

ANNALES DE L'I. H. P., SECTION B

G. LETAC

Q. I. RAHMAN

**A factorisation of the Askey's characteristic
function $(1 - \|t\|_{2n+1})_+^{n+1}$**

Annales de l'I. H. P., section B, tome 22, n° 2 (1986), p. 169-174

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIHPB_1986__22_2_169_0

© Gauthier-Villars, 1986, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'I. H. P., section B » (<http://www.elsevier.com/locate/anihpb>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

A factorisation of the Askey's characteristic function

$$(1 - \|t\|_{2n+1})_+^{n+1}$$

by

G. LETAC (*) and Q. I. RAHMAN (**)

ABSTRACT. — g_r is the indicator of the ball centered on 0 with radius r in the Euclidean space E with odd dimension $2n + 1$. Richard Askey has shown that $\varphi_n(t) = (1 - \|t\|)^{n+1} g_1(t)$ is a positive definite function on E . We give here a new proof of this fact by showing that $\varphi_n/g_{1/2} * g_{1/2}$ is positive definite. The technique of the proof is to locate the zeros of the polynomial $\int_z^1 (1 - t^2)^n dt$.

RÉSUMÉ. — Soit g_r l'indicatrice de la boule de centre 0 et rayon r de l'espace euclidien E de dimension impaire $2n + 1$. Richard Askey a montré que dans E , $\varphi_n(t) = (1 - \|t\|)^{n+1} g_1(t)$ est une fonction définie positive. La note en donne une nouvelle démonstration, en montrant que $\varphi_n/g_{1/2} * g_{1/2}$ est définie positive. Ce résultat est atteint en localisant les zéros du polynôme $\int_z^1 (1 - t^2)^n dt$.

(*) Université Paul Sabatier, Toulouse, France. This author gratefully acknowledges the support of the University of Montreal during the preparation of this paper.

(**) Université de Montréal, Montréal (P. Q.) Canada.

Liste de mots-clés : Characteristic functions, zeros of polynomials.

AMS Classification : 60E10, 30C15.

Let n a non negative integer. As usual, the norm and the scalar product in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} are denoted by $\|t\| = \|t\|_{2n+1}$ and $\langle t, x \rangle$. R. Askey in [1] proves the following result:

THEOREM 1. — *Let $g: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous, such that*

- 1) $g(0) = 1$,
- 2) $(-1)^n g^{(n)}(r)$ exists and is convex in $(0, +\infty)$,
- 3) $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} g(r) = \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} g^{(n)}(r) = 0$.

Then $t \mapsto g(\|t\|)$ is a characteristic function on \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} , i. e. is the Fourier transform of a probability distribution on \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} .

This is a non-trivial generalization of the well-known Polya's theorem (see e. g. Feller [2], p. 482) corresponding to $n = 0$. The proof splits in two parts. Define φ_n on \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} by:

$$\varphi_n(t) = (1 - \|t\|)_{+}^{n+1}, \tag{1}$$

where $a_+ = \max(0, a)$. R. Askey proves first that $g: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ fulfills the hypothesis of Th. 1 if and only if there exists a probability measure $\nu(dr)$ on $(0, +\infty)$ such that:

$$g(\|t\|) = \int_0^\infty \varphi_n(t/r) \nu(dr) \tag{2}$$

Therefore, to achieve the proof, we have to prove that φ_n is a characteristic function over \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} . Since φ_n is continuous, integrable and invariant by rotation there must exist a continuous function f_n on $[0, +\infty)$ such that:

$$\varphi(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}} \exp(i \langle t, x \rangle) f_n(\|x\|) dx, \tag{3}$$

where $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}} f_n(\|x\|) dx < \infty$. The positivity of f_n is now elegantly obtained in [1] by means of the formula:

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-sr} r^{3n+2} f_n(r) dr = C_n \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-sr} (1 - \cos r) dr \right]^{n+1} \tag{4}$$

true for $s > 0$, where C_n is $2^{2n+1} \pi^n n! (n+1)!$. (This proof is also reproduced in Letac [3] Problems II 6 and III 5, with slight modifications avoiding the use of Bessel functions).

The aim of this note is to offer an other proof of the positivity of f_n . To get the idea of it, let us come back to the case $n = 0$. Formula (4) gives

$f_0(r) = \frac{4}{r^2}(1 - \cos r)$ for $r > 0$. However, an other way to check the positive-definiteness of φ_0 is to consider $h_0 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} h_0(t) &= 1 && \text{if } |t| < 1/2 \\ &= 0 && \text{if } |t| \geq 1/2, \end{aligned}$$

and to observe that

$$\varphi_0 = h_0 * h_0, \tag{5}$$

where $*$ indicates convolution in \mathbb{R} . We deduce from (5) that φ_0 is positive-definite, as Prop. 2 below shows.

To extend this idea to higher dimensions, we introduce the indicator $h_n : \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the ball in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} centered in 0 with radius 1/2:

$$\begin{aligned} h_n(t) &= 1 && \text{if } \|t\| < 1/2 \\ &= 0 && \text{if } \|t\| \geq 1/2 \end{aligned}$$

and we make the following remark:

PROPOSITION 2. — $t \mapsto (h_n * h_n)(t)$ is a continuous positive-definite function on \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} .

Proof. — Since h_n is in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $h_n(t) = \overline{h_n(-t)}$, this fact is well known (see e. g. Rudin [5], Chap. 1, 1.4.2). \square

For $n > 0$, $h_n * h_n$ is no longer equal to φ_n , but it divides it, as our main result shows:

THEOREM 3. — Consider the polynomial Q_n of n^{th} degree :

$$Q_n(z) = (1 - z)^{-n-1} \int_z^1 (1 - u^2)^n du, \text{ and } B_n = 2^{-n-1} \pi^n / n!$$

Then for all t in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} :

$$\varphi_n(t) = (h_n * h_n)(t) \frac{B_n}{Q_n(\|t\|)}, \tag{6}$$

and $t \mapsto 1/Q_n(\|t\|)$ is positive-definite on \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} .

As a corollary of this Th. 3, we get the second half of Askey's result, i. e. the positive-definiteness of φ_n , now written by (6) as the product of two positive definite functions. One minor advantage of this approach is that it gives a decomposition of the density in $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1} : x \mapsto f_n(\|x\|)$, as a convolution of two positive functions (Recall that (4) shows that the function on $(0, +\infty) : r \mapsto r^{3n+2} f_n(r)$ is the $(n+1)$ th power of convolution of the function on $(0, +\infty) : r \mapsto C_n^{1/n+1}(1 - \cos r)$).

Although a probabilistic proof of Th. 3 would be desirable, we supply an analytic one. Let us begin with the easy part. n is now > 0 .

Proof of (6). — There exists a function $V : [0, +\infty) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ such that $V(\|t\|) = (h_n * h_n)(t)$. Clearly, V is the volume of the convex body obtain as the intersection of two balls in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} with radius $1/2$ and centers at distance r .

Trivially for $r > 1$, $V(r) = 0$ and (6) is true for $\|t\| > 1$. Let us suppose now r in $[0, 1]$. In this case:

$$V(r) = 2 \int_{B_r} \left(\left(\frac{1}{4} - (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_{2n}^2) \right)^{1/2} - \frac{r}{2} \right) dx_1 \dots dx_{2n},$$

where B_r is the ball in \mathbb{R}^{2n} with center 0 and radius $\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{r^2}{4}\right)^{1/2}$, i. e $V(r)$ is twice the volume of a certain spherical cap.

Since the image in $(0, +\infty)$ of the Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^{2n} by the map $(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) \mapsto (x_1^2 + \dots + x_{2n}^2)^{1/2} = \rho$ is $A_n \rho^{2n-1} d\rho$, where $A_n = 2\pi^n / (n-1)!$, then:

$$V(r) = 2A_n \int_0^{\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{r^2}{4}\right)^{1/2}} \left(\left(\frac{1}{4} - \rho^2 \right)^{1/2} - \frac{r}{2} \right) \rho^{2n-1} d\rho.$$

Taking now

$$u = 2\left(\frac{1}{4} - \rho^2\right)^{1/2}, \text{ we get}$$

$$V(r) = A_n 2^{-n-1} \int_r^1 (u - r)(1 - u^2)^{n-1} u \, du.$$

Using integration by parts:

$$V(r) = \frac{A_n 2^{-n-2}}{n} \int_r^1 (1 - u^2)^n \, du,$$

and (6) is proved for $\|t\| \leq 1$. □

To complete the proof of Th. 3, we need two results.

The first one is likely to be known:

PROPOSITION 4. — *Let P be a polynomial with real coefficients without zeros in the closed right halfplane $\{z; \operatorname{Re} z \geq 0\}$. Then for all integers $d > 0$, the function on the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^d: t \mapsto P(0)/P(\|t\|)$ is positive-definite.*

Proof. — If p and q are ≥ 0 , $\exp(-p\|t\|)$ and $\exp\left(\frac{q}{2}\|t\|^2\right)$ are posi-

tive definite in \mathbb{R}^d . Therefore, if $s \geq 0$, $\exp\left(-s\left(p\|t\| + \frac{q}{2}\|t\|^2\right)\right)$ is positive definite in \mathbb{R}^d , as well as $1/P(\|t\|) = \int_0^\infty \exp(-sP(\|t\|))ds$, if

$$P(r) = 1 + pr + \frac{q}{2}r^2. \tag{7}$$

Since, for general P , $P(0)/P$ is a product of functions of type (7), the result follows. \square

PROPOSITION 5. — *The zeros of $Q_n(z) = (1 - z)^{-n-1} \int_z^1 (1 - t^2)^n dt$, for $n > 0$, lie in the disk :*

$$D = \left\{ z ; \left| z + \frac{1}{2} \left(n + 1 + \frac{1}{n + 1} \right) \right| < \frac{1}{2} \left(n + 1 - \frac{1}{n + 1} \right) \right\},$$

which is contained in the half plane $\left\{ z ; \operatorname{Re} z < -\frac{1}{n + 1} \right\}$.

Clearly, Prop. 4 and 5 complete the proof of Th. 3.

Proof of Prop. 5. — For fixed n , and for an integer j in $\{0, \dots, n\}$, we consider the polynomial

$$P_j(z) = \int_z^1 (1 - t)^{n+j} (1 + t)^{n-j} dt.$$

An integration by parts gives, for $j < n$:

$$P_j(z) = \frac{1}{n + j + 1} (1 - z)^{n+j+1} (1 + z)^{n-j} + \frac{n - j}{n + j + 1} P_{j+1}(z) \tag{8}$$

and

$$P_n(z) = \frac{1}{2n + 1} (1 - z)^{2n+1}. \tag{9}$$

Defining $c_j = \frac{1}{n + 1} \cdot \frac{n}{n + 2} \cdot \frac{n - 1}{n + 3} \cdots \frac{n - j + 1}{n + j + 1}$, one gets from (8) and (9) that :

$$P_0(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n c_j (1 - z)^{n+1+j} (1 + z)^{n-j},$$

and

$$Q_n(z) = (1+z)^n \sum_{j=0}^n c_j \left(\frac{1-z}{1+z} \right)^j. \quad (10)$$

Introducing now $a_j = (n+1) \left(\frac{n+2}{n} \right)^j c_j$, and the polynomial

$$H(w) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j w^j, \text{ we get from (10):}$$

$$H\left(\frac{n}{n+2} \cdot \frac{1-z}{1+z}\right) = (n+1) \frac{Q_n(z)}{(1+z)^n}.$$

The basic remark is now $a_0=1$ and $0 < \frac{a_{j+1}}{a_j} = \frac{n-j}{n+j+2} \frac{n+2}{n} < 1$.

From the Eneström-Kakeya Theorem (see e. g. [4]), the zeros of H lie in $\{w; |w| > 1\} = D_1$; the image of $D_1 \cup \infty$ by the reciprocal map of

$$z \mapsto w = \frac{n}{n+2} \frac{1-z}{1+z} \text{ is } D, \text{ and the proof is achieved. } \square$$

REFERENCES

- [1] R. ASKEY, *Radial characteristic functions*. Mathematical Research Center, University of Wisconsin, Madison. Technical summary report n° 1262, Nov. 1973.
- [2] W. FELLER, *An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications*, t. 2, 1st edition, Wiley, New York, 1966.
- [3] G. LETAC, *Intégration, Mesures, Analyse de Fourier et Probabilités. Exercices*. Masson, Paris, 1983.
- [4] M. MARDEN, *Geometry of Polynomials. Math. Surveys n° 3*, American Mathematical Society, 1966.
- [5] W. J. RUDIN, *Fourier Analysis on Groups*. Interscience, Wiley, New York, 1963.

(Manuscrit reçu le 1^{er} juillet 1985)