithful representation on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and $V$ is an isometry on $\mathcal{H}$ such that

$$
\pi(\Phi(A))=V^{*} \pi(A) V \quad \text { for } \quad A \in \mathfrak{M} .
$$

[^0]Since $\pi$ is faithful and normal, we identify the quantum dynamical system ( $\mathfrak{M}, \Phi$ ) with $\left(\pi(\mathfrak{M}), \Phi_{\bullet}\right)$ where $\Phi_{\bullet}$ is the ucp-map $\Phi_{\bullet}(\pi(A))=V^{*} \pi(A) V$, for any $A \in \mathfrak{M}$. This this leads us to the study of invariant algebras under the action of isometries.

In fact, in Section 3, we consider a concrete $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ with unit of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and an isometry $V$ of $\mathcal{H}$ such that

$$
V^{*} \mathfrak{A} V \subset \mathfrak{A} .
$$

If $(\widehat{V}, \widehat{\mathcal{H}}, Z)$ is the minimal unitary dilation of the isometry $V$, we will prove that there is a C*-algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}$ of $\mathfrak{B}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}})$ with the following properties:

- $Z \mathfrak{A} Z^{*} \subset \widehat{\mathfrak{A}} ;$
- $Z^{*} \widehat{\mathfrak{A}} Z \subset \mathfrak{A} ;$
- $\widehat{V}^{*} \widehat{\mathfrak{A}} \widehat{V} \subset \widehat{\mathfrak{A}} ;$
- $Z^{*} \widehat{V}^{*} X \widehat{V} Z=V^{*} Z^{*} X Z V$ for $X \in \widehat{\mathfrak{A}}$;
- $Z^{*} \widehat{V}^{*}\left(Z A Z^{*}\right) \widehat{V} Z=V^{*} A V$ for $A \in \mathfrak{A}$.

A dilation of a quantum dynamical system $\left(\pi(\mathfrak{M}), \Phi_{\bullet}\right)$ is given by $(\widehat{\pi(\mathfrak{M})}, \Theta, \widehat{\mathcal{H}}, Z)$, where the *-homomorphism $\Theta$ is defined by

$$
\Theta(X):=\widehat{V}^{*} X \widehat{V} \quad \text { for } \quad X \in \widehat{\pi(\mathfrak{M})} .
$$

In Section 4 we prove a Stinespring-type theorem for ucp-maps between $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebras with unit, fundamental for the proof of the main result of this paper.

In Section 5 we discuss the ergodic properties of the dilation of a quantum dynamical system. To this end it is worth recalling the notion of $\varphi$-adjointness. Let $(\mathfrak{M}, \Phi)$ be a quantum dynamical system and let $\varphi$ be a faithful normal state on $\mathfrak{M}$ with $\varphi \circ \Phi=\varphi$. The dynamics $\Phi$ admits a $\varphi$-adjoint (see [6]) if there is a normal ucp-map $\Phi_{\natural}: \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$ such that for each $A, B \in \mathfrak{M}$

$$
\varphi(\Phi(A) B)=\varphi\left(A \Phi_{\natural}(B)\right),
$$

(see $[1,5,7,10]$ for the relation between reversible processes, modular operators and $\varphi$-adjointness). If ( $\mathfrak{R}, \Theta$ ) is our dilation of the quantum dynamical system $(\mathfrak{M}, \Phi)$, we shall prove that if the dynamics $\Phi$ admits a $\varphi$-adjoint and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\left|\varphi\left(A \Phi^{k}(B)\right)-\varphi(A) \varphi(B)\right|=0 \quad \text { for } \quad A, B \in \mathfrak{M}
$$

then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\left|\varphi\left(Z^{*} X \Theta^{k}(Y) Z\right)-\varphi\left(Z^{*} X Z\right) \varphi\left(Z^{*} Y Z\right)\right|=0 \quad \text { for } \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{R}
$$

Before proving the existence of a dilation of a quantum dynamical system, it is necessary to recall the fundamental Nagy dilation theorem. This is the subject of the next section.

## 2. NAGY DILATION THEOREM

If $V$ is an isometry on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, there is a triple $(\widehat{V}, \widehat{\mathcal{H}}, Z)$ where $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ is a Hilbert space, $Z: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ is an isometry and $\widehat{V}$ is a unitary operator on $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{V} Z=Z V \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying the following minimal property:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\mathcal{H}}=\bigvee_{k \in Z} \widehat{V}^{k} Z \mathcal{H} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

see [9]. However, for our purposes it is still useful to recall here the structure of the unitary minimal dilation of an isometry.

For a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$ recall that $l^{2}(\mathcal{K})$ denotes the Hilbert space $\{\xi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ : $\left.\sum_{n \geqslant 0}|\xi(n)|^{2}<\infty\right\}$. Consider the Hilbert space

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\mathcal{H}}=\mathcal{H} \oplus l^{2}(F \mathcal{H}) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the unitary operator on $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ defined as

$$
\widehat{V}=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
V & F \Pi_{0}  \tag{2.4}\\
0 & W
\end{array}\right|,
$$

where $F=I-V V^{*}$ and $\Pi_{j}: l^{2}(F \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is the canonical projection

$$
\Pi_{j}\left(\xi_{0}, \xi_{1} \ldots \xi_{n} \ldots\right)=\xi_{j} \quad \text { for } \quad j \in \mathbb{N}
$$

while $W: l^{2}(F \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow l^{2}(F \mathcal{H})$ is the operator

$$
W\left(\xi_{0}, \xi_{1} \ldots \xi_{n} \ldots\right)=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{, 2} \ldots\right), \quad \text { for } \quad\left(\xi_{0}, \xi_{1} \ldots \xi_{n} \ldots\right) \in l^{2}(F \mathcal{H}) .
$$

If $Z: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ is the isometry defined by $Z h=h \oplus 0$ for all $h \in \mathcal{H}$, it is simple to prove that the relations (2.1) and (2.2) are verified.

We observe that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
\widehat{V}^{n}=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
V^{n} & C(n)  \tag{2.5}\\
0 & W^{n}
\end{array}\right|,
$$

where $C(n): l^{2}(F \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ are the following operators:

$$
C(n):=\sum_{j=1}^{n} V^{n-j} F \Pi_{j-1} \quad \text { for } n \geqslant 1 .
$$

Furthermore, for each $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ we obtain:

$$
\Pi_{n} W^{m^{*}}=\Pi_{n+m} \quad \text { and } \quad \Pi_{n} W^{m^{*}}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\Pi_{n-m} & \text { if } n \geqslant m  \tag{2.6}\\
0 & \text { if } n<m
\end{array},\right.
$$

since

$$
W^{m^{*}}\left(\xi_{0}, \xi_{1} \ldots \xi_{n} \ldots\right)=(0,0 \ldots 0, \overbrace{\xi_{0}}^{m+1}, \xi_{1} \ldots),
$$

while for each $k, p \in \mathbb{N}$ we obtain:

$$
\Pi_{p} C(k)^{*}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
F V^{(k-p-1)^{*}} & \text { if } k>p  \tag{2.7}\\
0 & \text { elsewhere }
\end{array}\right.
$$

since for each $h \in \mathcal{H}$ we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(k)^{*} h=(\overbrace{F V^{(k-1)^{*}} h \ldots F V^{*} h, F h}^{k \text { times }}, 0,0 \ldots) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. IsOMETRIC DILATION AND INVARIANT ALGEBRAS

In this section we consider a concrete unital $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and an isometry $V$ on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ such that

$$
V^{*} \mathfrak{A} V \subset \mathfrak{A} .
$$

If $(\widehat{V}, \widehat{\mathcal{H}}, Z)$ denotes the minimal unitary dilation of the isometry $V$, we will prove the following proposition:

Proposition 3.1. - There exists a unital $C^{*}$-algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{A}} \subseteq \mathfrak{B}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}})$ such that:
(a) $Z \mathfrak{A} Z^{*} \subset \widehat{\mathfrak{A}}$;
(b) $Z^{*} \widehat{\mathfrak{A}} Z \subset \mathfrak{A}$;
(c) $\widehat{V}^{*} \widehat{\mathfrak{A}} \widehat{V} \subset \widehat{\mathfrak{A}}$;
(d) $Z^{*} \widehat{V}^{*} X \widehat{V} Z=V^{*} Z^{*} X Z V$ for $X \in \widehat{\mathfrak{A}}$;
(e) $Z^{*} \widehat{V}^{*}\left(Z A Z^{*}\right) \widehat{V} Z=V^{*} A V$ for $A \in \mathfrak{A}$.

The statements (d) and (e) are straightforward consequences of (a) and (b) and of the relationship $\widehat{V} Z=Z V$. In order to prove the other statements, we must study two classes of operators on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, associated to the pair $(\mathfrak{A}, V)$ defined above, which we shall call the gamma and the napla operators.
3.1. Gamma operators. We consider the sequences

$$
\alpha:=\left(n_{1}, n_{2} \ldots n_{r}, A_{1}, A_{2} \ldots A_{r}\right)
$$

with $n_{j} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A_{j} \in \mathfrak{A}$ for $j=1,2, \ldots, r$. These elements $\alpha$ are called strings of $\mathfrak{A}$ of length $l(\alpha):=r$ and weight $\dot{\alpha}:=\sum_{i=1}^{r} n_{i}$.

To any string $\alpha$ of $\mathfrak{A}$ correspond two operators of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ defined by

$$
\mid \alpha):=A_{1} V^{n_{1}} A_{2} V^{n_{2}} \cdots A_{r} V^{n_{r}} \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\alpha \mid:=V^{n_{r}^{*}} A_{r} V^{n_{r-1}^{*}} A_{r-1} \cdots V^{n_{1}^{*}} A_{1}\right.
$$

Furthermore for each natural number $n$ we define the sets

$$
\mid n):=\{|\alpha| \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}): \dot{\alpha}=n\}
$$

and

$$
\mid n) \mathfrak{A}=\{\mid \alpha) A \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}): A \in \mathfrak{A} \text { and } \alpha \text {-string of } \mathfrak{A} \text { with } \dot{\alpha}=n\} .
$$

The symbols ( $n \mid$ and $\mathfrak{A}(n \mid$ have analogous meanings.
Proposition 3.2. - Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be strings of $\mathfrak{A}$. For each $R \in \mathfrak{A}$ we have:

$$
(\alpha|R| \beta) \in \begin{cases}\mathfrak{A}(\dot{\alpha}-\dot{\beta} \mid & \text { if } \dot{\alpha} \geqslant \dot{\beta}  \tag{3.1}\\ \mid \dot{\beta}-\dot{\alpha}) \mathfrak{A} & \text { if } \dot{\alpha}<\dot{\beta}\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mid \alpha) R \mid \beta) \in \mid \dot{\alpha}+\dot{\beta}) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. - For each $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $R \in \mathfrak{A}$ we have:

$$
V^{m^{*}} R V^{n} \in \begin{cases}V^{(m-n)^{*}} \mathfrak{A} & \text { if } m \geqslant n  \tag{3.3}\\ \mathfrak{A} V^{(n-m)} & \text { if } m<n\end{cases}
$$

Given $\alpha=\left(m_{1}, m_{2} \ldots m_{r}, A_{1}, A_{2} \ldots A_{r}\right)$ and $\beta=\left(n_{1}, n_{2} \ldots n_{s}, B_{1}, B_{2} \ldots B_{s}\right)$ we have that

$$
(\alpha|R| \beta)=V^{m_{r}^{*}} A_{r} \cdots V^{m_{1}^{*}} A_{1} R B_{1} V^{n_{1}} \cdots B_{s} V^{n_{s}}=(\widetilde{\alpha}|I| \widetilde{\beta})
$$

where $\widetilde{\alpha}$ and $\widetilde{\beta}$ are strings of $\mathfrak{A}$ with $l(\widetilde{\alpha})+l(\widetilde{\beta})=l(\alpha)+l(\beta)-1$. Moreover if $\dot{\alpha} \geqslant \dot{\beta}$ then $\dot{\tilde{\alpha}} \geqslant \dot{\widetilde{\beta}}$, while if $\dot{\alpha}<\dot{\beta}$ then $\dot{\tilde{\alpha}}<\dot{\widetilde{\beta}}$. In fact if $m_{1} \geqslant n_{1}$ we obtain:

$$
(\alpha|R| \beta)=V^{m_{r}^{*}} A_{r} \cdots A_{2} V^{\left(m_{1}-n_{1}\right)^{*}} R_{1} B_{2} V^{n_{2}} \cdots B_{s} V^{n_{s}}=(\widetilde{\alpha}|I| \widetilde{\beta})
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{1} & =V^{n_{1}^{*}} A_{1} R B_{1} V^{n_{1}} \\
\widetilde{\alpha} & =\left(m_{1}-n_{1}, m_{2} \ldots m_{r}, R_{1}, A_{2} \ldots A_{r}\right), \quad \text { and } \\
\widetilde{\beta} & =\left(n_{2} \ldots n_{s}, B_{2} \ldots B_{S}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $m_{1}<n_{1}$ then we can write:

$$
(\alpha|R| \beta)=V^{m_{r}^{*}} A_{r} \cdots V^{m_{2}^{*}} A_{2} R_{1} V^{\left(n_{1}-m_{1}\right)} B_{2} \cdots B_{s} V^{n_{s}}=(\widetilde{\alpha}|I| \widetilde{\beta})
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{1} & =V^{m_{1}^{*}} A_{1} R B_{1} V^{m_{1}} \\
\widetilde{\alpha} & =\left(m_{2} \ldots m_{r}, A_{2} \ldots A_{r}\right) \quad \text { and } \\
\widetilde{\beta} & =\left(n_{1}-m_{1}, n_{2} \ldots n_{s}, R_{1}, B_{2} \ldots B_{S}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of (3.1) follows by induction on the number $\nu=l(\alpha)+l(\beta)$. The equation (3.2) follows by a direct calculation.

Now, given the orthogonal projection $F=I-V V^{*}$ (see Section 2), for each string $\alpha$ of $\mathfrak{A}$ with $\dot{\alpha} \geqslant 1$ we define

$$
\Gamma(\alpha):=\left(\alpha \mid F \Pi_{\dot{\alpha}-1}\right.
$$

which we call the gamma operator associated to $(\mathfrak{A}, V)$. The linear space generated by all gamma operators $\Gamma(\alpha)$ for $\dot{\alpha} \geqslant 1$ will be denoted by $\mathrm{G}(\mathfrak{A}, V)$.

Proposition 3.3. - For any strings $\alpha$ and $\beta$ of $\mathfrak{A}$ with $\dot{\alpha}, \dot{\beta} \geqslant 1$, we have

$$
\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)^{*} \in \mathfrak{A}
$$

Proof. - Note that

$$
\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)^{*}=\left(\alpha\left|F \Pi_{\dot{\alpha}-1} \Pi_{\dot{\beta}-1}^{*} F\right| \beta\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
(\alpha|F| \beta) & \text { if } \dot{\alpha}=\dot{\beta} \\
0 & \text { if } \dot{\alpha} \neq \dot{\beta}
\end{array} .\right.
$$

In fact if $\dot{\alpha}=\dot{\beta}$ we have that

$$
(\alpha|F| \beta)=\left(\alpha\left|\left(I-V V^{*}\right)\right| \alpha\right)=(\alpha|I| \alpha)-\left(\alpha\left|V V^{*}\right| \alpha\right) \in \mathfrak{A},
$$

since $\left(\alpha \mid V \in\left(\dot{\alpha}-1 \mid\right.\right.$ and $\left.\left.V^{*} \mid \alpha\right) \in \mid \dot{\alpha}-1\right)$, and $(\dot{\alpha}-1|I| \dot{\alpha}-1) \subset \mathfrak{A}$ by relationship (3.1).

The gamma operators associated to $(\mathfrak{A}, V)$ define an operator system $\Sigma$ of $\mathfrak{B}\left(l^{2}(F \mathcal{H})\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma:=\left\{T \in \mathfrak{B}\left(l^{2}(F \mathcal{H})\right): \Gamma_{1} T \Gamma_{2}^{*} \in \mathfrak{A} \quad \text { for all } \Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2} \in \mathrm{G}(\mathfrak{A}, V)\right\} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We observe that the unit $I$ belongs to $\Sigma$ and that

$$
\Gamma_{1}^{*} A \Gamma_{2} \in \Sigma \quad \text { for } \quad A \in \mathfrak{A}
$$

for any pair of gamma operators $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}$. Furthermore, it is easy to prove that $\Sigma$ is norm closed, and it is weakly closed if $\mathfrak{A}$ is a $W^{*}$-algebra.
3.2. Napla operators. For strings $\alpha$ and $\beta$ of $\mathfrak{A}$, any $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we define

$$
\left.\Delta_{k}(A, \alpha, \beta):=\Pi_{\dot{\alpha}+k}^{*} F \mid \alpha\right) A\left(\beta \mid F \Pi_{\dot{\beta}+k}\right.
$$

We call these operators of $\mathfrak{B}\left(l^{2}(F \mathcal{H})\right)$ the napla operators associated to the pair ( $\mathfrak{A}, V$ ).

In the next lines we show that the linear space generated by the napla operators form a $*$-algebra. To this end, it is easily seen that $\Delta_{k}(A, \alpha, \beta)^{*}=\Delta_{k}\left(A^{*}, \beta, \alpha\right)$ for any $h, k \geqslant 0$. Moreover we have the following two relationships: if $k+\dot{\beta} \neq h+\dot{\gamma}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{k}(A, \alpha, \beta) \Delta_{h}(B, \gamma, \delta)=0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

while if $k+\dot{\beta}=h+\dot{\gamma}$, then there is $\vartheta$ and $R \in \mathfrak{A}$ with

$$
\Delta_{k}(A, \alpha, \beta) \Delta_{h}(B, \gamma, \delta)= \begin{cases}\Delta_{k}(R, \alpha, \vartheta) & \text { if } h-k \geqslant 0, \text { where } \dot{\vartheta}=\dot{\delta}+h-k  \tag{3.6}\\ \Delta_{h}(R, \vartheta, \delta) & \text { if } h-k<0, \text { where } \dot{\vartheta}=\dot{\delta}+k-h\end{cases}
$$

In fact, notice that

$$
\left.\Delta_{k}(A, \alpha, \beta) \Delta_{h}(B, \gamma, \delta)=\Pi_{\dot{\alpha}+k}^{*} F \mid \alpha\right) A\left(\beta\left|F \Pi_{\dot{\beta}+k} \Pi_{\dot{\gamma}+h}^{*} F\right| \gamma\right) B\left(\delta \mid F \Pi_{\dot{\delta}+h}\right.
$$

If $k+\dot{\beta} \neq h+\dot{\gamma}$ it follows that $\Pi_{\dot{\beta}+k} \Pi_{\dot{\gamma}+h}^{*}=0$, and this shows (3.5). If $k+\dot{\beta}=h+\dot{\gamma}$, without lost of generality we can assume that $h \geqslant k$. So $\dot{\beta}=\dot{\gamma}+h-k \geqslant \dot{\gamma}$ and, by relationship (3.1), we have that $(\beta|F| \gamma) \in \mathfrak{A}(\dot{\beta}-\dot{\gamma} \mid$. Consequently, $A(\beta|F| \gamma) B(\delta \mid \in$ $\mathfrak{A}(\dot{\delta}+\dot{\beta}-\dot{\gamma} \mid$, and there exists a $\vartheta$ string of $\mathfrak{A}$ and an element $R \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $\dot{\vartheta}=\dot{\delta}+\dot{\beta}-\dot{\gamma}$ and $A(\beta|F| \gamma) B(\delta \mid=R(\vartheta \mid$. Now, since $\dot{\vartheta}=\dot{\delta}+h-k$ we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{k}(A, \alpha, \beta) \Delta_{h}(B, \gamma, \delta) & \left.=\Pi_{\dot{\alpha}+k}^{*} F \mid \alpha\right) R\left(\vartheta \mid F \Pi_{\dot{\delta}+h}\right. \\
& \left.=\Pi_{\dot{\alpha}+k}^{*} F \mid \alpha\right) R\left(\vartheta \mid F \Pi_{\dot{\vartheta}+k}=\Delta_{k}(R, \alpha, \vartheta)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

showing relationship (3.6).

Proposition 3.4. - The linear space $\mathfrak{X}_{o}$ generated by the napla operators is a *-subalgebra of $\mathfrak{B}\left(l^{2}(F \mathcal{H})\right)$ included in the operator systems $\Sigma$ defined in (3.4).

Proof. - From relationships (3.5),(3.6) the linear space $\mathfrak{X}_{o}$ is a ${ }^{*}$-algebra. Furthermore for each pair $\Gamma(\alpha), \Gamma(\beta)$ of gamma operators we obtain:

$$
\Gamma(\alpha) \Delta_{k}(A, \gamma, \delta) \Gamma(\beta)^{*}=\left(\alpha\left|F \Pi_{\dot{\alpha}-1} \Pi_{\dot{\gamma}+k}^{*} F\right| \gamma\right) A\left(\delta\left|F \Pi_{\dot{\delta}+k} \Pi_{\dot{\beta}-1} F\right| \beta\right) \in \mathfrak{A}
$$

since by the relationships (3.1) and (3.2) we have
$\left(\alpha\left|F \Pi_{\dot{\alpha}-1} \Pi_{\dot{\gamma}+k}^{*} F\right| \gamma\right) A\left(\delta\left|F \Pi_{\dot{\delta}+k} \Pi_{\dot{\beta}-1} F\right| \beta\right) \in\left\{\begin{array}{cl}(k+1|\mathfrak{A}| k+1) & \text { if }\left\{\begin{array}{cl}\dot{\alpha}-1=\dot{\gamma}+k, \\ \dot{\beta}-1=\dot{\delta}+k\end{array}\right. \\ 0 & \text { elsewhere }\end{array}\right.$
In fact, if $\dot{\alpha}=\dot{\gamma}+k+1$ we can write

$$
\left(\alpha\left|F \Pi_{\dot{\alpha}-1} \Pi_{\dot{\gamma}+k}^{*} F\right| \gamma\right)=(\alpha|F| \gamma)=(\alpha|I| \gamma)-\left(\alpha\left|V V^{*}\right| \gamma\right) \in \mathfrak{A}(k+1 \mid,
$$

since $(\alpha|I| \gamma) \in \mathfrak{A}\left(k+1 \mid\right.$ and $\left(\alpha\left|V V^{*}\right| \gamma\right) \in \mathfrak{A}(k+1 \mid$. If $\dot{\beta}=\dot{\delta}+k+1$ we have $\left(\delta\left|F \Pi_{\dot{\delta}+k} \Pi_{\dot{\beta}-1} F\right| \beta\right) \in(k+1 \mid \mathfrak{A}$, completing the proof.

The next result is concerned with $W$-invariance.
Proposition 3.5. - The *-algebra $\mathfrak{X}_{o}$ and the operator system $\Sigma$ are $W$ invariants:

$$
W^{*} \mathfrak{X}_{o} W \subset \mathfrak{X}_{o} \quad \text { and } \quad W^{*} \Sigma W \subset \Sigma
$$

Proof. - The first inclusion follows by (2.6). Concerning the second one, let $T \in \Sigma$. For each pair $\Gamma(\alpha), \Gamma(\beta)$ of gamma operators

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(\alpha)\left(W^{*} T W\right) \Gamma(\beta)^{*} & =\left(\alpha\left|F \Pi_{\dot{\alpha}-1} W^{*} T W \Pi_{\dot{\beta}-1} F\right| \beta\right) \\
& =\left(\alpha\left|F \Pi_{\dot{\alpha}-2} T \Pi_{\dot{\beta}-2} F\right| \beta\right) \in \mathfrak{A} V^{*} \Gamma_{1}\left(\alpha_{o}\right) T \Gamma_{2}\left(\beta_{o}\right) V \mathfrak{A},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha_{o}$ and $\beta_{o}$ are strings of $\mathfrak{A}$ with $\dot{\alpha}_{o}=\dot{\alpha}-1$ and $\dot{\beta}_{o}=\dot{\beta}-1$. In fact if $\alpha=\left(m_{1}, m_{2} \ldots m_{r}, A_{1}, A_{2} \ldots A_{r}\right)$, then, by definition of the gamma operator, there is $i \leqslant r$ with $m_{i} \geqslant 1$ such that

$$
\left(\alpha \mid F \Pi_{\dot{\alpha}-2}=A_{1} \cdots A_{i} V^{*}\left(\alpha_{o} \mid F \Pi_{\dot{\alpha}-2}=A_{1} \cdots A_{i} V^{*} \Gamma\left(\alpha_{o}\right),\right.\right.
$$

where

$$
\alpha_{o}=\left(0, \ldots 0, m_{i}-1, m_{i+1} \ldots m_{r}, A_{1}, A_{2} \ldots A_{r}\right)
$$

with $\dot{\alpha}_{o}=\dot{\alpha}-1$. Consequently

$$
\Gamma(\alpha)\left(W^{*} T W\right) \Gamma(\beta)^{*} \subset V^{*} \mathfrak{A} V \subset \mathfrak{A}
$$

completing the proof.
3.3. The algebra generated by the napla and gamma operators. Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be the closure in norm of the ${ }^{*}$-algebra $\mathfrak{X}_{o}$ of the apla operators previously defined. Since the operator system $\Sigma$ defined in (3.4) is a norm closed set, we have $\mathfrak{X} \subset \Sigma$. Notice that in case $\mathfrak{A}$ is a von Neumann algebra of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$, the operator system $\Sigma$ is weakly closed and $\mathfrak{X}_{o}^{\prime \prime} \subset \Sigma$.

Proposition 3.6. - The set

$$
\mathcal{S}=\left\{\left|\begin{array}{cc}
A & \Gamma_{1}  \tag{3.7}\\
\Gamma_{2}^{*} & T
\end{array}\right|: A \in \mathfrak{A}, T \in \mathfrak{X} \text { and } \Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2} \in G(\mathfrak{A}, V)\right\}
$$

is an operator system of $\mathfrak{B}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}})$ such that:

$$
\widehat{V}^{*} \mathcal{S} \widehat{V} \subset \mathcal{S}
$$

Furthermore

$$
\widehat{V}^{*} \mathcal{A}^{*}(\mathcal{S}) \widehat{V} \subset \mathcal{A}^{*}(\mathcal{S})
$$

where $\mathcal{A}^{*}(\mathcal{S})$ is the ${ }^{*}$-algebra generated by the set $\mathcal{S}$.

Proof. - From relationship (2.4) we obtain:
$\widehat{V}^{*} \mathcal{S} \widehat{V}=\left|\begin{array}{cc}V^{*} A V & V^{*} A C(1)+V^{*} \Gamma_{1} W \\ C(1)^{*} A V+W^{*} \Gamma_{2}^{*} V & C(1)^{*} A C(1)+W^{*} \Gamma_{2}^{*} C(1)+C(1)^{*} \Gamma_{1} W+W^{*} T W\end{array}\right|$
We observe that $V^{*} \Gamma(\alpha) W$ and $V^{*} A C(1)$ are gamma operators associated to the pair $(\mathfrak{A}, V)$, while $C(1)^{*} A C(1), C(1)^{*} \Gamma(\alpha) W$ and $W^{*} T W$ are operators belonging to $\mathfrak{X}$. In fact we have $V^{*} A C(1)=V^{*} A F \Pi_{0}=\Gamma(\vartheta)$ with $\vartheta=(1, A)$; while if

$$
\alpha=\left(m_{1}, m_{2} \ldots m_{r}, A_{1}, A_{2} \ldots A_{r}\right)
$$

then $V^{*} \Gamma(\alpha) W=V^{*}\left(\alpha \mid F \Pi_{\dot{\alpha}-1} W=\Gamma(\vartheta)\right.$, with

$$
\vartheta=\left(m_{1}+1, m_{2} \ldots m_{r}, A_{1}, A_{2} \ldots A_{r}\right)
$$

since $\Pi_{\dot{\alpha}-1} W=\Pi_{\dot{\alpha}}$. Furthermore

$$
C(1)^{*} A C(1)=\Pi_{0}^{*} F A F \Pi_{0}=\Delta_{0}(A, \alpha, \beta)
$$

with $\alpha=\beta=(0, I)$; while

$$
C(1)^{*} \Gamma(\alpha) W=\Pi_{0}^{*} F\left(\alpha\left|F \Pi_{\dot{\alpha}-1} W=\Pi_{0}^{*} F\right| \gamma\right)\left(\alpha \mid F \Pi_{\dot{\alpha}+0}=\Delta_{0}(I, \gamma, \alpha)\right.
$$

with $\gamma=(0, I)$, where the last statement follows from the fact that $\widehat{V}$ is unitary.
We observe that $\mathcal{A}^{*}(\mathcal{S})$, the ${ }^{*}$-algebra generated by the operator system $\mathcal{S}$ defined in (3.7), is the linear space generated by the following elements of $\mathfrak{B}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}})$ :

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cc}
A_{1} & A_{2} \Gamma_{1} T_{1} \\
T_{2} \Gamma_{2}^{*} A_{3} & T_{3}
\end{array}\right|
$$

with $A_{i} \in \mathfrak{A}, \Gamma_{j} \in \mathrm{G}(\mathfrak{A}, V)$ and $T_{k} \in \mathfrak{X}$ for all $i, k=1,2,3$ and $j=1,2$. We list here some easy properties of the ${ }^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}^{*}(\mathcal{S})$ :
(a) $Z \mathfrak{A} Z^{*} \subset \mathcal{A}^{*}(\mathcal{S})$;
(b) $Z^{*} \mathcal{A}^{*}(\mathcal{S}) Z \subset \mathfrak{A}$;
(c) $\widehat{V}^{*} \mathcal{A}^{*}(\mathcal{S}) \widehat{V} \subset \mathcal{A}^{*}(\mathcal{S})$.

Furthermore, since $\widehat{V} Z=Z V$ we have:
(d) $Z^{*} \widehat{V}^{*} X \widehat{V} Z=V^{*} Z^{*} X Z V$;
(e) $Z^{*} \widehat{V}^{*}\left(Z A Z^{*}\right) \widehat{V} Z=V^{*} A V$.

Using these results we prove the Proposition 3.1.
Proof of Proposition 3.1.- Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}$ be the $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}})$ generated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \widehat{V}^{k^{*}} Z A Z^{*} \widehat{V}^{k} \quad \text { for } \quad A \in \mathfrak{A} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each natural number $k$ we have that $\widehat{V}^{k^{*}} Z \mathfrak{A} Z^{*} \widehat{V}^{k} \subset \widehat{V}^{k^{*}} \mathcal{S} \widehat{V}^{k} \subset \mathcal{S}$, since $Z \mathfrak{A} Z^{*} \subset \mathcal{S}$; so $\widehat{\mathfrak{A}} \subset C^{*}(\mathcal{S})$, the norm closure of the ${ }^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}^{*}(\mathcal{S})$. It is easily seen that $\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}$ satisfies the conditions of Proposition 3.1, completing the proof.

Remark 3.7. - It is straightforward to show that if $\mathfrak{A}$ is a von Neumann algebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, then the Proposition 3.1 still holds true, with $\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}$ the von Neumann algebra of $\mathcal{B}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}})$ generated by the elements (3.8).

## 4. Stinespring representation and quantum dynamical systems

We consider a concrete $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with unit and a ucp-map $\Phi: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow$ $\mathfrak{A}$.
On the algebraic tensor product $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ we can define a semi-inner product by

$$
\left\langle A_{1} \otimes h_{1}, A_{2} \otimes h_{2}\right\rangle_{\Phi}:=\left\langle h_{1}, \Phi\left(A_{1}^{*} A_{2}\right) h_{2}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}
$$

for all $A_{1}, A_{2} \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $h_{1}, h_{2} \in \mathcal{H}$. We denote by $\mathfrak{A} \bar{\otimes}_{\Phi} \mathcal{H}$ the Hilbert space completion of the quotient space of $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ by the linear subspace $\left\{\mathrm{T} \in \mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}:\langle\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{T}\rangle_{\Phi}=0\right\}$,
with inner product induced by $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\Phi}$. Furthermore, we denote the image of $A \otimes h \in$ $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ in $\mathfrak{A} \bar{\otimes}_{\Phi} \mathcal{H}$ by $A \bar{\otimes}_{\Phi} h ;$ so

$$
\left\langle A_{1} \bar{\otimes}_{\Phi} h_{1}, A_{2} \bar{\otimes}_{\Phi} h_{2}\right\rangle_{\mathfrak{A} \bar{\otimes}_{\Phi} \mathcal{H}}=\left\langle h_{1}, \Phi\left(A_{1}^{*} A_{2}\right) h_{2}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}
$$

for all $A_{1}, A_{2} \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $h_{1}, h_{2} \in \mathcal{H}$.
Moreover, we define a representation $\sigma_{\Phi}: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{A} \bar{\otimes}_{\Phi} \mathcal{H}\right)$ by

$$
\sigma_{\Phi}(A)\left(X \bar{\otimes}_{\Phi} h\right):=A X \otimes_{\Phi} h \quad \text { for } \quad A \in \mathfrak{A} \text { and } X \bar{\otimes}_{\Phi} h \in \mathfrak{A} \bar{\otimes}_{\Phi} \mathcal{H}
$$

and a linear isometry $V_{\Phi}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A} \bar{\otimes}_{\Phi} \mathcal{H}$ by

$$
V_{\Phi} h:=1 \bar{\otimes}_{\Phi} h \quad \text { for } \quad h \in \mathcal{H},
$$

satisfying the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(A)=V_{\Phi}^{*} \sigma_{\Phi}(A) V_{\Phi} \quad \text { for } \quad A \in \mathfrak{A} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The triple $\left(V_{\Phi}, \sigma_{\Phi}, \mathfrak{A} \bar{\otimes}_{\Phi} \mathcal{H}\right)$ is the Stinespring representation of the ucp-map $\Phi$ (see [13]).

Our aim is to analyze the behaviour of the isometry $V_{\Phi}$ and of its adjoint $V_{\Phi}^{*}$ on the multiplicative domain of the ucp-map $\Phi$. To this end note that the adjoint $V_{\Phi}^{*}$ verifies $V_{\Phi}^{*} A \bar{\otimes}_{\Phi} h=\Phi(A) h$ for any $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}$. Furthermore, recall that the multiplicative domain of the ucp-map $\Phi: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ is the $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-subalgebra with unit of $\mathfrak{A}$ defined as

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\Phi}=\left\{A \in \mathfrak{A}: \Phi\left(A^{*}\right) \Phi(A)=\Phi\left(A^{*} A\right) \text { and } \Phi(A) \Phi\left(A^{*}\right)=\Phi\left(A A^{*}\right)\right\}
$$

see [11]. The multiplicative domain is characterized by the following relationship

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \in \mathcal{D}_{\Phi} \Longleftrightarrow \sigma_{\Phi}(A) V_{\Phi} V_{\Phi}^{*}=V_{\Phi} V_{\Phi}^{*} \sigma_{\Phi}(A) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, we first note that

$$
A \bar{\otimes}_{\Phi} h=1 \bar{\otimes}_{\Phi} \Phi(A) h \quad \text { for all } h \in \mathcal{H} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \Phi\left(A^{*} A\right)=\Phi\left(A^{*}\right) \Phi(A)
$$

since

$$
\left|A \bar{\otimes}_{\Phi} h-1 \bar{\otimes}_{\Phi} \Phi(A) h\right|^{2}=\left\langle h, \Phi\left(A^{*} A\right) h\right\rangle-\left\langle h, \Phi\left(A^{*}\right) \Phi(A) h\right\rangle .
$$

Consequently, for any $A \in \mathcal{D}_{\Phi}$ and $B \bar{\otimes}_{\Phi} h \in \mathfrak{A} \bar{\otimes}_{\Phi} \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{\Phi}(A) V_{\Phi} V_{\Phi}^{*} B \bar{\otimes}_{\Phi} h & =A \bar{\otimes}_{\Phi} \Phi(B) h=1 \bar{\otimes}_{\Phi} \Phi(A) \Phi(B) h \\
& =1 \bar{\otimes}_{\Phi} \Phi(A B) h=V_{\Phi} V_{\Phi}^{*} \sigma_{\Phi}(A) B \bar{\otimes}_{\Phi} h
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the property of the multiplicative domain $\Phi(A) \Phi(B)=\Phi(A B)$ (see [13]). Conversely, if $\sigma_{\Phi}(A) V_{\Phi} V_{\Phi}^{*}=V_{\Phi} V_{\Phi}^{*} \sigma_{\Phi}(A)$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi\left(A^{*} A\right) & =V_{\Phi}^{*} \sigma_{\Phi}\left(A^{*} A\right) V_{\Phi}=V_{\Phi}^{*} \sigma_{\Phi}\left(A^{*}\right) \sigma_{\Phi}(A) V_{\Phi} V_{\Phi}^{*} V_{\Phi} \\
& =V_{\Phi}^{*} \sigma_{\Phi}\left(A^{*}\right) V_{\Phi} V_{\Phi}^{*} \sigma_{\Phi}(A) V_{\Phi}=\Phi\left(A^{*}\right) \Phi(A),
\end{aligned}
$$

and this completes the proof of (4.2).
It is easily seen from (4.2) that $\Phi$ is a *homomorphism if, and only if, $V_{\Phi}$ is a unitary operator.

The next steps provides some simple applications of the Stinespring representation of ucp-maps.

Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a concrete $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-subalgebra with unit of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\Phi: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ a ucp-map. By the Stinespring's theorem we obtain a triple $\left(V_{0}, \sigma_{1}, \mathcal{H}_{1}\right)$, with $\mathcal{H}_{1}=\mathfrak{A} \bar{\otimes}_{\Phi} \mathcal{H}$ such that $\Phi(A)=V_{0}^{*} \sigma_{1}(A) V_{0}$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{A}$. Moreover the application $\Phi_{1}: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{H}_{1}\right)$ defined by $\Phi_{1}(A):=\sigma_{1}(\Phi(A))$, for $A \in \mathfrak{A}$, is a ucp-map because it is a composition of ucp-maps. By applying the Stinespring's theorem to $\Phi_{1}$, we have a new triple $\left(V_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \mathcal{H}_{2}\right)$, with $\mathcal{H}_{2}=\mathfrak{A} \bar{\otimes}_{\Phi_{1}} \mathcal{H}_{1}$ such that $\Phi_{1}(A)=V_{1}^{*} \sigma_{2}(A) V_{1}$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{A}$. So, iterating this procedure we obtain, for each natural number $n \geqslant 1$, a ucp-map $\Phi_{n}: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}\left(\mathcal{H}_{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{n}(A)=\sigma_{n}(\Phi(A)) \quad \text { for } \quad A \in \mathfrak{A}, \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a new triple $\left(V_{n}, \sigma_{n+1}, \mathcal{H}_{n+1}\right)$, where $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}=\mathfrak{A} \bar{\otimes}_{\Phi_{n}} \mathcal{H}_{n}$, and an isometry $V_{n}$ : $\mathcal{H}_{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{n+1}$ such that $\Phi_{n}(A)=V_{n}^{*} \sigma_{n+1}(A) V_{n}$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Now we prove the following Stinespring-type theorem (see [14]):
Proposition 4.1. - Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a concrete $C^{*}$-algebra with unit of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\Phi: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ a ucp-map. There exists an injective representation $\left(\pi_{\infty}, \mathcal{H}_{\infty}\right)$ of $\mathfrak{A}$ and a linear isometry $V_{\infty}$ on the Hilbert Space $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$ such that

$$
\pi_{\infty}(\Phi(A))=V_{\infty}^{*} \pi_{\infty}(A) V_{\infty} \quad \text { for } \quad A \in \mathfrak{A}
$$

Furthermore, $A \in \mathcal{D}_{\Phi}$ if, and only if, $V_{\infty} V_{\infty}^{*} \pi_{\infty}(A)=\pi_{\infty}(A) V_{\infty} V_{\infty}^{*}$.
Proof. - We consider for each natural number $n$ the ucp-map $\Phi_{n}: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}\left(\mathcal{H}_{n}\right)$ defined in (4.3) and its Stinespring representation $\left(V_{n}, \sigma_{n+1}, \mathcal{H}_{n+1}\right)$ with $\mathcal{H}_{0}=\mathcal{H}$ and $\sigma_{0}=i d$. Then, we obtain a faithful representation $\pi_{\infty}: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}\left(\mathcal{H}_{\infty}\right)$ on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}=\underset{n \geqslant 0}{\bigoplus} \mathcal{H}_{n}$ by defining

$$
\pi_{\infty}(A):=\bigoplus_{n \geqslant 0} \sigma_{n}(A) \quad \text { for } \quad A \in \mathfrak{A}
$$

Now, let $V_{\infty}: \mathcal{H}_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\infty}$ be the isometry defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\infty}\left(h_{0}, h_{1} \ldots h_{n} \ldots\right):=\left(0, V_{0} h_{0}, V_{1} h_{1} \ldots V_{n} h_{n} \ldots\right), \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $h_{n} \in \mathcal{H}_{n}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that the adjoint of $V_{\infty}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\infty}^{*}\left(h_{0}, h_{1}, \ldots h_{n} \ldots\right)=\left(V_{0}^{*} h_{1}, V_{1}^{*} h_{2} \ldots V_{n-1}^{*} h_{n} \ldots\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $h_{n} \in \mathcal{H}_{n}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, for any $n$ and $h_{n} \in \mathcal{H}_{n}$ we have

$$
V_{\infty}^{*} \pi_{\infty}(A) V_{\infty} \underset{n \geqslant 0}{\bigoplus} h_{n}=\bigoplus_{n \geqslant 0} \Phi_{n}(A) h_{n}=\bigoplus_{n \geqslant 0} \sigma_{n}(\Phi(A)) h_{n}=\pi_{\infty}(\Phi(A)) \bigoplus_{n \geqslant 0} h_{n} .
$$

Finally, the last statement easily follows by 4.2 .
In fact if $A \in \mathcal{D}_{\Phi}$ then $A \in \mathcal{D}_{\Phi_{n}}$ for all natural number $n$, where $\mathcal{D}_{\Phi_{n}}$ is the multiplicative domain of the ucp-map (4.3), then

$$
V_{\infty} V_{\infty}^{*} \in \pi_{\infty}\left(\bigcap_{n \geqslant 0} \mathcal{D}_{\Phi_{n}}\right)^{\prime} \subset \pi_{\infty}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\Phi}\right)^{\prime} .
$$

We have the following remark on the existence of a representation of a quantum dynamical system:

Remark 4.2. - Let $(\mathfrak{M}, \Phi)$ be a quantum dynamical system. The injective representation $\pi_{\infty}(A): \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}\left(\mathcal{H}_{\infty}\right)$ defined in proposition 4.1 is normal, since the Stinespring representation $\sigma_{\Phi}: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\Phi}\right)$ is a normal map. Then $\left(\pi_{\infty}, \mathcal{H}_{\infty}, V_{\infty}\right)$ is a representation of the quantum dynamical system $(\mathfrak{M}, \Phi)$.
4.1. Dilation of a quantum dynamical system. We use the results of the previous section to analyze the problem of dilation of quantum dynamical systems.

Consider a ucp-map $\Phi: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ with $\mathfrak{A}$ a concrete C*-algebra with unit of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$. If $\left(\mathcal{H}_{\infty}, \pi_{\infty}, V_{\infty}\right)$ is the Stinespring representation of Proposition 4.1, then

$$
V_{\infty}^{*} \pi_{\infty}(\mathfrak{A}) V_{\infty} \subset \pi_{\infty}\left(\Phi(\mathfrak{A}) \subset \pi_{\infty}(\mathfrak{A})\right.
$$

Hence, we can define a normal ucp-map $\Phi_{\infty}: \pi_{\infty}(\mathfrak{A})^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \pi_{\infty}(\mathfrak{A})^{\prime \prime}$ as

$$
\Phi_{\infty}(B):=V_{\infty}^{*} B V_{\infty} \quad \text { for } \quad B \in \pi_{\infty}(\mathfrak{A})^{\prime \prime}
$$

Clearly we have that $\Phi_{\infty}\left(\pi_{\infty}(A)\right)=\pi_{\infty}(\Phi(A))$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{A}$.
Now, if $(\widehat{V}, \widehat{\mathcal{H}}, Z)$ is minimal unitary dilation of the isometry $V_{\infty}: \mathcal{H}_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\infty}$, then by Proposition 3.1 there is a $C^{*}$-algebra with unit $\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}$ of $\mathcal{B}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}})$ such that:
(a) $Z \pi_{\infty}(\mathfrak{A}) Z^{*} \subset \widehat{\mathfrak{A}}$,
(b) $Z^{*} \widehat{\mathfrak{A}} Z=\pi_{\infty}(\mathfrak{A})$,
(c) $\widehat{V}^{*} \widehat{\mathfrak{A}} \widehat{V} \subset \widehat{\mathfrak{A}}$.

Furthermore, we have a *-homomorphism $\widehat{\Phi}: \widehat{\mathfrak{A}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{A}}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\Phi}(X)=\widehat{V}^{*} X \widehat{V} \quad \text { for } \quad X \in \widehat{\mathfrak{A}}, \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that for any $A \in \mathfrak{A}, X \in \widehat{\mathfrak{A}}$ and any natural number $n$ we have:

$$
\pi_{\infty}\left(\Phi^{n}(A)\right)=Z^{*} \widehat{\Phi}^{n}\left(Z A Z^{*}\right) Z
$$

and

$$
\pi_{\infty}\left(\Phi^{n}\left(Z^{*} X Z\right)\right)=Z^{*} \widehat{\Phi}^{n}(X) Z
$$

In conclusion, it is straightforward to prove that $\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}^{\prime \prime}, \Theta, \widehat{\mathcal{H}}, Z\right)$, with $\Theta: \widehat{\mathfrak{A}}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{A}}^{\prime \prime}$ the normal *-homomorphism

$$
\Theta(X):=\widehat{V}^{*} X \widehat{V} \quad \text { for } \quad X \in \widehat{\mathfrak{A}}^{\prime \prime}
$$

is a dilation of the quantum dynamical system $\left(\pi_{\infty}(\mathfrak{A})^{\prime \prime}, \Phi_{\infty}\right)$ above defined.
Summarizing, the quantum dynamical system $(\mathfrak{M}, \Phi)$ can be identified with its associated quantum dynamical system $\left(\pi_{\infty}(\mathfrak{M}), \Phi_{\infty}\right)$ which admits the dilation $\left(\widehat{\pi_{\infty}(\mathfrak{M})}, \Theta, \widehat{\mathcal{H}}, Z\right)$.
4.2. The deterministic part of a quantum dynamical system and its dilations. In this section we study which relationships there are between the dilations and the deterministic part of a quantum dynamical system.

Let $\Phi: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ be a ucp-map as described in previous section and $C^{*}(\mathcal{S})$ the $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra generated by the operator systems $\mathcal{S}$ defined in (3.7).

We recall that $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{A}^{*}(\mathcal{S}) \subset C^{*}(\mathcal{S}) \subset \mathfrak{B}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}})$ where $\left.\widehat{\mathcal{H}}=\mathcal{H}_{\infty} \oplus l^{2}\left(F \mathcal{H}_{\infty}\right)\right)$ with $F=I-V_{\infty} V_{\infty}^{*}$. By relationships (a), (b) and (c) of Section 3.3, we can define a *-homomorphism $\Lambda: C^{*}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow C^{*}(\mathcal{S})$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda(X)=\widehat{V}^{*} X \widehat{V} \quad \text { for } \quad X \in C^{*}(\mathcal{S}) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, we have a ucp-map $\mathcal{E}: C^{*}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ such that

$$
\pi_{\infty}(\mathcal{E}(X))=Z^{*} X Z \quad \text { for } \quad X \in C^{*}(\mathcal{S})
$$

and for any natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\mathcal{E} \circ \Lambda^{n}=\Phi^{n} \circ \mathcal{E}
$$

Hence, we have the following diagram:

where $\mathcal{E}\left(Z A Z^{*}\right)=A$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{A}$.
We consider now the $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{D}:=\bigcap_{n \geqslant 0} \mathcal{D}_{\Phi^{n}}$ where the set $\mathcal{D}_{\Phi^{n}}$ is the multiplicative domain of the ucp-map $\Phi^{n}: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ for all natural numbers $n$. The restriction of $\Phi$ to $\mathcal{D}$ is a *-homomorphism $\Phi_{\circ}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ of $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebras. It is said to be the deterministic part of the ucp-map $\Phi: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$.

The *-homomorphism $\Lambda$ defined above is related to the deterministic part of $\Phi$ in the following way:

Proposition 4.3. - There is an injective *-homomorphism i: $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow C^{*}(\mathcal{S})$ such that for each natural number $n$ and $D \in \mathcal{D}$ we have:

$$
\mathcal{E}\left(\Lambda^{n}(i(D))\right)=\Phi^{n}(D)
$$

and

$$
\Lambda^{n}(i(D))=i\left(\Phi^{n}(D)\right)
$$

Proof. - Since $F \in \pi_{\infty}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\Phi}\right)^{\prime} \subset \pi_{\infty}(\mathcal{D})^{\prime}$ by Proposition 4.1, the map $\Xi: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow$ $\mathfrak{B}\left(l^{2}\left(F \mathcal{H}_{\infty}\right)\right)$ defined by

$$
\Xi(D)=\sum_{k \geqslant 0} \Pi_{k}^{*} F \pi_{\infty}\left(\Phi_{r}^{-(k+1)}(D) F \Pi_{k} \quad D \in \mathcal{D}\right.
$$

is a representation. Furthermore for any $D \in \mathcal{D}$ we have that $\Xi(D)$ belongs to $\mathfrak{X}_{0}$, the linear space generated by the napla operators defined in Proposition 3.4, since $\Pi_{k}^{*} F \pi_{\infty}\left(\Phi_{r}^{-(k+1)}(D) F \Pi_{k}\right.$ is the napla operator $\Delta_{k}\left(\pi_{\infty}\left(\Phi_{r}^{-(k+1)}(D)\right), \alpha, \beta\right)$ with the strings $\alpha=\beta=(0, I)$.

We define a ${ }^{*}$-homomorphism $i: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow C^{*}(\mathcal{S})$ as follows

$$
i(D)=\pi_{\infty}(D) \oplus \Xi(D) \quad \text { for } \quad D \in \mathcal{D}
$$

and by relationship (2.5) we obtain that

$$
\Lambda^{n}(i(D))=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
V^{n^{*}} \pi_{\infty}(D) V^{n}, & V^{n^{*}} \pi_{\infty}(D) C_{n} \\
C_{n}^{*} \pi_{\infty}(D) V^{n}, & C_{n}^{*} \pi_{\infty}(D) C_{n}+W^{n^{*}} \Xi(D) W^{n}
\end{array}\right|
$$

It is straightforward to prove that

$$
C_{n}^{*} \pi_{\infty}(D) C_{n}+W^{n^{*}} \Xi(D) W^{n}=\Xi\left(\Phi^{n}(D)\right)
$$

and $C_{n}^{*} \pi_{\infty}(D) V^{n}=0$, since by relationship (2.8) we have

$$
F V^{(n-k)^{*}} \pi_{\infty}(D) V^{n}=\pi_{\infty}\left(\Phi^{(n-k)}(D)\right) F V^{k}=0
$$

for all $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, completing the proof.
Finally, we observe that there is the following relationship between dilations and the deterministic part of a quantum dynamical system:

If ( $\mathfrak{R}, \Theta, \mathcal{K}, Z$ ) is any dilation of quantum dynamical system $(\mathfrak{M}, \Phi)$, then for any natural number $n$ and $D \in \mathcal{D}$ we have :

$$
\Theta^{n}\left(Z D Z^{*}\right) Z=Z \Phi_{\circ}^{n}(D),
$$

since if $Y=\Theta^{n}\left(Z D Z^{*}\right) Z-Z \Phi^{n}(D)$, then $Y^{*} Y=0$.

## 5. Ergodic properties

Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a concrete $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with unit, $\Phi: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ a ucp-map and $\varphi$ a state on $\mathfrak{A}$ such that $\varphi \circ \Phi=\varphi$. We recall that $\varphi$ is an ergodic state, relative to the ucp-map $\Phi$ (see [10]), if for each $A, B \in \mathfrak{A}$

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\left(\varphi\left(A \Phi^{k}(B)\right)-\varphi(A) \varphi(B)\right)=0
$$

and that $\varphi$ is weakly mixing if for each $A, B \in \mathfrak{A}$

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\left|\varphi\left(A \Phi^{k}(B)\right)-\varphi(A) \varphi(B)\right|=0
$$

By Proposition 4.1 we can assume that $\mathfrak{A}$ is a concrete $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$, and that there is an isometry $V$ on $\mathcal{H}$ such that:

$$
\Phi(A)=V^{*} A V \quad \text { for } \quad A \in \mathfrak{A}
$$

Let $(\widehat{V}, \widehat{\mathcal{H}}, Z)$ be the minimal unitary dilation of $(V, \mathcal{H})$ defined in $(2.4)$, let $\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}$ be the C*-algebra included in $\mathfrak{B}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}})$ defined in Proposition 3.1, and let $\widehat{\Phi}: \widehat{\mathfrak{A}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{A}}$ be the ucp-map defined in (4.6).

Proposition 5.1. - If the ucp-map $\Phi$ admits a $\varphi$-adjoint and $\varphi$ is an ergodic state, then:

$$
\left.\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{k=0}^{N}\left[\varphi\left(Z^{*} X \widehat{\Phi}^{k}(Y) Z\right)-\varphi\left(Z^{*} X Z\right) \varphi\left(Z^{*} Y Z\right)\right)\right]=0
$$

for all $X, Y \in \widehat{\mathfrak{A}}$, while if $\varphi$ is weakly mixing, then:

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{k=0}^{N}\left|\varphi\left(Z^{*} X \widehat{\Phi}^{k}(Y) Z\right)-\varphi\left(Z^{*} X Z\right) \varphi\left(Z^{*} Y Z\right)\right|=0
$$

for all $X, Y \in \widehat{\mathfrak{A}}$.
The proof of this proposition is a straightforward consequence of the next lemma.
To this purpose, we make a preliminary observation. Recall that $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}=\mathcal{H} \oplus l^{2}(F \mathcal{H})$ and that, writing an element $X$ of $\mathcal{B}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}})$ in matrix representation

$$
X=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
X_{1,1} & X_{1,2} \\
X_{2,1} & X_{2,2}
\end{array}\right|
$$

the following relationship holds:

$$
\varphi\left(Z^{*} X \widehat{\Phi}^{k}(Y) Z\right)=\varphi\left(X_{1,1} \Phi^{k}\left(Y_{1,1}\right)\right)+\varphi\left(X_{1,2} C(k)^{*} Y_{1,1} V^{k}\right)+\varphi\left(X_{1,2} W^{k^{*}} Y_{2,1} V^{k}\right)
$$

Lemma 5.2. - Let $X \in \mathcal{A}^{*}(\mathcal{S})$, the *-algebra generated by the operator system $\mathcal{S}$ defined in (3.7) and $Y \in \widehat{\mathfrak{A}}$. The following relations hold:
(a) If $\varphi$ is an ergodic state then we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \varphi\left(X_{1,2} C(k)^{*} Y_{1,1} V^{k}+X_{1,2} W^{k^{*}} Y_{2,1} V^{k}\right)=0 \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) If $\varphi$ is weakly mixing then we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{k=0}^{N}\left|\varphi\left(X_{1,2} C(k)^{*} Y_{1,1} V^{k}+X_{1,2} W^{k^{*}} Y_{2,1} V^{k}\right)\right|=0 \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. - Since $X \in \mathcal{A}^{*}(\mathcal{S})$, we can assume without loss of generality that $X_{1,2}=$ $A \Gamma(\gamma) \Delta_{m}(B, \alpha, \beta)$ with $A, B \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ strings of $\mathfrak{A}$. Then we can write

$$
X_{1,2}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
A(\gamma|F| \alpha) B\left(\beta \mid F \Pi_{\dot{\beta}+m}\right. & \text { if } \dot{\gamma}-1=\dot{\alpha}+m  \tag{5.3}\\
0 & \text { elsewhere }
\end{array}\right.
$$

since

$$
X_{1,2}=A\left(\gamma\left|F \Pi_{\dot{\gamma}-1} \Pi_{\dot{\alpha}+m}^{*} F\right| \alpha\right) B\left(\beta \mid F \Pi_{\dot{\beta}+m}\right.
$$

Observe that we can find a natural number $k_{o}$ such that the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{1,2} W^{k^{*}} Y_{2,1} V^{k}=0 \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for each $k>k_{o}$. In fact

$$
W^{k^{*}}\left(\xi_{0}, \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}, \ldots\right)=(\overbrace{0 \ldots, 0}^{k-\text { time }}, \xi_{0}, \xi_{1}, \ldots),
$$

for all vectors $\left(\xi_{0}, \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}, \ldots\right) \in l^{2}(F \mathcal{H})$; so $\Pi_{\beta+m} W^{k^{*}}=0$ for all $k>\dot{\beta}+m$. Then by equation (5.4) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \varphi\left(X_{1,2} C(k)^{*} Y_{1,1} V^{k}+X_{1,2} W^{k^{*}} Y_{2,1} V^{k}\right) \\
&=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \varphi\left(X_{1,2} C(k)^{*} Y_{1,1} V^{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we have to compute only $\varphi\left(X_{1,2} C(k)^{*} Y_{1,1} V^{k}\right)$. Notice that

$$
X_{1,2} C(k)^{*} Y_{1,1} V^{k}=A(\gamma|F| \alpha) B\left(\beta \mid F \Pi_{\dot{\beta}+m} C(k)^{*} Y_{1,1} V^{k}\right.
$$

by relationship (5.3), and that

$$
\Pi_{\dot{\beta}+m} C(k)^{*}=F V^{(k-\dot{\beta}-m-1)^{*}} \quad \text { for } \quad k>\dot{\beta}+m,
$$

by relationship (2.7). It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{1,2} C(k)^{*} Y_{1,1} V^{k} & =A(\gamma|F| \alpha) B\left(\beta \mid F V^{(k-\dot{\beta}-m-1)^{*}} Y_{1,1} V^{k}\right. \\
& =A(\gamma|F| \alpha) B\left(\beta \mid F \Phi^{(k-\dot{\beta}-1)}\left(Y_{1,1}\right) V^{\dot{\beta}+m+1} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\dot{\gamma}=\dot{\alpha}+m+1$, we have $A(\gamma|F| \alpha) B(\beta \mid \in \mathfrak{A}(\dot{\beta}+m+1 \mid$ by relationship (3.1). Hence there is a string $\vartheta$ of $\mathfrak{A}$ with $\dot{\vartheta}=\dot{\beta}+m+1$ and an operator $R \in \mathfrak{A}$, such that $A(\gamma|F| \alpha) B(\beta \mid=R(\vartheta \mid$. So we can write

$$
X_{1,2} C(k)^{*} Y_{1,1} V^{k}=R\left(\vartheta \mid F \Phi^{(k-\dot{\beta}-1)}\left(Y_{1,1}\right) V^{\dot{\beta}+m+1}\right.
$$

If we set $\vartheta=\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots n_{r}, A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots A_{r}\right)$ then we have $n_{1}+n_{2}+\ldots+n_{r}=\dot{\beta}+m+1$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
R\left(\vartheta \mid F \Phi^{(k-\dot{\beta}-1)}\right. & \left(Y_{1,1}\right) V^{\dot{\beta}+m+1} \\
& =R V^{n_{r}^{*}} A_{r} V^{n_{r-1}^{*}} A_{r-1} \cdots A_{2} V^{n_{1}^{*}} A_{1} F \Phi^{(k-\dot{\beta}-1)}\left(Y_{1,1}\right) V^{\dot{\beta}+m+1} \\
& =R \Phi^{n_{r}}\left(A_{r} \Phi^{n_{r-1}}\left(A_{r-1} \cdots \Phi^{n_{2}}\left(A_{2} R_{k}\right)\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
R_{k}=\Phi^{n_{1}}\left(A_{1} \Phi^{(k-\dot{\beta}-1)}\left(Y_{1,1}\right)\right)-\Phi^{n_{1}-1}\left(\Phi\left(A_{1}\right) \Phi^{(k-\dot{\beta})}\left(Y_{1,1}\right)\right) \in \mathfrak{A} .
$$

Using the $\varphi$-adjont, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(X_{1,2} C(k)^{*} Y_{1,1} V^{k}\right)=\varphi\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{2}}\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{3}} \cdots \Phi_{\natural}^{n_{r-1}}\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{r}}(R) A_{r}\right) \cdots A_{3}\right) A_{2} R_{k}\right) . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi\left(X_{1,2} C(k)^{*} Y_{1,1} V^{k}\right) & =\varphi\left(R \Phi^{n_{r}}\left(A_{r} \Phi^{n_{r-1}}\left(A_{r-1} \cdots \Phi^{n_{2}}\left(A_{2} R_{k}\right)\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\varphi\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{r}}(R) A_{r} \Phi^{n_{r-1}}\left(A_{r-1}\left(\cdots \Phi^{n_{2}}\left(A_{2} R_{k}\right)\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\varphi\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{r-1}}\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{r}}(R) A_{r}\right) A_{r-1}\left(A_{r-2} \cdots A_{3} \Phi^{n_{2}}\left(A_{2} R_{k}\right)\right)\right. \\
& =\varphi\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{2}}\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{3}} \cdots \Phi_{\natural}^{n_{r-1}}\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{r}}(R) A_{r}\right) \cdots A_{3}\right) A_{2} R_{k}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and replacing $R_{k}$ we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{2}}\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{3}} \cdots\right. & \left.\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{r-1}}\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{r}}(R) A_{r}\right) \cdots A_{3}\right) A_{2} R_{k} \\
= & \Phi_{\natural}^{n_{2}}\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{3}} \cdots \Phi_{\natural}^{n_{r-1}}\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{r}}(R) A_{r}\right) \cdots A_{3}\right) A_{2} \Phi^{n_{1}}\left(A_{1} \Phi^{(k-\dot{\beta}-1)}\left(Y_{1,1}\right)\right)- \\
& -\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{2}}\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{3}} \cdots \Phi_{\natural}^{n_{r-1}}\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{r}}(R) A_{r}\right) \cdots A_{3}\right) A_{2} \Phi^{n_{1}-1}\left(\Phi\left(A_{1}\right) \Phi^{(k-\dot{\beta})}\left(Y_{1,1}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi\left(X_{1,2} C(k)^{*}\right. & \left.Y_{1,1} V^{k}\right) \\
= & \varphi\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{1}}\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{2}}\left(\cdots \Phi_{\natural}^{n_{r-1}}\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{r}}(R) A_{r}\right) \cdots\right) A_{2}\right) A_{1} \Phi^{(k-\dot{\beta}-1)}\left(Y_{1,1}\right)\right)- \\
& \left.-\varphi\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{1}-1}\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{2}}\left(\cdots \Phi_{\natural}^{n_{r-1}}\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{r}}(R) A_{r}\right) \cdots\right) A_{2}\right) \Phi\left(A_{1}\right) \Phi^{(k-\dot{\beta})}\left(Y_{1,1}\right)\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, assume that $\varphi$ is ergodic. Then we have that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \varphi\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{1}}\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{2}}\left(\cdots \Phi_{\natural}^{n_{r-1}}\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{r}}(R) A_{r}\right) \cdots\right) A_{2}\right) A_{1} \Phi^{(k-\dot{\beta}-1)}\left(Y_{1,1}\right)\right) \\
\quad=\varphi\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{1}}\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{2}}\left(\cdots \Phi_{\natural}^{n_{r-1}}\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{r}}(R) A_{r}\right) \cdots\right) A_{2}\right) A_{1}\right) \varphi\left(Y_{1,1}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

and that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} & \sum_{k=0}^{N} \varphi\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{1}-1}\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{2}}\left(\cdots \Phi_{\natural}^{n_{r-1}}\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{r}}(R) A_{r}\right) \cdots\right) A_{2}\right) \Phi\left(A_{1}\right) \Phi^{(k-\beta)}\left(Y_{1,1}\right)\right) \\
& =\varphi\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{1}-1}\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{2}}\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{3}} \cdots \Phi_{\natural}^{n_{r-1}}\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{r}}(R) A_{r}\right) \cdots A_{3}\right) A_{2}\right) \Phi\left(A_{1}\right)\right) \varphi\left(Y_{1,1}\right) \\
& =\varphi\left(\Phi_{\natural}\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{1}-1}\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{2}}\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{3}} \cdots \Phi_{\natural}^{n_{r-1}}\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{r}}(R) A_{r}\right) \cdots A_{3}\right) A_{2}\right)\right) A_{1}\right) \varphi\left(Y_{1,1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \varphi\left(X_{1,2} C(k)^{*} Y_{1,1} V^{k}\right)=0
$$

completing the proof of item $(a)$.
In the weakly mixing case, using relationship (5.5) we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\varphi\left(X_{1,2} C_{k}^{*} Y_{1,1} V^{k}\right)\right| \\
& \quad=\mid \varphi\left(T \Phi^{n_{1}}\left(A_{1}\right) \Phi^{(k-\dot{\beta}-1)}\left(Y_{1,1}\right)-\varphi\left(T \Phi^{n_{1}-1}\left(\Phi\left(A_{1}\right) \Phi^{k-\dot{\beta})}\left(Y_{1,1}\right)\right)\right) \mid\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where $T=\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{2}}\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{3}} \cdots \Phi_{\natural}^{n_{r-1}}\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{r}}(R) A_{r}\right) \cdots A_{3}\right) A_{2}$.
Adding and subtracting the element $\varphi\left(T \Phi^{n_{1}}\left(A_{1}\right)\right) \varphi\left(Y_{1,1}\right)$ we can write:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\varphi\left(X_{1,2} C_{k}^{*} Y_{1,1} V^{k}\right)\right| \leqslant & \left|\varphi\left(T \Phi^{n_{1}}\left(A_{1}\right) \Phi^{(k-\dot{\beta}-1)}\left(Y_{1,1}\right)\right)-\varphi\left(T \Phi^{n_{1}}\left(A_{1}\right)\right) \varphi\left(Y_{1,1}\right)\right| \\
& +\left|\varphi\left(T \Phi^{n_{1}-1}\left(\Phi\left(A_{1}\right) \Phi^{(k-\dot{\beta})}\left(Y_{1,1}\right)\right)\right)-\varphi\left(T \Phi^{n_{1}}\left(A_{1}\right)\right) \varphi\left(Y_{1,1}\right)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\varphi\left(T \Phi^{n_{1}-1}\left(\Phi\left(A_{1}\right) \Phi^{(k-\dot{\beta})}\left(Y_{1,1}\right)\right)\right)-\varphi\left(T \Phi^{n_{1}}\left(A_{1}\right)\right) \varphi\left(Y_{1,1}\right)\right| \\
& \quad=\mid \varphi\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{1}-1}(T) \Phi\left(A_{1}\right) \Phi^{(k-\dot{\beta})}\left(Y_{1,1}\right)\right)-\varphi\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{1}-1}(T) \Phi\left(A_{1}\right) \varphi\left(Y_{1,1}\right) \mid,\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

and by the weakly mixing properties we obtain:

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{k=0}^{N}\left|\varphi\left(T \Phi^{n_{1}}\left(A_{1}\right) \Phi^{(k-\dot{\beta}-1)}\left(Y_{1,1}\right)\right)-\varphi\left(T \Phi^{n_{1}}\left(A_{1}\right)\right) \varphi\left(Y_{1,1}\right)\right|=0
$$

and
$\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{k=0}^{N}\left|\varphi\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{1}-1}(T) \Phi\left(A_{1}\right) \Phi^{(k-\dot{\beta})}\left(Y_{1,1}\right)\right)-\varphi\left(\Phi_{\natural}^{n_{1}-1}(T) \Phi\left(A_{1}\right)\right) \varphi\left(Y_{1,1}\right)\right|=0$ completing the proof of item (b).

Finally, the proof of proposition Proposition 5.1 is a simple consequence of this lemma since the $C^{*}$-algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}$ is included in $C^{*}(\mathcal{S})$, the norm closure of *-algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$.

It is clear that Proposition 5.1 can be extended to a quantum dynamical system $(\mathfrak{M}, \Phi)$ with $\varphi$ a normal faithful state on $\mathfrak{M}$.
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