

# ANNALES DE L'I. H. P., SECTION B

DÃO QUANG TUYÊN

**On the asymptotic behaviour of sequences of random variables and of their previsible compensators**

*Annales de l'I. H. P., section B*, tome 17, n° 1 (1981), p. 63-73

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIHPB\\_1981\\_\\_17\\_1\\_63\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIHPB_1981__17_1_63_0)

© Gauthier-Villars, 1981, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'I. H. P., section B » (<http://www.elsevier.com/locate/anihpb>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

# On the asymptotic behaviour of sequences of random variables and of their previsible compensators

by

DÃO QUANG TUYÊN

Institut de mathématiques, 208 D Dòi Can,  
Hanoi, Vietnam

## INTRODUCTION

This paper is divided into two parts: the first part deals with the comparison of the sets of convergence of two sequences  $(V_n)$  and  $(h_n)$  of random variables adapted to an increasing family of  $\sigma$ -fields  $(\mathcal{F}_n)$  and satisfying the inequality  $E(V_{n+1}/\mathcal{F}_n) \leq V_n + h_n$ . One of the corollaries of our main theorem of this part is a generalisation of a result of Robbins and Siegmund [8]. The second part deals with C-sequences, i. e. sequences of random variables whose previsible predictor do not oscillate. We give a number of conditions for the convergence of such sequences, conditions which include the classical supermartingale convergence theorems. We end by giving simple examples of amarts which are not C-sequences and of C-sequences which are not amarts.

It is known that the convergence theorem for  $L_1$ -bounded asymptotic martingales cannot be generalized to the cases of infinite dimensional Banach space valued variables (see [2] (a) and (b)). We hope that our theorem 4 can be generalized in such directions.

NOTATIONS AND CONVENTIONS. — In this paper,  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  is a fixed probability space,  $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is a fixed family of increasing  $\sigma$ -algebras contained in  $\mathcal{F}$ . A sequence  $(X_n)$  of random variables will be said to be *adapted* if

for each  $n$ ,  $X_n$  is  $\mathcal{F}_n$ -measurable. Unless otherwise stated, convergence means almost sure (a. s.) convergence to *finite* valued random variables. If  $\mathcal{P}$  is a property,  $\{\mathcal{P}\}$  will denote the set

$$\{\omega : \omega \in \Omega, \quad \omega \text{ verifies } \mathcal{P}\}.$$

$\uparrow$  (resp.  $\downarrow$ ) indicates « increasing » (resp. decreasing) to. For  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $1_A$  will denote the characteristic function of  $A$ . Finally  $\bar{\mathbb{R}}$  will denote the extended real line.

## I. SOME RESULTS ON THE CONVERGENCE OF SEQUENCES OF RANDOM VARIABLES

**THEOREM 1.** — Let  $(h_n)_{n \geq 1}$  and  $(V_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be two adapted sequences of real random variables such that

1) for every  $n$ ,  $V_n$  and  $h_n$  are integrable and  $E(V_{n+1}/\mathcal{F}_n) \leq V_n + h_n$

2)  $\sup_n E \left[ \left( V_n - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h_j \right)^- \right] < \infty$ .

Then the set on which  $(V_n)$  converges is almost surely equal to the set on which  $\sum h_n$  converges.

*Proof.* — Setting  $b_n = \sum_1^n h_j$ ,  $W_n = V_n - b_{n-1}$ , it is easily seen that

$(W_n)_{n \geq 2}$  is a supermartingale. The condition 2) then implies that  $(W_n)$  converges a. s. [6]. The statement of the theorem then follows immediately.

**THEOREM 2.** — Let  $(h_n)_{n \geq 1}$  and  $(V_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be two adapted sequences of real random variables such that

1) For every  $n$ ,  $h_n$  and  $V_n$  are integrable and  $V_n \geq 0$  a. s.

2)  $E(V_{n+1}/\mathcal{F}_n) \leq V_n + h_n$ .

Set 
$$B = \left\{ \omega : \sup_n \sum_1^n h_n(\omega) < \infty \right\}.$$

Then on  $B$ , the set on which  $(V_n)$  converges is a. s. equal to the set on which  $\sum h_j$  converges.

*Proof.* — Setting again  $b_n = \sum_1^n h_j$ ,  $1_n^a = 1_{\bigcap_1^n \{b_j < a\}}$  we obtain since  $(1_n^a)$  is

decreasing for all  $a$  :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i) } & E(V_{n+1}1_{n+1}^a/\mathcal{F}_n) \leq E(V_{n+1}1_n^a/\mathcal{F}_n) \leq (V_n + h_n)1_n^a \\ \text{ii) } & \sum_1^n h_j(\omega)1_j^a(\omega) = \sum_1^{k(\omega)} h_j(\omega) = \left( \sum_1^{k(\omega)} h_j(\omega) \right) 1_{k(\omega)}^a = b_{k(\omega)}1_{k(\omega)}^a \end{aligned}$$

where  $k(\omega) = \sup \{ i \leq n : 1_i^a(\omega) = 1 \}$ .

Therefore, since  $V_n \geq 0$ ,

$$\sup_n E \left[ \left( V_n 1_n^a - \sum_1^{n-1} h_j 1_n^a \right)^- \right] \leq \sup_n E \left[ \left( \sum_1^{n-1} h_j 1_n^a \right)^- \right] < a$$

and theorem 1, applied to the sequences  $(V_n 1_n^a)$  and  $(h_n 1_n^a)$ , allows us to state that:

$\{ (V_n 1_n^a) \text{ convergences} \} = \{ \sum h_n 1_n^a \text{ converges} \}$ , and therefore using the definition of  $1_n^a$

$$\bigcap_1^\infty \{ b_n < a \} \cap \{ V_n 1_n^a \text{ converges} \} = \bigcap_1^\infty \{ b_n < a \} \cap \{ \sum h_n 1_n^a \text{ converges} \}$$

and the theorem follows by letting  $a$  go to  $+\infty$ .

We now give a few corollaries to theorems 1 and 2.

**COROLLARY I. 1.** — Let  $(h_n), (V_n)$  be as in theorem 1. Let  $(g_n)$  be an adapted sequences of strictly positive random variables such that:

$$\begin{aligned} 1) & E[V_{n+1}/\mathcal{F}_n] \leq g_n V_n + h_n \text{ for all } n \\ 2) & \sup_n E \left[ \left( a_{n-1} V_n - \sum_1^{n-1} h_j a_j \right)^- \right] < \infty, \text{ where } a_n = \frac{1}{\prod_1^n g_j} \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{a_n} \right) \text{ converges} \right\} \cap \{ (V_n) \text{ converges} \} \\ & \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{a_n} \right) \text{ converges} \right\} \cap \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_1^n a_j h_j \right) \text{ converges} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Moreover on the set  $\left\{ \frac{1}{a_n} \rightarrow 0 \right\}$ , the sequence

$$\left( V_n - \frac{1}{a_{n-1}} \sum_1^{n-1} h_j a_j \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{a. s.}$$

*Proof.* — Apply theorem 1 to the sequences  $(V'_n = a_{n-1} V_n)$ ,  $(h'_n = a_n h_n)$ .

COROLLARY I.2. — Let  $(X_n)$  be an adapted sequence of real integrable random variables. If

$$1) \sup_n E(X_n^-) < \infty$$

$$2) \sup_n E \left( \left[ \sum_1^n E(X_{j+1}/\mathcal{F}_j) - X_j \right] \right)^+ < \infty$$

then  $\Sigma X_n$  converges a. s. if and only if  $\Sigma E(X_{n+1}/\mathcal{F}_n)$  converges a. s.

*Proof.* — Apply theorem 1 by setting  $V_n = \sum_1^n X_j$ ,  $h_n = E(X_{n+1}/\mathcal{F}_n)$ .

COROLLARY I.3. — Let  $(X_n)$  be as in corollary I.2. Let  $(a_n)$  be a sequence of real numbers tending to  $\infty$ . Then:

$$\left| \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_1^n X_i - \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_1^n E(X_{i+1}/\mathcal{F}_i) \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{a. s.}$$

In particular, setting  $a_n = n$ ,  $(X_n)$  verifies the law of large numbers if and only if the sequence  $(E(X_{n+1}/\mathcal{F}_n))$  does.

*Proof.* — Set  $V_n = \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_1^n X_i$ ,  $h_n = E(X_{n+1}/\mathcal{F}_n)$ ,  $g_n = \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}}$  and apply

corollary I.1.

The following generalises slightly a result of Robbins and Siegmund.

COROLLARY I.4. — Let  $(V_n)(\xi_n)(\eta_n)(g_n)$  be adapted sequences. We suppose  $V_n \geq 0$ ,  $\xi_n \geq 0$ ,  $\eta_n \geq 0$ ,  $g_n > 0$  and that

$$E[V_{n+1}/\mathcal{F}_n] \leq g_n V_n + \xi_n - \eta_n.$$

Then the sequences  $(V_n)$  and  $\left(\sum_1^n \eta_j\right)$  converge almost surely on the set

$$B = \left\{ 0 < \lim_n \prod_1^n g_j < \infty \right\} \cap \left\{ \sum_1^\infty \xi_i < \infty \right\}.$$

*Proof.* — Setting

$$V'_n = \frac{V_n}{\prod_1^{n-1} g_j}, \quad \xi'_n = \frac{\xi_n}{\prod_1^n g_j}, \quad \eta'_n = \frac{\eta_n}{\prod_1^n g_j}$$

we see that

$$E[V'_{n+1}/\mathcal{F}_n] \leq V'_n + \xi'_n - \eta'_n \leq V'_n + \xi'_n$$

Moreover, on  $B$ , the sequences  $(V_n)$  and  $(V'_n)$  (resp.  $\sum_1^n \xi_k$  and  $\sum_1^n \xi'_k$ , resp.  $\sum_1^n \eta_k$  and  $\sum_1^n \eta'_k$ ) have the same set of convergence.

Theorem 2 applied to the sequences  $(V'_n)$  and  $(\xi'_n)$  implies that  $(V_n)$  converges almost surely on  $B$ . Set

$$A = \left\{ \sup_n \sum_1^n (\xi'_k - \eta'_k) < \infty \right\}.$$

On  $A$ ,  $(V'_n)$  and  $\sum_1^n (\xi'_k - \eta'_k)$  have the same set of convergence, by theorem 2. Since on  $B$ , the series  $\sum_1^n (\xi'_k - \eta'_k)$  converges if and only if  $\sum_1^n \eta_k$  does, the corollary follows.

## II. C-SEQUENCES

Before defining C-sequences, we prove a « Doob decomposition theorem ».

**THEOREM 3.** — Let  $(V_n)$  be an adapted sequence of integrable random variables. Then there exists sequences  $(M_n)$ ,  $(\tilde{V}_n)$  of random variables such that

- 1)  $V_n = M_n + \tilde{V}_n$ .
- 2)  $\tilde{V}_1 = 0$  and  $\tilde{V}_n$  is  $\mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ -measurable for every  $n \geq 2$
- 3)  $M_n$  is an  $\mathcal{F}_n$ -martingale.

This decomposition is unique.

*Proof.* — Setting  $M_1 = V_1$ ,

$$M_n = \left( V_n - \sum_1^{n-1} [E(V_{k+1}/\mathcal{F}_k) - V_k] \right)$$

$$\tilde{V}_n = \sum_1^{n-1} [E(V_{k+1}/\mathcal{F}_k) - V_k] \quad \text{for } n \geq 2$$

we get the desired decomposition. To prove uniqueness, we note that if  $V_n = M'_n + B_n$  is another decomposition verifying 1), 2) and 3), we have

$$\sum_1^{n-1} [E(V_{k+1}/\mathcal{F}_k) - V_k] = \sum_1^{n-1} [M'_k + B_{k+1} - M'_k - B_k] = B_n - B_1 = B_n$$

Thus  $B = \tilde{V}$  and the uniqueness is proved.

for  $n \geq 2$ .

The following terminology and notation is standard.

DEFINITION. — If  $(V_n)$  is a sequence verifying the hypotheses of theorem 3,  $(\tilde{V}_n)$  will denote the sequence defined by  $\tilde{V}_1 = 0$ ,

$$\tilde{V}_n = \sum_1^{n-1} (E(V_{k+1} | \mathcal{F}_k) - V_k) \quad \text{for } n \geq 2 ;$$

$(\tilde{V}_n)$  is called the *previsible compensator* of  $(V_n)$ .

DEFINITION. — An adapted sequence of random variables  $(X_n)$  is called a *C-sequence* if the  $V_n$ 's are integrable and if the sequence  $(\tilde{V}_n)$  converges in  $\bar{\mathbb{R}}$ . It is called a *strict C-sequence* if  $(\tilde{V}_n)$  converges in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Martingales, submartingales, supermartingales, quasi-martingales are C-sequences. Adapted sequences  $(V_n)$  satisfying

$$\sum_1^{\infty} |E(V_{n+1}/\mathcal{F}_n) - V_n| < \infty \quad \text{a. s.}$$

are C-sequences but the converse is not true as is seen by the following example.

Let  $(X_n)$  be a sequence of independent identically distributed random

variables with  $E(X_n) = 0$ ,  $0 < E(X_n^2) < \infty$ . Then putting  $V_n = \frac{X_n}{n}$  it is easy to see that  $(V_n)$  a C-sequence but that

$$\sum_1^{\infty} |E(V_{n+1}/\mathcal{F}_n) - V_n| = \sum_1^{\infty} \frac{|X_n|}{n} = \infty \quad \text{a. s.}$$

**THEOREM 4.** — Let  $(V_n)$  be an adapted sequence of integrable random variables such that

- 1)  $\sup_n E(V_n^-) < \infty$
- 2)  $\sup_n E(\tilde{V}_n^+) < \infty$

Then  $(V_n)$  converges almost surely if and only if it is a C-sequence.

*Proof.* — Write  $V_n = M_n + \tilde{V}_n$  where  $(M_n)$  is a martingale (cf. theorem 3). If  $(V_n)$  converges a. s.,  $\sup_n E(M_n^-) \leq \sup_n E(\tilde{V}_n^+) + \sup_n E(V_n^-) < \infty$  which implies that  $(M_n)$  converges a. s. The same is then true for  $(\tilde{V}_n)$ . Conversely, suppose  $(\tilde{V}_n)$  converges a. s. in  $\bar{\mathbb{R}}$ . The equalities

$$E(V_n^+) - E(V_n^-) - E(V_1) = E(V_n) - E(V_1) = E(\tilde{V}_{n-1}) = E(\tilde{V}_{n-1}^+) - E(\tilde{V}_{n-1}^-)$$

imply that

$$\sup_n E(\tilde{V}_n^-) \leq \sup_n [E(\tilde{V}_n^+) + E(V_{n+1}^-) + E(V_1)]$$

and this last term is finite by hypothesis. Using Fatou's lemma, we conclude that  $\lim \tilde{V}_n^+$  and  $\lim \tilde{V}_n^-$  are finite, i. e.  $(\tilde{V}_n)$  converges a. s. (in  $\mathbb{R}$ ). The hypothesis of our theorem allows us now to apply theorem 1 and to conclude that the sequence  $(V_n)$  converge a. s.

**COROLLARY 4.1.** — Let  $(V_n)$  be an adapted sequence of integrable random variables. If

- 1)  $\sup_n E(|V_n|) < \infty$
- 2) there exists a constant  $k$  such that

$$\sum_{j=(n-1)k+1}^{nk} E(V_{j+1}/\mathcal{F}_j) \leq \sum_{j=(n-1)k+1}^{nk} V_j \quad \text{for all } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

- 3)  $E(V_{n+1}/\mathcal{F}_n) - V_n$  converges to 0 a. s.

Then  $(V_n)$  converges to 0 a. s.

*Proof.* — We have

$$\sum_1^n [E(V_{j+1}/\mathcal{F}_j) - V_j] = \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor} a_m + \sum_{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor k + 1}^n [E(V_{j+1}/\mathcal{F}_j) - V_j]$$

where

$$a_m = \sum_{j=(m-1)k+1}^{mk} [E(V_{j+1}/\mathcal{F}_j) - V_j]$$

condition 2) implies that  $a_m \leq 0$  for all  $m$ . Furthermore

$$\left| \sum_{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor}^n [E(V_{j+1}/\mathcal{F}_j) - V_j] \right| \leq k \max_{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor k + 1 \leq j \leq n} |E(V_{j+1}/\mathcal{F}_j) - V_j|$$

This last term converges to 0 a. s. by condition 3). Thus  $(V_n)$  is a C-sequence. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_n E(\tilde{V}_n^+) &\leq \sup_n E \left[ \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor} a_m \right]^+ + \sup_n E \left[ \sum_{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor k + 1}^{nk} (E(V_{j+1}/\mathcal{F}_j) - V_1) \right]^+ \\ &\leq 2k \sup_n E(|V_n|) < \infty \end{aligned}$$

(using the above inequality and condition 1), condition 2) of theorem 4 is satisfied and therefore  $(V_n)$  converges a. s.

**COROLLARY 4.2.** — Let  $(V_n)$  be an adapted sequence of integrable random variables. If

- 1)  $\sup_n E(V_n^-) < \infty$
- 2)  $E(V_{n+1}/\mathcal{F}_n) \leq V_n$  if  $n$  is odd  
 $E(V_{n+1}/\mathcal{F}_n) \geq V_n$  if  $n$  is even
- 3)  $|E(V_{n+1}/\mathcal{F}_n) - V_n| \downarrow 0$  a. s.

then  $(V_n)$  converges a. s.

*Proof.* — By the convergence theorem for alternating series,  $(V_n)$  is a C-sequence. Also we notice that for all  $n$

$$\left| \sum_1^n (E(V_{k+1}/\mathcal{F}_k) - V_k) \right| \leq |E(V_2/\mathcal{F}_1) - V_1|$$

and therefore theorem 4 applies.

We now try to weaken  $L_1$  bounded conditions such that

$$\sup_n E(V_n^-) < \infty \quad \text{or} \quad \sup_n E(|V_n|) < \infty$$

**THEOREM 5.** — Let  $(V_n)$  be an adapted sequence of positive random variables. If  $(V_n)$  is a strict C-sequence, then  $(V_n)$  converges a. s. Conversely if  $(V_n)$  converges a. s., then  $(\tilde{V}_n)$  converges a. s. on the set  $\{ \sup_n \tilde{V}_n < \infty \}$ .

*Proof.* — Write  $E(V_{n+1}/\mathcal{F}_n) = (E(V_{n+1}/\mathcal{F}_n) - V_n)$  and apply theorem 2.

**COROLLARY 5.1.** — Let  $(V_n)$  be an adapted sequence of non negative random variables. Then  $(V_n)$  converges a. s. if any one of the following conditions is satisfied

- 1)  $E(V_{n+1}/\mathcal{F}_n) \geq V_n$  and  $\sum_1^\infty (E(V_{n+1}/\mathcal{F}_n) - V_n) < \infty$  a. s.
- 2)  $\sum_1^\infty |E(V_{n+1}/\mathcal{F}_n) - V_n| < \infty$  a. s.
- 3) For almost all  $\omega$ , there exist an integer  $k(\omega)$  such that
  - a)  $E(V_{n+1}/\mathcal{F}_n) - V_n$  is alternating when  $n \geq k(\omega)$
  - b)  $|E(V_{n+1}/\mathcal{F}_n) - V_n| \downarrow 0$  when  $n \geq k(\omega)$ .

As an example where this corollary can be used (see [1]) take the unit interval with its Borel field and Lebesgue measure and set  $V_i = i2^i$  on  $\left[0, \frac{1}{2^i}\right]$ , 0 elsewhere if  $i$  is odd,  $\equiv 0$  if  $i$  is even.

We now rid ourselves of the hypothesis that the  $V_n$ 's are positive.

**THEOREM 6.** — Let  $(V_n)$  be an adapted sequence of integrable random variables. Then on the set

$$B = \left\{ \sup_n \tilde{V}_n^+ < \infty, \sup_n \tilde{V}_n^- < \infty \right\}$$

$(V_n)$  converges a. s. if and only if  $(\tilde{V}_n^+)$  and  $(\tilde{V}_n^-)$  converge a. s.

If any two of the four sequences  $(V_n)$ ,  $(V_n^+)$ ,  $(V_n^-)$ ,  $(|V_n|)$  are strict C-sequences, then  $(V_n)$  converges a. s.

The proof goes very much along the lines of that of Theorem 5.

**COROLLARY 6.1.** — Let  $(V_n)$  be a submartingale. If  $(\tilde{V}_n)$  and  $(\tilde{V}_n^+)$  converge a. s., then so does  $(V_n)$ .

*Remark.* — The conditions in this corollary are weaker than the usual

condition  $\sup_n E(V_n^+) < \infty$  as can be seen by considering the sequence  $(V_n)$  defined on the unit interval by the formula

$$V_n = n2^n 1_{[0, 2^{-n}]}$$

**COROLLARY 6.2.** — Any of the following conditions is sufficient for the almost sure convergence of the martingale  $(V_n)$ :

- i)  $\sum_1^{\infty} [E(|V_{j+1}|/\mathcal{F}_j) - |V_j|] < \infty$  a. s.
- ii)  $\sum_1^{\infty} [E(V_{j+1}^+/\mathcal{F}_j) - V_j^+] < \infty$  a. s.
- iii)  $\sum_1^{\infty} [E(V_{j+1}^-/\mathcal{F}_j) - V_j^-] < \infty$  a. s.

We now show that asymptotic martingales (« amarts », see [2] (b) and [7]) are not necessarily C-sequences nor are C-sequences necessarily asymptotic martingales. As a matter of fact, the C-sequence defined in the remark following corollary 6.1 is not an asymptotic martingale.

Let  $(X_n)$  be a sequence of independent identically distributed random variables such that  $|X_n| < 1$ . Let  $(a_n)$  be a sequence of real numbers diverging to  $\infty$  so slowly that  $\sum_1^n \frac{X_i}{a_i}$  does not converge in  $\bar{\mathbb{R}}$  (this is possible by

the law of iterated logarithm (see [9])). Then  $(V_n = \frac{X_n}{a_n})$  is an asymptotic martingale since  $V_n$  converges uniformly to 0. Writing

$$V_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{X_j}{a_j} - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{X_j}{a_j}$$

and using the uniqueness of the compensator it is seen that  $(V_n)$  is not a C-sequence.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to thank Dr. N. V. Thu, Professors M. Duflo, J. Sam Lazaro and D. Lepingle for their kind help and suggestions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] D. G. AUSTIN, G. A. EDGAR, A. IONESCU TULCEA, Pointwise convergence in term of expectation. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete*, t. **30**, 1974, p. 17-26.
- [2] [a] A. BELLOW, On vector valued asymptotic martingales. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, t. **73**, n° 6, 1976, p. 1798-1799.  
[b] A. BELLOW, Several stability properties of the class of asymptotic martingales. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete*, t. **37**, 1977, p. 275-290.
- [3] A. N. BORODIN, Quasi-martingales. *Theory of Probability and Appl.* 1978-3.
- [4] DAN ANBAV, An application of a theorem of Robbins and Siegmund. *Annals of Statistics*, t. **4**, n° 5, 1976, p. 1018-1021
- [5] G. A. EDGAR, L. SUCHESTON, Amarts, A class of asymptotic martingales (Discrete parameter), *J. Multivariate Anal.*, t. **6**, 1976, p. 193-221.
- [6] J. NEVEU, a) *Mathematical foundations of the calculus of probability*, Holden Day, San Fransisco, 1965; b) *Martingales discrètes*, Masson, 1972.
- [7] M. M. RAO, Quasi-martingales. *Math. Scand.*, t. **24**, 1969, p. 79-92.
- [8] H. ROBBINS, D. SIEGMUND, A convergence theorem for non negative almost super-martingales and some applications. *Optimization methods in statistics*, A. P. New-York, 1971, p. 233-257.
- [9] R. J. TOMKINS, A law of the Iterated Logarithm Logarithm for martingales. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete*, t. **33**, 1975, p. 55-59.

(Manuscrit reçu le 6 octobre 1980).