

Marco ILLENGO

Cohomology of integer matrices and local-global divisibility on the torus Tome  $20,\,n^o$  2 (2008), p. 327-334.

<a href="http://jtnb.cedram.org/item?id=JTNB\_2008\_\_20\_2\_327\_0">http://jtnb.cedram.org/item?id=JTNB\_2008\_\_20\_2\_327\_0</a>

© Université Bordeaux 1, 2008, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux » (http://jtnb.cedram.org/), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://jtnb.cedram.org/legal/). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation à fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

# cedram

Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du

Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques

http://www.cedram.org/

# Cohomology of integer matrices and local-global divisibility on the torus

# par MARCO ILLENGO

RÉSUMÉ. Soient  $p \neq 2$  un nombre premier et G un p-groupe de matrices dans  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ , pour un nombre entier n. Dans cet article nous montrons que, pour n < 3(p-1), un certain sous-groupe du groupe de cohomologie  $H^1(G,\mathbb{F}_p^n)$  est trivial. Nous montrons aussi que cette affirmation peut être fausse pour  $n \geqslant 3(p-1)$ . Avec un résultat de Dvornicich et Zannier (voir [2]), nous obtenons que le principe local-global de divisibilité pour p vaut pour tout tore algébrique de dimension n < 3(p-1).

ABSTRACT. Let  $p \neq 2$  be a prime and let G be a p-group of matrices in  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ , for some integer n. In this paper we show that, when n < 3(p-1), a certain subgroup of the cohomology group  $H^1(G, \mathbb{F}_p^n)$  is trivial. We also show that this statement can be false when  $n \geq 3(p-1)$ . Together with a result of Dvornicich and Zannier (see [2]), we obtain that any algebraic torus of dimension n < 3(p-1) enjoys a local-global principle on divisibility by p.

#### 1. Introduction

Let G be a subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ , for some n. Then G acts on  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  and, by projection, on  $\mathbb{F}_p^n$ , for some prime p. Consider the group cohomology of the couple  $(G,\mathbb{F}_p^n)$  and note that, for every subgroup C of G, there is a well-defined restriction map  $H^1(G,\mathbb{F}_p^n) \to H^1(C,\mathbb{F}_p^n)$ . In this paper we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $p \neq 2$  be a prime and let n < 3(p-1). For every p-group G in  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  the projection  $H^1(G, \mathbb{F}_p^n) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \prod H^1(C, \mathbb{F}_p^n)$ , the product being taken on all cyclic subgroups C of G, is injective.

We also prove that this statement is 'best possible' on n.

**Proposition 2.** Let  $p \neq 2$  be a prime and let  $n \geqslant 3(p-1)$ . There exists a p-group G in  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  such that the map  $H^1(G, \mathbb{F}_p^n) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \prod H^1(C, \mathbb{F}_p^n)$ , the product being taken on all cyclic subgroups C of G, is not injective.

Our Theorem 1 is motivated by a paper of Dvornicich and Zannier on local-global divisibility for algebraic groups. In [2, Sections 4-5] they proved that local-global divisibility by a prime p holds on every algebraic torus of dimension  $n \leq \max\{3, 2(p-1)\}$ , but fails for at least one torus of dimension  $n = p^4 - p^2 + 1$ . (We are using the additive notation for the torus: division by p corresponds to taking p-th roots in the multiplicative group  $\mathbb{G}_m$ .)

The authors also suggested that their proof of the condition  $n \leq 2(p-1)$  in the case  $p \neq 2$  could be adapted to prove local-global divisibility by p under a weaker condition, so to reduce the gap of uncertainty for n. In particular, in the first part of their proof they show that, for  $p \neq 2$  and n fixed, the injectivity of  $\varphi$  for any p-group  $G < \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  implies local-global divisibility by p for every algebraic torus of dimension n.

Together with this result, Theorem 1 allows to replace the condition  $n \leq 2(p-1)$  with the weaker condition n < 3(p-1).

**Theorem 3.** Let  $p \neq 2$  be a prime, k be a number field, and  $\mathcal{T}$  be an algebraic k-torus of dimension n < 3(p-1). Fix any point  $P \in \mathcal{T}(k)$ ; if for all but a finite number of completions  $k_{\nu}$  of k there exists a point  $D_{\nu} \in \mathcal{T}(k_{\nu})$  with  $pD_{\nu} = P$ , then there exists a  $D \in \mathcal{T}(k)$  such that pD = P.

Using the terminology of [2], we say that a cocycle Z on  $(G, \mathbb{F}_p^n)$  satisfies the local conditions if for every  $g \in G$  there exists a  $W_g \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$  such that  $Z_g = gW_g - W_g$ . Note that the set of cocycles that satisfy the local conditions is precisely the kernel of  $\varphi$ .

For  $p \neq 2$  and  $n \geqslant 3(p-1)$  the example in Proposition 2 allows, as Dvornicich and Zannier pointed out in [2, Section 4] and [3, Section 3], to build an algebraic torus of dimension n defined over some number field k and, possibly extending the field k, a k-rational point on the torus for which the local-global divisibility by p fails.

In Section 2 we shall prove Theorem 1, using some elementary results of the geometry of numbers and of the theory of representations.

In Section 3 we shall prove Proposition 2 for the case n = 3(p-1); the general case can be obtained by means of a direct sum with the trivial representation of dimension n - 3(p-1).

Throughout this paper, whenever their orders are known, we shall denote by I the identity matrix and by O the null matrix.

### 2. Proof of theorem

We begin the proof of Theorem 1 by an inspection of the p-group G. The following result is slightly more general than needed.

**Lemma 4.** Let p be a prime and let G be a p-group of matrices in  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Q})$ . If n < p(p-1) then G is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^b$ , for some  $b \leq n/(p-1)$ .

*Proof.* Note that any non-trivial element g of G is a matrix of multiplicative order  $p^m$ , for some positive integer m. Then at least one of the eigenvalues of g is a  $p^m$ -th primitive root of unity; since g is defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , every  $p^m$ -th primitive root of unity must be an eigenvalue of g. This implies that the number of eigenvalues of g, bounded by its order n < p(p-1), is at least  $\phi(p^m) = p^{m-1}(p-1)$ . It follows that m = 1, i.e. that g has order p. Thus G has exponent p.

Let now K be  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$ ; we say that two elements, g and h, of G are K-conjugate if there exists a  $k \in K$  such that  $g^k$  and h are conjugate by an element of G. By the theory of characters for finite representations (see [4, Section 12.3]), the number of representations of G which are irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is equal to the number of K-conjugation classes of G. Now, let g be a non-trivial element of G and assume that it is conjugate to  $g^k$ , for some  $k \in K$ . This means that there exists an element h in G such that conjugation by h maps g to  $g^k$ . This implies that conjugation by  $h^p$  maps g to  $g^{k^p} = g^k$ ; on the other hand  $h^p$  is the neuter element, thus  $g^k = g$ . This shows that any two distinct powers of a same element are not conjugate, and that every K-conjugation class of G - except the class of the identity element - is the union of g - 1 distinct conjugation classes of G. In other words, every  $\mathbb{Q}$ -irreducible representation of G is equivalent to the direct sum of the distinct conjugates of some  $\mathbb{C}$ -irreducible representation of G.

Now, if the group G was non-commutative, its faithful representation G would contain an irreducible representation of degree  $d \ge p$ , thus also a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -irreducible representation of degree  $(p-1)d \ge (p-1)p > n$ , which is not possible. This implies that G is an abelian group.

By the classification of abelian groups, we obtain that G is isomorphic to the direct product of b copies of  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ , for some integer b. Note that any faithful representation of G over  $\mathbb{C}$  has order at least b, and that any faithful representation of G over  $\mathbb{Q}$  has order at least b(p-1). Then  $b \leq n/(p-1)$ .

For the rest of this section, we shall assume the hypotesis of Theorem 1, that is, we have a prime number  $p \neq 2$ , an integer n < 3(p-1), and a p-group  $G < \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ .

We remark that, when G is a cyclic group, the theorem is trivially true. Applying Lemma 4, we obtain that G is cyclic (and the theorem is proved), except for the case  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ , where  $2(p-1) \leqslant n < 3(p-1)$ . Let us put ourselves in this case.

Note that the proof of Lemma 4 shows that the representation G is the direct sum of two distinct  $\mathbb{Q}$ -irreducible representations of order p-1 and (n-2(p-1)) copies of the trivial representation.

We remark that, after a base-change to the p-th cyclotomic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ , the representation G could be written in diagonal form, as a direct sum

of its irreducible subrepresentations. Also, after a base-change to  $\mathbb{Q}$ , the representation G could be written as a direct sum of its  $\mathbb{Q}$ -irreducible subrepresentations. Since we are dealing with the action of G on  $\mathbb{F}_p^n$ , though, we shall restrict to base-changes to  $\mathbb{Z}$ , which are preserved under reduction modulo p.

Consider the lattice  $\mathsf{N} := \mathbb{Z}^n$ ; it contains a sublattice  $\mathsf{M}$  that is fixed by G: it is the intersection of  $\mathsf{N}$  with the subspace  $(\mathbb{Q}^n)^G$  of vectors which are invariant by G. We fix a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis for  $\mathsf{M}$  and we apply a result on lattices (see [1, Cor. 3 to Thm. 1, Ch. 1]) to extend it to a basis of  $\mathsf{N}$ : this splits the lattice as  $\mathsf{N} = \mathsf{M} \oplus \mathsf{L}$ . Now, let  $\rho$  be one of the two non-trivial,  $\mathbb{Q}$ -irreducible subrepresentations of G, and let H be its kernel. Repeating the above argument on the restriction of H to  $\mathsf{L}$ , we determine a basis for  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  that allows us to write  $\mathsf{N}$  in the form  $\mathsf{N}^{(1)} \oplus \mathsf{N}^{(2)} \oplus \mathsf{N}^{(3)}$ . Using this new basis, we can assume that every element g of G is of the form

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} I & A_g & B_g \\ O & M_g & C_g \\ O & O & N_g \end{pmatrix},$$

where M and N are the two  $\mathbb{Q}$ -irreducible representations of G of order p-1. In particular, we can choose generators  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  for G of the forms

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} I & A_{\sigma} & B_{\sigma} \\ O & M & C_{\sigma} \\ O & O & I \end{pmatrix}; \qquad \tau = \begin{pmatrix} I & A_{\tau} & B_{\tau} \\ O & I & C_{\tau} \\ O & O & N \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that the eigenvalues of M are the p-1 distinct p-th roots of unity. This implies that the minimal polynomial of M is  $(x^p-1)/(x-1)$  and that the determinant of M-I is p.

Over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , the matrix M solves the polynomial  $(x-1)^{p-1}$ . Its minimal polynomial is thus of the form  $(x-1)^s$ , for some s < p. This implies that  $(M-I)^s$  has all entries in  $p\mathbb{Z}$ , so that p divides every column of  $(M-I)^s$ . Then  $p^{p-1}$  divides its determinant,  $\det(M-I)^s = p^s$ ; it follows that, over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , the minimal polynomial of M is  $(x-1)^{p-1}$  and M is a Jordan block. In particular we deduce the following proposition.

**Proposition 5.** Let M be as above. For every two non-negative integers i and j with i+j=p-1, the image of  $(M-I)^i$  is the kernel of  $(M-I)^j$ , i.e. for every vector  $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{p-1}$ 

$$(M-I)^j A \equiv O \pmod{p} \iff \exists B \in \mathbb{Z}^{p-1} \mid A \equiv (M-I)^i B \pmod{p}.$$

The same holds for N.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This immediately extends to matrices  $(p-1) \times m$ , for any positive integer m.

We remark that a direct computation of  $\sigma \tau = \tau \sigma$  provides

$$\sigma\tau = \begin{pmatrix} I & A_{\sigma} & \star \\ O & M & C_{\sigma} + C_{\tau} \\ O & O & N \end{pmatrix}$$

and the relations

(1) 
$$A_{\tau} = O$$
,  $(M - I)C_{\tau} = -C_{\sigma}(N - I)$ ,  $B_{\sigma} = A_{\sigma}(M - I)^{-1}C_{\sigma}$ .

Let now  $\tilde{Z}$  be a  $(G, \mathbb{F}_p^n)$ -cocycle that satisfies the local conditions. Then for every g in G there exists a  $\tilde{W}_g$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p^n$  such that  $\tilde{Z}_g \equiv g\tilde{W}_g - \tilde{W}_g \pmod{p}$ ; we choose representants  $W_g$  of  $\tilde{W}_g$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  and we define  $Z_g := gW_g - W_g$  for every g in G. Note that  $\tilde{Z}_g \equiv Z_g \pmod{p}$  for every g in G.

Modulo a coboundary we can assume  $Z_{\tau} \equiv O \pmod{p}$ . This implies, by the cocycle relation,  $Z_{\sigma\tau} \equiv Z_{\sigma} + \sigma Z_{\tau} \equiv Z_{\sigma} \pmod{p}$ . By definition,  $Z_{\sigma}$  and  $Z_{\sigma\tau}$  are:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
Z_{\sigma}^{(1)} \\
Z_{\sigma}^{(2)} \\
Z_{\sigma}^{(3)}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
A_{\sigma}W_{\sigma}^{(2)} + B_{\sigma}W_{\sigma}^{(3)} \\
(M - I)W_{\sigma}^{(2)} + C_{\sigma}W_{\sigma}^{(3)}
\end{pmatrix};$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}
Z_{\sigma\tau}^{(1)} \\
Z_{\sigma\tau}^{(2)} \\
Z_{\sigma\tau}^{(3)}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
\star \\
(M - I)W_{\sigma\tau}^{(2)} + (C_{\sigma} + C_{\tau})W_{\sigma\tau}^{(3)} \\
(N - I)W_{\sigma\tau}^{(3)}
\end{pmatrix}.$$

We remark that  $(N-I)W_{\sigma\tau}^{(3)} \equiv O \pmod{p}$ ; by Proposition 5, this implies that  $W_{\sigma\tau}^{(3)} \equiv (N-I)^{p-2}\tilde{R} \pmod{p}$ , for some  $\tilde{R}$  with entries in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . It follows that, modulo p,  $(M-I)^{p-2}Z_{\sigma\tau}^{(2)}$  is of the form

$$(M-I)^{p-1}W_{\sigma\tau}^{(2)} + (M-I)^{p-2}(C_{\sigma} + C_{\tau})(N-I)^{p-2}\tilde{R}.$$

Applying the second relation in (1) and  $(M-I)^{p-1} \equiv (N-I)^{p-1} \equiv O$ , we obtain  $(M-I)^{p-2}Z_{\sigma\tau}^{(2)} \equiv O \pmod p$ . Applying Proposition 5 to  $Z_{\sigma}^{(2)}$  (or to  $Z_{\sigma\tau}^{(2)}$ ) we obtain  $Z_{\sigma}^{(2)} \equiv (M-I)\tilde{S} \pmod p$ , for some  $\tilde{S}$  with entries in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Let S be any representant of  $\tilde{S}$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$ ; since the entries of  $Z_{\sigma}^{(2)} - (M-I)S$  are all divisible by p and since (M-I) has determinant p, we may assume  $Z_{\sigma}^{(2)} = (M-I)S$ . Thus we have

$$Z_{\sigma}^{(1)} = A_{\sigma}(M-I)^{-1}Z_{\sigma}^{(2)} = A_{\sigma}S.$$

Taking  $V = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ S \\ O \end{pmatrix}$ , we have  $Z_{\sigma} = \sigma V - V$  and  $Z_{\tau} \equiv \tau V - V \pmod{p}$ . This implies that  $\tilde{Z}$  is a  $(G, \mathbb{F}_p^n)$ -coboundary, concluding the proof of Theorem 1.

## 3. A counterexample

In this section we shall prove Proposition 2. Let  $p \neq 2$  be a prime and let  $n \ge 3(p-1)$  be an integer. As we have said in Section 1, we can assume n=3(p-1). We are going to define a p-group G of matrices in  $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ and a  $(G, \mathbb{F}_p^n)$ -cocycle Z that satisfies the local conditions without being a coboundary.

Let  $M \in \mathrm{SL}_{p-1}(\mathbb{Z})$  be a matrix with minimal polynomial  $(x^p-1)/(x-1)$ (for instance, the Frobenius matrix of this polynomial). Note that M satisfies Proposition 5, as in the previous section. Let now  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  be vectors in  $\mathbb{Z}^{p-1}$  such that

$$\mathbf{u} \not\equiv O \pmod{p},$$
  $\mathbf{v} \not\equiv O \pmod{p};$   $(M-I)\mathbf{u} \equiv O \pmod{p},$   $\mathbf{v}^t(M-I) \equiv O \pmod{p}.$ 

We define the matrix  $X := \frac{1}{p}\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}^t$ , with entries in  $\mathbb{Q}$ ; note that its entries are not all in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . We also define the matrices A := (M - I)X and B := X(I - M), with entries in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Let G be the group generated by the matrices  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  defined as

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} M & O & A \\ & M & A \\ & & I \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \qquad \tau = \begin{pmatrix} I & O & B \\ & M & A + B \\ & & M \end{pmatrix};$$

it is easily verified that G is a subgroup of  $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$  and that the map

$$(i,j) \mapsto \sigma^i \tau^j = \begin{pmatrix} M^i & O & M^i X - X M^j \\ M^{i+j} & M^{i+j} X - X M^j \\ M^j \end{pmatrix}$$

provides an isomorphism  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Lemma 6.** There exist vectors  $\mathbf{r}$ ,  $\mathbf{s}$  and  $\mathbf{t}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^{p-1}$  such that:

$$B\mathbf{t} \equiv (M - I)\mathbf{r} \not\equiv O \qquad (\text{mod } p),$$
  

$$(M - I)B\mathbf{t} \equiv O \qquad (\text{mod } p),$$
  

$$(A + B)\mathbf{t} \equiv (M - I)\mathbf{s} \qquad (\text{mod } p).$$

*Proof.* Assume  $B(M-I)^{p-2} \equiv O \pmod{p}$ . Then by Proposition 5 there exists an integer matrix  $X_0$  with  $B \equiv X_0(M-I) \pmod{p}$ ; since (M-I)has determinant p, this implies that  $X = -B(M-I)^{-1}$  is an integer matrix, which is absurd. Thus  $B(M-I)^{p-2} \not\equiv O \pmod p$ . We take a vector  $\mathbf{t}_0$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^{p-1}$  with  $B(M-I)^{p-2}\mathbf{t}_0 \not\equiv O \pmod p$  and we

define  $\mathbf{t} = (M - I)^{p-2}\mathbf{t}_0$ ; then  $B\mathbf{t} \not\equiv O \pmod{p}$ .

By definition of A and B we have (M-I)B = -A(M-I). Together with  $(M-I)^{p-1} \equiv O \pmod{p}$ , this implies

$$(M-I)B(M-I)^{p-2} \equiv (M-I)^{p-2}A(M-I) \equiv O \pmod{p}.$$

Then  $(M-I)B\mathbf{t} \equiv O \pmod{p}$  and  $(M-I)^{p-2}(A+B)\mathbf{t} \equiv O \pmod{p}$ ; we conclude by Proposition 5.

**Proposition 7.** The vectors  $Z_{\sigma}^{(1)} := O$  and  $Z_{\tau}^{(1)} := B\mathbf{t}$  define a  $(G, \mathbb{F}_p^n)$ -cocycle  $Z \equiv \begin{pmatrix} Z_{\sigma}^{(1)} \\ O \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p}$  that is not a  $(G, \mathbb{F}_p^n)$ -coboundary.

*Proof.* To show that Z is a cocycle we only need to verify, on  $Z^{(1)}$ , the cocycle conditions derived from the relations  $\sigma^p = I$ ,  $\tau^p = I$  and  $\sigma \tau = \tau \sigma$ :

$$Z_{\sigma^p}^{(1)} - Z_I^{(1)} \equiv (M^{p-1} + \dots + M + I)Z_{\sigma}^{(1)} \equiv O \pmod{p};$$

$$Z_{\tau^p}^{(1)} - Z_I^{(1)} \equiv p Z_{\tau}^{(1)} \equiv O$$
 (mod  $p$ );

$$Z_{\sigma\tau}^{(1)} - Z_{\tau\sigma}^{(1)} \equiv (M - I)Z_{\tau}^{(1)} \equiv O$$
 (mod  $p$ ).

If Z was a coboundary, then there would exist a vector W in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  such that  $Z_g \equiv (g - I)W \pmod{p}$  for every g in G; computing  $Z_{\sigma}$  and  $Z_{\tau}$ , we would obtain

$$Z_{\sigma}^{(2)} \equiv (M - I)W^{(2)} + AW^{(3)} \tag{mod } p),$$

$$Z_{\tau}^{(1)} \equiv BW^{(3)} \tag{mod } p),$$

$$Z_{\tau}^{(2)} \equiv (M - I)W^{(2)} + AW^{(3)} + BW^{(3)}$$
 (mod  $p$ ),

which is absurd, since  $Z_{\tau}^{(2)} \equiv Z_{\sigma}^{(2)} \equiv O \pmod{p}$  and  $Z_{\tau}^{(1)} \not\equiv O \pmod{p}$ .  $\square$ 

It now remains to be shown that Z satisfies the local conditions, i.e. that for every g in G there exists a  $W_g$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p^n$  such that  $Z_g \equiv (g-I)W_g \pmod{p}$ .

Over  $\tau$  we have

$$(\tau - I) \begin{pmatrix} O \\ -\mathbf{s} \\ \mathbf{t} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} O & O & B \\ O & M - I & A + B \\ O & O & M - I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} O \\ -\mathbf{s} \\ \mathbf{t} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} Z_{\tau}^{(1)} \\ O \\ O \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p}$$

For every  $i \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$  we have  $Z_{\tau^i \sigma}^{(1)} \equiv i Z_{\tau}^{(1)} + Z_{\sigma}^{(1)} \equiv i B \mathbf{t} \pmod{p}$ ; then

$$(\sigma \tau^{i} - I) \begin{pmatrix} i\mathbf{r} \\ O \\ O \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} M - I & \star & \star \\ O & \star & \star \\ O & O & \star \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} i\mathbf{r} \\ O \\ O \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} Z_{\sigma \tau^{i}}^{(1)} \\ O \\ O \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p}$$

Since  $\tau$  and the  $\sigma \tau^i$  with  $i \in \mathbb{F}_p$  are the generators of all non-trivial cyclic subgroups of G, this shows that Z satisfies the local conditions. This completes the proof of Proposition 2.

#### References

- [1] J. W. S. CASSELS, An introduction to the Geometry of Numbers. Springer, 1997.
- [2] R. DVORNICICH, U. ZANNIER, Local-global divisibility of rational points in some commutative algebraic groups. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **129** (2001), no. 3, 317–338.

- [3] R. DVORNICICH, U. ZANNIER, On a local-global principle for the divisibility of a rational point by a positive integer. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **39** (2007), 27–34.
- [4] J.-P. Serre, Représentations linéaires des groupes finis. Hermann, 1967.

Marco Illengo Scuola Normale Superiore Piazza dei Cavalieri 7 56126 Pisa, Italia

 $E\text{-}mail\text{:} \\ \texttt{marco.illengo@sns.it}$