# M. MEGAN D.R. LATCU On uniform exponential *N*-dichotomy

Annales mathématiques Blaise Pascal, tome 1, nº 2 (1994), p. 33-41 <a href="http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AMBP">http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AMBP</a> 1994 1 2 33 0>

© Annales mathématiques Blaise Pascal, 1994, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales mathématiques Blaise Pascal » (http:// math.univ-bpclermont.fr/ambp/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

# $\mathcal{N}$ umdam

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/ Ann. Math. Blaise Pascal, Vol. 1, N° 2, 1994, pp. 33 - 41

## **ON UNIFORM EXPONENTIAL N-DICHOTOMY**

ade ac

## M. MEGAN and D.R. LATCU

The problem of uniform exponential N-dichotomy of evolutionary processes in Banach spaces is discussed. Generalizations of the some well-known results of R. Datko, Z. Zabczyk, S. Rollewicz and A. Ichikawa are obtained. The results are applicable for a large class of nonlinear differential equations.

#### I - INTRODUCTION.

Let X be a real or complex Banach space with the norm  $\|.\|$ . Let T be the set defined by

$$T = \{(t, t_0) : 0 \le t_0 \le t < \infty\}$$

Let  $\Phi(t, t_0)$  with  $(t, t_0) \in T$  be a family of operators with domain  $X_{t_0} \subset X$ .

#### **Definition 1.1**

The famility  $\Phi(t,t_0)$  with  $(t,t_0) \in T$  is called an evolutionary process if :

- $e_1$ )  $\Phi(t,t_0)x_0 \in X_t$  for all  $(t,t_0)$  and  $x_0 \in X_{t_0}$ ;
- $e_2$ )  $\Phi(t,t_1)\Phi(t_1,t_0)x_0 = \Phi(t,t_0)x_0$  for  $(t,t_1), (t_1,t_0 \in T \text{ and } x_0 \in X_{t_0};$
- $e_3) \Phi(t,t)x = x \text{ for all } t \ge 0 \text{ and } x \in x_t;$
- $e_4$ ) for each  $t_0 \ge 0$  and  $x_0 \in X_{t_0}$  the function  $t \mapsto \Phi(t, t_0) x_0$  is continuous on  $[t_0, \infty]$ ;
- $\begin{array}{l} e_5) \text{ there is a positive nondecreasing function } \varphi:(0,\infty) \to (0,\infty) \text{ such that } \|\Phi(t,t_0)x_0\| \leq \\ \varphi(t-t_0)\|x_0\| \text{ for all } (t,t_0) \in T \text{ and } x_0 \in X_{t_0}. \\ \text{ Throughout in this paper for each } t_0 \geq 0 \text{ we denote by} \\ \end{array}$

 $X_{t_0}^1 = \{x_0 \in X_{t_0} : \Phi(., t_0) x_0 \in L_{t_0}^\infty(X)\} \text{ and } X_{t_0}^2 = X_{t_0}^2 - X_{t_0}^1 \setminus X_{t_0}^1$ 

where  $L^{\infty}_{t_0}(X)$  is the Banach space of X-valued function f defined a.e. on  $[t_0, \infty]$ , such that f is strongly measurable and essentially bounded.

 $\cdot$ 

**Remark 1.1** If  $x_0 \in X_{t_0}^1$  and  $t \ge t_0$  then  $\Phi(t, t_0) x_0 \in X_t^1$ .

Indeed, if  $x_0 \in X_{t_0}^1$  then

 $\Phi(.,t)\Phi(t,t_0)x_0=\Phi(.,t_0)x_0\in L^\infty_{t_0}(X)\subset L^\infty_t(X)$ 

and hence  $\phi(t, t_0)x_0 \in X_t^1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be the set of strictly increasing real functions N defined on  $[0,\infty]$  wich satisfies :

 $\lim_{t \to 0} N(t) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad N(t.t_0) \le N(t)N(t_0)$ 

for all  $t, t_0 \geq 0$ .

**Remark 1.2.** It is easy to see that if  $N \in \mathcal{N}$  then

- i) N(t) > 0 for every t > 0;
- ii) N(0) = 0 and  $N(1) \ge 1$ ;

iii) 
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} N(t) = \infty$$

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $N \in \mathcal{N}$ . The evolutionary process  $\Phi(.,.)$  is said to be uniformaly exponentially N-dichotomic (and we write u.e. - N-d.) if there are  $M_1, M_2, \nu_1, \nu_2 > 0$  such that for all  $t \ge s \ge t_0 \ge 0$  and  $x_1 \in X_{t_0}^1, x_2 \in X_{t_0}^2$  we have :

$$Nd_1$$
  $N(||\Phi(t,t_0)x_1||) \ge M_1 e^{-\nu_1(t-s)} N(||\Phi(s,t_0)x_1||)$ , and

 $Nd_2$ )  $N(||(t,t_0)x_2||) \le M_2 e^{\nu_2(t-s)} . N(||\Phi(s,t_0)x_2||).$ 

Particulary, for N(t) = t, if  $\Phi(.,.)$  is u.e-N-d. then  $\Phi(.,.)$  is called an uniform exponential dichotomic (and we write u.e.d.) process. If  $\Phi(.,.)$  is u.e-N-d. (respectively u.e.d.) and  $X_{t_0}^1 = X_{t_0}$  for every  $t_0 \leq 0$  then  $\Phi(.,.)$  is called an uniform exponential -N-stable (respectively uniform exponential stable) process.

**Remark 1.3.**  $\Phi(.,.)$  is u.e-N-d. if and only if the inequalities  $(d_1)$  and  $(d_2)$  from Definition 1.2. hold for all  $t \leq s+1 > s \leq t_0 \leq 0$ .

Indeed, if  $t_0 \ge s \ge t \ge s + 1, x_1 \in X_{t_0}^1$  and  $T_2 \in X_{t_0}^2$  then  $N(\|\Phi(t, t_0)x_1\|) \le N(\varphi(t-s)).N(\|\Phi(s, t_0)x_1\|) \ge N(\varphi(1))N(\|(s, t_0)x_1\|) \ge S(\varphi(1)).e^{\nu_1 - \nu_1(t-s)}.N(\|\Phi(s, t_0)x_1\|)$ 

 $\operatorname{and}$ 

$$\begin{split} M_2.e^{\nu_2}.N(\|\Phi(s,t_0)x_2\|) &\leq N(\|\Phi(s+1,t_0)x_2\|) \leq \\ &\leq N(\varphi(s+1-t)).N(\|\Phi(t,t_0)x_2\|) \leq \\ &\leq N(\varphi(1)).e^{\nu_2-\nu_2(t-s)}.N(\|\Phi(t,t_0)x_2\|). \end{split}$$

A necessary and sufficient condition for the uniform exponential stability of a linear evolutionary process in a Banach space has been proved by Dakto in [1]. The extension of Datko's theorem for uniform exponential dichotomy has been obtained by Preda and Megan in [3].

The case of uniform exponential-N-stable processes has been considered by Ichikawa in [2]. The particular case when the process is a strongly continuous semigroup of bounded linear operators has been studied by Zabczyk in [5] and Rolewicz in [4].

In this paper we shall extend these results in two directions. First, we shall give a characterization of u.e.-*N*-dichotomy, which can be considered as a generalization of Datko's theorem. Second, we shall not assume the linearity and boundedness of the process  $\Phi(.,.)$ . The obtained results are applicable for a large class of nonlinear differential equations described in [2].

## **II - PRELIMINARY RESULTS**

An useful characterization of the uniform exponential-N-dichotomy property is given by

#### **Proposition 2.1**

The evolutionary process  $\Phi(.,.)$  is u.e.N-d. if and only if there are two continuous functions  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2: [0, \infty] \to (0, \infty)$  with the properties :

- $Nd'_1) \quad N(\|\phi(t,t_0)x_1\|) \le \varphi_1(t-s)N(\|\Phi(s,t_0)x_1\|)$
- $Nd'_{2}) \quad N(\|\phi(t,t_{0})x_{2}\|) \leq \varphi_{2}(t-s)N(\|\Phi(s,t_{0})x_{2}\|)$

 $Nd'_3) \quad \lim_{t\to\infty} \varphi_1(t) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{t\to\infty} \varphi_2(t) = \infty \text{ for all } t \ge s \ge t_0 \ge 0,$ 

 $x_1 \in X_{t_0}^1$  and  $x_2 \in X_{t_0}^2$ .

#### **Proof.**

The necessity is obvious from Definition 1.2 for  $\varphi_1(t) = M_1 e^{-\nu_1 t}$  and  $\varphi_2(t) = M_2 e^{\nu_2 t}$ .

The sufficiency. From  $(Nd'_3)$  it follows that there are  $s_1, s_2 > 0$  such that  $\varphi_1(s_1) < 1$ and  $\varphi_2(s_2) > 1$ . Then for all  $t \ge s \ge t_0$  there are two natural numbers  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  such that

$$\begin{split} t-s &= n_1 s_1 + r_1 = n_2 s_2 + r_2 \text{, where } r_1 \in [0, s_2].\\ \text{From } (e_5) \text{ and } (Nd'_1) \text{ it results that if } t \geq s \geq t_0 \geq 0 \text{ and } x_1 \in X^1_{t_0} \text{ then } \\ N(\|\Phi(t, t_0) x_1\|) \leq N(\varphi(r_1)) N(\|\Phi(s + n_1 x_1, t_0) x_1\|) \leq N(\varphi(s_1)) \varphi_1(s_1) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & .N(\|\Phi(s,t_0)x_1\| - \le M_1 e^{-\nu_1(t-s)}.N\|(\Phi(s,t_0)x_1\| \\ \text{where } M_1 = N(\varphi(s_1))e^{\nu_1s_1} = \frac{N(\varphi(s_1))}{\varphi_1(x_1)} \quad \text{and} \quad v_1 = -\frac{\ell n\varphi_1(s_1)}{x_1}. \\ & \text{Similary, if } t \ge s \ge t_0 \ge 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x_2 \in X_t^2 \quad \text{then} \\ & N(\|\Phi(t,t_0)x_2\|) \ge \varphi_2(r_2)N(\|(s+n_2s_2,t_0)x_2\|) \ge \varphi_2(r_2)\varphi_2(s_2)^n. \\ & N((\|\Phi(s,t_0)x_2\|) \ge m_2 e^{\nu_2n_2s_2}.M(\|\Phi(s,t_0)x_2\|) \\ & M_2 e^{\nu_2(t-s)}N((\|\Phi(s,t_0)x_2\|), \end{split}$$

where  $m_2 = \inf_{0 \le t \le s_2} \varphi_2(t)$ ,  $M_2 = \frac{m_2}{\varphi_2(s_2)}$  and  $\nu_2 = \frac{\ln \varphi_2(s_2)}{s_2}$ .

In virtue of Definition 1.2 it follows that  $\Phi(.,.)$  is u.e-N-d.

## Corollary 2.1.

The evolutionary process  $\Phi(.,.)$  is u.e.d. if and only if there are two continuous functions  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2: (0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$  with the properties :

 $\begin{array}{ll} d_1') & \|\Phi(t,t_0)x_1\| \leq \varphi_1(t-s).\|\Phi(s,t_0)x_1\| \\ d_2') & \|(t,t_0)x_2\| \geq \varphi_2(t-s).\|\phi(s,t_0)x_2\| \\ & Nd_3') \lim_{t \to \infty} \varphi_1(t) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} \varphi_2(t) = \infty. \end{array}$ 

for all  $t \ge s \ge t_0 \ge 0$ ,  $x_1 \in X_{t_0}^1$  and  $x_2 \in S_{t_0}^2$ .

**Proof.** : Is obvious from Proposition 2.1 for N(t) = t.

The relation between u. e-N-d. and u.e.d. properties is given by

## Proposition 2.2:

The evolutionary process  $\Phi(.,.)$  is u.e.d. if and only if there is  $N \in \mathcal{N}$  such that  $\Phi(.,.)$  is u.e.N-d.

### Proof :

The necessity is obvious from Definition 1.2.

The sufficiency. Suppose that there is  $N \in \mathcal{N}$  such that  $\Phi(.,.)$  satisfies the condition  $(Nd_1)$  and  $(Nd_2)$  from Definition 1.2.

Let  $s_1, s_2, s_3 > 0$  such that  $M_1N(2) < e^{\nu_1 s_1}, N(2) < M_2 e^{\nu_2 s_2}$  and  $N(s_3) < M_2$ . If  $t \ge s \ge t_0 0$  then there are two natural numbers  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  such that  $t - s = n_1 s_1 + r_1 = n_2 s_2 + r_2$ , where  $r_1 \in (0, s_1)$  and  $r_1 \in (0, s_2)$ . Then for  $s \ge t_0 \ge 0$  and  $x_1 \in X_{t_0}^1$  we have

36

e.

$$\begin{split} N(\|\Phi(s,t_0)x_1\|) &\geq \frac{e^{\nu_1 s_1}}{M_1} N(\|\Phi(s_1+s,t_0x_1) \\ &\geq N(2)N(\|\Phi(s+s_1,t_0)x_1\|) \geq N(2\|\Phi(s+s_1,t_0)x_1\|) \end{split}$$

and hence (because N is nondecreasing)

 $\|\Phi(s,t_0)x_1\| \ge 2.\|(s+s_1,t_0)x_1\|$  and (by induction)  $\|\Phi(s,t_0)x_1\| \ge 2^n \|\Phi(s+ns_1,t_0)x_1\|$  for every natural number n. Therefore for  $t \ge s \ge t_0 \ge 0$  and  $x_1 \in X^1_{t_0}$  we obtain that

$$\|\phi(t,t_0)x_1\| \leq \varphi(r_1)\|\Phi(s+n_1s_1,t_0)x_1\| \leq \frac{\varphi(s_1)}{2^{n_1}}\|\Phi(s,t_0)x_1\|$$

and hence

(2.1)  $\|\phi(t,t_0)x_1\| \leq \varphi_1(t-s)\|\Phi(s,t_0)x_1\|$  for  $t \geq s \geq t_0$  and  $x_1 \in X^1_{t_0}$ , where  $\varphi_1(u) = \frac{\varphi(s_1)}{2^{u/s_1}}$ .

On the other hand, for  $s \ge t_0 \ge 0$  and  $x_2 \in X_{t_0}^2$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi(t,t_0)x_2\| &\geq M_2 e^{\nu_2 s_2} N(\|\Phi(s,t_0)x_2\|) \geq N(2) N(\|\phi(s,t_0)x_2\|) \\ &\geq N(2\|\Phi(s,t_0)x_2\|) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

 $\|\phi(s+s_2,t_0)x_2\| \ge 2\|\phi(s,t_0)x_2\|$  and (by induction)

 $\|\phi(s+ns_2,t_0)x_2\| \ge 2^n \|\Phi(s,t_0)x_2\|$  for all  $s \ge t_0 \ge 0$ ,  $x_2 \in X_{t_0}^2$  and every natural number n.

hence, if  $t \ge s \ge t_0 \ge 0$  and  $x_2 \in X_{t_0}^2$  then

$$\begin{split} N(\|\Phi(t,t_0)x_2\|) &= N(\|\Phi(s+n_2s_2+r_2,t_0)x_2\|)M_2e^{\nu_2r_2}N(\|\Phi(s+n_2s_2,t_0)x_2\|)\\ &\geq N(s_3\|\Phi(s+n_2s_2,t_0)x_2\|), \end{split}$$

which implies

$$\|\Phi(t,t_0)x_2\| \geq s_3 \|\Phi(s+n_2s_2,t_0)x_2\| \geq 2^{n_2}.s_3 \|\Phi(s,t_0)x_2\|$$

and hence

(2.2) 
$$\|\Phi(t,t_0)x_2\| \ge \varphi_2(t-s)\|\Phi(s,t_0)x_2\|$$
 for  $t \ge s \ge 0$  and  $x_2 \in X_{t_0}^2$ , where  $\varphi_2(u) = \frac{s_3}{2}2^{u/s_2}$ .

From (2.1), (2.2) and Corollary 2.1 it follows that  $\Phi(.,.)$  is u.e.d.

## **3 - THE MAIN RESULTS.**

· ~

The following theorem is an extension of Datko's theorem ([1]) to the general case of uniform exponential-*N*-dichtomy.

## Theorem 3.1.

The evolutionary process  $\Phi(.,.)$  is u.e.N.d. if and only if there are M, m > 0 such that

$$(Nd_{1}'') \int_{t}^{t} N(\|\Phi(s,t_{0})x_{1}\|)ds \leq M.N(\|\Phi(t,t_{0})x_{1}\|),$$
  

$$(Nd_{2}'') \int_{t_{0}}^{t} N(\|\Phi(s,t_{0})x_{2}\|)dsM.N(\|\Phi(t,t_{0})x_{2}\|),$$
  

$$(Nd_{3}'') N(\|\Phi(t+1,t_{0})x_{2}\|)m.N(\|\Phi(t,t_{0})x_{2}\|)$$
  
for all  $t \geq t_{0} \geq 0, x_{1} \in X_{t_{0}}^{1}$  and  $x_{2} \in X_{t_{0}}^{2}.$ 

**Proof.** The necessity is simply verified. Now we prove the sufficiency part.

Let 
$$s \ge t_0 \ge 0, x_1 \in X_{t_0}^1$$
 and  $\frac{1}{M_0} = \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{\psi(t)}$ , where  $\psi = N.\varphi$ .  
If  $t \ge s+1$  then  

$$\frac{N(\|\Phi(t,t_0)x_1\|)}{M_0} = \int_0^1 \frac{N(\|\Phi(t,t_0)x_1\|)}{\psi(r)} dr \le \int_s^1 \frac{N(\|\Phi(t,t_0)x_1\|)}{\psi(t-v)} dr \le \int_s^t N(\|\Phi(v,t_0)x_1\|) dv \le \int_s^\infty N(\|\Phi(t,t_0)x_1\|) dv \le M.N(\|\Phi(s,t_0)x_1\|)$$

and hence

 $N(\|\Phi(t,t_0)x_1\|) \le M.M_0N(\|\Phi(s,t_0)x_1\|), \text{ for all } t \ge s+1 \ge t_0 \ge 0 \text{ and } x_1 \in X^1_{t_0}.$  Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (t-s-1)N(\|\Phi(t,t_0)x_1\|) &= \int_s^{t-1} N(\|\Phi(t,t_0)x_1\|) ds \ leq M.M_0 \int_s^\infty N(\|\Phi(t,t_0)x_1\|) dv \\ &\leq M^2.M_0.N(\|\Phi(s,t_0)x_1\|), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

 $(3.1) \ N(\|\Phi(t,t_0)x_1\|) \leq \varphi_1(t-s)N(\|\Phi(s,t_0)x_1\|),$ 

for all  $t \ge s + 1 \ge s \ge t_0 \ge 0$  and  $x_1 \in X_{t_0}^1$ , where

$$\varphi_1(v) = \frac{M.M_0(1+M)}{1+v}$$

Let  $t_0 \ge 0, x_2 \in X_{t_0}^2$  and  $s \ge t_0 + 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{N(\|\Phi(s,t_0)x_2\|)}{M_0} &\leq N(\|\Phi(s,t_0)x_2\|) \int_{t_0}^s \frac{dv}{\psi(s-v)} \leq \int_{t_0}^s N(\|\Phi(v,t_0)x_2\|) dv \leq \\ &\leq \int_{t_0}^t N(\|\Phi(v,t_0)x_2\|) dv \leq M.N(\|\Phi(t,t_0)x_2\|) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{split} N(\|\Phi(t,t_0)x_2\|) &\geq \frac{N(\|\Phi(s,t_0)x_2\|)}{M.M_0} \text{ for all } t \geq s \geq t_0 + 1 \text{ and } x_2 \in X_{t_0}^2.\\ \text{If } t \geq s + 1 \geq s \geq t_0 \text{ then (by preceding inequality and } Nd_3'')\\ N(\|\Phi(y,t_0)x_2\|) \geq \frac{N(\|\Phi(s+1,t_0)x_2\|)}{M.M_0} \geq \frac{mN(\|\Phi(s,t_0)x_2\|)}{M.M_0} \geq \\ \end{split}$$

$$\geq \frac{N(\|\Phi(s,t_0)x_2\|)}{M_2}$$

for all  $x_2 \in X_{t_0}^2$ , where  $\frac{1}{M_2} = min\{\frac{1}{M.M_0}, \frac{m}{M.M_0}\}$ . Therefore

$$(t-s-1)N(\|\Phi(t,t_0)x_2\|) \le M_2 \int_{s+1}^t N(\|\Phi(v,t_0)x_2\|) dv \le M_2 \int_{t_0}^t N(\|\Phi(v,t_0)x_1\|) dv$$
$$M.M_2N(\|\Phi(t,t_0)x_2\|),$$

which implies

(3.2) 
$$N(\|\Phi(t,t_0)x_2\|) \ge \varphi_2(t-s)N(\|\Phi(s,t_0)x_2\|)$$
  
for all  $t \ge s+1 \ge s \ge t_0 \ge 0$  and  $x_2 \in X_{t_0}^2$ , where  $\varphi_2 = \frac{v+1}{M_2.(M+1)}$ .

From (3.1) , (3.2) and Proposition 2.1 it follows that  $\Phi(.,.)$  is u.e-N-d. As a particular case we obtain

e sa de la companya d

. Control Martin

St. Oak

 $s \leq 1$ 

## Corollary 3.1

The evolutionary process  $\Phi(.,.)$  is u. e. d. if and only if there are two positive constants M and m such that

$$\begin{aligned} (d_1'') & \int_t^\infty \|\Phi(s,t_0)x_1\| ds &\leq M. \|\Phi(t,t_0)x_1\|, \\ (d_2'') & \int_{t_0}^t \|\Phi(s,t_0)x_2\| ds &\leq M. \|\Phi(t,t_0)x_2\|, \\ (d_3'') & \|\Phi(t+1,t_0)x_2\| ds &\geq m \|\Phi(t,t_0)x_2\|, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \ge t_0 \ge 0, x_1 \in X_{t_0}^1$  and  $x_2 \in X_{t_0}^2$ .

**Proof.** Is obvious from Theorem 3.1 for N(t) = t.

**Remark 3.1** Corollary 3.1 is a nonlinear version of Theorem 3.2 form [3]. It is an extension of Theorem 2.1 from [2] from the general case of uniform exponential dichotomy.

**Remark 3.2.** Corollary 3.1 remains valid if the power 1 from  $(d''_1)$  and  $(d''_2)$  is replaced by any  $p \in (1, \infty)$ , i.e. the inequalities  $(d''_1)$  and  $(d''_2)$  can by replaced, respectively, by

$$(d_1'') \quad \int_t^\infty \|\Phi(s,t_0)x_1\|^p ds \le M. \|\Phi(t,t_0)x_1\|^p$$

 $\operatorname{and}$ 

$$(d_2'') \quad \int_{t_0}^t \|\Phi(s,t_0)x_2\|^p ds \le M. \|\Phi(t,t_0)x_2\|^p.$$

The proof follows almost verbatim from those given in the case p = 1 for N(t) = t.

1996 - 1299 14 ≤ **1**4 - 2 - 4 - 4 and the state of the \* 98 300 1 \$ 100

## REFERENCES

- R. DATKO, Uniform asymptotic stability of evolutionary processes in a Banach space, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 3 (1973), 428-445.
- [2] A. ICHIKAWA, Equivalence of L<sub>p</sub> stability and exponential stability for a class of nonlinear semigroups, Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods and Applications, vol 8, n° 7 (1984), 805-815.
- [3] P. PREDA, M. MEGAN, Exponential dichotomy of evolutionary processes in Banach spaces, Czechoslovak Math. Journal, 35 (110) (1985) 312-323.
- [4] S. ROLEWICZ, On uniform N-equistability, J. Math. Anal. and Appl. vol 115 (2) (1986), 434-441.
- [5] Z. ZABCZYK, Remarks on the control of discrete-time distributed and parameter systems, SIAM J. Control. Optim. 12 (1974), 721-735.

M. MEGAN AND D. R. LATCU Department of Mathematics, University of Timisoara B-dul V. Parvan Nr. 4 1900 - Timisoara ROMANIA

41

Manuscrit reçu le 15 juillet 1994