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## cedram

# Moments and One level density of certain unitary families of Hecke $L$-functions 

par Peng GAO et Liangyi ZHAO


#### Abstract

Résumé. Dans cet article, nous étudions les moments des valeurs centrales de certaines familles unitaires de fonctions $L$ de Hecke sur le corps des rationnels de Gauss et prouvons un résultat quantitatif de non-annulation de leurs valeurs centrales. Nous établissons aussi un résultat de densité portant sur les petits zéros dans ces familles de fonctions $L$ de Hecke.


Abstract. In this paper, we study the moments of central values of certain unitary families of Hecke $L$-functions of the Gaussian field, and establish quantitative non-vanishing result for their central values. We also establish a one level density result for the low-lying zeros of these families of Hecke $L$-functions.

## 1. Introduction

The non-vanishing of central values of $L$-functions is of central importance in number theory. In the classical case of Dirichlet $L$-functions, S. Chowla [5] conjectured that $L(1 / 2, \chi) \neq 0$ for every primitive Dirichlet character $\chi$. One typical way to investigate this non-vanishing problem is to study the moments of a family of $L$-functions. By considering the first and second mollified moments of $L(1 / 2, \chi)$, B. Balasubramanian and V. K. Murty [1] showed that $L(1 / 2, \chi) \neq 0$ for at least $4 \%$ of Dirichlet characters $\chi \bmod q$. For primitive characters, the proportion was improved to $1 / 3$ by H. Iwaniec and P. Sarnak in [13], to $34.11 \%$ by H. M. Bui [4] and most recently to $3 / 8$ by R. Khan and H. T. Ngo [16].

Instead of mollified moments, one may be only interested in the moments of Dirichlet $L$-functions. The first moment of the family of primitive Dirichlet $L$-functions of modulus $q$ has long been known while the second moment is due to R. E. A. C. Paley [20]. In [10], D. R. Heath-Brown obtained an asymptotic formula for the fourth moment of the family of $L$-functions associated with primitive Dirichlet characters modulo $q$, provided $q$ does not have too many distinct prime divisors. The formula was extended to

[^0]all integers by K. Soundararajan in [23]. An asymptotic formula for prime moduli with power savings was obtained by M. P. Young in [25] and this result was later improved by V. Blomer, E. Fouvry, E. Kowalski, P. Michel and D. Milićević [3].

As an analogue of Dirichlet $L$-functions, T. Stefanicki [24] obtained the first and second moments of Dirichlet twists of modular $L$-functions. The formula for the second moment is valid for a density zero set and is extended to almost all integers in [6].

Motivated by the result of Stefanicki, we consider in this paper a family of Hecke $L$-functions in the Gaussian field. Throughout this paper, we let $K=\mathbb{Q}(i)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{K}=\mathbb{Z}[i]$ for the ring of integers in $K$. We also denote $U_{K}=\langle i\rangle$ for the group of units in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$. Let $q \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$ with $(q, 2)=1$ and $\chi$ be a homomorphism:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi:\left(\mathcal{O}_{K} /(q)\right)^{*} \rightarrow S^{1}:=\{z \in \mathbb{C}| | z \mid=1\} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall say $\chi$ is a character modulo $q$. Note that in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$, every ideal coprime to 2 has a unique generator congruent to 1 modulo $(1+i)^{3}$ (see the paragraph above Lemma 8.2.1 in [2]). Such a generator is called primary. When $q$ is co-prime to 2 , $\chi$ induces a character $\widetilde{\chi}$ modulo $(1+i)^{3} q$. To see this, note that the $\operatorname{ring}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K} /(1+i)^{3} q\right)^{*}$ is isomorphic to the direct product of the group of units $U_{K}$ and the group $N_{q}$ formed by elements in $\left(\mathcal{O}_{K} /(1+i)^{3} q\right)^{*}$ and congruent to $1\left(\bmod (1+i)^{3}\right)$ (i.e., primary). Under this isomorphism, any element $n \in\left(\mathcal{O}_{K} /(1+i)^{3} q\right)^{*}$ can be written uniquely as $n=u_{n} \cdot n_{0}$ with $u_{n} \in U_{K}, n_{0} \in N_{q}$. We can now define $\widetilde{\chi}\left(\bmod (1+i)^{3} q\right)$ such that for any $n \in\left(\mathcal{O}_{K} /(1+i)^{3} q\right)^{*}$,

$$
\tilde{\chi}(n)=\chi\left(n_{0}\right) .
$$

We say that $\chi$ is a primitive character modulo $q$ if it does not factor through $\left(\mathcal{O}_{K} /\left(q^{\prime}\right)\right)^{*}$ for any proper divisor $q^{\prime}$ of $q$. When $\chi$ is primitive and $\chi(-1)=$ -1 , we will show in Section 2.1 that the character $\tilde{\chi}$ is also primitive modulo $(1+i)^{3} q$. As $\tilde{\chi}$ is primitive and trivial on units, it follows from the discussions on [12, p. 59-60] that $\widetilde{\chi}$ can be regarded as a primitive Hecke character $\left(\bmod (1+i)^{3} q\right)$ of trivial infinite type. We denote $\widetilde{\chi}$ for this Hecke character as well. In the rest of the paper, unless otherwise specified, we shall always regard $\widetilde{\chi}$ as a Hecke character.

Let $\psi^{*}(q)$ denote the number of primitive characters $\chi(\bmod q)$ satisfying $\chi(-1)=-1$ and let $\omega(q)$ denote the number of distinct prime ideals dividing $(q)$. Our first result is the following

Theorem 1.1. For $q \in \mathcal{O}_{K},(q, 2)=1$ and any $\varepsilon>0$, we have, as $N(q) \rightarrow$ $\infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod q \\ \chi(-1)=-1}}^{*} L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \tilde{\chi}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \psi^{*}(q)+O\left(2^{\omega(q)} N(q)^{1 / 2+\varepsilon}\right), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod q \\
\chi(-1)=-1}}^{*}\left|L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \widetilde{\chi}\right)\right|^{2}  \tag{1.3}\\
& =\left(\frac{\pi}{16} \frac{\varphi(q)}{N(q)} \log N(q)+\frac{\pi}{8} \frac{\varphi(q)}{N(q)} \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \mid 2 q} \frac{\log N(\mathfrak{p})}{N(\mathfrak{p})-1}+\frac{\varphi(q)}{N(q)} C_{0}\right) \psi^{*}(q) \\
& +O\left(N(q)^{3 / 4+\varepsilon}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Here the $*$ on the sum over $\chi$ restricts the sum to primitive characters, $C_{0}>0$ is an explicitly computable constant and $\varphi(q)=\#\left(\mathcal{O}_{K} /(q)\right)^{*}$.

We note here the asymptotic formulas in Theorem 1.1 are valid for all large $N(q)$ because of the lower bound for $\psi^{*}(q)$ given in $(2.1)$ and if $N(q) \geq$ 3 , then (see [21, (2.1)])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(q) \ll \frac{\log N(q)}{\log \log N(q)} . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We readily deduce from Theorem 1.1 via a standard argument using Cauchy's inequality (see [1, p. 568]), the following

Corollary 1.2. For $q \in \mathcal{O}_{K},(q, 2)=1$, we have as $N(q) \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\#\left\{\widetilde{\chi}: \chi \bmod q, \chi(-1)=-1, \chi \text { primitive, } L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \tilde{\chi}\right) \neq 0\right\} \gg \frac{\psi^{*}(q)}{\log N(q)}
$$

Note that Corollary 1.2 does not establish that $L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \widetilde{\chi}\right) \neq 0$ for a positive proportion of the characters $\chi$ to a given modulus. To obtain a positive proportion result, other than studying the mollified moments, we can also study the 1-level densities of low-lying zeros of families of $L$-functions. The density conjecture of N. Katz and P. Sarnak [14, 15] suggests that the distribution of zeros near $1 / 2$ of a family of $L$-functions is the same as that of eigenvalues near 1 of a corresponding classical compact group. This conjecture implies that $L(1 / 2, \chi) \neq 0$ for almost all primitive Dirichlet $L$-functions. Assuming the generalized Riemann hypothesis (GRH), M. R. Murty [18] showed that at least $50 \%$ of both primitive Dirichlet $L$-functions and Dirichlet twists of modular $L$-functions do not vanish at the central point. The result of Murty can be regarded as the 1-level density of low-lying zeros of the corresponding families of $L$-functions for test functions whose Fourier transforms
being supported in $[-2,2]$. In [11], H. P. Hughes and Z. Rudnick studied the 1-level density of low-lying zeros of the family of primitive Dirichlet $L$-functions of a fixed prime modulus. Their work shows that this family is a unitary family.

Our next result concerns the 1-level density of low-lying zeros of the family $\{L(s, \widetilde{\chi})\}$ of Hecke $L$-functions in $\mathbb{Q}(i)$. Here $\chi$ runs over primitive characters modulo $q$ satisfying $\chi(-1)=-1$ with $q \in \mathbb{Z}[i],(q, 2)=1$. We denote the non-trivial zeroes of the Hecke $L$-function $L(s, \widetilde{\chi})$ by $1 / 2+i \gamma_{\tilde{\chi}, j}$. Without assuming GRH, we order them as

$$
\ldots \leq \Re \gamma_{\widetilde{\chi},-2} \leq \Re \gamma_{\tilde{\chi},-1}<0 \leq \Re \gamma_{\widetilde{\chi}, 1} \leq \Re \gamma_{\tilde{\chi}, 2} \leq \ldots
$$

We set

$$
\widetilde{\gamma}_{\widetilde{\chi}, j}=\frac{\gamma_{\widetilde{\chi}, j}}{2 \pi} \log N(q)
$$

and define for an even Schwartz class function $\phi$,

$$
S(\widetilde{\chi}, \phi)=\sum_{j} \phi\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{\tilde{\chi}, j}\right)
$$

Following [11, Definition 2.1], we say a function $f(x)$ is an admissible function if it is a real, even function, whose Fourier transform $\widehat{f}(u)$ is compactly supported, and such that $f(x) \ll(1+|x|)^{-1-\delta}$ for some $\delta>0$. Our result is

Theorem 1.3. Let $\phi(x)$ be an admissible function whose Fourier transform $\widehat{\phi}(u)$ has compact support in $(-2,2)$. Then for $q \in \mathbb{Z}[i],(q, 2)=1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N(q) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\psi^{*}(q)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod q \\ \chi(-1)=-1}}^{*} S(\tilde{\chi}, \phi)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(x) \mathrm{d} x . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the $*$ on the sum over $\chi$ restricts the sum to primitive characters.
Theorem 1.3 can be regarded as an analogue to the above mentioned result of H. P. Hughes and Z. Rudnick in [11]. The left-hand side expression of (1.5) is known as the 1-level density of low-lying zeros of the family $\{L(s, \widetilde{\chi})\}$. In connection with the random matrix theory (see the discussions in [7]), the right-hand side expression of (1.5) shows that the family is also a unitary family.

Using the argument in the proof of [9, Corollary 1.4], we deduce readily a positive proportion non-vanishing result for the family of Hecke $L$-functions under our consideration.

Corollary 1.4. Suppose that the $G R H$ is true and that $1 / 2$ is a zero of $L(s, \tilde{\chi})$ of order $n_{\tilde{\chi}} \geq 0$. As $N(q) \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod q \\ \chi(-1)=-1}}^{*} n_{\tilde{\chi}} \leq\left(\frac{1}{2}+o(1)\right) \psi^{*}(q)
$$

Moreover, as $N(q) \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\#\left\{\tilde{\chi} \mid \chi \bmod q, \chi(-1)=-1, \chi \text { primitive, } L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \tilde{\chi}\right)\right. & \neq 0\} \\
& \geq\left(\frac{1}{2}+o(1)\right) \psi^{*}(q)
\end{aligned}
$$

Notations. The following notations and conventions are used throughout the paper.

- $e(z)=\exp (2 \pi i z)=e^{2 \pi i z}$.
- $f=O(g)$ or $f \ll g$ means $|f| \leq c g$ for some unspecified positive constant $c$.
- $f=o(g)$ means $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) / g(x)=0$.
- $K=\mathbb{Q}(i), \mathcal{O}_{K}=\mathbb{Z}[i]$.
- $\mu_{[i]}$ denotes the Möbius function on $\mathcal{O}_{K}$.
- $\varphi$ denotes Euler's totient function on $\mathcal{O}_{K}$.
- $\varpi$ denotes a prime in $K$.


## 2. Preliminaries

2.1. Orthogonality relations and primitive Hecke characters. Let $q \in \mathcal{O}_{K},(q, 2)=1$ and let $\chi$ be a primitive character modulo $q$ defined in (1.1) satisfying $\chi(-1)=-1$. We note the following orthogonality relations. As the proof is similar to the classical case (see [23, Lemma 1]), we omit it here.

Lemma 2.1. Let $q \in \mathcal{O}_{K},(q, 2)=1$. Let $a= \pm 1$, we have for $(n m, q)=1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod q \\
\chi(-1)=(-1)^{a}}}^{*} \chi(n) \bar{\chi}(m)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{d \mid q \\
n \equiv m \bmod d}} \mu_{[i]}(q / d) \varphi(d) \\
&+\frac{(-1)^{a}}{2} \sum_{\substack{d \mid q \\
n \equiv-m \bmod d}} \mu_{[i]}(q / d) \varphi(d)
\end{aligned}
$$

By setting $n=m=1$ in Lemma 2.1, we deduce immediately the following

Corollary 2.2. Let $q \in \mathcal{O}_{K},(q, 2)=1$ and let $\psi^{*}(q)$ denote the number of primitive characters $\chi(\bmod q)$ satisfying $\chi(-1)=-1$, then

$$
\psi^{*}(q)=\frac{1}{2} \psi(q)-\frac{1}{2} \mu_{[i]}(q),
$$

where $\psi(q)$ denotes the number of primitive characters $\chi(\bmod q)$. Moreover, $\psi(q)$ is a multiplicative function given by $\psi(\varpi)=N(\varpi)-2$ for primes $\varpi$, and $\psi\left(\varpi^{k}\right)=N(\varpi)^{k}(1-1 / N(\varpi))^{2}$ for $k \geq 2$.

We note that Corollary 2.2 implies that for $(q, 2)=1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{*}(q), \psi(q) \gg N(q)\left(\frac{\varphi(q)}{N(q)}\right)^{2} \gg \frac{N(q)}{\log \log N(q)} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we show that the induced character $\widetilde{\chi}$ modulo $(1+i)^{3} q$ is also primitive. Suppose that $\widetilde{\chi}$ is induced by a character modulo $(1+i)^{3} q^{\prime}$ for some proper divisor $q^{\prime}$ of $q$. Then as $\chi$ is primitive, there exists a $c \equiv 1$ $\left(\bmod q^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\chi(c) \neq 1$. By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, we can then find a $c_{0}$ such that $c_{0} \equiv 1\left(\bmod (1+i)^{3}\right)$ and $c_{0} \equiv c(\bmod q)$. It follows from our definition that $\widetilde{\chi}\left(c_{0}\right)=\chi(c) \neq 1$. This contradiction shows that $\widetilde{\chi}$ can only be possibly induced by a character $\chi^{\prime}$ modulo $(1+i)^{2} q$. But in this case, we can again apply the Chinese Remainder Theorem to find a $c_{0}$ such that $c_{0} \equiv-1\left(\bmod (1+i)^{3}\right)$ and $c_{0} \equiv 1(\bmod q)$. As $-1 \equiv 1$ $\left(\bmod (1+i)^{2}\right)$, we have $c_{0} \equiv 1\left(\bmod (1+i)^{2} q\right)$ so that $\chi^{\prime}\left(c_{0}\right)=1$. However, it follows from the definition that $\widetilde{\chi}\left(c_{0}\right)=\chi\left(-c_{0}\right)=-1$. This implies that $\tilde{\chi}$ can not be induced by $\chi^{\prime}$ either and hence is primitive.
2.2. The approximate functional equation. Let $\widetilde{\chi}$ be given as in the previous section regarding as a primitive Hecke character modulo $(1+i)^{3} q$ of trivial infinite type. The Hecke $L$-function associated with this Hecke character $\widetilde{\chi}$ is defined for $\Re(s)>1$ by

$$
L(s, \widetilde{\chi})=\sum_{0 \neq \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{O}_{K}} \widetilde{\chi}(\mathcal{A})(N(\mathcal{A}))^{-s},
$$

where $\mathcal{A}$ runs over all non-zero integral ideals in $K$ and $N(\mathcal{A})$ is the norm of $\mathcal{A}$. As shown by E. Hecke, $L(s, \widetilde{\chi})$ admits analytic continuation to an entire function and satisfies a functional equation (see [19, Corollary 8.6]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda(s, \widetilde{\chi})=g(\widetilde{\chi})\left(N\left((1+i)^{3} q\right)\right)^{-1 / 2} \Lambda(1-s, \overline{\widetilde{\chi}}), \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{K}=-4$ is the discriminant of $K, g(\tilde{\chi})$ is the Gauss sum defined by

$$
g(\widetilde{\chi})=\sum_{x \bmod (1+i)^{3} q} \widetilde{\chi}(x) \widetilde{e}\left(\frac{x}{(1+i)^{3} q}\right), \quad \widetilde{e}(z)=e\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{z}{2 i}\right)\right)
$$

and

$$
\Lambda(s, \widetilde{\chi})=\left(\left|D_{K}\right| N\left((1+i)^{3} q\right)\right)^{s / 2}(2 \pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s) L(s, \widetilde{\chi})
$$

We refer the reader to [19] for a more detailed discussion of the Hecke characters and $L$-functions.

Note that we have $|g(\widetilde{\chi})|=\left(N\left((1+i)^{3} q\right)\right)^{1 / 2}($ see $[12$, Exercise 12, p. 61]) and that it follows from the definition that $\overline{g(\widetilde{\chi})}=\widetilde{\chi}(-1) g(\overline{\widetilde{\chi}})=g(\overline{\widetilde{\chi}})$, as $\widetilde{\chi}(-1)(-1)=1$. From this and (2.2), we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda\left(\frac{1}{2}+s, \tilde{\chi}\right) \Lambda\left(\frac{1}{2}+s, \overline{\widetilde{\chi}}\right)=\Lambda\left(\frac{1}{2}-s, \tilde{\chi}\right) \Lambda\left(\frac{1}{2}-s, \overline{\widetilde{\chi}}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $c>1 / 2$ we consider

$$
I:=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{(c)} \frac{\Lambda(1 / 2+s, \widetilde{\chi}) \Lambda(1 / 2+s, \overline{\widetilde{\chi}})}{\Gamma(1 / 2)^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} s}{s}
$$

We move the line of integration to $\operatorname{Re}(s)=-c$ and use the relation (2.3) to see that $I=|L(1 / 2, \widetilde{\chi})|^{2}-I$, so that $|L(1 / 2, \widetilde{\chi})|^{2}=2 I$. On the other hand, expanding $L(1 / 2+s, \widetilde{\chi}) L(1 / 2+s, \overline{\widetilde{\chi}})$ into its Dirichlet series and integrating termwise, we get $I=A(\tilde{\chi})$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(\widetilde{\chi}):=\sum_{0 \neq \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{O}_{K}} \widetilde{\chi}(\mathcal{A}) \overline{\widetilde{\chi}(\mathcal{B})}(N(\mathcal{A}) N(\mathcal{B}))^{-1 / 2} W\left(\frac{N(\mathcal{A}) N(\mathcal{B})}{N(q)}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
W(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{(c)}\left(\frac{\Gamma(s+1 / 2)}{\Gamma(1 / 2)}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{2\left|D_{k}\right|}{\pi^{2}}\right)^{s} x^{-s} \frac{\mathrm{~d} s}{s}
$$

for any positive $x, c$. Similar to $[23,(1.3 \mathrm{a}),(1.3 \mathrm{~b})]$, we have for any $j \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(x)=1+O\left(x^{1 / 2-\varepsilon}\right), \quad W^{(j)}(x)=O_{c}\left(x^{-c}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we note the following expression for $L(1 / 2, \widetilde{\chi}$ ) (see [8, Section 2.3]):

$$
\begin{align*}
L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \widetilde{\chi}\right) & =\sum_{0 \neq \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{O}_{K}} \frac{\widetilde{\chi}(\mathcal{A})}{N(\mathcal{A})^{1 / 2}} V\left(\frac{N(\mathcal{A})}{x}\right)  \tag{2.6}\\
+ & \frac{g(\tilde{\chi})}{N\left((1+i)^{3} q\right)^{1 / 2}} \sum_{0 \neq \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{O}_{K}} \frac{\frac{\bar{\chi}}{}(\mathcal{A})}{N(\mathcal{A})^{1 / 2}} V\left(\frac{N(\mathcal{A}) x}{\left|D_{K}\right| N\left((1+i)^{3} q\right)}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $x>0$ and

$$
V(\xi)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{(2)} \frac{\Gamma(s+1 / 2)}{\Gamma(1 / 2)} \frac{(2 \pi \xi)^{-s}}{s} \mathrm{~d} s
$$

We note (see [22, Lemma 2.1]) the following estimation for the $j$-th derivative of $V(\xi)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& V(\xi)=1+O\left(\xi^{1 / 2-\varepsilon}\right) \text { for } 0<\xi<1  \tag{2.7}\\
& \qquad \text { and } V^{(j)}(\xi)=O\left(e^{-\xi}\right) \text { for } \xi>0, j \geq 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

2.3. The explicit formula. Our approach of Theorem 1.3 relies on the following explicit formula, which essentially converts a sum over zeros of an $L$-function to a sum over primes. As it is similarly to that of [7, Lemma 2.3], we omit its proof here.

Lemma 2.3. Let $\phi(x)$ be an admissible function whose Fourier transform $\widehat{\phi}(u)$ has compact support in $[-2,2]$. Let $\Lambda_{K}$ be the von Mangoldt function in $K$. Then for $q \in \mathcal{O}_{K},(q, 2)=1$ and any primitive character $\chi$ modulo $q$ satisfying $\chi(-1)=-1$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
S(\widetilde{\chi}, \phi)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(t) \mathrm{d} t-\frac{1}{\log X} \sum_{(n)} \frac{\Lambda_{K}(n)}{\sqrt{N(n)}} \widehat{\phi}\left(\frac{\log N(n)}{\log N(q)}\right)(\widetilde{\chi}(n)+\bar{\chi}(n)) \\
+O\left(\frac{1}{\log N(q)}\right)
\end{array}
$$

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

3.1. Evaluation of the first moment. Since any integral non-zero ideal $\mathcal{A}$ co-prime to 2 in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ has a unique primary generator $a$, we apply the approximate functional equation (2.6) and the orthogonality relations Lemma 2.1 to get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod q \\
\chi(-1)=-1}}^{*} L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \tilde{\chi}\right) \\
& =\sum_{n \equiv 1 \bmod (1+i)^{3}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N(n)}} V\left(\frac{N(n)}{x}\right) \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod q \\
\chi(-1)=-1}}^{*} \widetilde{\chi}(n) \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{(8 N(q))^{1 / 2}} \sum_{n \equiv 1 \bmod (1+i)^{3}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N(n)}} V\left(\frac{N(n) x}{32 N(q)}\right) \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod q \\
\chi(-1)=-1}}^{*} \bar{\chi}(n) g(\widetilde{\chi}) \\
& = \\
& \quad S_{1,1}+S_{1,2}+S_{1,3}+S_{1,4},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{1,1}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{d \mid q \\
d \equiv 1 \bmod (1+i)^{3}}} \mu_{[i]}(q / d) \varphi(d) \sum_{\substack{n \equiv 1 \bmod (1+i)^{3} d \\
(n, q)=1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N(n)}} V\left(\frac{N(n)}{x}\right), \\
& S_{1,2}=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{d \mid q \\
d \equiv 1 \bmod (1+i)^{3}}} \mu_{[i]}(q / d) \varphi(d) \sum_{\substack{n \equiv-1 \bmod d \\
n \equiv 1 \bmod (1+i)^{3} \\
(n, q)=1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N(n)}} V\left(\frac{N(n)}{x}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{1,3}=\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{(8 N(q))^{1 / 2}} \sum_{\substack{d \mid q \\
d \equiv 1 \bmod (1+i)^{3}}} \mu_{[i]}(q / d) \varphi(d) \sum_{n \equiv 1 \bmod (1+i)^{3}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N(n)}} \\
& \times V\left(\frac{N(n) x}{32 N(q)}\right) \sum_{x \bmod (1+i)^{3} q} \widetilde{e}\left(\frac{x}{(1+i)^{3} q}\right), \\
& x \equiv n \bmod d \\
& S_{1,4}=-\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{(8 N(q))^{1 / 2}} \sum_{\substack{d \mid q \\
d \equiv 1 \bmod (1+i)^{3}}} \mu_{[i]}(q / d) \varphi(d) \sum_{n \equiv 1 \bmod (1+i)^{3}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N(n)}} \\
& \times V\left(\frac{N(n) x}{32 N(q)}\right) \sum_{\substack{x \bmod (1+i)^{3} q \\
x \equiv-n \bmod d}} \tilde{e}\left(\frac{x}{(1+i)^{3} q}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\widetilde{e}(c) \ll 1$ for $c \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$, we have that

$$
\sum_{\substack{x \bmod (1+i)^{3} q \\ x \equiv n \bmod d}} \widetilde{e}\left(\frac{x}{(1+i)^{3} q}\right) \ll \sum_{\substack{x \bmod (1+i)^{3} q \\ x \equiv n \bmod d}} 1 \ll \frac{N(q)}{N(d)}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{1,3} & \ll N(q)^{1 / 2} \sum_{d \mid q} \mu_{[i]}^{2}(q / d) \frac{\varphi(d)}{N(d)} \\
& \times \sum_{n \equiv 1 \bmod (1+i)^{3}} \\
& \ll \frac{1}{\sqrt{N(n)}} V\left(\frac{N(n) x}{32 N(q)}\right) \\
& <\frac{N(q)^{1+\varepsilon}}{x^{1 / 2}} 2^{\omega(q)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we also have

$$
S_{1,4} \ll \frac{N(q)^{1+\varepsilon}}{x^{1 / 2}} 2^{\omega(q)}
$$

In the evaluation of $S_{1,1}$, we write $n=t d+1$ with $t \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$. The term $t=0$ gives the main term:

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{1} & =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{d \mid q \\
d \equiv 1 \bmod (1+i)^{3}}} \mu_{[i]}(q / d) \varphi(d) V\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{ \\
d \equiv 1 \bmod (1+i)^{3}}} \mu_{[i]}(q / d) \varphi(d)\left(1+O\left(x^{-1 / 2+\varepsilon}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \psi^{*}(q)+O\left(N(q) x^{-1 / 2+\varepsilon}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used Corollary 2.2 and the fact that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{d \mid q \\ \bmod (1+i)^{3}}} \varphi(d)=N(q) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To treat the contribution from the terms $n \neq 1$ in $S_{1,1}$, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ satisfying $N(m+n) \geq N(n)$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(m+n) \geq \frac{N(m)}{64} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The assertion of the Lemma is clearly true when $N(n) \geq \frac{N(m)}{64}$. We may therefore assume that $N(n) \leq \frac{N(m)}{64}$. Writing $m=a+b i, n=c+d i$ with $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$, we see that $N(n) \leq \frac{N(m)}{64}$ is equivalent to

$$
\frac{a^{2}+b^{2}}{64} \geq c^{2}+d^{2}
$$

We deduce from this that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \{|c|,|d|\} \leq \frac{\sqrt{a^{2}+b^{2}}}{8} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Writing (3.2) in terms of $a, b, c, d$, we find that it suffices to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2}+2 a c+b^{2}+2 b d \geq \frac{a^{2}+b^{2}}{64} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying (3.3), we see that

$$
a^{2}+2 a c+b^{2}+2 b d \geq a^{2}+b^{2}-(|a|+|b|) \frac{\sqrt{a^{2}+b^{2}}}{4}
$$

As the above inequality implies inequality (3.4), the assertion of the lemma now follows.

Applying Lemma 3.2 to the case $n=t d+1$ with $t \neq 0$, we see that in this case $N(t d+1) \geq N(t d) / 64$. In view of the rapid decay of $V$ in (2.7), we may further assume that $N(n) \leq x^{1+\varepsilon}$ for any $\varepsilon>0$. This implies that $N(t d) \leq 64 x^{1+\varepsilon}$. We then deduce that the terms with $t \neq 0$ in $S_{1,1}$ contribute an amount that is

$$
\ll \sum_{\substack{d \mid q \\ d \equiv 1 \bmod (1+i)^{3}}} \mu_{[i]}^{2}(q / d) \varphi(d) \sum_{\substack{0 \neq N(t d) \leq 64 x^{1+\varepsilon}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N(t d)}} \ll 2^{\omega(q)} x^{1 / 2+\varepsilon} .
$$

Thus, we have

$$
S_{1,1}=\frac{1}{2} \psi^{*}(q)+O\left(N(q) x^{-1 / 2+\varepsilon}+2^{\omega(q)} x^{1 / 2+\varepsilon}\right)
$$

Now, to estimate $S_{1,2}$, we write $n=t d-1$ with $t \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$. Note that in this case $t \neq 0$ since -1 is not primitive. The treatment of the contribution from these $t \neq 0$ terms is similar to that of $S_{1,1}$ and we arrive at

$$
S_{1,2} \ll 2^{\omega(q)} x^{1 / 2+\varepsilon}
$$

We then conclude that

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod q \\
\chi(-1)=-1}}^{*} L & L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \tilde{\chi}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \psi^{*}(q)+O\left(N(q) x^{-1 / 2+\varepsilon}+2^{\omega(q)} x^{1 / 2+\varepsilon}+\frac{N(q)^{1+\varepsilon}}{x^{1 / 2}} 2^{\omega(q)}\right)
\end{array}
$$

By setting $x=N(q)$, we obtain (1.2).
3.2. The main term of the second moment. To establish (1.3), we note that it is shown in Section 2.2 that $|L(1 / 2, \widetilde{\chi})|^{2}=2 A(\widetilde{\chi})$ with $A(\widetilde{\chi})$ given in (2.4). Again writing any integral non-zero ideal $\mathcal{A}$ co-prime to 2 in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ in term of its unique primary generator $a$ and applying Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod q \\
\chi(-1)=-1}}^{*}|L(1 / 2, \tilde{\chi})|^{2} \\
&=2 \sum_{\substack{n, m \\
n, m \text { primary }}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N(n) N(m)}} W\left(\frac{N(n m)}{N(q)}\right) \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod q \\
\chi(-1)=-1}}^{*} \widetilde{\chi}(n) \bar{\chi}(m) \\
&=S_{2,1}-S_{2,2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{2,1}=\sum_{\substack{d \mid q \\
d \text { primary }}} \mu_{[i]}(d) \varphi(q / d) \sum_{\substack{n \equiv m \text { mod } q / d \\
n, m \text { primary } \\
(m n, q)=1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N(n) N(m)}} W\left(\frac{N(n m)}{N(q)}\right), \\
& S_{2,2}=\sum_{\substack{d \mid q \\
d \text { primary }}} \mu_{[i]}(d) \varphi(q / d) \sum_{\substack{n \equiv-m \text { mod } q / d \\
n, m \text { primary } \\
(m n, q)=1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N(n) N(m)}} W\left(\frac{N(n m)}{N(q)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We consider the terms $n=m$ in $S_{2,1}$. These terms contribute

$$
M_{2}=\sum_{\substack{d \mid q \\ d \text { primary }}} \mu_{[i]}(d) \varphi(q / d) \sum_{\substack{n \text { primary } \\(n, q)=1}} \frac{1}{N(n)} W\left(\frac{N(n)^{2}}{N(q)}\right) .
$$

We then apply Mellin inversion to get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\substack{n \text { primary } \\
(n, q)=1}} \frac{1}{N(n)} W\left(\frac{N(n)^{2}}{N(q)}\right)  \tag{3.5}\\
& \quad=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{(2)} \sum_{\substack{n \text { primary } \\
(n, q)=1}} \frac{1}{N(n)^{1+2 s}} N(q)^{s} \widehat{W}(s) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{(2)} \zeta_{K}(1+2 s)\left(\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \mid 2 q}\left(1-N(\mathfrak{p})^{-(1+2 s)}\right)\right) N(q)^{s} \widehat{W}(s) \mathrm{d} s
\end{align*}
$$

Here and in what follows, we use $\zeta_{K}(s)$ to denote the Dedekind zeta function of $K$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ to denote prime ideals in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$. Moreover, $\widehat{W}(s)$ is the Mellin transform of $W(t)$, so that

$$
\widehat{W}(s)=\int_{0}^{\infty} W(t) t^{s} \frac{\mathrm{~d} t}{t} .
$$

Using (2.5) and integration by parts implies that for $\Re(s)>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{W}(s)=\frac{1}{s} I(s), \quad I(s)=\int_{0}^{\infty} W^{\prime}(t) t^{s} \mathrm{~d} t \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that (2.5) further implies that $I(0)=1$ and integration by parts implies that $I(s)$ is clearly analytic for $\Re(s)>-1$ and satisfies

$$
I(s) \ll \frac{1}{|1+s|} .
$$

It follows that (3.6) gives an analytic extension of $\widehat{W}(s)$ to $\Re(s)>-1$ with a simple pole at $s=0$ with residue 1 such that when $\Re(s)>-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{W}(s) \ll \frac{1}{|s||1+s|} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now shift the line of integration in (3.5) to $\Re(s)=-1 / 4+\varepsilon$ and we encounter a double pole at $s=0$. The residue is easily seen (by taking note that the residue of $\zeta_{K}(s)$ at $s=1$ is $\left.\pi / 4\right)$ to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi}{16} \frac{\varphi(q)}{N(q)} \log N(q)+\frac{\pi}{8} \frac{\varphi(q)}{N(q)} \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \mid 2 q} \frac{\log N(\mathfrak{p})}{N(\mathfrak{p})-1}+\frac{\varphi(q)}{N(q)} C_{0} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{0}$ is an explicitly computable positive constant.
Since $\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \mid q} \frac{\log N(\mathfrak{p})}{N(\mathfrak{p})-1}$ is the largest when $q$ is of the form $\prod_{N(\varpi) \leq y} \varpi$ for primes $\varpi$, it follows from this and the prime ideal theorem [17, Theorem 8.9]
that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \mid q} \frac{\log N(\mathfrak{p})}{N(\mathfrak{p})-1} \ll 1+\log \omega(q) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

To estimate the remaining integral at $\Re(s)=-1 / 4+\varepsilon$, we shall use the convexity bound that (see [12, Exercise 3, p. 100]) for $\Re(s)=-1 / 4+\varepsilon$,

$$
\zeta_{K}(1+2 s) \ll\left(1+|s|^{2}\right)^{1 / 4+\varepsilon}
$$

Applying this and (3.7) gives that the integral on the line $\Re(s)=-1 / 4+\varepsilon$ is $\ll N(q)^{-1 / 4+\varepsilon}$. From this and (3.8), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{2}=\left(\frac{\pi}{16}\right. & \left.\frac{\varphi(q)}{N(q)} \log N(q)+\frac{\pi}{8} \frac{\varphi(q)}{N(q)} \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \mid 2 q} \frac{\log N(\mathfrak{p})}{N(\mathfrak{p})-1}+\frac{\varphi(q)}{N(q)} C_{0}\right) \\
& \times \sum_{\substack{d \mid q \\
d \text { primary }}} \mu_{[i]}(d) \varphi(q / d)+O\left(N(q)^{-1 / 4+\varepsilon} \sum_{d \mid q} \mu_{[i]}^{2}(d) \varphi(q / d)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We then deduce using (3.1) and (3.9) that

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{2}=\left(\frac{\pi}{16} \frac{\varphi(q)}{N(q)} \log N(q)+\frac{\pi}{8} \frac{\varphi(q)}{N(q)} \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \mid 2 q} \frac{\log N(\mathfrak{p})}{N(\mathfrak{p})-1}\right. & \left.+\frac{\varphi(q)}{N(q)} C_{0}\right) \psi^{*}(q)  \tag{3.10}\\
& +O\left(N(q)^{3 / 4+\varepsilon}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

3.3. The error term of the second moment. We first note that the terms $n=m$ in $S_{2,2}$ can occur if and only if $2 n \equiv 0(\bmod q / d)$. As $(q, 2)=$ 1 , this occurs if and only if $q / d \mid n$. It follows readily from this that the terms $n=m$ in $S_{2,2}$ contribute

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ll 2^{\omega(q)} \log N(q) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

To treat the contributions from the terms $n \neq m$ in $S_{2,1}$ and $S_{2,2}$, we note the following

Lemma 3.2. We have for any $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{n \neq m \\ n=m \bmod \ell \\(n m, q)=1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N(n) N(m)}} W\left(\frac{N(n m)}{N(q)}\right) \ll \frac{N(q)^{1 / 2+\varepsilon}}{N(l)} . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We may assume that $N(m) \geq N(n)$. In view of the rapid decey of $W$ shown in (2.5), we may further assume that $N(n m) \leq N(q)^{1+\varepsilon}$ for any
$\varepsilon>0$. We then have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{\substack{n \neq m \\
n=m \bmod \ell \\
(n m, q)=1}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{N(n) N(m)}} W\left(\frac{N(n m)}{N(q)}\right)  \tag{3.13}\\
& \ll \sum_{N(n) \leq N(q)^{1+\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N(n)}} \sum_{\substack{m \neq n \\
m=n \bmod \ell \\
N(n) \leq N(m) \leq N(q)^{1+\varepsilon} / N(n)}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N(m)}} .
\end{align*}
$$

We write $m=n+k l$ with $k \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$ and we apply Lemma 3.1 to see that $N(k l) \leq 64 N(m) \leq 64 N(q)^{1+\varepsilon} / N(n)$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{m \neq n \\
m=n \rightarrow 0 \\
m o n}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N(m)}} & \ll \frac{1}{\sqrt{N(l)}} \sum_{0 \neq N(k) \leq 64 N(q)^{1+\varepsilon} / N(n)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N(k l)}} \\
& \ll \frac{1}{N(l) \leq N(m) \leq N(q)^{1+\varepsilon} / N(n)} N N(q)^{1 / 2+\varepsilon} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying this in (3.13), we readily deduce (3.12) and this completes the proof of the lemma.

It follows from Lemma 3.2 that the terms $n \neq m$ contribute in $S_{2,1}, S_{2,2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ll 2^{\omega(q)} N(q)^{1 / 2+\varepsilon} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (1.4) and combining (3.10), (3.11) and (3.14), the proof of (1.3) is complete.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Applying Lemma 2.3, we see that it suffices to show that for any $\widehat{\phi}$ supported in $(-2+\varepsilon, 2-\varepsilon)$ with any $0<\varepsilon<1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N(q) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\widetilde{S}(q, \widehat{\phi})}{N(q) \log N(q)}=0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\widetilde{S}(q, \widehat{\phi})=\sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod q \\ \chi(-1)=-1}}^{*} \sum_{\text {primary }} \frac{\Lambda_{K}(n)}{\sqrt{N(n)}} \widehat{\phi}\left(\frac{\log N(n)}{\log N(q)}\right)(\widetilde{\chi}(n)+\overline{\widetilde{\chi}}(n))
$$

Applying Lemma 2.1, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{S}(q, \widehat{\phi})= & \sum_{\substack{d \mid q \\
d \equiv 1 \bmod (1+i)^{3}}} \mu_{[i]}(q / d) \varphi(d) \sum_{\substack{n \text { primary } \\
n \equiv 1 \bmod d}} \frac{\Lambda_{K}(n)}{\sqrt{N(n)}} \widehat{\phi}\left(\frac{\log N(n)}{\log q}\right) \\
& -\sum_{\substack{d \mid q \\
d \equiv 1 \bmod (1+i)^{3}}} \mu_{[i]}(q / d) \varphi(d) \sum_{\substack{n \text { primary } \\
n \equiv-1 \bmod d}} \frac{\Lambda_{K}(n)}{\sqrt{N(n)}} \widehat{\phi}\left(\frac{\log N(n)}{\log q}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similar to the treatment of the case $n \neq 1$ in $S_{1,1}$ in Section 3.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{n \text { primary } \\
n \equiv \pm 1 \bmod d}} \frac{\Lambda_{K}(n)}{\sqrt{N(n)}} \widehat{\phi}\left(\frac{\log N(n)}{\log q}\right) & \ll \sum_{\substack{n \text { primary } \\
1<N(n) \leq q^{2-\varepsilon} \\
n \equiv \pm 1 \bmod d}} \frac{\log N(q)}{\sqrt{N(n)}} \\
& \ll \frac{N(q)^{1-\varepsilon / 2} \log N(q)}{N(d)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{S}(q, \widehat{\phi}) & \ll \sum_{\substack{d \mid q \\
d \equiv 1 \bmod (1+i)^{3}}} \mu_{[i]}^{2}(q / d) \varphi(d) \frac{N(q)^{1-\varepsilon / 2} \log N(q)}{N(d)} \\
& \ll 2^{\omega(q)} N(q)^{1-\varepsilon / 2} \log N(q) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of (1.4), the desired limit in (4.1) follows from the above estimation and this completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.
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