

ANNALES DE L'I. H. P., SECTION B

SHMUEL GLASNER

On Choquet-Deny measures

Annales de l'I. H. P., section B, tome 12, n° 1 (1976), p. 1-10

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIHPB_1976__12_1_1_0

© Gauthier-Villars, 1976, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'I. H. P., section B » (<http://www.elsevier.com/locate/anihpb>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

On Choquet-Deny measures

by

Shmuel GLASNER

Tel-Aviv University. Depart. of Math. Sciences. Ramat-Aviv.
Tel-Aviv, Israël

ABSTRACT. — Let μ be a probability measure on a group G ; we give necessary and sufficient conditions for μ to be a Choquet-Deny measure (i. e. for μ to admit only constants as μ -harmonic functions). Most of the conditions are given in terms of the iterates of μ .

RÉSUMÉ. — Soit μ une probabilité sur un groupe G . Nous nous donnons des conditions nécessaires et suffisantes pour qu'elles n'admettent que les fonctions constantes comme fonctions harmoniques. Ces conditions sont essentiellement données à l'aide des itérés des μ .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a locally compact topological group, λ a left Haar measure on G . Let M be the Banach algebra of bounded real measures on G with the total variation norm and the operation of convolution defined by

$$(\mu * \nu)(f) = \iint f(gh)d\mu(g)d\nu(h).$$

Here f is a continuous function on G which vanishes at infinity. Let M_a be the two sided ideal of M which consists of all absolutely continuous measures (with respect to λ), and let M_a^0 be the subideal of measures ν with the property $\nu(G) = 0$. For a measure $\mu \in M$ we let $\|\mu\|$ be its total variation.

A probability measure μ on G is called *aperiodic* if its support generates

I wish to thank S. Horowitz for helpfull discussions.

a dense subgroup of G . It is called *strictly aperiodic* if the support of μ is not contained in a coset of a proper closed normal subgroup of G . μ is *étalée* [1] if for some positive integer n , $\mu^n = \mu * \dots * \mu$ is not singular with respect to λ . It is easy to see that this is equivalent to the existence of a k such that μ^k dominates a positive constant multiple of λ on a non-empty open subset of G . If μ is étalée we let S_μ be the open semigroup of elements $g \in G$ such that for some k , μ^k dominates a positive constant multiple of λ on a neighbourhood of g . A bounded real valued measurable function f on G is called μ -harmonic if for every $g \in G$

$$(f * \mu)(g) = \int f(gh)d\mu(h) = f(g).$$

If μ is étalée and $f \in L_x(\lambda)$ satisfies $f * \mu = f$ a. e. λ then it is easy to see that there exists a function f' which is in the equivalence class of f in $L_x(\lambda)$ and such that $f' * \mu = f'$ everywhere; i. e., f' is μ -harmonic. Moreover f' is necessarily continuous.

We say that μ is a *Choquet-Deny* (C. D.) *measure* if the only μ -harmonic functions are the constants. G is a C. D. *group* if every aperiodic probability measure on G is C. D. G is a *Liouville group* if every étalée aperiodic probability measure on G is C. D. [5].

We say that μ satisfies the *condition (F) with the positive integer k* if for some positive integer n the measures μ^n and μ^{n+k} are not mutually singular. The following result is due to S. R. Foguel [3].

Let μ be a probability measure on G satisfying condition (F) with the positive integer k ; then for $v \in M_a^0$

$$\lim ||v * \mu^n|| = 0$$

iff $\langle v, f \rangle = \int f(g)dv(g) = 0$ for every $f \in L_x(\lambda)$ satisfying $f * \mu^k = f$ a. e. λ .

We will see that in many cases the assumption « μ satisfies condition (F) » is redundant. Let us write $P(G)$ for the set of probability measures on G .

2. THE ITERATES OF μ ON M_a^0

PROPOSITION 1. — *If $\mu \in P(G)$ is strictly aperiodic étalée measure for which $S_\mu S_\mu^{-1} = G$, then μ satisfies condition (F) with $k = 1$.*

Proof. — Let S_i be the set of elements $g \in G$ such that μ^i dominates a positive constant multiple of λ on some neighbourhood of g ; since μ is

étalée there exists an l for which $S_l \neq \emptyset$. Let l_0 be the minimal l with this property. For $k, l \geq l_0$ we have $S_k S_l \subseteq S_{k+l}$. Put $S = \bigcup_{l \geq l_0} S_l$ then $S = S_\mu$ is an open subsemigroup of G . By our assumption $SS^{-1} = G$.

If, for some positive integers n and k , $S_n \cap S_{n+k} \neq \emptyset$ then μ^n and μ^{n+k} are not mutually singular and μ satisfies condition (F) with a positive integer less than or equal to k . Thus, if μ does not satisfy condition (F) with $k = 1$ then one of the following cases occurs.

Case I : $S_l \cap S_k = \emptyset$ whenever $l \neq k$.

Case II: There exist positive integers n_0 and $k_0 > 1$ such that $S_{n_0+k_0} \cap S_{n_0} \neq \emptyset$ and whenever $n, m \geq l_0$, $0 < |n - m| < k_0$ then $S_n \cap S_m = \emptyset$.

In the first case we let, for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ($=$ integers)

$$T_i = \cup \{ S_k S_l^{-1} : k - l = i ; k, l \geq l_0 \}$$

$$T'_i = \cup \{ S_l^{-1} S_k : k - l = i ; k, l \geq l_0 \}$$

Next we show that (a) $T_i^{-1} = T_{-i}$, (b) $T'_i \subseteq T_i$, (c) $T_i T_j \subseteq T_{i+j}$, (d) $i \neq j$ implies $T_i \cap T_j = \emptyset$ and (e) $G = \cup \{ T_i : i \in \mathbb{Z} \}$.

(a) Is clear. To show (b) let $s_l \in S_l$ and $s_k \in S_k$ where $k - l = i$. Then since $S_l^{-1} S_k \subseteq S S^{-1} = G$, there are p and q and $s_p \in S_p, s_q \in S_q$ such that $s_l^{-1} s_k = s_p s_q^{-1}$. This implies $s_k s_q = s_l s_p$ and $S_{q+k} \cap S_{p+l} \neq \emptyset$. Hence $q + k = p + l$ or $i = k - l = p - q$. Thus $T'_i \subseteq T_i$. (c) If $a \in T_i$ and $b \in T_j$ then $a = s_k s_l^{-1}, b = s_p s_q^{-1}$ where $k - l = i$ and $p - q = j$. Since $T'_{p-l} \subseteq T_{p-l}$ we have $s_l^{-1} s_p = s_u s_v^{-1}$ for some u and v such that $u - v = p - l$. Now

$$ab = s_k s_l^{-1} s_p s_q^{-1} = s_k s_u s_v^{-1} s_q^{-1} \in S_{k+u} S_{v+q}^{-1} \subseteq T_{k+u-(v+q)} = T_{k+p-l-q} = T_{i+j}.$$

Thus $T_i T_j \subseteq T_{i+j}$. (d) is proved similarly and (e) follows from the equality $SS^{-1} = G$.

In the second case, for $p = l_0, l_0 + 1, \dots, l_0 + k_0 - 1$, let

$$R_p = \cup \{ S_{p+nk_0} : n \text{ a non negative integer.} \}$$

We claim that for $p \neq q$ $R_p \cap R_q = \emptyset$. Indeed, if $R_p \cap R_q \neq \emptyset$ then $S_u \cap S_v \neq \emptyset$ for some $u > v \geq l_0$ such that $u - v \not\equiv 0 \pmod{k_0}$. Denote $V = S_{n_0+k_0} \cap S_{n_0}$ then for every $m > 1$

$$V^m \subseteq S_{m(n_0+k_0)} \cap S_{mn_0}.$$

By choosing an appropriate m we can have

$$0 < (u + mn_0) - (v + m(n_0 + k_0)) = u - (v + mk_0) < k_0$$

Since $V^m(S_u \cap S_v) \subseteq S_{u+mn_0} \cap S_{v+m(n_0+k_0)}$, this is a contradiction to the definition of k_0 . We now define for $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k_0 - 1\}$

$$\begin{aligned} T_i &= \cup \{ R_p R_q^{-1} : p - q = i \} \\ T'_i &= \cup \{ R_q^{-1} R_p : p - q = i \} \end{aligned}$$

If we let $Z_{k_0} = \{0, 1, \dots, k_0 - 1\}$ be the cyclic group of order k_0 and consider i and j as elements of this group then statements (a)-(e) above (where in (e) Z should be replaced by Z_{k_0}) still hold and the proofs are very similar.

In both cases, using (a) and (c) with $i = j = 0$, we see that T_0 is a subgroup of G . Moreover, for every $x \in G$ there exists an i such that $x \in T_i$, and therefore

$$x T_0 x^{-1} \subseteq T_i T_0 T_{-i} \subseteq T_0$$

Thus T_0 is an open and closed, normal subgroup of G .

To complete the proof we let, for $k \geq l_0$, $\mu^k = \eta^{(k)} + \theta^{(k)}$ where $\eta^{(k)}$ is absolutely continuous and $\theta^{(k)}$ is singular with respect to λ . Clearly, $\eta^{(k)}(S_k) > 0$ and moreover, if $\eta^{(k)}(S_l) > 0$ then $(\eta^{(k)})^2$ and hence also μ^{2k} dominate a positive constant multiple of λ on an open non-empty subset of $S_{k+l} \supseteq S_k S_l$. This implies $S_{k+l} \cap S_{2k} \neq \emptyset$ and we conclude that $k = l$ in case I and that $k \equiv l \pmod{k_0}$ in case II. Thus $\eta^{(k)}$ is supported by S_k in the first case and by R_p , where p is the unique integer for which $S_k \subseteq R_p$, in the second case.

Since, in the first case, $S_k \subseteq S_k T_0 = T_k$ and in the second $R_p \subseteq R_p T_0 = T_{\bar{k}}$ (where $\bar{k} \in \{0, 1, \dots, k_0 - 1\}$ is the residue of p , and hence also of k , modulo k_0) we can deduce that for every k , $\eta^{(k)}$ is supported by T_k ($T_{\bar{k}}$ respectively).

Now

$$\mu^{2k} = (\eta^{(k)} + \theta^{(k)})^2 = (\eta^{(k)})^2 + \eta^{(k)} * \theta^{(k)} + \theta^{(k)} * \eta^{(k)} + (\theta^{(k)})^2$$

and

$$\eta^{(2k)} \geq (\eta^{(k)})^2 + \eta^{(k)} * \theta^{(k)} + \theta^{(k)} * \eta^{(k)}.$$

If $\theta^{(k)}(T_j) > 0$ (where $j \in Z$ in case I and $j \in Z_{k_0}$ in case II) then

$$\theta^{(k)} * \eta^{(k)}(T_{j+k}) > 0$$

($\theta^{(k)} * \eta^{(k)}(T_{j+\bar{k}}) > 0$ respectively). But this implies $j = k$ ($\bar{2k} = j + \bar{k}$ and hence $j = \bar{k}$ respectively). We conclude that $\theta^{(k)}$ and therefore also μ^k are supported by T_k ($T_{\bar{k}}$ respectively). Since the latter is a coset of T_0 in G this contradicts the strict aperiodicity of μ . The proof is completed.

Remarks. — (1) The assumption « μ is strictly aperiodic » can be dropped

in proposition 1. 1, if G is a connected group, or more generally, if G does not admit a nontrivial cyclic group as a factor.

(2) If μ is étalée and C. D. then by [1, prop. IV. 3, p. 83] $S_\mu S_\mu^{-1} = G$.

THEOREM 2. — *Let G be a locally compact topological group. A strictly aperiodic étalée measure μ in $P(G)$ is C. D. iff*

$$(1) \quad \lim \|v * \mu^n\| = 0 \quad \forall v \in M_a^0$$

In particular, G is Liouville iff (1) is satisfied by every strictly aperiodic étalée measure.

Proof. — If (1) is satisfied by a probability measure μ and f is μ -harmonic then

$$\langle v, f \rangle = \langle v, f * \mu^n \rangle = \langle v * \mu^n, f \rangle \rightarrow 0$$

Therefore, $\langle v, f \rangle = 0$ for every $v \in M_a^0$ and f is a constant. Conversely, if μ is strictly aperiodic étalée and C. D. then $S_\mu S_\mu^{-1} = G$ and by proposition 1, μ satisfies condition (F) with $k = 1$. Now (1) follows from Foguel's theorem.

To complete the proof we have to show that if (1) holds for every étalée strictly aperiodic μ then G is Liouville. Indeed if μ is étalée aperiodic and f is μ -harmonic then $\mu' = \Sigma(1/2^n)\mu^n$ is étalée, strictly aperiodic and f is also μ' -harmonic. Thus by our assumption f must be a constant and G is Liouville; the proof is completed.

Remarks. — (1) Let us observe that if $\Delta = (a, b)$ is an open interval of the real line, then there always are n and k , positive integers, such that $n\Delta \cap (n+k)\Delta \neq \emptyset$ ($l\Delta = \Delta + \dots + \Delta$, l times). Indeed, we have to consider only the case $a > 0$ and in that case we can choose $k = 1$ and n such that $n(b-a) > a$. For then $na < (n+1)a < nb$. It follows that whenever S_μ intersects a one parameter subgroup of G then μ satisfies condition (F). For example, if G is a simply connected solvable Lie group, then the image of the exponential map is dense in G (see [2], theorem 2) and we can conclude that every étalée probability measure on a connected solvable Lie group satisfies condition (F) with $k = 1$.

(2) Let G be the free group on two generators a and b ; then it is easy to see that the probability measure $\mu(a) = \mu(b) = \mu(ab^{-1}a^2) = \frac{1}{3}$ is strictly aperiodic, étalée and does not satisfy condition (F).

(3) Let $\mu \in P(G)$ be a strictly aperiodic, étalée and C. D. and let n be a positive integer. Let V be the space of μ^n -harmonic functions and denote by P the operator $Pf = f * \mu$. If $Q = I + P + \dots + P^{n-1}$ and we put $W = V + iV$, the complexification of V , then QW is the one-dimensional

space of constant functions. If W is more than one-dimensional then there exists a non-constant function $f \in W$ such that $Pf = \alpha f$ for α an n^{th} root of unity. By remark (2) above and proposition 1, μ satisfies condition (F) with $k = 1$ and as was observed in [4] this implies $\alpha = 1$, a contradiction to the fact that μ is C. D. Thus μ^n is C. D. for each positive integer n (Actually it can be shown that this conclusion holds without the assumption that μ is étalée).

3. THE ITERATES OF μ ON SPACES OF CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

We let C be the space of all bounded continuous functions on G . For $f \in C$ and $g \in G$ we define the functions $l_g(f) = {}_g f$ and $r_g(f) = f_g$ as follows:

$${}_g f(h) = f(gh) \quad \text{and} \quad f_g(h) = f(hg) \quad (h \in G).$$

The function f is *left uniformly continuous* (l. u. c.) if whenever $g_i \rightarrow e$ is a convergent net in G then $\| {}_{g_i} f - f \|_\infty \rightarrow 0$, where $\| f \|_\infty = \sup_{g \in G} |f(g)|$.

Let L be the Banach algebra of all l. u. c. functions. The space R of all *right uniformly continuous* functions is defined similarly; we denote $U = R \cap L$. C , R and L are invariant under both r_g and l_g ($g \in G$). Write C_l^0 (C_r^0) for the closed subspace of C which consists of all functions which vanishes under all left (right) invariant means on C . L_l^0 , L_r^0 , R_l^0 , R_r^0 are defined similarly. When G is non-amenable these subspaces coincide with the whole space. Let $|U|$ stand for the maximal ideal space of U .

If $\mu \in P(G)$ and $f \in C$ we define

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu * f)(g) &= \int f(g'g) d\mu(g') \\ (f * \mu)(g) &= \int f(gg') d\mu(g') \end{aligned}$$

One can check that each of the spaces C , R , L and U is invariant under both right and left convolution with μ . Notice that if $\nu \in P(G)$ and $f \in C$ then $(\mu * \nu) * f = \nu * (\mu * f)$.

If we write $\tilde{f}(g) = f(g^{-1})$ then the map $f \rightarrow \tilde{f}$ is an isometric involutive isomorphism of R onto L and

$$\mu * f = \tilde{f} * \tilde{\mu} \quad \text{where} \quad \int f(g) d\tilde{\mu}(g) = \int f(g^{-1}) d\mu(g).$$

For $\mu \in P(G)$ we let

$$\begin{aligned} J_\mu &= \{ f \in C : \| \mu^n * f \|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \}, \\ K_\mu &= \{ f \in C : \| f * \mu^n \|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \}. \end{aligned}$$

It was shown in [4] that if G is abelian and μ is strictly aperiodic then $U_l^0 = U_r^0 = K_\mu \cap U$. Next we shall see how this theorem can be extended to the non-abelian case when μ is étalée.

LEMMA 3. — Let $\mu \in P(G)$, if $L_l^0 \subseteq K_\mu$ then μ is C. D.

Proof. — Suppose $f \in L$ is μ -harmonic, then so is ${}_g f$. Hence $({}_g f - f) * \mu = {}_g f - f$. Now by our assumption $\|({}_g f - f) * \mu^n\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ thus ${}_g f - f = 0$ and f is a constant. This implies that μ is C. D.

LEMMA 4. — Let $\mu \in P(G)$ be étalée strictly aperiodic, C. D. measure then for every $g \in G$

$$\|(\delta_e - \delta_g) * \mu^n\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. — By theorem 2 $\|v * \mu^n\| \rightarrow 0 \forall v \in M_a^0$. Write $\mu^n = \eta^{(n)} + \theta^{(n)}$ where $\eta^{(n)}$ is absolutely continuous and $\theta^{(n)}$ is singular with respect to λ . Then, for large n , $\|\theta^{(n)}\|$ is small. We notice that $(\delta_e - \delta_g) * \eta^{(n)} \in M_a^0$ and write

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\delta_e - \delta_g) * \mu^{n+k}\| &= \|[(\delta_e - \delta_g) * \eta^{(n)} + (\delta_e - \delta_g) * \theta^{(n)}] * \mu^k\| \\ &\leq \|(\delta_e - \delta_g) * \eta^{(n)} * \mu^k\| + \|(\delta_e - \delta_g) * \theta^{(n)} * \mu^k\| \\ &\leq \|(\delta_e - \delta_g) * \eta^{(n)} * \mu^k\| + 2\|\theta^{(n)}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Letting k tend to infinity we conclude that $\lim \|(\delta_e - \delta_g) * \mu^n\| = 0$.

LEMMA 5. — For μ as in Lemma 4.

- (1) $\|\mu^n * f\|_x \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall f \in C_l^0$
- (2) $\|f * \tilde{\mu}^n\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall f \in C_r^0$.

Proof. — Let $f \in C$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mu^n * (f - {}_g f)\|_x &= \|\mu^n * ((\delta_e - \delta_g) * f)\|_x \\ &= \|((\delta_e - \delta_g) * \mu^n) * f\|_x \leq \|(\delta_e - \delta_g) * \mu^n\| \cdot \|f\|_x \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

By the Hahn-Banach theorem

$$C_l^0 = \overline{\bigcup_{g \in G} (L - l_g)C},$$

and (1) follows. To see (2) we observe that $\overline{\mu^n * f} = \tilde{f} * \tilde{\mu}^n$ and that $\overline{C_l^0} = C_r^0$.

LEMMA 6. — Let $\mu \in P(G)$ and suppose that

$$\|\mu^n * f\|_x \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall f \in C_l^0$$

then for every $f \in C_l^0$, $f * \mu^n \rightarrow 0$ point-wise on G , and μ is C. D.

Proof. — Our assumption implies that for every $f \in C$ and $g \in G$

$$(\mu^n * ({}_g f - f))(e) = \int (f - {}_g f) d\mu^n \rightarrow 0$$

Now let $h \in G$ then ${}_h({}_g f - f) = {}_{hg}^{-1}({}_h f) - {}_h f$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned} [({}_g f - f) * \mu^n](h) &= \int ({}_g f - f)(hg') d\mu^n(g') \\ &= \int {}_h({}_g f - f)(g') d\mu^n(g') = \int [{}_{hg}^{-1}({}_h f) - {}_h f] d\mu^n \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

Now this convergence is pointwise and not necessarily uniform, however if $f \in C$ is μ -harmonic then so is ${}_g f$ and it follows that $({}_g f - f) * \mu^n = {}_g f - f = 0$. Thus f is a constant and μ is C. D.

THEOREM 7. — *Let $\mu \in P(G)$ then*

(1) $L_l^0 \subseteq K_\mu \Rightarrow \mu$ is C. D.

In general, this implication cannot be reversed.

(2) *If μ is strictly aperiodic étalée, then*

$$\mu \text{ is C. D.} \Leftrightarrow C_r^0 \subseteq K_{\tilde{\mu}} \Leftrightarrow C_l^0 \subseteq J_\mu$$

(3) *If μ is strictly aperiodic étalée then μ is C. D. iff $f * \mu^n \rightarrow 0$ pointwise $\forall f \in C_l^0$.*

Proof. — Statement (1) is just lemma 3. Statements (2) and (3) follow from lemmas 5 and 6.

Let G be a group with equivalent uniform structures and suppose $\mu \in P(G)$ is étalée, strictly aperiodic, symmetric and C. D. Since $\mu = \tilde{\mu}$ we have by (2) $U_r^0 \subseteq K_\mu \cap U$. If ν is a right invariant mean on U and $f \in U$ then one can check that $\nu(f * \mu) = \nu(f)$. Hence $\|f * \mu^n\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ implies $\nu(f) = 0$ and we conclude that $U_r^0 = K_\mu \cap U$.

Suppose that the converse of the implication of (1) is true; then we also have $U_l^0 \subseteq K_\mu$ and thus $U_l^0 \subseteq U_r^0$. By symmetry $U_l^0 = U_r^0$.

In particular, every left invariant mean on G must also be right invariant.

Now it is shown in ([8], p. 239) that the group $G = Z_2 * Z_2$ (free product) has a left invariant mean which is not right invariant. Since G is discrete every measure on it is étalée and the uniform structures on G are equivalent. Moreover, G is a Z_2 extension of Z and it is hence easy to see that G is a C. D. group. Therefore, choosing the symmetric measure on G which assigns mass 1/2 to each of the two free generators, we have a measure for

which the converse of the implication of (1) fails. This completes the proof.

Remark. — Let $G = Z_2 * Z_2$ be the free product generated by a and b with the relations $a^2 = b^2 = e$. We give an alternative proof to that of [6] that $U = U(G)$ has a left invariant mean which is not right invariant. Let A be the subset of G of all words of the form $a, ba, aba, baba, \dots$ i. e., words which end with a . If we take \bar{A} in $|U|$ then it is clear that \bar{A} is a closed left-invariant subset of the left G -space $|U|$. Since G is amenable, there exists a left G -invariant probability measure on \bar{A} . Now $|U|$ is also a right G -space and clearly $\bar{A}b \cap \bar{A} = \emptyset$ (take a function on G which is zero on A and one on Ab). Thus ν is not right invariant.

Remark. — There is a group G and a measure $\mu \in P(G)$ such that μ is C. D. while $\tilde{\mu}$ is not. Indeed, it was shown by Azencott ([1], p. 121) that an étalée probability measure μ on the group of matrices of the form $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ where a, b are real and $a > 0$ is C. D. if

$$(i) \quad 0 < \int \log |a| d\mu(g) \leq \infty$$

and it is not C. D. if

$$(ii) \quad -\infty \leq \int \log |a| d\mu(g) < 0, \quad \int |b| d\mu(g) \leq \infty$$

and

$$\int |b|^2 d\mu(g) < \infty$$

Thus, if μ is étalée and satisfies the conditions (ii) then μ is not C. D. while $\tilde{\mu}$ which then satisfies (i) is C. D. For this μ we have $C_r^0 \subseteq K_\mu$ yet μ is not C. D.

We conclude with the following

THEOREM 8. — *Let G be a connected locally compact topological group on which the right and left uniform structures are equivalent then G is Liouville.*

Proof. — Let S be an open sub-semigroup of G ; we show that $SS^{-1} = G$. Let U be an open neighbourhood of the identity of G such that for some $g \in G, gU \subseteq S$. We can assume that $U^{-1} = U$ and we let

$$V = \cap \{ g^n U g^{-n} : n \in Z \}.$$

Since the uniform structures on G are equivalent $V = V^{-1}$ is a neighbourhood of the identity and $gVg^{-1} = V$.

Let T be the semigroup generated by gV then clearly $T = \cup \{ g^n V^n : n \geq 1 \}$ and

$$TT^{-1} = \cup \{ g^{n-m} V^{n+m} : n, m \geq 1 \}.$$

The latter is an open subgroup of G . Since G is connected $TT^{-1} = G$ and *a fortiori* $SS^{-1} = G$.

Let μ be an étalée probability measure on G then it follows that $S_\mu S_\mu^{-1} = G$. We let W be a neighbourhood of the identity such that \bar{W} is compact and $gWg^{-1} \subseteq W$ for every $g \in G$. Theorem IV.1 of [1] implies now that for every μ -harmonic function f and every $g \in G$ and $h \in W$, $f(gh) = f(g)$. Since G is connected, this equality holds for every $h \in G$; i. e., f is a constant. This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. AZENCOTT, Espace de Poisson des groupes localement compacts. *Lecture Notes*, n° 148, Springer-Verlag, 1970.
- [2] J. DIXMIER, L'application exponentielle dans les groupes de Lie résolubles. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, t. **85**, 1957, p. 113-121.
- [3] S. R. FOGUEL, Iterates of a convolution on a non-abelian group. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré*, Vol. XI, n° 2, 1975, p. 199-202.
- [4] S. R. FOGUEL, Convergence of the iterates of a convolution. *To appear*.
- [5] Y. GUIVARCH, Croissance polynomiale et période des fonctions harmoniques. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, t. **101**, 1973, p. 333-379.
- [6] E. HEWITT and K. A. ROSS, *Abstract Harmonic analysis*. Vol. I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1963.

(Manuscrit reçu le 12 février 1976)