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# Comments on the completeness of order complements and on the Prüfer numbers 

Labros Dokas ${ }^{(1)}$ and John Stabakis ${ }^{(1)}$


#### Abstract

Given a poset we define two chain - extensions of it; the first is the system of all its chains without end points, the order is a system of directed sets for which a linear subset is cofinal therein. The latter is complete, in a meaning, while the two completions applied to the set of non negative numbers ordered by division, give isomorphie complements. Each complement consists the underlying set for a system which is closed under the operations of the product, g.c.d. and l.c.m. of any number of elements (Prüfer numbers).


Subj. Class. AMS 1981, 06A10, 06A23.

## 1. Introduction

The present note is concerned with two order-complements introduced by the authors in [1] and [5]. The aim of this reference is to give a notion of "completeness" of order-complements and, as an application, to make comments on a complement of the set $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ of the non-negative integers, ordered by divisibility.

The main theorems will include the following results : given the order structure $(E, \leq)$, symbolize by $E^{*}$ and $E_{K_{\tau}}$ the $f^{*}$ and the Krasner complement respectively. Then
a) $\left(E^{*}\right)^{*}=E^{*}$.

[^0]b) In the complement $E^{*}$, every cut of a maximal chain is not a gap.
c) The complement $\left(\mathbf{N}_{0}\right)_{K_{\tau}}$, as well as the $\left(\mathbf{N}_{0}\right)^{*}$, is the set of Prüfer numbers.

Propositions a) and b) state that the completion of a complement, as well as the restriction of the whole space to a chain subset, does not give new elements; the structure is complete. This completeness is non valid in other cases, in say the Mac Neille's complement. But it is remarkable that another version of the completion procedure, for example the consideration of the lower classes $A$ of the cuts $(A, B)$ instead of the cuts themselves, leads to another complement, which is connected with the former by a surjective isotone function, but has not such a completeness. This is the difference between the two complements of our case.

Statement c) says that the corresponding complement of $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ is the underlying set of an algebraic system which generalizes the well-known Prüfer group $p^{\infty}$ ).

From another point of view the mentioned structures are not lattices in general, but they could be characterized, both of them, as chain-extensions ([2], $\S 1)$; by this we mean that they are defined by subsets wich are cofinal to totally ordered sets.

## 2. Krasner complement and $f^{*}$-complement of an order structure

We briefly restate the two complements. We always refer to an order structure ( $E, \leq$ ).
2.1. Consider a subset $L$ of $E$ ordered by a total order $\alpha$ and an element $a \in L$. The subset

$$
L_{a}=\{x \in L: a \alpha x\}
$$

is called final section of $L$ with origin a. The structure $(L, \alpha)$ is called monotone, if $\alpha$ is the restriction on $L$ of $<$ or $>$ (in wich cases $(L, \alpha)$ is called increasing or decreasing resp.). Such a structure ( $L, \alpha$ ) cannot be increasing and at the same time decreasing unless if it is a singleton. If there exists a final section $L_{a}$ of $(L, \alpha)$ such that $\left(L_{a}, \alpha\right)$ is monotone, then ( $L, \alpha$ ) is called asymptotically monotone (as. monotone) and a the origin of the monotony. If for an $a \in L,\left(L_{a}, \alpha\right)$ admits a maximum or minimum, ( $L, \alpha$ ) is called as. constant.

Two as. monotone structures $\left(L, \alpha_{1}\right)$ and ( $M, \alpha_{2}$ ) are called equivalent ( $L \equiv M$ in symbols) if for each origin of monotony $a$ of $L$ and $b$ of $M$, we can, for any $x \in L_{a}$, find a $y \in M_{b}$ and a $z \in L_{a}$ such that $x \alpha_{1} z$ and $y \in[x, z]$, where $[x, z]$ is the segment of $E$. If $L \equiv M$ and $L$ has a last element $e, M$ also has $e$ as last element, otherwise $L$ and $M$ are increasing and decreasing simultaneously.

Evidently the relation is an equivalence.
If now $M(E)$ is the set of monotone structures of $E$, define an order $<$ in $M(E):\left(L, \alpha_{1}\right)<\left(M, \alpha_{2}\right)$ if there exist origins of monotony $a$ and $b$ of $L$ and $M$ respectively such that for any $x \in L_{a}$ and any $y \in M_{b}, x<y$. The order $<$ is an extension of $\leq$, so in the sequel we use the same symbol for both of them.

The set $M(E) / \equiv$ ordered by the above relation is called Krasner complement of $E$ (symbolize by $E_{K_{\tau}}$ ). In the complement, an element $e \in E$ is identified with a class $\tilde{a} \in M(E)$, whose elements are subsets of $E$ that have $e$ as last element.
2.2 The $f^{*}$-complement is an imitation of Mac Neille's complement, whose classes are subsets cofinal to a totally ordered set.

Definition 1. - ([5], Def.1)
A couple $(A, B)$ of subsets of an ordered set $E$ is called $f^{*}-c u t$, if it fulfils the next properties :
(1) The subsets $A, B$ are directed (right and left respectively).
(2) If $x \in A$ and $y \in B, x<y$.
(3) There does not exist any element between $x$ and $y$.
(4) There exist chains $L_{1}, L_{2}$ subsets of $A$ and $B$ respectively, $L_{1}$ being cofinal to $A$ and $L_{2}$ coinitial to $B$ (that is, cofinal for the opposite direction).
(5) If $x \in A$ and $x^{\prime}<x$, then $x^{\prime} \in A$ as well as if $y \in B$ and $y<y^{\prime}$, $y^{\prime} \in B$.

The subsets $A$ and $B$ are called the lower and the upper class resp. of $(A, B)$. If there exists the maximum of $A$ and the minimum of $B$, the $f^{*}$-cut is called $f^{*}$-jump, if neither of them exists, it is called $f^{*}$-gap.

Symbolizing by $L^{*}(E)$ the set of $f^{*}$-gaps, we call $f^{*}$-complement of $E$ the set $E^{*}=E U L^{*}(E)$ ordered by the following order $\leq$ (extension of the given $\leq$ ).

If $x, y$ belong to $E$ and $\left(A_{1}, B_{1}\right),\left(A_{2}, B_{2}\right)$ are non-equal elements of $L^{*}(E)$, then :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x \leq\left(A_{1}, B_{1}\right) \Leftrightarrow x \in A_{1},\left(A_{1}, B_{1}\right) \leq x \Leftrightarrow x \in B_{1}, \\
& \quad \text { and }\left(A_{1}, B_{1}\right) \leq\left(A_{2}, B_{2}\right) \Leftrightarrow A_{2} \cap B_{1} \neq \emptyset .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark.- If $\wedge^{*}(E)$ is the set of the classes of the $f^{*}$-cuts of $E$ without end points, we define an order relation on $E \cup \wedge^{*}(E)$ in such a way that the new complement coincides with Krasner complement. To each lower class $A$ of a $f^{*}$-cut $(A, B)$, as well as to each upper one, which is cofinal to a chain $L$, corresponds the point of $E_{K_{\tau}}$ which has as a representative the chain $L$, and inversely.

## 3. The completeness of the $f^{*}$-complement

Henceforth, $E^{*}$ symbolizes the $f^{*}$-complement of an ordered set $E$. The first result concerning to the completeness of $E^{*}$ is the next.

Theorem 1.- $\left(E^{*}\right)^{*}=E^{*}$.
The proof of theorem 1 follows immediately from the lemmas 1 and 2.
Lemma 1.- ([5], lem. 1). Between two comparable elements of the $f^{*}$-complement $E^{*}$ lies at least one element of the set $E$. Moreover the trace on $E$ of a $f^{*}$-cut of $E^{*}$ is a $f^{*}$-cut of $E$.

Lemma 2. - The trace on $E$ of an $f^{*}-g a p$ of $E^{*}$ is also a $f^{*}-g a p$ of $E$.
Proof.-Let $\left(A^{*}, B^{*}\right)$ and $(A, B)$ be the $f^{*}$-gap of $E^{*}$ and its trace on $E$, respectively. Suppose the classes $A$ and $B$ have not end points, otherwise these points are the end points of $A^{*}$ and $B^{*}$ as well. The statements (1), (2), (3) and (5) of Def. 1 are evidently fulfilled by $A$ and $B$.

About the statement (4) : let $L^{*}$ be a chain of $E$ cofinal to $A^{*}$. The choice axiom implies that each chain has a well ordered subset which is cofinal to it. If $I^{*}=\left(x_{i}^{*}\right)$ is this subset of $L^{*}$, we'll assign to it a well ordered family $I=\left(x_{i}\right)$ of elements of $A$ cofinal to $I^{*}$. So, for any successive elements $x_{i}^{*}$, $x_{i+1}^{*}$ of $E^{*}$ pick up an element $x_{i} \in A$, which is greater than $x_{i}^{*}$ and smaller or equal to $x_{i+1}^{*}$. Such an element exists because of lemma 1 .

The procedure goes on inductively, with respect to the element $x^{*}$ of $I^{*}$ : regardless of $x^{*}$ being next to an element of $I^{*}$ or being a limit point of a
family of points of $I^{*}$, assign to $x^{*}$ an element of $A$ which lies between $x^{*}$ and the element of $I^{*}$, which is next to $x^{*}$. The assigned $x$ consist a chain cofinal to $A$. It is evident that another chain of elements of $B$, is cofinal (for the opposite direction) to $B$.

The proof of the theorem is an easy consequence : if $(A, B)$ is a $f^{*}$-gap of $E^{*}$, then its trace $(A, B)$ on $E$ is also a $f^{*}$-gap in $E$, which defines an element in $E^{*}$ not belonging to $A^{*}$ or $B^{*}$, absurd.

Remark. - If $a$ is an element of $E_{K r} \backslash E$ and it has as a representative a monotone chain, say the increasing chain $A \subset E$, then a chain in the set $E_{K \tau}$ cofinal to $A$, gives in the set $\left(E_{K \tau}\right)_{K \tau}$ an element different than $a$ (actually it is smaller than $a$ ). Thus from this point of view the Krasner complement is not a complete one.

We now proceed to give two results referring to the completeness of the chains which are maximal with respect to the inclusion into the complement $E^{*}$.

Proposition 1.- Every chain in the $f^{*}$-complement, maximal with respect to inclusion, has not gaps.

Hence the statement asserts that chains into the $f^{*}$-complement are complete subsets.

Proof.- Consider a chain $I^{*}$ maximal into the $E^{*}$, and a cut $\left(A_{1}, B_{1}\right)$ of it. Suppose that the cut is a gap. We proceed to construct a cut $\left(A^{*}, B^{*}\right)$ of $E^{*}$, in the meaning of Mac Neille's complement; then either $A^{*}$ or $B^{*}$ has an end point $a$ and the subset $I^{*} \cup\{a\}$ will be a chain properly greater than $I^{*}$, which is absurd.

In fact. Put : $B^{*}=\left\{y \in E^{*}:\left(\forall x \in A_{1}\right)[x<y]\right\}$ and $A^{*}=\left\{x \in E^{*}:\right.$ $\left.\left(\forall y \in B^{*}\right)[x<y]\right\}$.

The couple ( $A^{*}, B^{*}$ ) is a cut and, on the other hand, there exists a $f^{*}$-cut $\left(A_{i}, B_{i}\right)$ such that $A_{1} \subset A_{i}$ and $B_{1} \subset B_{i}$, whilst it is $A_{i} \subset A^{*}$ and $B_{i} \subset B^{*}$. Because of the non-existence of $f^{*}$-gaps in $E^{*}$, at least one of the classes $A_{i}$ and $B_{i}$ has an end point $a$.

Proposition 2.- The Dedekind complement of a chain $I$ of $E$ and every maximal chain of the $f^{*}$-complement $E^{*}$ whose trace on $E$ is the chain $I$ are isomorphic (that is, there exists a surjective and isotone map of the former onto the last chain).

Proof.-By Dedekind complement of $I$ we mean the simple complement of a totally ordered set. If $I_{D}$ is the Dedekind complement of $I$ and $I^{*}$ is a maximal (with respect to the inclusion relation) chain of $E^{*}$ whose trace on $E$ is the chain $I$, define a map $f: I_{D} \rightarrow I^{*}$ as follows:
for each $x \in I$, put $f(x)=x$.
Let $e=\left(I_{1}, I_{2}\right)$ be a gap of $I$. Consider the subsets:
$I_{2}^{*}=\left\{y \in I^{*}:\left(\forall x \in I_{1}\right)[x<y]\right\}$ and $I_{1}^{*}=I^{*} \backslash I_{2}^{*}$. The set $I_{1}^{*}$ has not an end point, because if $\max I_{1}^{*}=a$, then for $x \in I_{1}, x<a$, hence $a \in I_{2}^{*}$, false. On the other hand, the class $I_{2}^{*}$ - because of prop. 1 - has a minimum $e^{*}$. Then put $f(x)=x$.

The map $f$ is injective and preserves the order. In fact; let $e=\left(I_{1}, I_{2}\right)$ and $e^{\prime}=\left(I_{1}^{\prime}, I_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ be two gaps of $I$ with $e<e^{\prime}$. Then there is $x \in I_{2} \cap I_{1}^{\prime}$, hence $x \in I^{*}$ and $f(e)<x<f\left(e^{\prime}\right)$. It is evident that the last result is valid, when $e$ or $e^{\prime}$ is an element of $I$.

Finally $f$ is surjective : let $e^{*} \in I^{*} \backslash I$; consider the subsets $I_{1}=\{x \in I$ : $\left.x<e^{*}\right\}$ and $I_{2}=I \backslash I_{1}$. The subsets $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$ consist a partition of $I$ without any point $x \in E$ between the elements of these two classes. On the other hand, neither of the two classes has an end point, because if, say, $a=\max I_{1}$ and $e^{*}=(A, B) \in L^{*}(E)$, then $a \in A$ and there exists $x \in A$, $x>a$, that is the element $x$ lies between the classes $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$, absurd. Thus $e=\left(I_{1}, I_{2}\right)$ is a gap of $I$ and - by the definition of $f-$ it is $f(e)=e^{*}$.

## 4. The $f^{*}$ and Krasner complements of $\mathbf{N}_{0}$

The last paragraph is devoted to a roughly speaking algebraic application of the mentioned complements.

Consider the set $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ of non-negative integers ordered by divisibility. Put $m / n$ if $m$ divides $n\left(m, n \in \mathbf{N}_{0}\right)$. The least common multiple and the greatest common divisor of the natural numbers $m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n}$ are symbolized by [ $m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n}$ ] and ( $m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n}$ ) respectively.

The two complements of $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ coincide, so we refer exclusively to the structure $\left(\mathbf{N}_{0}\right)_{K \tau}$.

Proof.- Consider a cut ( $E_{1}, E_{2}$ ) in $\mathbf{N}_{0}$. If $m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{i}$ belong to $E_{1}$, their l.c.m. $\left[m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{i}\right]$ divides each $n \in E_{2}$ and if $n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{j}$ belong to $E_{2}$, then each $m \in E_{1}$ divides the g.c.d. of $n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{j}$.

Three cases are possible.
(1) $E_{2}=\emptyset$; then $E_{1}=N_{0}, E_{1}$ has a maximum point 0 , hence $\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right)$ is not a gap.
(2) $E_{2}=\{0\}$; then each $m \neq 0$ divides 0 , hence $m$ belongs to $E_{1}$. The class $E_{2}$ has a minimum and the $\operatorname{cut}\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right)$ is not a gap.
(3) There exists $n \in E_{2}, n \neq 0$. Then each element $m \in E_{1}$ divides $n$ and the class $E_{1}$ has a finite number of elements, say $E_{1}=\left\{m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{i}\right\}$. If $m=\left[m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{i}\right]$, each proper divisor of $m$ belongs to $E_{1}$ and each multiple of it to $E_{2}$. The point $m$ itself either belongs to $E_{1}$ or to $E_{2}$ and in each case it is the end point of the respective class. Thus the couple ( $E_{1}, E_{2}$ ) is non-gap, even if $m \in E_{2}$ is of the form $p^{e} \neq 1$, where $p$ is a prime. In fact, in this exceptional case, the class $E_{1}$ is the set of the proper divisors of $p^{e}$, (that is the divisors of $p^{e-1}$ ), so each $n \in E_{2}$ is a multiple of $p^{e-1}$ and it does not need to be a multiple of $p^{e}$.

The Prüfer group ( $p^{\infty}$ ) is a famous example of an additive group whose each extension to a ring is a zero ring (e.g. [4], p. 60). If $a_{k}$ is any root of the unity with amplitude $\frac{2 \pi}{p^{k}}, p$ is a prime, $k \in \mathbf{N}$, then each number $a_{1}^{\sigma_{1}} a_{2}^{\sigma_{2}} \ldots a_{n}^{\sigma_{n}}$, where $\sigma_{i} \in \mathbf{N}_{0}, \sigma_{i} \leq p-1, i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$, written in the additive form $\sigma_{1} a_{1}+\sigma_{2} a_{2}+\cdots+\sigma_{n} a_{n}$, belongs to ( $p^{\infty}$ ). The product of two such elements is zero. The set of Prüfer's numbers is the set of all numbers of the form

$$
\prod_{n} \prod^{p m}
$$

where $\omega_{p}$ is non-negative integer or infinity and $P$ is the set of prime numbers.

The set is closed under the operations of the product, of the g.c.d. as well as of l.c.m. It could be characterized as a generalization of the set of the coefficients of Prüfer rings, when the prime $p$ goes through the set $P$ of prime numbers (e.g. [3], $£ \$ 35$ and 81 ).

Theorem 2.- The Krasner complement of ( $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{0}} /$ ) is the set of Prüfer's numbers.

Proof.-Let $e^{*}$ be an element of $\left(\mathbf{N}_{0}, /\right)_{k r}$ and $\widetilde{a}=(A, /)$ a representative of $e$, which we suppose to be monotone (considering $\widetilde{a}$, if it is needed,
as a final section). If $\alpha$ and $\widetilde{\alpha}$ are of opposite kind, then either $A=\{0\}$, in which case the support $A$ has a last element $a^{*}=0$, or $A \neq\{0\}$ in which case there exists an element $a \neq 0$ and because $a$ has a finite number of divisors, $A$ has a last element $a^{*}$, hence $e^{*}=a^{*}$. On the other hand, if $\alpha$ and $\widetilde{\alpha}$ coincide and $A$ has a last element $a^{*}$, we also have $e^{*}=a^{*}$.

Suppose now that $\alpha$ and $\widetilde{\alpha}$ coincide and that $A$ has not a last element. If $a, a^{\prime}, x$ are non zero elements of $\widetilde{a}$ and $a / x / a^{\prime}$, then $x$ divides $a^{\prime}$ and the number of these $x^{\prime} s$ is finite. Thus the chain $A$ can be written as $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{i}, \ldots\right\}$ where $a_{i} / a_{i+1}$ for each $i \in \mathbf{N}$. Inversely each sequence of the above form is the representative of an element non-belonging to $\mathbf{N}_{0}$. In fact, let $p$ be a prime number and $\omega_{p}\left(a_{i}\right)$ the order of $a_{i}$ with respect to $p$. Then $\omega_{p}\left(a_{i+1}\right) \geq \omega_{p}\left(a_{i}\right)$ and the function $\omega_{p}$ is an increasing function of $i$. If $\omega_{p}$ is bounded, it finally gets a fixed value, otherwise it is increasing infinitely. Define $\omega_{p}(A)$ as the fixed value in the former case and the value $+\infty$ in the last one, (put $n<+\infty$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ). If $\widetilde{b}=(B, /)$ is a monotone structure and $\widetilde{a} \equiv \widetilde{b}$, then $\widetilde{b}$ is increasing without a last element, thus $B=\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{i}, \ldots\right\}$, where $b_{1} / b_{2} / \ldots / b_{i} / b_{i+1} / \ldots$ for any $a_{i} \in A$ and any index $j_{0}$, there exist $b_{j} \in B, j>j_{0}$ and $a_{k} \in A$ such that $a_{i} \leq b_{j} \leq a_{k}$. This shows that $\omega_{p}\left(a_{i}\right) \leq \omega_{p}\left(b_{j}\right) \leq \omega_{p}\left(a_{k}\right)$, hence $\omega_{p}(A) \leq \omega_{p}(B) \leq \omega_{p}(A)$ or $\omega_{p}(A)=\omega_{p}(B)$.

Inversely, if for each prime $p, \omega_{p}(B)=\omega_{p}(A)$, it is $\tilde{a} \equiv \tilde{b}$. In fact; let $a_{i} \in A$, and $a_{i}=p_{1}^{\sigma_{1}} p_{2}^{\sigma_{2}} \ldots p_{s}^{\sigma}$ be the prime factor decomposition of $a_{i}$. It is $\sigma_{q} \leq \omega_{p_{q}}(A)=\omega_{p_{q}}(B), q=1,2, \ldots, s$. Hence there exist indices $j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{s}$, such that $\omega_{p_{q}}\left(b_{j_{q}}\right) \geq \sigma_{q}$. But then, for a given $j_{0}$, it is $j \geq j_{0}$ where $j=\max j_{q}$ and for all values of $q$, there holds : $0 \leq q \leq s$ $\omega_{p_{q}}\left(b_{j}\right) \geq \omega_{p_{q}}\left(b_{j_{q}}\right) \geq \sigma_{q}$, hence $a_{i}<b_{j}$. Changing the roles of $\widetilde{a}$ and $\widetilde{b}$, it is evident that there exists an $a_{k}$ such that $b_{j}<a_{k}$, thus $\tilde{a} \equiv \widetilde{b}$. So the class of equivalence $e^{*}$ of the structure $\widetilde{a}=(A, /)$ which is monotone and has no last element, is completely defined by the assignment :

$$
p \rightarrow \omega_{p}(A), p \in P, \quad P \text { the set of prime numbers. }
$$

The element $e^{*}$ can be written under the form $\prod_{p \in P} p^{\omega_{p}(A)}$.
Consider now a number of the form $\prod_{p \in P_{*}} p^{\sigma_{P}}$, where $\sigma_{p} \in \mathbf{N}_{0} \cup\{+\infty\}$ and $p^{*}$ is an infinite subset of $P$, or $p^{*}$ is finite but one of $\sigma_{p}$ is $+\infty$. Suppose that all of the prime numbers $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{i}, \ldots i<L \leq+\infty$ have been put
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in an increasing order. Put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{i}=\Pi_{p_{q}}^{\min \left\{i, \sigma_{p_{q}}\right\}} \\
& \quad q<\min \{i+1,1\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We have to define the value of $\sigma_{p_{q}}\left(a_{i}\right)$. Put $\sigma_{p_{q}}\left(a_{i}\right)=0$ or $\operatorname{Min}\left\{i, \sigma_{p_{q}}\right)$ depending on whether $q>L$ or $i \geq q$. If $A=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots a_{i}, \ldots\right\}$ then we get $\omega_{p}(A)=\sigma_{p}$.

The elements of the last form are the elements of $\left(\mathbf{N}_{0}\right)_{k \tau} \backslash \mathbf{N}_{0}$.
Remark. - It is evident that the operation of the product and the operations of finding the least common multiple and the greatest common divisor in the set $\left(\mathbf{N}_{0}\right)_{k \tau}$, is an extension of the respective habitual operations in the set $\mathbf{N}_{0}$, that is, these operations can be defined for any subset of them and not only for finite subsets. So the least common multiple of any subset of elements of the set $\left(\mathbf{N}_{0}\right)_{k \tau} \backslash \mathbf{N}_{0}$ is zero.

## References

[1] Dokas (L.).-Certains complétés des ensembles ordonnés munit d'opérations. Complété de Krasner, C.R.Acad. Paris, t. 256, 1963, p. 3937-39.
[2] Erne (M.). - Posets isomorphic to their extensions, Order , t. 2, 1985, p. 199-210.
[3] Fuchs (L.).-Infinite Abelian Groups, Tomes I, II, Academic Press N. York London 1973.
[4] Redei (L.). - Algebra., Pergamon Press. Oxford, 1967.
[5] Stratigopoulos (D.), Stabakis (J.). - Sur les ensembles ordonnés ( $f^{*}$-coupes), C.R.Acad. Paris, t. 285, 1977, p. 81-84.


[^0]:    (1) University of Patras, Departement of Mathematics, 26110, Patras, Greece.

