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#### Abstract

Résumé. - On étudie le problème d'existence de solutions de l'équation aux dérivées partielles $f_{y} u_{x}-f_{y} u_{y}=g$ localement, au voisinage d'un point singulier isolé, dans le cadre analytique réel. On suppose que la fonction $f$ a un minimum local à l'origine.

Abstract. - We study locally, on a neighborhood of an isolated singular point, the existence of solutions of the partial differential equation $f_{y} u_{x}-f_{x} u_{y}=g$, in the real analytic case. We suppose that the function $f$ has a minimum at the origin.


## 0. Introduction

We consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{y} u_{x}-f_{x} u_{y}=g \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f, g$ are real analytic functions in a neighborhood of $(0,0) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$.
We will suppose that:
i) $f(0,0)=0$ and $f>0$ outside the origin, and
ii) the ideal $J_{f}$ generated by $f_{x}, f_{y}$ is of finite codimension as an $\mathbb{R}$-vector space, in $\mathbb{R}\{x, y\}$.

[^0]We say that a solution $u$ of (1) is:
a) regular if $u$ is analytic in a neighborhood of the origin.
b) singular if $u$ is analytic in a neighborhood of the origin, but not necessarily at the origin.

We consider $\mathbb{R}\{x, y\}$ an $\mathbb{R}\{t\}$-module with the definition

$$
\varphi(t) \cdot h(x, y)=\varphi(f(x, y)) h(x, y)
$$

Let $\Sigma$ be the $\mathbb{R}$-vector space of germs at $(0,0)$ of those analytic functions $g$ such that (1) has a singular solution.

Let $\Gamma$ be the $\mathbb{R}$-vector space of germs at $(0,0)$ of those analytic functions $g$ such that (1) has a regular solution.

Since

$$
f_{y}(f u)_{x}-f_{x}(f u)_{y}=f\left(f_{y} u_{x}-f_{x} u_{y}\right)
$$

$\Gamma \subset \Sigma$ are submodules of $\mathbb{R}\{x, y\}$.
The purpose of this article is to study the quotient $T=\Sigma / \Gamma$.
We will show that its structure is related to the action of the monodromy of $f$ at 0 (extending $f$ to an analytic function in a neighborhood of $\left.(0,0) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}\right)$ over the vanishing cycle $\gamma$ generated by the cycle in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ defined by $f=\epsilon(\epsilon>0$ sufficiently small). More precisely, let $E$ be the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space of these vanishing cycles and let

$$
\mu=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} E=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}\{x, y\} / J_{f}
$$

the Milnor number of $f$ at 0 .
Let $k$ be the dimension of the subspace of $E$ generated by $\gamma, L \gamma, L^{2} \gamma, \ldots$ where $L: E \rightarrow E$ is the monodromy. We have the following results.

Theorem 1. - $T$ is a free $\mathbb{R}\{t\}$-module of rank $\nu=\mu-k$.

Theorem 2. - $\nu=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}} \Sigma /\left(\Sigma \cap J_{f}\right)$.

Observation.- Since

$$
\int_{f=\epsilon} x \mathrm{~d} y=\int_{f \leq \epsilon} \mathrm{d} x \wedge \mathrm{~d} y>0
$$

we have that $\gamma \neq 0$. Then, $\nu \leq \mu-1$.

## Examples

1) $f=x^{2}+y^{2}$. In this case $\mu=1$ and $\nu=0$. The existence of regular solutions is equivalent to that of singular solutions.
2) $f=x^{2}+y^{4}$. In this case $\mu=3$. The monodromy is induced by the map

$$
(x, y) \longrightarrow\left(e^{\pi i} x, e^{\pi i / 2} y\right)=(-x, i y)
$$

It follows that $L^{2} \gamma=-\gamma$. Since the eigenvalues of $L$ are $1, i,-i$ and $\gamma$ is an integer cycle, if $\gamma$ were an eigenvector of $L$, we would have $L \gamma=\gamma$, which is impossible. Then, $\gamma$ and $L \gamma$ are independent and $k=2$. Then $\nu=1$. (Using the theorem in Section 1, we see that the class of $y$ is a generator of $T$.)

## 1. Solutions to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{y} u_{x}-f_{x} u_{y}=g \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.1.- The equation (1) has a singular solution if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{f \leq \epsilon} g(x, y) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y=0, \quad \forall \epsilon>0 \text { small enough. } \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

First, we are going to define a change of coordinates to simplify the resolution of the equation (1).

Since the problem is local, we are going to suppose, throughout this work, that the neighborhoods of the origin are all sufficiently small.

Let $U$ be a neighborhood of the origin where $f$ is analytic and where there is no critical point of $f$ different from $(0,0)$. Let $V \subset U$ be a simply connected neighborhood of the origin such that $\bar{V} \subset U$.

Lemma 1.1.- If $\epsilon>0$ is small enough, $\left.f\right|_{V}=\epsilon$ is a simple closed curve $\mathbf{C}_{\epsilon}$ around the origin.

Consider the composed function

$$
\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\exp } S^{1} \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathbf{C}_{\epsilon},
$$

where $\exp (x)=e^{2 \pi i x}$ and $\sigma$ is an analytic diffeomorphism. The analytic curve $\gamma=\sigma \circ \exp$ is periodic with period 1 and is a parametrization of the curve $\mathbf{C}_{\epsilon}$.

Integrating the vector field $-\operatorname{grad} f$, we obtain:

$$
\Phi:(-\lambda, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}, \quad \lambda>0
$$

such that

$$
\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t}(t, \theta)=-\operatorname{grad} f(\Phi(t, \theta)) \quad \text { and } \quad \Phi(0, \theta)=\gamma(\theta)
$$

Lemma 1.2.- $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(t, x)=(0,0)$.

It is a direct application of the Liapunov criterium.
Consider

$$
\varphi:(0, \epsilon) \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}
$$

defined by $\varphi(\rho, \theta)=\Phi(t, \theta)$, where $t>0$ is such that $f(\Phi(t, \theta))=\rho$, i.e., $f(\varphi(\rho, \theta))=\rho$.

Since for each $(\rho, \theta) \in(0, \epsilon) \times \mathbb{R}$, there exists a unique $t \in(0, \infty)$ such that $\Phi(t, \theta)=\rho$ (Lemma 1.2), $\varphi$ is well defined.

Moreover, $\varphi$ is locally one to one, since $\varphi(\rho, \theta)=\varphi\left(\rho^{\prime}, \theta^{\prime}\right)$ if and only if $\rho=\rho^{\prime}$ and $\theta-\theta^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Using the implicit function theorem we have the two following lemmas.
Lemma 1.3.- $\varphi$ is a real analytic function, periodic with period 1, in the variable $\theta$.

Lemma 1.4.- $\varphi$ is a local diffeomorphism.

$$
\text { Solutions to the equation } f_{y} u_{x}-f_{x} u_{y}=g
$$

Lemma 1.5.- The diffeomorphism $\varphi$ transforms the equation $\mathrm{d} u \wedge \mathrm{~d} f=$ $g \mathrm{~d} x \wedge \mathrm{~d} y$ into

$$
\tilde{u}_{\theta} \mathrm{d} \theta \wedge \mathrm{~d} \rho=-\tilde{g} J \mathrm{~d} \theta \wedge \mathrm{~d} \rho,
$$

where $J$ is the Jacobian of the change of coordinates, $\tilde{u}=u \circ \varphi$ and $\tilde{g}=g \circ \varphi$.

If we choose $\epsilon>0$ small enough, we may suppose that $h=-\tilde{g} J$ is analytic in $(0, \epsilon) \times \mathbb{R}$. Besides, $h$ is periodic, with period 1 , in the second variable.

Lemma 1.6. - The function $\tilde{u}(\rho, \theta)$ given by:

$$
\widetilde{u}(\rho, \theta)=\int_{0}^{1} \theta h(\rho, t \theta) \mathrm{d} t
$$

is analytic in $(0, \epsilon) \times \mathbb{R}$ and verifies $\tilde{u}_{\theta}=h$.

Proof. - Integration by parts.
Lemma 1.7.- If

$$
\int_{0}^{1} h(\rho, \theta) \mathrm{d} \theta=0 \quad \text { for all } 0<\rho<\epsilon \text { and } \epsilon \text { small enough }
$$

then the function $\tilde{u}$ in Lemma 1.6 is periodic in $\theta$, with period 1.

Proof. - Actually,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{u}(\rho, \theta+1) & =\int_{0}^{1}(\theta+1) h(\rho, t(\theta+1)) \mathrm{d} t \\
& =\int_{0}^{\theta+1} h(\rho, \nu) \mathrm{d} \nu \\
& =\int_{0}^{\theta} h(\rho, \nu) \mathrm{d} \nu+\int_{\theta}^{\theta+1} h(\rho, \nu) \mathrm{d} \nu \\
& =\int_{0}^{\theta} h(\rho, \nu) \mathrm{d} \nu+\int_{0}^{1} h(\rho, \nu) \mathrm{d} \nu \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} \theta h(\rho, t \theta) \mathrm{d} t=\widetilde{u}(\rho, \theta)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof of Theorem 1.1

Suppose (1) has a singular solution $u$.
Choose $\epsilon>0$ such that the curve $f=\epsilon$ is entirely contained inside the region where $u$ is regular, and satisfies Lemma 1.1.

For $0<\delta<\epsilon$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\delta \leq f \leq \epsilon} g(x, y) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y & =\int_{\delta \leq f \leq \epsilon}\left(f_{y} u_{x}-f_{x} u_{y}\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y \\
& =\int_{f=\epsilon} u \mathrm{~d} f-\int_{f=\delta} u \mathrm{~d} f=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\int_{f \leq \epsilon} g \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y=\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\delta \leq f \leq \epsilon} g \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y=0
$$

On the other hand, if (2) holds,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\int_{f \leq \epsilon} g \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y=\int_{0}^{\epsilon} \int_{0}^{1} \tilde{g} J \mathrm{~d} \theta \mathrm{~d} \rho \\
& =\int_{0}^{\epsilon} \int_{0}^{1}-h(\rho, \theta) \mathrm{d} \theta \mathrm{~d} \rho
\end{aligned}
$$

And then,

$$
\int_{0}^{1} h(\rho, \theta) \mathrm{d} \theta=0 \quad \text { if } 0<\rho<\epsilon
$$

By lemmas 1.6 and 1.7 , there exists $\tilde{u}$ analytic in $(0, \epsilon) \times \mathbb{R}$ periodic with period 1 in $\theta$, that verifies the equation $\tilde{u}_{\theta}=-\tilde{g} J$. The $\widetilde{u}$ passes to quotient and defines $u$ by $\tilde{u}=u \circ \varphi$. The function $u$ is a singular solution to (1), by lemmas 1.4 and 1.5.口

Observe that, if (2) holds, then the equation (1) always has a singular solution that can not be extended to a regular solution. In fact, even though the equation has a regular solution $u$, the solution $v=u+1 / f$ can not be extended to a regular solution.

## 2. Considerations on the Gauss-Manin connection

Let $f$ be an analytic function in a neighborhood of $(0,0) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}, n \geq 2$, with an isolated singularity at the origin.

Solutions to the equation $f_{y} u_{x}-f_{x} u_{y}=g$
Let $\mu$ be the Milnor number (cf. [5]) of the germ of the function $f$ at 0 .
Denoting $\Omega_{0}^{p}$ the sheaf of germs of the $p$-forms that are holomorphic in a neighborhood of the origin in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, we define

$$
G=\frac{\Omega_{0}^{n}}{\mathrm{~d} f \wedge \mathrm{~d} \Omega_{0}^{n-2}}
$$

The operation $\circ: \mathbb{C}\{t\} \times G \rightarrow G$ defined by

$$
\varphi(t) \circ[\omega]=[\varphi(f) \omega]
$$

where [.] indicates the class in $G$, gives $G$ the structure of a $\mathbb{C}\{t\}$-module.
Theorem 2.1.- (Brieskorn, Sebastiani) $G$ is a free $\mathbb{C}\{t\}$-module of $\operatorname{rank} \mu$.
$\operatorname{Proof}$ (cf. [3, theorem 5.1])
Consider $F$, the $\mathbb{C}\{t\}$-submodule of $G$ given by

$$
F=\frac{\mathrm{d} f \wedge \Omega_{0}^{n-1}}{\mathrm{~d} f \wedge \mathrm{~d} \Omega_{0}^{n-2}}
$$

$G / F$ is a torsion module.
Define the connection

$$
\mathrm{D}: F \longrightarrow G, \quad \mathrm{D}[\mathrm{~d} f \wedge \theta]=[\mathrm{d} \theta]
$$

It is clear that D is well defined, for if $\mathrm{d} f \wedge \alpha \in[\mathrm{~d} f \wedge \theta]$, then there exists $\beta \in \Omega_{0}^{n-2}$ such that

$$
\mathrm{d} f \wedge \alpha=\mathrm{d} f \wedge \theta+\mathrm{d} f \wedge \mathrm{~d} \beta
$$

Thus, by the de Rham's lemma,

$$
\alpha=\theta+\mathrm{d} \beta+\mathrm{d} f \wedge \gamma \quad \text { for a certain } \gamma \in \Omega_{0}^{n-2}
$$

It goes without saying that D is $\mathbb{C}$-linear and that it is a connection.
We are going to show that D is a bijective connection.
First, note that if

$$
\mathrm{D}[\mathrm{~d} f \wedge \theta]=[\mathrm{d} \theta] \in \mathrm{d} f \wedge \mathrm{~d} \Omega_{0}^{n-2}
$$

then

$$
\mathrm{d} \theta=\mathrm{d} f \wedge \mathrm{~d} \beta=-\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{~d} f \wedge \beta) \quad \text { for some } \beta \in \Omega_{0}^{n-2}
$$
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This is equivalent to $\mathrm{d}(\theta+\mathrm{d} f \wedge \beta)=0$. Thus, there exists $\gamma \in \Omega_{0}^{n-2}$ such that $\theta+\mathrm{d} f \wedge \beta=\mathrm{d} \gamma$, that is,

$$
\mathrm{d} f \wedge \theta=\mathrm{d} f \wedge \mathrm{~d} \gamma
$$

Hence, D is a $1-1$ connection.
Moreover, since every $\omega \in \Omega_{0}^{n}$ is exact, D is surjective.
If $t \neq 0$ is given, let $X_{t}=B_{\epsilon} \cap f^{-1}(t)$ be the Milnor fiber of the function $f$ (cf. [5]), where $B_{\epsilon}$ is the ball in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ with center in ( 0,0 ) and radius $\epsilon>0$. Also, let $0<|t|<\delta \ll \epsilon$.

If $\omega \in \Omega_{0}^{n}$ and $p \in X_{t}$, there exists a ( $n-1$ )-form $\alpha$, holomorphic in a neighborhood of $p$, such that $\omega=\mathrm{d} f \wedge \alpha$, in neighborhood of $p$, and that $\left.\alpha\right|_{X_{t}}$ is well defined. We define $\omega / \mathrm{d} f$ this way. $\omega / \mathrm{d} f$ is a $(n-1)$-form over each fiber.

Let $\gamma_{t} \subset X_{t}, 0<|t|<\delta$, be a $(n-1)$ vanishing cycle.
If $N \subset G$ is the set

$$
N=\left\{[\omega] \in G \left\lvert\, \int_{\gamma_{t}} \frac{\omega}{\mathrm{~d} f}=0\right.\right\}
$$

then $N \subset G$ as a $\mathbb{C}\{t\}$-submodule.
Observe that $\int_{\gamma_{\epsilon}} \omega / \mathrm{d} f$ depends only on the class $[\omega] \in G$.
Let $M=\mathrm{D}^{-1}(N)$. We want to show that if $[\mathrm{d} f \wedge \theta] \in M$, then $\int_{\gamma_{t}} \theta=0$. First we are going to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1

$$
\int_{\gamma_{t}} \frac{\mathrm{D}[\mathrm{~d} f \wedge \theta]}{\mathrm{d} f}=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \int_{\gamma_{t}} \theta .
$$

Proof.- Suppose $\mathrm{d} \theta=\mathrm{d} f \wedge \pi$, where $\pi \in \Omega_{0}^{n-1}$. By [3, §4.3],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\gamma_{t}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \theta}{\mathrm{~d} f}=\int_{\gamma_{t}} \pi=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \int_{\gamma_{t}} \theta . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the general case, if $\theta \in \Omega_{0}^{n-1}$ is given, then there exists an integer $\mathcal{N}$ and $\eta \in \Omega_{0}^{n-1}$ such that $f^{\mathcal{N}} \mathrm{d} \theta=\mathrm{d} f \wedge \eta$.

$$
\text { Solutions to the equation } f_{y} u_{x}-f_{x} u_{y}=g
$$

For $\omega=f^{\mathcal{N}} \theta$, we have

$$
\mathrm{d} \omega=\mathcal{N} f^{\mathcal{N}-1} \mathrm{~d} f \wedge \theta+f^{\mathcal{N}} \mathrm{d} \theta=\mathrm{d} f \wedge \alpha .
$$

By (3),

$$
\int_{\gamma_{t}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \omega}{\mathrm{~d} f}=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \int_{\gamma_{t}} \omega .
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\int_{\gamma_{t}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \omega}{\mathrm{~d} f}=\int_{\gamma_{t}} \mathcal{N} f^{\mathcal{N}-1} \theta+\int_{\gamma_{t}} f^{\mathcal{N}} \frac{\mathrm{d} \theta}{\mathrm{~d} f}=\mathcal{N} t^{\mathcal{N}-1} \int_{\gamma_{t}} \theta+t^{\mathcal{N}} \int_{\gamma_{t}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \theta}{\mathrm{~d} f}
$$

and

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \int_{\gamma_{t}} \omega=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} t^{\mathcal{N}} \int_{\gamma_{t}} \theta=\mathcal{N} t^{\mathcal{N}-1} \int_{\gamma_{t}} \theta+t^{\mathcal{N}} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \int_{\gamma_{t}} \theta .
$$

Since $t \neq 0$, it follows from the equations above that

$$
\int_{\gamma_{t}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \theta}{\mathrm{~d} f}=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \int_{\gamma_{t}} \theta
$$

This proves the lemma.
Proposition 2.1.- $M=\left\{[\mathrm{d} f \wedge \theta] \in F \mid \int_{\gamma_{t}} \theta=0\right\}$.
Proof. - $M \subset F$, by definition. If $\int_{\gamma_{t}} \theta=0$, then, by the lemma,

$$
\int_{\gamma_{t}} \frac{\mathrm{D}[\mathrm{~d} f \wedge \theta]}{\mathrm{d} f}=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \int_{\gamma_{t}} \theta=0 .
$$

In other words, $\mathrm{D}[\mathrm{d} f \wedge \theta] \in N$, i.e., $[\mathrm{d} f \wedge \theta] \in M$.
Suppose $[\mathrm{d} f \wedge \theta] \in M$. There exists $\omega \in \Omega_{0}^{n}$ such that $[\omega] \in N$ and $\mathrm{D}^{-1}[\omega]=[\mathrm{d} f \wedge \theta]$. Hence, by the definition of $N$ and by the lemma,

$$
0=\int_{\gamma_{t}} \frac{\omega}{\mathrm{~d} f}=\int_{\gamma_{t}} \frac{\mathrm{D}[\mathrm{~d} f \wedge \theta]}{\mathrm{d} f}=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \int_{\gamma_{t}} \theta .
$$

It follows that $\int_{\gamma_{t}} \theta$ is constant. Then, $\int_{\gamma_{t}} \theta=0$ (cf. $[3, \S 4.5]$ ).

Proposition 2.2.- $M=N \cap F$.

Proof. - It follows from Proposition 2.1 that $M$ is a $\mathbb{C}\{t\}$-submodule of $G$ and that $M \subset N$.

On the other hand, if $[\omega] \in N \cap F$, there exists $\theta \in \Omega_{0}^{n-1}$ such that $[\omega]=[\mathrm{d} f \wedge \theta]$. From this, it follows that $[\omega] \in M$, since

$$
\int_{\gamma_{t}} \theta=\int_{\gamma_{t}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} f \wedge \theta}{\mathrm{~d} f}=\int_{\gamma_{t}} \frac{\omega}{\mathrm{~d} f}=0
$$

Proposition 2.3.- $N / M$ is a torsion module.

Proof. - Since $G / F$ is a torsion module, there exists an integer $m$ such that $t^{m} \circ[\omega] \in F$, whatever $[\omega] \in N$. From Proposition 2.2 and from the fact that $N$ is a $\mathbb{C}\{t\}$-module, it follows that $t^{m} \circ[\omega] \in M$.

Proposition 2.4. $-\operatorname{rank} M=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} N /(N \cap F)$.

Proof. - We have already seen that

$$
\mathrm{D}: M \xrightarrow{\approx} N
$$

and that $N / M$ is a torsion module (Prop. 2.3). By the Malgrange index formula [4],

$$
0=\chi(\mathrm{D} ; M, N)=\operatorname{rank} M-\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} N / M
$$

Hence, by Proposition 2.2,

$$
\nu=\operatorname{rank} M=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} N /(N \cap F)
$$

Observation. - If $\gamma_{t}$ is homologous to 0 , then $N=G$. Consequently, $M=F$ and

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} N /(N \cap F)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \Omega_{0}^{n} / \mathrm{d} f \wedge \Omega_{0}^{n-1}=\mu
$$

In this particular case, we obtain again the formula

$$
\operatorname{rank} G=\operatorname{rank} F=\mu
$$

Solutions to the equation $f_{y} u_{x}-f_{x} u_{y}=g$

## 3. The rank of the module $T$

We may consider $f$ a restriction, to $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, of an analytic function in a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$, that will also be denoted by $f$. With the hypothesis on $J_{f}, 0$ is an isolated critical point of the extension.

We define $\mathbb{C}\{t\}$-modules $G$ and $F$ as in Section 2. The sub-index 0 will be suppressed for we are only interested in functions and differential forms holomorphic in a neighborhood of the origin. We write

$$
G=\frac{\Omega^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} f \wedge \mathrm{~d} \Omega^{0}} \quad \text { and } \quad F=\frac{\mathrm{d} f \wedge \Omega^{1}}{\mathrm{~d} f \wedge \mathrm{~d} \Omega^{0}}
$$

where $\Omega^{0}$ is the space of germs of analytic functions in $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$.
$(x, y)$ will denote the coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $(z, w)$ the coordinates in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$.
Define $\Lambda=\mathbb{R}\{x, y\}$ and $S=\Lambda / \Gamma$.
It is clear that $\Lambda$ and $S$ are $\mathbb{R}\{t\}$-modules, that $\Gamma \subset \Sigma \subset \Lambda$ as $\mathbb{R}\{t\}$ submodules, and that $T \subset S$ as $\mathbb{R}\{t\}$-submodule.

Let

$$
\sigma: \Lambda \longrightarrow G, \quad \sigma(g)=[g \mathrm{~d} z \wedge \mathrm{~d} w]
$$

Proposition. - $\sigma$ is $\mathbb{R}\{t\}$-linear and $\operatorname{ker} \sigma=\Gamma$.

Proof. $-\Gamma \subset \operatorname{ker} \sigma$ trivially.
If $g \in \operatorname{ker} \sigma$,

$$
g \mathrm{~d} z \wedge \mathrm{~d} w=-\mathrm{d} f \wedge \mathrm{~d} u
$$

which means that

$$
g=f_{w} u_{z}-f_{z} u_{w} \quad \text { for a certain } u \in \mathbb{C}\{z, w\}
$$

Considering the restriction of $u$ to $\mathbb{R}^{2}$,

$$
u(x, y)=u_{R}(x, y)+i u_{I}(x, y)
$$

where $u_{R}$ and $u_{I}$ are real functions,

$$
g=f_{y}\left(u_{R}\right)_{x}-f_{x}\left(u_{R}\right)_{y}+i\left[f_{y}\left(u_{I}\right)_{x}-f_{x}\left(u_{I}\right)_{y}\right]
$$

Since $g$ is a real function, $f_{y}\left(u_{I}\right)_{x}-f_{x}\left(u_{I}\right)_{y}=0$ and $g(x, y)=f_{y}\left(u_{R}\right)_{x}-$ $f_{x}\left(u_{R}\right)_{y}$, that is, $g \in \Gamma$.

Let $S_{c}=S \bigotimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ and $T_{c}=T \bigotimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$. It is clear that $S_{c}$ is a $\mathbb{C}\{t\}$-module and that $T_{c} \subset S_{c}$ as a $\mathbb{C}\{t\}$-submodule.

Theorem 3.1.- $\sigma$ passes to quotient and defines a $\mathbb{C}\{t\}$-module isomorphism

$$
\tau: S_{c} \underset{\nsim}{\approx} .
$$

Proof. - By the definitions of $S_{c}$ and $G, \tau$ is a surjective homomorphism
Let $g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{h} \in \Lambda$, be $\mathbb{R}$-independent $\bmod \Gamma$, and let $\left[g_{j}\right]$ be the class of $g_{j}$ in $S, j=1,2, \ldots, h$.

Suppose

$$
\tau\left(\sum_{j=1}^{h} c_{j}\left[g_{j}\right]\right)=0, \quad c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{h} \in \mathbb{C}
$$

Then,

$$
\left(\sum_{j=1}^{h} c_{j} g_{j}\right) \mathrm{d} z \wedge \mathrm{~d} w=\mathrm{d} f \wedge \mathrm{~d} u
$$

for a certain $u$ analytic on a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$. Thus,

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{h} c_{j} g_{j}=f_{z} u_{w}-f_{w} u_{z}
$$

Let $c_{j}=a_{j}+i b_{j}, a_{j}, b_{j} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\left.u\right|_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}=u_{R}+i u_{I}$ where $u_{R}$ and $u_{I}$ are, respectively, the real and imaginary parts of the function $\left.u\right|_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum a_{j} g_{j}=f_{x}\left(u_{R}\right)_{y}-f_{y}\left(u_{R}\right)_{x} \\
& \sum b_{j} g_{j}=f_{x}\left(u_{I}\right)_{y}-f_{y}\left(u_{I}\right)_{x} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In other words, $\sum a_{j} g_{j}, \sum b_{j} g_{j} \in \Gamma$. Consequently, $\forall j, a_{j}, b_{j}=0$, i.e., $c_{j}=0$. Then, $\tau$ is an isomorphism.

Corollary 3.1.- $S$ is a free $\mathbb{R}\{t\}$-module of $\operatorname{rank} \mu$, where $\mu$ is the Milnor number of the function $f$ in 0 (cf. [3, Sect. 3] and [5]).

Corollary 3.2.-If, for some $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
f_{y} u_{x}-f_{x} u_{y}=f^{\mathcal{N}} g
$$

has a regular solution. Then,

$$
f_{y} u_{x}-f_{x} u_{y}=g
$$

has a regular solution.

Corollary 3.3.- $T$ is a free $\mathbb{R}\{t\}$-module and

$$
\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{R}\{t\}} T=\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{C}\{t\}} T_{c} \leq \mu
$$

To compute the rank of $T$, we must compute the rank $\eta$ of the $\mathbb{C}\{t\}$-free module $T_{c}$.

Let $\gamma_{\epsilon}$ be the curve $f(x, y)=\epsilon$, that is, $\gamma_{\epsilon}=X_{\epsilon} \cap \mathbb{R}^{2}$, where $X_{\epsilon}$ is the Milnor fiber of $f$ over $\epsilon$. $\gamma_{\epsilon}$ is prolonged to a vanishing cycle (Sect. 2).

Let us recall the definition of the $\mathbb{C}\{t\}$-submodule $N$ of $G$, given in Section 2:

$$
N=\left\{\omega \in G \left\lvert\, \int_{\gamma_{t}} \frac{\omega}{\mathrm{~d} f}=0\right.\right\}
$$

where $\gamma_{t} \subset X_{t}$, for $0<|t|$ small enough, is the vanishing cycle defined above.

Theorem 3.2. $-\tau\left(T_{c}\right)=N$.
Proof. - Let $g \in \Lambda$ whose class in $S$ belongs to $T$. There exists $\eta \in \Omega^{1}$ such that $\tau(g)=[g \mathrm{~d} z \wedge \mathrm{~d} w]=[\mathrm{d} \eta]$.

$$
\int_{\gamma_{e}} \eta=\int_{f \leq \epsilon} \mathrm{d} \eta=\int_{f \leq \epsilon} g \mathrm{~d} x \wedge \mathrm{~d} y=0
$$

by the Theorem 1.1 and $\int_{\gamma_{t}} \eta$ is a multiform analytic function of $t$. Since the equality above holds for all $\epsilon>0$ small enough, and $\gamma_{\epsilon}=X_{\epsilon} \cap \mathbb{R}^{2}$, it results that $\int_{\gamma_{t}} \eta=0$ for all $0<|t|$ small enough.

By Proposition 2.1, $[\mathrm{d} f \wedge \eta] \in M=\mathrm{D}^{-1}(N)$. Hence, $\mathrm{D}[\mathrm{d} f \wedge \eta]=[\mathrm{d} \eta] \in$ $N$, and then, $[g \mathrm{~d} z \wedge \mathrm{~d} w] \in N$.

On the other side, if $[\omega] \in N$, there exists $\theta \in \Omega^{1}$ such that $\omega=\mathrm{D}[\mathrm{d} f \wedge \theta]$ and $\int_{\gamma_{\epsilon}} \theta=0$ (Prop. 2.1). Besides, by the definition of $\mathrm{D},[\omega]=[\mathrm{d} \theta]$. Thus,

$$
\int_{f \leq \epsilon} \omega=\int_{\gamma_{\epsilon}} \theta=0 .
$$

Let $\omega=h \mathrm{~d} z \wedge \mathrm{~d} w, h \in \mathbb{C}\{z, w\}$. Considering the restriction of $h$ to $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, let

$$
\left.h\right|_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}=h_{R}+i h_{I}
$$

Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\int_{f \leq \epsilon} \omega=\int_{f \leq \epsilon} h \mathrm{~d} x \wedge \mathrm{~d} y \\
& =\int_{f \leq \epsilon} h_{R} \mathrm{~d} x \wedge \mathrm{~d} y+i \int_{f \leq \epsilon} h_{I} \mathrm{~d} x \wedge \mathrm{~d} y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\int_{f \leq \epsilon} h_{R} \mathrm{~d} x \wedge \mathrm{~d} y=\int_{f \leq \epsilon} h_{I} \mathrm{~d} x \wedge \mathrm{~d} y=0
$$

Since the classes of $h_{R}$ and $h_{I}$ belong to $T$ (Sect. 1), the class of $h$ belongs to $T_{c}$ and $\tau([h])=[\omega]$.

Corollary 3.4.- $\operatorname{rank} T_{c}=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} N /(N \cap F)$.
Proof.- Propositions 2.3 and 2.4.
Corollary 3.5.- $\operatorname{rank} T=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}} \Sigma /\left(\Sigma \cap J_{f}\right)$.
Proof.- By theorems 3.1 and 3.2, it is enough to show that

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}} \Sigma /\left(\Sigma \cap J_{f}\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} N /(N \cap F)
$$

If $g \in \Sigma,[g(z, w) \mathrm{d} z \wedge \mathrm{~d} w] \in N$ (Theorem 3.2).
We define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda: \Sigma \longrightarrow N /(N \cap F) \\
& \lambda(g)=\text { class of }[g(z, w) \mathrm{d} z \wedge \mathrm{~d} w]=\text { class of } \sigma(g) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { Solutions to the equation } f_{y} u_{x}-f_{x} u_{y}=g
$$

$\lambda$ is a homomorphism between $\mathbb{R}$-vector spaces whose kernel is $J_{f}$. In fact,

- if $g \in \Sigma$ is such that $g=a f_{x}+b f_{y}$, for certain $a, b \in \mathbb{R}\{x, y\}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda(g) & =\left[\left(a(z, w) f_{z}(z, w)+b(z, w) f_{w}(z, w)\right) \mathrm{d} z \wedge \mathrm{~d} w\right] \\
& =[\mathrm{d} f \wedge(a(z, w) \mathrm{d} w-b(z, w) \mathrm{d} z)] \in N \cap F ;
\end{aligned}
$$

- on the other side, if $g \in \operatorname{ker} \lambda$, there exists $\alpha=\alpha_{1} \mathrm{~d} z+\alpha_{2} \mathrm{~d} w \in \Omega^{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
g \mathrm{~d} z \wedge \mathrm{~d} w & =\mathrm{d} f \wedge \alpha=\left(f_{z} \mathrm{~d} z+f_{w} \mathrm{~d} w\right) \wedge\left(\alpha_{1} \mathrm{~d} z+\alpha_{2} \mathrm{~d} w\right) \\
& =\left(f_{z} \alpha_{2}-f_{w} \alpha_{1}\right) \mathrm{d} z \wedge \mathrm{~d} w
\end{aligned}
$$

which means that $g(z, w)=\left(f_{z} \alpha_{2}-f_{w} \alpha_{1}\right)(z, w)$.
If we make the restriction to real numbers,

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(x, y)= & \left(f_{x} \alpha_{2}-f_{y} \alpha_{1}\right)(x, y) \\
= & f_{x}(x, y)\left(\alpha_{2_{R}}(x, y)+i \alpha_{2_{I}}(x, y)\right)+ \\
& -f_{y}(x, y)\left(\alpha_{1_{R}}(x, y)+i \alpha_{1_{I}}(x, y)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left.\alpha_{j}\right|_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}=\alpha_{j_{R}}+i \alpha_{j_{I}}$ and $\alpha_{j_{R}}, \alpha_{j_{I}}$ are real functions, $j=1,2$.
Since $g(x, y), f_{x}(x, y)$ and $f_{y}(x, y)$ are real numbers,

$$
\left(f_{x} \alpha_{2_{I}}-f_{y} \alpha_{1_{I}}\right)(x, y)=0 .
$$

Consequently,

$$
g=\alpha_{2_{R}} f_{x}-\alpha_{1_{R}} f_{y} \in J_{f} .
$$

$\lambda$ passes to quotient and defines a one to one homomorphism

$$
\bar{\lambda}: \Sigma /\left(\Sigma \cap J_{f}\right) \longrightarrow N /(N \cap F) .
$$

If $[\omega] \in N$, by Theorem 3.2, there exist $g_{1}, g_{2} \in \Sigma$ such that

$$
[\omega]=\bar{\lambda}\left[g_{1}\right]+i \bar{\lambda}\left[g_{2}\right] .
$$

Thus,

$$
\bar{\lambda}\left(\Sigma / \Sigma \cap J_{f}\right)+i \bar{\lambda}\left(\Sigma / \Sigma \cap J_{f}\right)=N /(N \cap F) .
$$

It remains to show that $\bar{\lambda}\left(\Sigma / \Sigma \cap J_{f}\right) \cap i \bar{\lambda}\left(\Sigma / \Sigma \cap J_{f}\right)=\{0\}$.
Suppose $g, h \in \Sigma$ and

$$
[g(z, w) \mathrm{d} z \wedge \mathrm{~d} w]=i[h(z, w) \mathrm{d} z \wedge \mathrm{~d} w] \quad \text { in } N /(N \cap F)
$$

Hence,

$$
[(g(z, w)-i h(z, w)) \mathrm{d} z \wedge \mathrm{~d} z] \in F,
$$

i.e., $g-i h=a f_{z}+b f_{w}$ for some functions $a, b \in \mathbb{C}\{z, w\}$.

Restricting to $\mathbb{R}^{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
g-i h & =\left(a_{R}+i a_{I}\right) f_{x}+\left(b_{R}+i b_{I}\right) f_{y} \\
& =\left(a_{R} f_{x}+b_{R} f_{y}\right)+i\left(a_{I} f_{x}+b_{I} f_{y}\right), \quad a_{R}, a_{I}, b_{R}, b_{I} \in \mathbb{R}\{x, y\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $g, h \in \Sigma \cap J_{f}$.
Then, $\operatorname{rank} T=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} N /(N \cap F)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}} \Sigma /\left(\Sigma \cap J_{f}\right)$.
Now, let us prove theorems 1 and 2.

## Proof of Theorem 1

Part of it is a corollary of theorems 3.1 and 3.2 .
It just remains to show that

$$
\operatorname{rank} T=\nu=\mu-k
$$

Since $G / N$ is torsion free, we may write $G=N \oplus P$. Let $\left[\omega_{0}\right],\left[\omega_{1}\right], \ldots$, [ $\omega_{\mu-1}$ ] be a basis of $G$ as a $\mathbb{C}\{t\}$-module where $\left[\omega_{0}\right],\left[\omega_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[\omega_{\nu-1}\right]$ is a basis of $N$ and $\left[\omega_{\nu}\right],\left[\omega_{\nu-1}\right], \ldots,\left[\omega_{\mu-1}\right]$ is a basis of $P$ as $\mathbb{C}\{t\}$-modules.

Let $\left\{\delta_{0_{t}}, \delta_{1_{t}}, \ldots, \delta_{(k-1)_{t}}\right\}, 0<|t|<\epsilon, \epsilon>0$ sufficiently small, be a basis of $E$, where $\delta_{s_{t}}=L_{\gamma_{t}}^{s}, 0 \leq s \leq k-1$.

If $\left[\omega_{i}\right.$ ] belongs to $N, \int_{\gamma_{t}} \omega_{i}=0$. Hence, $\int_{L_{\gamma_{t}}} \omega_{i}=0$, for all $s$, $0 \leq s \leq k-1$.

Thus, if

$$
\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{C}\{t\}} N=\nu>\mu-k
$$

the matrix

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\int_{\delta_{J_{t}}} \frac{\omega_{\ell}}{\mathrm{d} f}\right]_{0 \leq \ell, j \leq \mu-1}} \\
-416-
\end{gathered}
$$

has a vanishing $k \times \nu$ minor, with $\nu+\dot{k}>\mu$. Which means that

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\left[\int_{\delta_{j_{t}}} \frac{\omega_{\ell}}{\mathrm{d} f}\right]_{0 \leq \ell, j \leq \mu-1}\right)^{2}=0
$$

on a neighborhood of $t=0$. And this contradicts the fact that (cf. [1], 12):

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\left[\int_{\delta_{j_{t}}} \frac{\omega_{\ell}}{\mathrm{df}}\right]_{0 \leq \ell, j \leq \mu-1}\right)^{2} \neq 0
$$

Suppose now $\nu<\mu-k$. Let

$$
A(t)=\left[\int_{\delta_{j_{t}}} \frac{\omega_{\ell}}{\mathrm{d} f}\right]_{\substack{\nu \leq \ell \leq \mu-1 \\ 0 \leq j \leq k-1}}
$$

By [2],

$$
A(t)=\widetilde{A}(t) \exp (C \ln t)
$$

where $\tilde{A}(t)$ is meromorphic and $C$ is a constant $(k \times k)$-matrix.
Since $\tilde{A}(t)$ is a $((\mu-\nu) \times k)$-matrix with $\mu-\nu>k$, then there exist holomorphic functions $g_{\nu}(t), g_{\nu+1}(t), \ldots, g_{\mu-1}(t)$, not all of them identically zero in $|t|<\epsilon$, such that

$$
\sum_{\ell=\nu}^{\mu-1} g_{\ell} \int_{\delta_{j_{t}}} \frac{\omega_{\ell}}{\mathrm{d} f}=0, \quad j=0, \ldots, k-1
$$

Let $\alpha=\sum g_{\ell}(f) \omega_{\ell}$. Then we have $[\alpha] \in P$ and, since

$$
\int_{\delta_{j_{t}}} \frac{\alpha}{\mathrm{~d} f}=0, \quad j=0, \ldots, k-1
$$

$[\alpha]$ also belongs to $N$. This means that $[\alpha]=0$. Thus there exists a non trivial linear combination of $\left[\omega_{0}\right], \ldots,\left[\omega_{\mu-1}\right]$ that vanishes. That is impossible, since it is a basis of $G$.

Therefore, $\nu=\mu-k$.
Proof of theorem 2
Theorem 3.2, Corollary 3.5.
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