

ANNALES DE L'I. H. P., SECTION A

NAKAO HAYASHI

Time decay of solutions to the Schrödinger equation in exterior domains. I

Annales de l'I. H. P., section A, tome 50, n° 1 (1989), p. 71-81

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIHPA_1989__50_1_71_0

© Gauthier-Villars, 1989, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'I. H. P., section A » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

Time decay of solutions to the Schrödinger equation in exterior domains. I

by

Nakao HAYASHI

Hongo 2-39-6, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113, Japan (*)

ABSTRACT. — We study the time decay of solutions for the following Schrödinger equation:

$$(*) \begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \frac{1}{2} \Delta u = 0, & (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times D, \\ u(0, x) = \phi(x), & x \in D, \\ u(t, x) = 0, & (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times \partial D, \end{cases}$$

where D is the complement of a star-shaped, bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 3$, and the boundary ∂D is smooth. We give upper bounds for decay rates of $L^p(D)$ -norm for the solution u of (*), for example,

$$\|u(t)\|_p \leq \begin{cases} CI^{1/2}(1+t)^{-2}(1+\log(1+t)), & n \geq 5, \quad p = 2n/(n-4), \\ CI^{1/2}(1+t)^{-2(1-2\varepsilon)+\varepsilon_1}, & n = 4, \quad p = 1/\varepsilon, \\ CI^{1/2}(1+t)^{-11/10+\varepsilon}, & n = 3, \quad p = \infty, \end{cases}$$

where ε and ε_1 are sufficiently small positive constants,

$$I = I(\phi) = \| |x|^2 \phi \|_{1,2}^2 + \| x \Delta \phi \|^2 + \| \phi \|_{2,2}^2.$$

RÉSUMÉ. — Nous étudions la décroissance temporelle des solutions de l'équation de Schrödinger :

$$(*) \begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \frac{1}{2} \Delta u = 0, & (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times D, \\ u(0, x) = \phi(x), & x \in D, \\ u(t, x) = 0, & (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times \partial D, \end{cases}$$

(*) Present address: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Engineering Gunma University, Kiryu 396, Japan.

où D est le complément d'un domaine étoilé borné de \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 3$, et de bord régulier. Nous prouvons une borne supérieure pour le taux de décroissance dans la norme de $L^p(D)$ des solutions u de $(*)$:

$$\|u(t)\|_p \leq \begin{cases} CI^{1/2}(1+t)^{-2}(1+\log(1+t)), & n \geq 5, \quad p = 2n/(n-4), \\ CI^{1/2}(1+t)^{-2(1-2\varepsilon)+\varepsilon_1}, & n = 4, \quad p = 1/\varepsilon, \\ CI^{1/2}(1+t)^{-11/10+\varepsilon}, & n = 3, \quad p = \infty, \end{cases}$$

où ε et ε_1 sont des constantes suffisamment petites et

$$I = I(\phi) = \| |x|^2 \phi \|_{1,2}^2 + \| x \Delta \phi \|^2 + \| \phi \|_{2,2}^2.$$

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULT

We consider the exterior boundary value problem for the following Schrödinger equation :

$$i \partial_t u + \frac{1}{2} \Delta u = 0, \quad (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times D, \tag{1.1}$$

$$u(0, x) = \phi(x), \quad x \in D, \tag{1.2}$$

$$u(t, x) = 0, \quad (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times \partial D, \tag{1.3}$$

where D is the complement of a star-shaped, bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 3$, and the boundary ∂D is smooth. Our main purpose in this paper is to study L^p -time decay for solutions of (1.1)-(1.3). In this paper we use the following notations :

NOTATION. — $\partial_t = \partial/\partial t$, $\partial_k = \partial/\partial x_k$, $\nabla = (\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n)$, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$,

$$|x| = r, \quad \Delta = \sum_{k=1}^n \partial_k^2; \quad S = S(t) = \exp(i|x|^2/2t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}; \quad \partial_r = \partial/\partial r;$$

$J_k = J_k(t) = x_k + it\partial_k$, $J = J(t) = (J_1, \dots, J_n)$, $K = r^2 + nit + 2itr\partial_r + 2it^2\partial_t$, $J^2 = r^2 + nit + 2itr\partial_r - t^2\Delta$, $\partial^\alpha = \partial_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial_n^{\alpha_n}$, $x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$, $J^\alpha, J_1^{\alpha_1} \dots J_n^{\alpha_n}$, $\alpha \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^n$, $\partial^0 = x^0 = J^0 = I$; \mathcal{S} denotes the space of rapidly decreasing $C^\infty(D)$ -functions from D to \mathbb{C} , \mathcal{S}' is the dual space of \mathcal{S} ; L^p denotes the Lebesgue space $L^p(D)$ or $L^p(D) \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$, with the norm $\|\cdot\|_p$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$; $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_2$; (\cdot, \cdot) denotes the L^2 -scalar product; $H^{m,p} = H^{m,p}(D) = \{ \psi \in \mathcal{S}' ; \|\psi\|_{m,p} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|\partial^\alpha \psi\|_p < \infty \}$, $H_0^{m,p} = H_0^{m,p}(D)$ denotes the completion of $C_0^\infty(D)$ in $H^{m,p}$;

$$\int \cdot dx = \int_D \cdot dx; \quad \|\cdot\|_b^2 = - \sum_{j=1}^n \int_D \partial_j(x_j |\cdot|^2) dx = - \int_D \partial_j(x_j |\cdot|^2) dx$$

when D is the complement of a star-shaped, bounded domain with smooth boundary ∂D .

The following relations will be used in the sequel:

$$\begin{aligned} J_k(t) &= S(t)(it\partial_k)S(-t), & J(t) &= S(t)(it\nabla)S(-t), \\ J^2(t) &= S(t)(-t^2\Delta)S(-t), & L &= i\partial_t + \frac{1}{2}\Delta, & [L, J] &= LJ - JL = 0, \\ [L, J^2] &= LJ^2 - J^2L = 0, & [L, K] &= LK - KL = 4itL. \end{aligned}$$

Different positive constants might be denoted by the same letter C . If necessary, by $C(*, \dots, *)$ we denote constants depending only on the quantities appearing in parentheses.

With these notations we state our main result.

THEOREM 1. — Let D be the complement of a star-shaped, bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 3$), with smooth boundary ∂D . Let u be the solution of (1.1)-(1.3) with $\phi \in H = \{ \psi \in \mathcal{S}' ;$

$$I = I(\psi) = \| |x|^2\psi \|^2 + \| x\Delta\psi \|^2 + \| \psi \|_{2,2}^2 < \infty \}.$$

Then u satisfies the following decay estimates

$$\| u(t) \|_p \leq CI^{1/2}(\phi)(1+t)^{-1-\gamma}Q(t, \beta, \gamma),$$

where $p = 2n/(n - 2 - 2\gamma)$,

and $Q(t, \beta, \gamma) = (1+t)^{2(2-\beta)\gamma/(3-\beta)}(1+\log(1+t))^{\gamma/(3-\beta)}$

where $0 \leq \beta < 4/3, \quad 0 < \gamma \leq 1/2$ if $n = 3, \quad 0 \leq \beta < 2,$
 $0 < \gamma < 1$ if $n = 4, \quad 0 \leq \beta \leq 2, \quad 0 < \gamma \leq 1,$ if $n \geq 5.$

More precise L^p -time decay for solutions of (1.1)-(1.3) has been studied by Y. Tsutsumi (lemma 3.1 in [5]).

However his assumptions on the initial data and the domain are different from ours, and his methods are also different from ours.

REMARK 1. — Let v be the solution of the initial value problem for the linear Schrödinger equation with the initial data ϕ . Then we have by well known decay estimates of free Schrödinger group and Sobolev's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \| v(t) \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C(\| \phi \|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \| \phi \|_{H^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)})(1+t)^{-1-\gamma} \\ &\leq C(\| r^2\phi \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \| \phi \|_{H^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)})(1+t)^{-1-\gamma}, \end{aligned}$$

where $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ and $\gamma = \gamma(p)$ is the same one as that of theorem 1.

REMARK 2. — We can treat the nonlinear Schrödinger equations in

exterior domains by using theorem 1, since the decay rates obtained in theorem 1 are larger than 1 (see [5], [7]).

Throughout the paper we assume that the assumptions of theorem 1 are satisfied.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

For the convenience of the reader we first give a sketch of the strategy of the proof. The main result follows from Sobolev's inequality

$$\|u(t)\|_p \leq Ct^{-1-\gamma} \|Ju(t)\|^{1-\gamma} \cdot \sum_{|\alpha|=2} \|J^\alpha u(t)\|^\gamma, \quad t > 0,$$

where p and γ are same as those in theorem 1. The first norm is estimated by lemma 2.1, the second norm is reduced basically to $\|J^2u\|$ by lemma 2.5 (which does not use the equation), then $\|J^2u\| = \|Ku\|$ for the solutions, $\|Ku\|$ is estimated in lemma 2.6 which requires *a priori* estimates of solutions on the boundary given in lemmas 2.2-2.4. We note that computation stated below is rather formal, but it can be justified by considering the solutions u_k of regularized equations such that

$$\begin{aligned} i\partial_t u + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u &= 0, & (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times D, \\ u(0, x) &= \phi_k(x), & x \in D, \\ u(t, x) &= 0, & (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times \partial D, \end{aligned}$$

where $\phi_k \in X = \{\psi \in H^{2N,2}(D) \cap H^1; \Delta^l \psi \in H_0^{1,2}(D), 1 \leq l \leq N-1, N \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $\phi_k \rightarrow \phi$ strongly in H . It is well known that for any k , there exists a unique smooth solution

$$u_k \in \bigcap_{l=0}^{N-1} C^l([0, \infty);$$

$$H^{2(N-l),2}(D) \cap H_0^{1,2}(D)) \cap C^N([0, \infty); L^2(D))$$

(see, e. g., K. Yosida [6]). This and a limiting procedure allow us to justify the formal calculation stated below.

LEMMA 2.1. — Let u be the solution of (1.1)-(1.3). Then we have

$$\|Ju(t)\|^2, \quad \int_0^t s \|\nabla u(s)\|_6^2 ds \leq C \|x\phi\|^2, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\|J\partial_t u(t)\|^2, \quad \int_0^t s \|\nabla \partial_s u(s)\|_6^2 ds \leq C \|x\Delta\phi\|^2. \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. — From (1.1) we have

$$i\partial_r Jv + \frac{1}{2} \Delta Jv = LJv = 0, \tag{2.3}$$

where $v = u$ or $\partial_t u$. We multiply (2.3) by \bar{Jv} and take the imaginary part. This leads us to

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|Jv\|^2 + \text{Im} \int \nabla(-it\nabla v \cdot r\partial_t \bar{v}) dx = 0, \tag{2.4}$$

where $\text{Im } f$ denotes the imaginary part of f . For any $a, b \in \mathcal{S}$, we have

$$\nabla(\nabla a \cdot r\partial_t b) = \nabla(x\Delta a \cdot b - (n-1)\nabla a \cdot b - r\partial_r \nabla a \cdot b) + \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_j(x_j \nabla a \cdot \nabla b). \tag{2.5}$$

We obtain by (2.4), (2.5) and the fact that $v = 0$ on ∂D ,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|Jv\|^2 - t \int \partial_j(x_j |\nabla v|^2) dx = \frac{d}{dt} \|Jv\|^2 + t \|\nabla v\|_b^2 = 0. \tag{2.6}$$

(2.1) and (2.2) follow from (2.6) and $i\partial_t u(0) = -\frac{1}{2} \Delta \phi$. Q. E. D.

LEMMA 2.2. — Let u be the solution of (1.1)-(1.3). Then we have for $t > 0$

$$\|\partial_r \nabla u(t)\|_b \leq Ct^{-1/2}(1+t)^{-1/2}(\|x\Delta \phi\| + \|x\phi\| + \|\phi\|_{2,2}).$$

Proof. — We put $\zeta = (1+r)^{-k}$, $k > 1$. We have by a simple calculation $\zeta \partial_r \nabla u = \partial_r \nabla(\zeta u) - \partial_r u \cdot \nabla \zeta - \nabla u \cdot \partial_r \zeta - u \cdot \partial_r \nabla \zeta$. From this and the fact that ∂D is bounded we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_r \nabla u\|_b &\leq C \|\zeta \partial_r \nabla u\|_b \\ &\leq C(\|\partial_r \nabla(\zeta u)\|_b + \|\partial_r u \cdot \nabla \zeta\|_b + \|\nabla u \cdot \partial_r \zeta\|_b + \|u \cdot \partial_r \nabla \zeta\|_b) \\ &\leq C(\|\partial_r \nabla(\zeta u)\|_b + \|\nabla(\zeta u)\|_b + \|\zeta u\|_b) \leq C \|\zeta u\|_{3,2}^{1/2} \|\zeta u\|_{2,2}^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

here we have used the Schwarz inequality. We multiply (1.1) by ζ to obtain

$$-\Delta \zeta u + \zeta u = 2i\partial_r \zeta u - 2\nabla \zeta \cdot \nabla u - (\Delta \zeta)u + \zeta u. \tag{2.8}$$

By the elliptic estimates (see, e. g., [I]) and (2.8) we get

$$\|\zeta u\|_{2,2} \leq C(\|\zeta \partial_t u\| + \|\zeta \nabla u\| + \|\zeta u\|), \tag{2.9}$$

$$\|\zeta u\|_{3,2} \leq C(\|\zeta \nabla \partial_t u\| + \|\zeta \partial_t u\| + \|\zeta \nabla u\| + \|\zeta u\|). \tag{2.10}$$

By Hölder's and Sobolev's inequalities we have

$$\|\zeta v\| \leq C \|v\|_{2n/(n-2)} \leq \begin{cases} Ct^{-1} \|Jv\|, & t > 0, \\ C \|\nabla v\|, \end{cases} \tag{2.11}$$

for any $v \in H^{1,2}$ with $|x|v \in L^2$.

By a simple calculation we obtain for any $v \in H^{1,2}$

$$\|\zeta \nabla v\| \leq \begin{cases} Ct^{-1}(\|Jv\| + \|v\|), & t > 0, \\ C\|v\|_{1,2} \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

(2.9)-(2.12) and (2.7) give

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial_r \nabla u\|_b \\ & \leq Ct^{-1/2}(\|J\partial_t u\| + \|Ju\|)^{1/2}(1+t)^{-1/2}(\|J\partial_t u\| + \|Ju\| + \|u\|_{2,2})^{1/2} \\ & \leq Ct^{-1/2}(1+t)^{-1/2}(\|J\partial_t u\| + \|Ju\| + \|u\|_{2,2}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Since $\|u\|_{2,2} \leq C\|\phi\|_{2,2}$ by the energy estimates of (1.1)-(1.3), lemma 2.2 follows from lemma 2.1 and (2.13). Q. E. D.

LEMMA 2.3. — Let u be the solution of (1.1)-(1.3). Then we have

$$\|\nabla Ku(t)\|^2, \quad \int_0^t s^3 \|\nabla \partial_s u(s)\|_b^2 ds, \quad t^2 \|\nabla u(t)\|_b^2 \leq C \cdot I(\phi)(1 + \log(1+t)).$$

Proof. — From (1.1) we have

$$LKu = 0. \quad (2.14)$$

We multiply (2.14) by $\partial_i(\overline{Ku})$ and take the real part to obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla Ku\|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re} \int \nabla(\nabla Ku \cdot (2itr\partial_r \bar{u} + 2itr\partial_r \partial_t \bar{u})) dx = 0, \quad (2.15)$$

where $\operatorname{Re} f$ denotes the real part of f . By using (2.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \nabla(\nabla Ku \cdot (2itr\partial_r \bar{u} + 2itr\partial_r \partial_t \bar{u})) dx = 2i \int \partial_j(x_j \nabla Ku \cdot ((1+t\partial_t)\nabla \bar{u})) dx \\ & = 2i \int \partial_j(x_j(r^2 \nabla u + nit \nabla u + 2itr\partial_r \nabla u + 2it(1+t\partial_t)\nabla u)((1+t\partial_t)\nabla \bar{u})) dx \\ & = -4t \int \partial_j(x_j |(1+t\partial_t)\nabla u|^2) dx - 2 \int \partial_j(x_j(nt + 2tr\partial_r)\nabla u)((1+t\partial_t)\nabla \bar{u}) dx \\ & = +2i \int \partial_j(x_j(r^2 |\nabla u|^2 + r^2 t \nabla u \cdot \partial_t \nabla \bar{u})) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

We have by (2.15), (2.16) and the Schwarz inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla Ku\|^2 + 4t \|(1+t\partial_t)\nabla u\|_b^2 \leq Ct(\|\nabla u\|_b^2 + \|\partial_r \nabla u\|_b^2 + \|\nabla u\|_b \|\nabla \partial_t u\|_b).$$

From this we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla Ku\|^2 + 4t^2 \|\nabla u\|_b^2) + 4t^3 \|\nabla \partial_t u\|_b^2 \leq Ct (\|\nabla u\|_b^2 + \|\partial_r \nabla u\|_b^2 + \|\nabla u\|_b \|\nabla \partial_t u\|_b), \quad (2.17)$$

since $t \|(1 + t\partial_t)\nabla u\|_b^2 = -t \|\nabla u\|_b^2 + t^3 \|\nabla \partial_t u\|_b^2 + \frac{d}{dt} t^2 \|\nabla u\|_b^2$.

Thus from (2.17), lemmas 2.1-2.2 and the Schwarz inequality it follows that

$$\|\nabla Ku\|^2 + 4t^2 \|\nabla u\|_b^2 + 4 \int_0^t s^3 \|\nabla \partial_s u\|_b^2 \leq CI(\phi)(1 + \log(1 + t)).$$

This completes the proof of lemma 2.3. Q. E. D.

LEMMA 2.4. — Let $w \in H_0^{1,2} \cap H^{2,2}$ and $r^2 w \in L^2$. Then we have

$$\|\nabla w\|_b \leq \begin{cases} Ct^{-4/(4-\beta)} \|J^2 w\|^{2/(4-\beta)} \|w\|^{(2-\beta)/(4-\beta)} + Ct^{-2} \|J^2 w\|, & t > 0, \\ C \cdot (\|\Delta w\| + \|\nabla w\|), & \end{cases} \quad (2.18)$$

$$(2.19)$$

where $0 < \beta < 4/3$ if $n=3$, $0 < \beta < 2$ if $n=4$, $0 < \beta \leq 2$ if $n \geq 5$.

Proof. — We put $\zeta_1 = (1 + r)^{-(2k+1)}$, $0 \leq 2k < n - 2$. Since $w \in H_0^{1,2}$ we have with $v = S(-t)w$

$$\begin{aligned} t^2 \|\nabla w\|_b^2 &= -t^2 \int \nabla(x | \partial_j w|^2) dx = - \int \nabla(x | it \partial_j w|^2) dx \\ &= - \int \nabla(x | (x_j + it \partial_j) w|^2) dx = - \int \nabla(x | J_j w|^2) dx \\ &= -t^2 \int \nabla(x | \nabla S(-t)w|^2) dx = -t^2 \int \nabla(x | \nabla v|^2) dx \\ &= -t^2 \int_{\partial D} |\nabla v|^2 (x \cdot n) d\sigma \leq \max_{x \in \partial D} \zeta_1^{-1} \left(\int_{\partial D} -t^2 \zeta_1 |\nabla v|^2 (x \cdot n) d\sigma \right), \\ &\leq C \cdot \left(- \int \nabla(\zeta_1 x t^2 | \nabla v|^2) dx \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

where we have used the boundedness of ∂D . Since

$$\nabla(\zeta_1 x) = n \zeta_1 + r \partial_r \zeta_1 = (n - (2k + 1)r(1 + r)^{-1}) \zeta_1 \geq (n - 2k - 2) \zeta_1 \geq 0,$$

we obtain by (2.20)

$$\|\nabla w\|_b^2 \leq C \sum_{|\alpha|=2} \|\zeta \partial^\alpha v\| \|\zeta \nabla v\|, \quad (2.21)$$

where $\zeta = (1+r)^{-k}$. On the other hand, integration by parts and the Schwarz inequality give

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|\alpha|=2} \|\zeta \partial^\alpha v\|^2 &= \sum_{j,l=1}^n \left(\int \partial_j (\zeta^2 (\partial_l v \cdot \partial_j \partial_l \bar{v} - \partial_j v \cdot \partial_l^2 \bar{v})) dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2 \int \zeta \partial_j \zeta (\partial_l v \cdot \partial_j \partial_l \bar{v} - \partial_j v \cdot \partial_l^2 \bar{v}) dx \right) + \|\zeta \Delta v\|^2 \\ &\leq \left| \sum_{j,l=1}^n \int \partial_j (\zeta^2 (\partial_l v \partial_j \partial_l \bar{v} - \partial_j v \partial_l^2 \bar{v})) dx \right| + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{|\alpha|=2} \|\zeta \partial^\alpha v\|^2 + \\ &\quad + C \sum_{j,l=1}^n \|\partial_j \zeta \cdot \partial_l v\|^2 + \|\zeta \Delta v\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

In the same way as in the proof of (16) (Chapter 1 in [3]), the first term of the R. H. S. of (2.22) is dominated by

$$\frac{1}{4} \sum_{|\alpha|=2} \|\zeta \partial^\alpha v\|^2 + C \|\zeta \nabla v\|^2. \quad (2.23)$$

Therefore by virtue of (2.22) and (2.23)

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=2} \|\zeta \partial^\alpha v\|^2 \leq C \cdot (\|\zeta \Delta v\|^2 + \|\zeta \nabla v\|^2). \quad (2.24)$$

A direct calculation shows

$$\|\zeta \nabla v\|^2 = \frac{1}{2} ((\Delta \zeta^2) v, v) - (\zeta^2 \Delta v, v). \quad (2.25)$$

Since $\Delta \zeta^2 \leq 2k(2k+2-n)(1+r)^{-2k-2}$, we get by (2.25)

$$\|\zeta \nabla v\|^2 \leq k(2k+2-n) \|(1+r)^{-1-k} v\|^2 + \|\Delta v\| \|(1+r)^{-2k} v\|. \quad (2.26)$$

Hölder's inequality gives

$$\|(1+r)^{-2k} v\| \leq C \|(1+r)^{-1-k} v\|^{\beta/2} \|v\|^{1-(\beta/2)}. \quad (2.27)$$

Thus by (2.26), (2.27) and Hölder's inequality, we see that

$$\|\zeta \nabla v\|^2 \leq C \|\Delta v\|^{4/(4-\beta)} \|v\|^{2(2-\beta)/(4-\beta)}. \quad (2.28)$$

From (2.21), (2.24) and (2.28) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla w\|_6^2 &\leq C \cdot (\|\Delta v\| + \|\nabla v\|^{2/(4-\beta)} \|v\|^{(2-\beta)/(4-\beta)}) \\ &\quad \times \|\Delta v\|^{2/(4-\beta)} \|v\|^{(2-\beta)/(4-\beta)} \\ &\leq C \cdot (\|\Delta v\|^2 + \|\Delta v\|^{4/(4-\beta)} \|v\|^{2(2-\beta)/(4-\beta)}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

Since $J^2 w = S(t)(-t^2 \Delta v)$, (2.29) implies (2.18). In the same way as in the proofs of (2.21) and (2.24), we have

$$\| \nabla w \|_b^2 \leq C \sum_{|\alpha|=2} \| \zeta \partial^\alpha w \| \| \zeta \nabla w \|, \tag{2.30}$$

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=2} \| \zeta \partial^\alpha w \| \leq C \| \zeta \Delta w \|^2 + \| \zeta \nabla w \|^2. \tag{2.31}$$

(2.19) follows from (2.30) and (2.31). Q. E. D.

LEMMA 2.5. — We assume that the assumptions of lemma 2.4 are satisfied. Then we have

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=2} \| J^\alpha w \| \leq C \cdot (\| J^2 w \| + t^{2(2-\beta)/(4-\beta)} \| J^2 w \|^2/(4-\beta) \| w \|^2/(4-\beta)).$$

Proof. — We have for $v = S(-t)w$

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=2} \| \partial^\alpha v \|^2 \leq \left| \sum_{j,l=1}^n \int \partial_j (\partial_l v \cdot \partial_j \partial_l \bar{v} - \partial_j v \cdot \partial_l^2 \bar{v}) dx \right| + \| \Delta v \|^2. \tag{2.32}$$

In the same way as in the proof of (16) (Chapter 1 in [3]), The first term of the R. H. S. of (2.32) is dominated by

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{|\alpha|=2} \| \partial^\alpha v \|^2 + C \| \zeta \nabla v \|^2, \tag{2.33}$$

Since ∂D is bounded. Thus we have by (2.32) and (2.33)

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=2} \| \partial^\alpha v \|^2 \leq C (\| \Delta v \|^2 + \| \zeta \nabla v \|^2). \tag{2.34}$$

In the same way as in the proof of (2.30), we get the desired estimate. Q. E. D.

LEMMA 2.6. — Let u be the solution of (1.1)-(1.3). Then we have

$$\| Ku(t) \|^2 \leq C I(\phi) (1+t)^{2(2-\beta)/(3-\beta)} (1 + \log(1+t))^{(4-\beta)/(3-\beta)},$$

where β is the same one as that of lemma 2.4.

Proof. — We multiply (2.14) by \overline{Ku} and take the imaginary part to obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \| Ku \|^2 + \text{Im} \int \nabla (\nabla Ku \cdot (-2itr \partial_r \bar{u})) dx = 0. \tag{2.35}$$

We apply (2.5) and the Schwarz inequality to (2.35). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \| \mathbf{K}u \|^2 \\ &= -\operatorname{Im} \int \partial_j (x_j (r^2 \nabla u + (n-2)it \nabla u + 2itr \partial_r \nabla u + 2it^2 \nabla \partial_t u) \times (2it \nabla \bar{u})) dx \\ & \leq Ct^2 (\| \partial_r \nabla u \|_b + t \| \nabla \partial_t u \|_b) \| \nabla u \|_b. \end{aligned} \quad (2.36)$$

Lemmas 2.1-2.2 and (2.36) yield

$$\| \mathbf{K}u \|^2 \leq \operatorname{CI}(\phi)(1+t)^2. \quad (2.37)$$

By lemma 2.2, lemma 2.4 (2.18), (2.36) and (2.37) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \| \mathbf{K}u \|^2 & \leq Ct^2 t^{-1/2} (1+t)^{-1/2} \mathbf{I}^{1/2} + t \| \nabla \partial_t u \|_b \\ & \quad \times (t^{-4/(4-\beta)} \| \mathbf{K}u \|^2 / (4-\beta) \mathbf{I}^{(2-\beta)/2(4-\beta)} + t^{-2} \| \mathbf{K}u \|^2) \\ & \leq \operatorname{CI}^{(2-\beta)/2(4-\beta)} (1+t)^{2(2-\beta)/(4-\beta)} \\ & \quad \times (t^{-1/2} (1+t)^{-1/2} \mathbf{I}^{1/2} + t \| \nabla \partial_t u \|_b) \| \mathbf{K}u \|^2 / (4-\beta). \end{aligned}$$

From this, (2.37), lemma 2.1 and the Schwarz inequality it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \| \mathbf{K}u \|^b & \leq \| \mathbf{K}u(1) \|^b + \operatorname{CI}^{b/2} \int_1^t (1+s)^{2b_2} (s^{-1/2} (1+s)^{-1/2} \mathbf{I}^{1/2} \\ & \quad + s \| \nabla \partial_s u \|_b) ds \leq \operatorname{CI}^{b_1/2} \cdot (1+t)^{2b_2} (1 + \log(1+t)) \\ & \quad + \operatorname{CI}^{b_2/2} \cdot (1+t)^{2b_2} \left(\int_1^t s \| \nabla \partial_s u \|_b^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_1^t s^{-1} ds \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq \operatorname{CI}^{b_1/2} \cdot (1+t)^{2b_2} (1 + \log(1+t)), \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

where $b_1 = 2(3-\beta)/(4-\beta)$, $b_2 = (2-\beta)/(4-\beta)$. Lemma 2.6 follows from (2.38) immediately. Q. E. D.

Proof of Theorem 1. — By Sobolev's inequality (see [1], [3], [4]) we have

$$\| \psi \|_p \leq \begin{cases} C \| \nabla \psi \|^{1-\gamma} \cdot \sum_{|\alpha|=2} \| \partial^\alpha \psi \|^\gamma, & (2.39) \\ Ct^{-1-\gamma} \| \mathbf{J} \psi \|^{1-\gamma} \cdot \sum_{|\alpha|=2} \| \mathbf{J}^\alpha \psi \|, & t > 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.40)$$

where $p = 2n/(n-2-2\gamma) \geq 2$, $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1/2$ if $n = 3$, $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ if $n = 4$, $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ if $n \geq 5$. We have by lemma 2.1, lemma 2.5, (2.37) and (2.40)

$$\begin{aligned} \| u(t) \|_p & \leq \operatorname{CI}^{(1-\gamma)/2} t^{-1-\gamma} (\| \mathbf{J}^2 u \| + t^{2b_2} \| \mathbf{J}^2 u \|^{2/(4-\beta)} \mathbf{I}^{b_2/2})^\gamma \\ & \leq \operatorname{CI}^{b_2/2} t^{-1-\gamma+2b_2} \| \mathbf{J}^2 u \|^{(1-b_2)/2} \\ & \leq \operatorname{CI}^{1/2} t^{-1-\gamma} (1+t)^{2b_2\gamma(4-\beta)/(3-\beta)} (1 + \log(1+t))^{\gamma/(3-\beta)} \\ & \leq \operatorname{CI}^{1/2} t^{-1-\gamma} (1+t)^{2(2-\beta)\gamma/(3-\beta)} (1 + \log(1+t))^{\gamma/(3-\beta)}, \quad t > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.41)$$

From (2.39) it is clear that

$$\|u(t)\|_p \leq CI^{1/2}. \quad (2.42)$$

Theorem 1 follows from (2.41) and (2.42). *Q. E. D.*

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to thank Professor Jean Ginibre for his remarks which lead to improvements of the paper. In particular, a sketch of the strategy of the proof of theorem 1 was added at the beginning of Section 2 following his suggestion.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. FRIEDMAN, *Partial Differential Equations*: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1969.
- [2] Y. JING-QI, Comportement à l'infini des solutions d'une équation de Schrödinger non linéaire dans un domaine extérieur, *C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris*, t. **294**, 1982, p. 163-166.
- [3] O. A. LADYZHENSKAYA, *The Mathematical Theory of Viscous Incompressible Flow*, 2nd English ed., Gordon and Breach, New York, 1969.
- [4] O. A. LADYZHENSKAYA and N. N. URAL'CEVA, *Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Elliptic Type*, Academic Press, New York, 1968.
- [5] Y. TSUTSUMI, Global solution of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation in exterior domains, *Comm. PDE*, t. **8**, 1983, p. 1337-1374.
- [6] K. YOSIDA, *Functional Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1971.
- [7] Y. CHEN, Global existence of the solution of nonlinear Schrödinger equations in exterior domains, *Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica*, t. **2**, (3), 1985, p. 191-212.

(Manuscrit reçu le 29 février 1988)
(Version révisée reçue le 20 mai 1988)