

JOURNAL

de Théorie des Nombres
de BORDEAUX

anciennement Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux

Alina BUCUR et Kiran S. KEDLAYA

The probability that a complete intersection is smooth

Tome 24, n° 3 (2012), p. 541-556.

http://jtnb.cedram.org/item?id=JTNB_2012__24_3_541_0

© Société Arithmétique de Bordeaux, 2012, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux » (<http://jtnb.cedram.org/>), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://jtnb.cedram.org/legal/>). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie de cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation à fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

cedram

Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du
Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques
<http://www.cedram.org/>

The probability that a complete intersection is smooth

par ALINA BUCUR et KIRAN S. KEDLAYA

RÉSUMÉ. Étant donné un sous-schéma lisse d'un espace projectif sur un corps fini, nous calculons la probabilité que son intersection avec un nombre fixe d'hypersurfaces de grand degré soit lisse de la dimension attendue. Cela généralise le cas d'une seule hypersurface, considéré par Poonen. Nous utilisons ce résultat pour donner un modèle probabiliste pour le nombre de points rationnels d'une telle intersection complète. Un corollaire un peu surprenant est que le nombre de points rationnels sur une intersection lisse de deux surfaces de l'espace projectif de dimension 3 est strictement inférieur au nombre de points sur la droite projective.

ABSTRACT. Given a smooth subscheme of a projective space over a finite field, we compute the probability that its intersection with a fixed number of hypersurface sections of large degree is smooth of the expected dimension. This generalizes the case of a single hypersurface, due to Poonen. We use this result to give a probabilistic model for the number of rational points of such a complete intersection. A somewhat surprising corollary is that the number of rational points on a random smooth intersection of two surfaces in projective 3-space is strictly less than the number of points on the projective line.

1. Introduction and results

One of the classical Bertini theorems states that if a subscheme X of a projective space over an infinite field is smooth, then so is any sufficiently general hyperplane section of X . It follows that if $\dim(X) = m$, then for any $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, the intersection of X with k sufficiently general hyperplanes is smooth of dimension $m - k$.

No meaningful analogue of this assertion exists over a finite field: the set of available hyperplanes is finite, so the set of “sufficiently general” hyperplanes may be empty. Katz proposed to address this issue by considering not just hyperplanes but hypersurfaces. For a single hypersurface of large

degree, the probability that the intersection with X is smooth of the correct dimension was computed by Poonen [11, Theorem 1.1].

Theorem 1.1 (Poonen). *Let X be a smooth quasiprojective subscheme of dimension $m \geq 1$ of the projective space \mathbb{P}^n over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q . For d a nonnegative integer, let S_d be the set of homogeneous polynomials of degree d on \mathbb{P}^n . Let \mathcal{P}_d be the set of $f \in S_d$ for which the hypersurface H_f defined by f intersects X in a smooth scheme of dimension $m - 1$. Then*

$$(1.1.1) \quad \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\mathcal{P}_d}{\#S_d} = \zeta_X(m + 1)^{-1}.$$

In particular, there are infinitely many hypersurfaces whose intersection with X is smooth of dimension $m - 1$; this corollary was established independently by Gabber [5, Corollary 1.6].

The equality (1.1.1) is predicted by the following heuristic argument. The zeta function ζ_X is defined as the Euler product

$$\zeta_X(s) = \prod_{x \in X^\circ} (1 - q^{-s \deg(x)})^{-1},$$

in which X° denotes the set of closed points of X , and $\deg(x)$ denotes the degree of x over \mathbb{F}_q . One shows easily that the product converges absolutely at $s = m + 1$. The right side of (1.1.1) is thus equal to the product of $(1 - q^{-(m+1)\deg(x)})$ over all $x \in X^\circ$; this factor computes the probability that (in some local coordinates) the value and partial derivatives of f do not all vanish at x . This is precisely the probability that $H_f \cap X$ is smooth of dimension $m - 1$ at x , provided that we follow the convention of [11] that a scheme is considered to be smooth of any dimension at a point it does not contain. With this convention, $H_f \cap X$ is smooth if and only if it is smooth at each $x \in X^\circ$, so (1.1.1) asserts that the local smoothness conditions behave asymptotically as if they were completely independent, even though they are in fact only independent in small batches (see Lemma 2.1). A related phenomenon is that the probability that a positive integer N is squarefree is $6/\pi^2 = 1/\zeta(2) = \prod_p (1 - p^{-2})$, where $1 - p^{-2}$ is the probability that N is not divisible by p^2 ; in fact, one can formulate a conjectural common generalization of this statement and Theorem 1.1 [11, §5].

The purpose of this paper is to generalize Poonen’s theorem to the case of complete intersections. Namely, for $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_k)$ a k -tuple of positive integers, write $S_{\mathbf{d}}$ for the product $S_{d_1} \times \dots \times S_{d_k}$. For each $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_k) \in S_{\mathbf{d}}$, write $H_{\mathbf{f}}$ for $H_{f_1} \cap \dots \cap H_{f_k}$. We are now interested in the probability that for $\mathbf{f} \in S_{\mathbf{d}}$ chosen uniformly, $H_{\mathbf{f}} \cap X$ is smooth of dimension $m - k$. For $H_{\mathbf{f}} \cap X$ to be smooth of dimension $m - k$ at x , either f_1, \dots, f_k must not all vanish at x , or they must all vanish and have linearly independent gradients. This event occurs with probability $1 - q^{-k \deg(x)} + q^{-k \deg(x)} L(q^{\deg(x)}, m, k)$,

where

$$L(q, m, k) = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (1 - q^{-(m-j)})$$

denotes the probability that k randomly chosen vectors in \mathbb{F}_q^m are linearly independent. One thus expects that in the limit as $d_1, \dots, d_k \rightarrow \infty$, the probability that $H_{\mathbf{f}} \cap X$ is smooth tends to the product of these local probabilities.

Before stating this as a theorem, we follow Poonen [11, Theorem 1.2] by introducing the option to modify finitely many local conditions. Identify the set $S_{\mathbf{d}}$ with the sections over \mathbb{P}^n of the vector bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(\mathbf{d}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d_i)$. We may impose modified local conditions at a finite set of closed points by forming a subscheme Z of X supported at those points, then specifying the possible images of \mathbf{f} under the restriction map $H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(\mathbf{d})) \rightarrow H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(\mathbf{d}))$.

In terms of these notations, we have the following theorem. We make the error bound explicit for possible use in some applications, as in [4], and to clarify the extent to which the degrees must simultaneously tend to infinity (the largest degree must grow subexponentially compared to the smallest degree).

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a quasiprojective subscheme of dimension $m \geq 0$ of the projective space \mathbb{P}^n over some finite field \mathbb{F}_q of characteristic p . Let \bar{X} denote the Zariski closure of X in \mathbb{P}^n . Let Z be a finite subscheme of X for which $U = X \setminus Z$ is smooth of dimension m , and define $z = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$. Choose an integer $k \in \{1, \dots, m + 1\}$, a tuple $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_k)$ of positive integers with $z \leq d_1 \leq \dots \leq d_k$, and a subset T of $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(\mathbf{d}))$. (Note that $\#H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(\mathbf{d})) = q^z$.) Put*

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{d}} = \{\mathbf{f} \in S_{\mathbf{d}} : H_{\mathbf{f}} \cap U \text{ is smooth of dimension } m - k, \text{ and } \mathbf{f}|_Z \in T\}.$$

Then

$$(1.2.1) \quad \frac{\#\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{d}}}{\#S_{\mathbf{d}}} = \frac{\#T}{q^z} \prod_{x \in U^\circ} \left(1 - q^{-k \deg(x)} + q^{-k \deg(x)} L(q^{\deg(x)}, m, k) \right) + O((d_1 - z + 1)^{-(2k-1)/m} + d_k^m q^{-d_1/\max\{m+1, p\}}),$$

where the implied constant is an increasing function of $n, m, k, \deg(\bar{X})$.

Note that for $k > m$, we only admit \mathbf{f} when $H_{\mathbf{f}} \cap U$ is empty, and the product in (1.2.1) equals $\prod_{x \in U^\circ} (1 - q^{-k \deg(x)}) = \zeta_U(k)^{-1}$. Consequently, the probability that a single hypersurface section of X is smooth is the same as the probability that $m + 1$ hypersurface sections of X have empty intersection; this is analogous to the fact that the probability that a single positive integer is squarefree is the same as the probability that two positive integers are relatively prime. (One can again formulate a conjectural

common generalization; see Section 4.) By contrast, for $1 < k < m + 1$, numerical evidence suggests that the product over $x \in U^\circ$ is not a rational number. If that is correct, then this product cannot admit an interpretation as an evaluation of a zeta function, or more generally as an evaluation of the L -function of an étale sheaf of rank k .

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is an extension of Poonen’s proof of Theorem 1.1. It is a sieving argument that separately treats the points of X° of low, medium, and high degree (where these ranges are defined in terms of d_1, \dots, d_k). For points in the low range (including the points of Z), one shows that the local conditions are indeed independent. For a single point in the middle range, one similarly shows that singularities manifest with the probability predicted by the local factor. One no longer has independence of these local conditions, but they together contribute so little to the product that they can be controlled by crude estimates. For points in the high range, there are too many points to control by such crude arguments. Instead, we use Poonen’s clever device of writing the f_i so as to partially decouple the low-order Taylor coefficients; one then bounds the effect of the points of high degree using Bézout’s theorem. (This trick is the cause of the explicit appearance of p in the error term, as it relies on the fact that the derivative of a p -th power vanishes in characteristic p .)

Note that Theorem 1.2 is not needed to deduce the existence of k hypersurfaces whose joint intersection with X is smooth of dimension $m - k$, as this follows by induction from Poonen’s original theorem. Our intended application of Theorem 1.2 is to compute the distribution of the number of rational points on a random smooth complete intersection, via the following immediate corollary of Theorem 1.2.

Corollary 1.3. *Let X be a smooth quasiprojective subscheme of dimension m of the projective space \mathbb{P}^n over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q . Let \bar{X} denote the Zariski closure of X in \mathbb{P}^n . Let $y_1, \dots, y_g, z_1, \dots, z_h$ be distinct \mathbb{F}_q -rational points of X . Choose an integer $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and a tuple $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_k)$ of positive integers with $g + h - 1 \leq d_1 \leq \dots \leq d_k$. Then the probability that for $\mathbf{f} \in S_{\mathbf{d}}$, $H_{\mathbf{f}} \cap X$ is smooth of dimension $m - k$ and contains y_1, \dots, y_g but not z_1, \dots, z_h equals*

$$(1.3.1) \quad \prod_{x \in X^\circ} \left(1 - q^{-k \deg(x)} + q^{-k \deg(x)} L(q^{\deg(x)}, m, k) \right) \left(\frac{q^{-k} L(q, m, k)}{1 - q^{-k} + q^{-k} L(q, m, k)} \right)^g \left(\frac{1 - q^{-k}}{1 - q^{-k} + q^{-k} L(q, m, k)} \right)^h + O((d_1 - g - h + 1)^{-(2k-1)/m} + d_k^m q^{-d_1/\max\{m+1,p\}}),$$

where the implied constant is an increasing function of $n, m, k, \deg(\bar{X})$.

By Corollary 1.3, over a fixed field \mathbb{F}_q , in the limit as all of the d_i tend to infinity in such a way that $d_k^m q^{-d_1/(m+1)} \rightarrow 0$, the number of \mathbb{F}_q -rational points on a smooth complete intersection of hypersurfaces on X of degrees d_1, \dots, d_k is distributed as the sum of $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ independent identically distributed Bernoulli random variables, each taking the value 1 with probability $q^{-k}L(q, m, k)/(1 - q^{-k} + q^{-k}L(q, m, k))$ and 0 otherwise. One can also take the double limit as d_1, \dots, d_k and q all go to infinity, but only in a suitable range. The limiting distribution is, by the Central Limit Theorem, a standard Gaussian. We would like to remark that the limited range in which the CLT applies is probably an artifact of the shape of our error term, and not an intrinsic phenomenon. In the case of plane curves (i.e., $X = \mathbb{P}^n, n = 2, k = 1$), this was established by Bucur, David, Feigon, and Lalín [4] by essentially the method used here (namely, by making explicit the implied error terms in [11]). Analogous results had been previously obtained for hyperelliptic curves by Kurlberg and Rudnick [7], and for cyclic covers of \mathbb{P}^1 of prime degree by Bucur, David, Feigon, and Lalín [3]; in those cases, the error analysis is somewhat simpler (and the error bounds somewhat sharper) because one can enforce smoothness simply by being careful about ramification.

Corollary 1.3 has in turn the following consequence which we find mildly counterintuitive, and which prompted the writing of this paper. For a random smooth plane curve of degree d in \mathbb{P}^2 , the average number of \mathbb{F}_q -rational points tends to

$$(q^2 + q + 1) \frac{q^{-1}(1 - q^{-2})}{1 - q^{-3}} = q + 1$$

as d tends to infinity; in other words, the average trace of Frobenius over smooth plane curves of degree d in \mathbb{P}^2 tends to 0. (The same conclusion holds for a random hyperelliptic curve, by the trivial argument of pairing each curve with its quadratic twist.) On the other hand, for a random smooth intersection of two hypersurfaces of degrees d_1, d_2 in \mathbb{P}^3 , the average number of \mathbb{F}_q -rational points tends to

$$(q^3 + q^2 + q + 1) \frac{q^{-2}(1 - q^{-3})(1 - q^{-2})}{1 - q^{-2} + q^{-2}(1 - q^{-3})(1 - q^{-2})} = q + 1 - \frac{q^{-2}(1 + q^{-1})}{1 + q^{-2} - q^{-5}}$$

as d_1, d_2 tend to infinity appropriately. This limit is less than $q + 1$, despite the fact that the range $[0, q^3 + q^2 + q + 1]$ for the number of points is much wider on the side greater than $q + 1$! The limit does tend to $q + 1$ as q grows, but for small q the difference between the two is large enough to be observed experimentally in computer simulations. (For instance, for $q = 2$ the average is $37/13 < 2.847$.) The same sort of computation shows that the average number of points over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} of such a curve is

$$q^2 + q - q^{-1} + O(q^{-2}).$$

This is consistent with Brock-Granville excess for q large (see [2]).

More generally, for a random smooth intersection of $n - 1$ hypersurfaces of degrees d_1, \dots, d_{n-1} in \mathbb{P}^n , in the limit as $d_1, \dots, d_{n-1} \rightarrow \infty$ appropriately, the number of \mathbb{F}_q -rational points is distributed as the sum of $(q^{n+1} - 1)/(q - 1)$ i.i.d. Bernoulli trials, each taking the value 1 with probability $q^{1-n}L(q, n, n - 1)/(1 - q^{1-n} + q^{1-n}L(q, n, n - 1))$ and 0 otherwise. Hence the average number of \mathbb{F}_q -points on such a smooth curve is equal to

$$(q + 1) - (q + 1)(1 - q^{1-n}) \frac{1 - (1 - q^{-n}) \cdots (1 - q^{-3})}{1 - q^{1-n} + q^{1-n}(1 - q^{-n}) \cdots (1 - q^{-2})} < q + 1,$$

and it is of size $(q + 1)(1 + O(q^{-3}))$. For fixed q and varying n , the average appears to decrease as n increases (though we did not check this rigorously); it is easy to see that the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ is

$$(q + 1) \prod_{n=3}^{\infty} (1 - q^{-n}) = q^{1+1/24} (1 - q^{-1})^{-2} \eta(q^{-1}),$$

where η is the classical Dedekind eta function.

Even more generally, for a random smooth intersection of hypersurfaces of degrees d_1, \dots, d_k in \mathbb{P}^n , the average number of \mathbb{F}_q -rational points tends to $q^{n-k} + q^{n-k-1} + \dots + 1$ if $k = 1$, but to a limit strictly less than $q^{n-k} + q^{n-k-1} + \dots + 1$ if $k > 1$. This can be seen as follows. One would get a limiting average of exactly $q^{n-k} + q^{n-k-1} + \dots + 1$ if the local condition for smoothness at a point x were that the first-order Taylor approximations of f_1, \dots, f_k had to be linearly independent. For $k = 1$ this is the correct local condition, but for $k > 1$, this condition is too restrictive when the sections do not all vanish at x . (One possible explanation is that for $k > 1$, the intersection of the hypersurfaces can be smooth without being geometrically integral. However, we suspect that this occurs with probability 0 as the d_i tend to infinity, and so does not account for the discrepancy.)

One can obtain other limiting distributions by considering families of different shapes. For example, Wood [12] has shown that the average number of \mathbb{F}_q -points on a random trigonal curve over \mathbb{F}_q is slightly more than $q + 1$, whereas the average number of \mathbb{F}_q -points of a random cyclic trigonal curve is exactly $q + 1$ (as seen by averaging each curve with its cubic twist). In a different direction, Kurlberg and Wigman [8] construct a sequence of surfaces in which (for q fixed) the average number of \mathbb{F}_q -points of a random curve section becomes unbounded, and in fact the number of points has a Gaussian distribution; this is arranged by choosing surfaces with many \mathbb{F}_q -rational points, by taking restrictions of scalars of curves which have many points over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} (e.g., classical or Drinfel'd modular curves). The example considered in the present paper is, to date, the only known family of curves that occurs naturally (starting with the projective space, and not

some space with few points) for which the average number of points is less than $q + 1$.

Acknowledgments. Thanks to Nick Katz, Bjorn Poonen, and Zeév Rudnick for helpful comments. We would also like to thank Melanie Matchett Wood for catching some errors in an earlier version of the paper.

Bucur was supported by NSF grant DMS-0652529 and the Institute for Advanced Study (NSF grant DMS-0635607).

Kedlaya was supported by DARPA grant HR0011-09-1-0048, NSF CAREER grant DMS-0545904, MIT (NEC Fund, Cecil and Ida Green Career Development Professorship), and the Institute for Advanced Study (NSF grant DMS-0635607, James D. Wolfensohn Fund).

2. Proofs

As noted earlier, the proof of Theorem 1.2 is a sieve over X° similar to Poonen’s proof of Theorem 1.1, in which we separately analyze the contributions of points of low degree (including the points of Z), medium degree, and high degree. We analyze the points of low and medium degree using the following observation [11, Lemma 2.1].

Lemma 2.1. *Let Y be a finite closed subscheme of \mathbb{P}^n over \mathbb{F}_q . Then for any $d \geq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) - 1$, the restriction map $\phi_d : H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d)) \rightarrow H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(d))$ is surjective.*

This lemma has the following corollaries, following [11, Lemmas 2.2, 2.3].

Corollary 2.2. *For r a positive integer, let $U_{<r}^\circ$ be the subset of U° consisting of points of degree less than r , and define*

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{d},r} = \left\{ \mathbf{f} \in S_{\mathbf{d}} : \begin{array}{l} H_{\mathbf{f}} \cap U \text{ is smooth of dimension } m - k \\ \text{at all } x \in U_{<r}^\circ, \text{ and } \mathbf{f}|_Z \in T \end{array} \right\}.$$

For

$$(2.2.1) \quad d_1 \geq (m + 1) \sum_{x \in U_{<r}^\circ} \deg(x) + z - 1$$

(where $z = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(\mathbf{d}))$), we have

$$(2.2.2) \quad \frac{\#\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{d},r}}{\#S_{\mathbf{d}}} = \frac{\#T}{q^z} \prod_{x \in U_{<r}^\circ} \left(1 - q^{-k \deg(x)} + q^{-k \deg(x)} L(q^{\deg(x)}, m, k) \right).$$

Proof. Apply Lemma 2.1 to the union of Z with the subschemes defined by the square of the maximal ideal at each point of $U_{<r}^\circ$. □

Corollary 2.3. *For $x \in U^\circ$ of degree $e \leq d_1/(m + 1)$, the fraction of $\mathbf{f} \in S_{\mathbf{d}}$ for which $H_{\mathbf{f}} \cap U$ is smooth of dimension $m - k$ at x equals $1 - q^{-ke} + q^{-ke} L(q^e, m, k)$.*

Proof. Apply Lemma 2.1 to the subscheme defined by the square of the maximal ideal at x . □

The equation (2.2.2) accounts for the points of U of low degree, except that we need to control the difference between the products appearing on the right sides of (1.2.1) and (2.2.2). By doing so, we may also account for the points of medium degree.

Lemma 2.4. *For all positive integers e , $\#X(\mathbb{F}_{q^e}) \leq 2^m \deg(\overline{X})q^{me}$.*

Proof. See [9, Lemma 1]. □

Lemma 2.5. *Define*

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{d},r} = \{ \mathbf{f} \in S_{\mathbf{d}} : H_{\mathbf{f}} \cap U \text{ is not smooth of dimension } m - k \\ \text{at some } x \in U^\circ \text{ with } r \leq \deg(x) \leq d_1/(m + 1) \}.$$

Then $\#\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{d},r}/\#S_{\mathbf{d}}$ is bounded above by $2^{m+1} \deg(\overline{X})kq^{-r(2k-1)}$, as is the difference between the products appearing on the right sides of (1.2.1) and (2.2.2).

Proof. The second assertion is obtained by first observing that

$$L(q, m, k) \geq 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} q^{-m+j} \geq 1 - kq^{-m-k+1},$$

and then calculating (using Lemma 2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x \in U^\circ \setminus U_{<r}^\circ} q^{-k \deg(x)} - q^{-k \deg(x)} L(q^{\deg(x)}, m, k) \\ \leq \sum_{e=r}^{\infty} \#U(\mathbb{F}_{q^e}) k q^{-e(m+2k-1)} \\ \leq \sum_{e=r}^{\infty} 2^m \deg(\overline{X}) q^{em} k q^{-e(m+2k-1)} \\ = 2^m \deg(\overline{X}) k \frac{q^{-r(2k-1)}}{1 - q^{-2k+1}} \\ \leq 2^{m+1} \deg(\overline{X}) k q^{-r(2k-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

The first assertion follows from the same argument plus Corollary 2.3. □

It remains to control the points of high degree. This reduces easily to the case $k = 1$, which we treat following Poonen [11, Lemma 2.6].

Lemma 2.6. *Suppose that U is contained in the affine space with coordinates t_1, \dots, t_n , and dt_1, \dots, dt_m freely generate Ω_{U/\mathbb{F}_q} . Then for any positive integers d, e , for $f \in S_d$ chosen uniformly at random, the probability that there exists $x \in U^\circ$ for which $\deg(x) \geq e$ and $H_f \cap U$ is not smooth of dimension $m - 1$ at x is at most*

$$(m + 1) \deg(\bar{X}) \left((n - m) \deg(\bar{X}) + d - 1 \right)^m q^{-\min\{e, d/p\}}.$$

Proof. By dehomogenizing, we may identify S_d with the set of polynomials of degree at most d in t_1, \dots, t_n . We may choose $f \in S_d$ uniformly at random by choosing $f_0 \in S_d, g_1, \dots, g_m \in S_{\lfloor (d-1)/p \rfloor}, h \in S_{\lfloor d/p \rfloor}$ uniformly at random, then putting

$$f = f_0 + g_1^p t_1 + \dots + g_m^p t_m + h^p.$$

For $i = 0, \dots, m$, define

$$W_i = U \cap \left\{ \frac{\partial f}{\partial t_1} = \dots = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t_i} = 0 \right\},$$

defining the partial derivatives by writing df in terms of dt_1, \dots, dt_m . By choosing $n - m$ hypersurfaces of degree at most $\deg(\bar{X})$ which define linearly independent relations among dt_1, \dots, dt_n in Ω_{U/\mathbb{F}_q} and then applying Cramer's rule, we see that $\deg(\partial f / \partial t_i) \leq (n - m) \deg(\bar{X}) + (d - 1)$. Suppose $i \in \{0, \dots, m - 1\}$, and fix a choice of f_0, g_1, \dots, g_i for which $\dim(W_i) \leq m - i$. Let V_1, \dots, V_ℓ be the $(m - i)$ -dimensional irreducible components of $(W_i)_{\text{red}}$. By Bézout's theorem, we have $\ell \leq \deg(\bar{X}) \left((n - m) \deg(\bar{X}) + d - 1 \right)^i$. For each $j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$, we have $\dim(V_j) \geq 1$, so we can choose $s \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that the projection $x_s(V_j)$ has dimension 1. In particular, any nonzero polynomial in x_s of degree at most $\lfloor (d - 1)/p \rfloor$ cannot vanish on V_j . Since $\partial f / \partial t_{i+1} = \partial f_0 / \partial t_{i+1} + g_{i+1}^p$, the set of g_{i+1} for which $\partial f / \partial t_{i+1}$ vanishes on V_j is a coset of the subspace of $S_{\lfloor (d-1)/p \rfloor}$ consisting of functions vanishing on V_j ; we have just shown that this subspace has codimension at least $\lfloor (d-1)/p \rfloor + 1$. Hence the probability that $\partial f / \partial t_{i+1}$ vanishes on some component of $(W_i)_{\text{red}}$ is at most $\ell q^{-\lfloor (d-1)/p \rfloor - 1} \leq \deg(\bar{X}) \left((n - m) \deg(\bar{X}) + d - 1 \right)^i q^{-d/p}$.

Now fix a choice of f_0, g_1, \dots, g_m for which W_m is finite. As in the previous paragraph, W_m° consists of at most $\deg(\bar{X}) \left((n - m) \deg(\bar{X}) + d - 1 \right)^m$ points. If $x \in W_m^\circ$ has degree at least e , then the set of $h \in S_{\lfloor d/p \rfloor}$ for which f vanishes at x is a coset of a subspace of $S_{\lfloor d/p \rfloor}$ of codimension at least $\min\{e, \lfloor d/p \rfloor + 1\}$ [11, Lemma 2.5]. Thus the probability that $H_f \cap U$ fails to be smooth at some point of degree at least e is at most $\deg(\bar{X}) \left((n - m) \deg(\bar{X}) + d - 1 \right)^m q^{-\min\{e, d/p\}}$. This yields the claim. \square

Corollary 2.7. *Define the set*

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{d}}^{\text{high}} = \{\mathbf{f} \in S_{\mathbf{d}} : H_{\mathbf{f}} \cap U \text{ is not smooth of dimension } m - k \\ \text{at some } x \text{ with } \deg(x) > d_1/(m + 1)\}.$$

Then

$$\frac{\#\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{d}}^{\text{high}}}{\#S_{\mathbf{d}}} \leq k^m n^{2m} (m + 1) \deg(\overline{X})^{m+1} d_k^m q^{-\min\{d_1/(m+1), d_1/p\}}.$$

Proof. We may reduce to the case $U \subseteq \mathbb{A}_k^n$ at the cost of multiplying by a factor of $n + 1$ at the end. Suppose that for some $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, f_1, \dots, f_{i-1} have been chosen so that $H_{f_1} \cap \dots \cap H_{f_{i-1}} \cap U$ is smooth of dimension $m - i + 1$ at each $x \in U^\circ$ with $\deg(x) \geq d_1/(m + 1)$. Let Y be the open subscheme obtained from $H_{f_1} \cap \dots \cap H_{f_{i-1}} \cap U$ by removing all points at which $H_{f_1} \cap \dots \cap H_{f_{i-1}} \cap U$ fails to be smooth of dimension $m - i + 1$; then the Zariski closure \overline{Y} of Y has degree $\deg(\overline{X})d_1 \dots d_{k-1}$. We may cover Y with at most $\binom{n}{m-i}$ open subsets, on each of which the module of differentials is freely generated by some $(m - i)$ -element subset of dt_1, \dots, dt_n . We apply Lemma 2.6 to each of these sets, improving the bound slightly by noting that the relations among differentials used in Cramer’s rule may be generated by first generating relations for U , then adding the relations df_1, \dots, df_{i-1} . With this, we see that for $f_i \in S_{d_i}$ chosen uniformly at random, the probability that there exists $x \in U^\circ$ with $\deg(x) \geq d_1/(m + 1)$ such that $H_{f_1} \cap \dots \cap H_{f_i} \cap U$ is not smooth at x is bounded above by

$$\binom{n}{m-i} (m + 1) (\deg(\overline{X})d_1 \dots d_{i-1}) \times \\ \left(n \deg(\overline{X}) + \sum_{j=1}^i (d_j - 1) \right)^{m-i+1} q^{-\min\{d_1/(m+1), d_i/p\}} \\ \leq n^{2m} (m + 1) k^m \deg(\overline{X})^{m+1} d_k^m q^{-\min\{d_1/(m+1), d_1/p\}}.$$

This yields the desired bound. □

It remains to complete the proof of Theorem 1.2, by tuning the parameter r appearing in Corollary 2.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Note that for r a positive integer, by Lemma 2.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x \in U_{<r}^\circ} \deg(x) &\leq \sum_{e=1}^{r-1} \#U(\mathbb{F}_{q^e}) \\ &\leq \sum_{e=1}^{r-1} 2^m \deg(\overline{X}) q^{me} \\ &\leq 2^m \deg(\overline{X}) \frac{q^{m(r-1)}}{1 - q^{-m}} \\ &\leq 2^{m+1} \deg(\overline{X}) q^{m(r-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, if r is chosen so that

$$d_1 - z + 1 \geq (m + 1) 2^{m+1} \deg(\overline{X}) q^{m(r-1)},$$

then (2.2.1) will be satisfied. We thus put

$$r = 1 + \left\lceil \frac{1}{m} \log_q \frac{d_1 - z + 1}{(m + 1) 2^{m+1} \deg(\overline{X})} \right\rceil;$$

we may assume $r \geq 1$, as otherwise we may choose the implied constant in the big-O notation so that the contribution of $(d_1 - z + 1)^{-(2k-1)/m}$ bounds the error term. By Lemma 2.5 and Corollary 2.7,

$$\frac{\#\mathcal{P}_d}{\#S_d} - \frac{\#T}{q^z} \prod_{x \in U^\circ} \left(1 - q^{-k \deg(x)} + q^{-k \deg(x)} L(q^{\deg(x)}, m, k) \right)$$

is bounded in absolute value by

$$2^{m+2} \deg(\overline{X}) k q^{-r(2k-1)} + k^m n^{2m} (m + 1) \deg(\overline{X})^{m+1} d_k^m q^{-\min\{d_1/(m+1), d_1/p\}}.$$

By virtue of our choice of r , this gives a bound of the desired form. \square

To get Corollary 1.3 from Theorem 1.2, we follow the same procedure as in the proof of [4, Proposition 1.5]. First, apply Theorem 1.2 with $Z = \emptyset$ in order to compute

$$\frac{\#\{\mathbf{f} \in S_d : H_{\mathbf{f}} \cap U \text{ is smooth of dimension } m - k\}}{\#S_d}.$$

Next, take Z to be the disjoint union of one \mathfrak{m}_P^2 -neighborhood Z_P for each $P \in \{y_1, \dots, y_g, z_1, \dots, z_h\}$ and identify $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(\mathbf{d}))$ with $\prod_P H^0(Z_P, \mathcal{O}_{Z_P}(\mathbf{d}))$. Take T to be the set of tuples $(a_P)_P \in \prod_P H^0(Z_P, \mathcal{O}_{Z_P}(\mathbf{d}))$ with the following properties. For $P = y_1, \dots, y_g$, we require that $a_P \in \mathfrak{m}_P H^0(Z_P, \mathcal{O}_{Z_P}(\mathbf{d}))$ (that is, all of the sections vanish at P) and the individual factors of a_P are linearly independent (that is, the intersection of the sections at P is transversal). For $P = z_1, \dots, z_h$, we require that $a_P \notin \mathfrak{m}_P H^0(Z_P, \mathcal{O}_{Z_P}(\mathbf{d}))$ (that is, the sections do not all vanish

at P). Then apply Theorem 1.2 again to compute the probability that an element $\mathbf{f} \in S_{\mathbf{d}}$ gives an intersection $H_{\mathbf{f}} \cap X$ which is smooth of dimension $m - k$ passing through y_1, \dots, y_g and not through z_1, \dots, z_h . (Note that our choice of T ensures that the intersection is smooth not only on $H_{\mathbf{f}} \cap U$ but also at each point of Z .) Finally, taking the ratios of the two probabilities gives the desired result.

3. Distribution of number of rational points

We mentioned at the end of the introduction that Theorem 1.2 can be used to study the distribution of the number of \mathbb{F}_q -rational points on a random smooth complete intersection of k hypersurfaces with X . Let us make this a bit more explicit, in the manner of [4]. Although there is some leeway to vary X together with q, d_1, \dots, d_k , for simplicity we will instead fix a subscheme \mathfrak{X} of the projective space over \mathbb{Z} , and always take X to be the base change of \mathfrak{X} to \mathbb{F}_q . We assume further that each irreducible component of the generic fibre of \mathfrak{X} is geometrically irreducible; this guarantees that $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $q \rightarrow +\infty$. (One may instead fix a base finite field \mathbb{F}_{q_0} , consider only those q which are powers of q_0 , and take each X to be the base change of a fixed subscheme \mathfrak{X} of the projective space over \mathbb{F}_{q_0} . In this case, one should also assume that each irreducible component of \mathfrak{X} is geometrically irreducible.)

As stated before, the number of \mathbb{F}_q -rational points on a smooth complete intersection of hypersurfaces on X of degrees d_1, \dots, d_k is distributed, when the d 's get big enough, as the sum of $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ independent identically distributed Bernoulli random variables, each taking the value 1 with probability $q^{-k}L(q, m, k)/(1 - q^{-k} + q^{-k}L(q, m, k))$ and 0 otherwise. The expected value of this sum of random variables is

$$\#X(\mathbb{F}_q) \frac{q^{-k}L(q, m, k)}{1 - q^{-k} + q^{-k}L(q, m, k)},$$

and, since they are bounded real random variables, the normalized sum is distributed as a normal Gaussian when $q \rightarrow \infty$ by a suitable form of the central limit theorem [1, Theorem 27.2].

We would like to say the same about the number of points on the varieties in our family when d_1, \dots, d_k and q all go to infinity, which amounts to the computation of the moments. Denote

$$N_r(q, \mathbf{d}) = \frac{1}{\#S_{\mathbf{d}}^{\text{ns}}} \sum_{\mathbf{f} \in S_{\mathbf{d}}^{\text{ns}}} \left(\frac{\#(H_{\mathbf{f}} \cap X)(\mathbb{F}_q)}{\sqrt{\#X(\mathbb{F}_q)q^k}} \right)^r,$$

where $S_{\mathbf{d}}^{\text{ns}} = \{\mathbf{f} \in S_{\mathbf{d}}; H_{\mathbf{f}} \cap X \text{ is nonsingular}\}$. We can write

$$N_r(q, \mathbf{d}) = \frac{q^{-rk/2} \#X(\mathbb{F}_q)^{-r/2}}{\#S_{\mathbf{d}}^{\text{ns}}} \sum_{\mathbf{f} \in S_{\mathbf{d}}^{\text{ns}}} \left(\sum_{y \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)} S_{\mathbf{f}}(y) \right)^r,$$

where

$$(3.0.1) \quad S_{\mathbf{f}}(y) = \frac{1}{q^k} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q} e\left(\frac{tf_1(y)}{q}\right) \dots \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q} e\left(\frac{tf_k(y)}{q}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & y \in H_{\mathbf{f}}; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(Note that to give meaning to the evaluations $f_i(y)$, we must choose once for each $y \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ a set of homogeneous coordinates defining y .) Expanding the r -th power,

$$\begin{aligned} N_r(q, \mathbf{d}) &= \frac{q^{-rk/2} \#X(\mathbb{F}_q)^{-r/2}}{\#S_{\mathbf{d}}^{\text{ns}}} \sum_{y_1, \dots, y_r \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)} \sum_{\mathbf{f} \in S_{\mathbf{d}}^{\text{ns}}} S_{\mathbf{f}}(y_1) \dots S_{\mathbf{f}}(y_r) \\ &= \frac{q^{-rk/2} \#X(\mathbb{F}_q)^{-r/2}}{\#S_{\mathbf{d}}^{\text{ns}}} \times \\ &\quad \sum_{g=1}^{\min\{r, \#X(\mathbb{F}_q)\}} a(g, r) \sum_{(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{b}) \in P_{g,r}} \sum_{\mathbf{f} \in S_{\mathbf{d}}^{\text{ns}}} S_{\mathbf{f}}(y_1)^{b_1} \dots S_{\mathbf{f}}(y_g)^{b_g}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$P_{g,r} = \left\{ ((y_1, \dots, y_g), (b_1, \dots, b_g)) : \begin{array}{l} y_i \in X(\mathbb{F}_q) \text{ distinct, } b_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \\ b_1 + \dots + b_g = r \end{array} \right\},$$

while the $a(g, r)$ are certain combinatorial coefficients with the property that

$$\sum_{g=1}^r a(g, r) \sum_{(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{b}) \in P_{g,r}} 1 = \#X(\mathbb{F}_q)^r.$$

For each $(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{b}) \in P_{g,r}$, we have by (3.0.1)

$$(3.0.2) \quad \frac{1}{\#S_{\mathbf{d}}^{\text{ns}}} \sum_{\mathbf{f} \in S_{\mathbf{d}}^{\text{ns}}} S_{\mathbf{f}}(y_1)^{b_1} \dots S_{\mathbf{f}}(y_g)^{b_g} = \frac{\#\{\mathbf{f} \in S_{\mathbf{d}}^{\text{ns}}; y_1, \dots, y_g \in H_{\mathbf{f}}\}}{\#S_{\mathbf{d}}^{\text{ns}}}.$$

But Corollary 1.3, together with the same trick employed in [4, end of Section 2], implies that the probability that $H_{\mathbf{f}} \cap X$ is smooth and passes through a specified set y_1, \dots, y_g of distinct \mathbb{F}_q -rational points of X is equal to

$$(3.0.3) \quad \left(\frac{q^{-k}L(q, m, k)}{1 - q^{-k} + q^{-k}L(q, m, k)} \right)^g \times \left(1 + O\left(q^{kg} \left(q^{-kr} (d_1 - g + 1)^{-(2k-1)/m} + d_k^m q^{-d_1/\max\{m+1, p\}} \right) \right) \right).$$

Substituting (3.0.3) into (3.0.2), then plugging the result into the formula for $N_r(q, \mathbf{d})$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_r(q, \mathbf{d}) &= \frac{1}{q^{rk/2} \#X(\mathbb{F}_q)^r} \\
 &\times \sum_{g=1}^{\min\{r, \#X(\mathbb{F}_q)\}} a(g, r) \sum_{(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{b}) \in P_{g,r}} \left(\frac{q^{-k} L(q, m, k)}{1 - q^{-k} + q^{-k} L(q, m, k)} \right)^g \\
 &\times \left(1 + O\left(q^{k \min\{r, \#X(\mathbb{F}_q)\}} \left(q^{-kr} (d_1 - g + 1)^{-(2k-1)/m} + d_k^m q^{-d_1/\max\{m+1, p\}} \right) \right) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since the main term equals the r -th moment of the sum of the aforementioned $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ random variables divided by $q^{rk/2} \#X(\mathbb{F}_q)^r$, we conclude that the limiting distribution of the number of points on a smooth complete intersection $H_{\mathbf{f}} \cap X$ in X over \mathbb{F}_q (normalized to have mean 0 and standard deviation 1) is a standard Gaussian, as long as q and d_1, \dots, d_k all go to infinity in such a way that the error term above approaches 0. This condition is satisfied, for instance, if the d_i are comparable in size (e.g., we cannot have d_k exponentially bigger than d_1 because of the last term) and $d_1 > q^{1+\epsilon}$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. It is also satisfied if the characteristic p of the base field stays bounded and, again, d_k is of at most subexponential size compared to d_1 .

4. Further remarks

We indicate some other directions in which one can probably generalize [11] from hypersurfaces to complete intersections.

In the case of a single hypersurface section, one has an analogue of Theorem 1.1 allowing certain *infinite* sets of modified local conditions [11, Theorem 1.3]. The restriction on these local conditions is that at all but finitely many points, they are no more stringent than the condition that H_f must have smooth intersection with each of a finite number of other varieties. One expects to have a similar extension of Theorem 1.2, in which one allows infinite sets of local conditions provided that at all but finitely many points, the conditions are no more stringent than the condition that $H_{\mathbf{f}}$ must have smooth intersection with each of a finite number of other varieties.

One can also consider schemes of finite type over \mathbb{Z} , as in [11, §5]. We make the following conjecture, generalizing the conditional theorem [11, Theorem 5.1]. One can again generalize by allowing modification of finitely many local conditions; for simplicity, we omit these modifications from the following statement.

Conjecture 4.1. *Let X be a quasiprojective subscheme of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n$ which is regular of dimension $m \geq 0$. For d a positive integer, let S_d be the set of*

homogeneous polynomials on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n$ of degree d , identified with \mathbb{Z}^n using a \mathbb{Z} -basis of monomials. For $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_k)$ a tuple of positive integers with $d_1 \leq \dots \leq d_k$, put $S_{\mathbf{d}} = S_{d_1} \times \dots \times S_{d_k}$. For $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_k) \in S_{\mathbf{d}}$, put $H_{\mathbf{f}} = H_{f_1} \cap \dots \cap H_{f_k}$, where H_{f_i} denotes the hypersurface $f_i = 0$ on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n$. Put

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{d}} = \{\mathbf{f} \in S_{\mathbf{d}} : H_{\mathbf{f}} \cap U \text{ is regular of dimension } m - k\}.$$

Then as $d_1, \dots, d_k \rightarrow +\infty$, the upper and lower densities of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{d}}$ in $S_{\mathbf{d}}$ both tend to

$$\prod_{x \in X^\circ} \left(1 - q(x)^{-k} + q(x)^{-k} L(q(x), m, k)\right),$$

where $q(x)$ denotes the cardinality of the residue field of x .

This conjecture includes the fact that the probability of a single integer being squarefree and the probability of two integers being relative prime are both $6/\pi^2 = 1/\zeta(2)$. One may be able to prove some special cases under the *abc*-conjecture, as is done in [11]; the *abc*-conjecture is needed to compute the density of squarefree values of an integer polynomial. This is done in the univariate case by Granville [6] and in the multivariate case by Poonen [10].

References

- [1] P. BILLINGSLEY, *Probability and Measure*, second edition. Wiley, New York, 1986.
- [2] B.W. BROCK AND A. GRANVILLE, *More points than expected on curves over finite field extensions*. *Finite Fields Appl.* **7** (2001), no. 1, 70–91.
- [3] A. BUCUR, C. DAVID, B. FEIGON, AND M. LALÍN, *Statistics for traces of cyclic trigonal curves over finite fields*. *Int. Math. Res. Not. No.* **5** (2010), 932–967.
- [4] A. BUCUR, C. DAVID, B. FEIGON, AND M. LALÍN, *The fluctuations in the number of points of smooth plane curves over finite fields*. *J. Number Theory* **130** (2010), 2528–2541.
- [5] O. GABBER, *On space filling curves and Albanese varieties*. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **11** (2001), 1192–1200.
- [6] A. GRANVILLE, *ABC allows us to count squarefrees*. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices No.* **19** (1998), 991–1009.
- [7] P. KURLBERG AND Z. RUDNICK, *The fluctuations in the number of points on a hyperelliptic curve over a finite field*. *J. Number Theory* **129** (2009), 580–587.
- [8] P. KURLBERG AND I. WIGMAN, *Gaussian point count statistics for families of curves over a fixed finite field*. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2011** (2011), 2217–2229.
- [9] S. LANG AND A. WEIL, *Number of points of varieties in finite fields*. *Amer. J. Math.* **76** (1954), 819–827.
- [10] B. POONEN, *Squarefree values of multivariable polynomials*. *Duke Math. J.* **118** (2003), 353–373.
- [11] B. POONEN, *Bertini theorems over finite fields*. *Ann. Math.* **160** (2004), 1099–1127.
- [12] M.M. WOOD, *The distribution of the number of points on trigonal curves over \mathbb{F}_q* . *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2012. To appear, doi: 10.1093/imrn/rnr256.

Alina BUCUR
University of California at San Diego
9500 Gilman Dr #0112
San Diego, CA 92093
E-mail: alina@math.ucsd.edu

Kiran S. KEDLAYA
University of California at San Diego
9500 Gilman Dr #0112
San Diego, CA 92093
E-mail: kedlaya@math.ucsd.edu