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# On the solution set of second-order delay differential inclusions in Banach spaces 
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#### Abstract

In this paper, we consider the second-order delay differential inclusion $x "(t) \in$ $A x(t)+F\left(t, x_{t}\right)$ in a Banach space and we study some properties of its solution set. We prove a relaxation theorem which reveals the connection between the solution sets of a second-order delay differential inclusion and its convexified version, under some weak conditions.
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## 1 Introduction

Many problems in applied mathematics, such as those in control theory, lead to the study of second-order delay differential inclusions

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t) \in A x(t)+F\left(t, x_{t}\right), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is the infinitesimal generator of a $\mathrm{C}_{0}$-propagator of linear operators $(C(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on a Banach space ( $E,|\cdot|_{E}$ ) and $F$ is a nonlinear multimapping, satisfying assumptions to be specified in the third section.
As particular cases of relations of the form (1) we have:
i) The second-order delay differential equation

$$
x^{\prime \prime}(t)=A x(t)+f\left(t, x_{t}\right)
$$

where $F\left(t, x_{t}\right)=f\left(t, x_{t}\right)$.
ii) The differential inequalities

$$
\left|x^{\prime \prime}(t)-A x(t)-f\left(t, x_{t}\right)\right|_{E} \leq g\left(t, x_{t}\right)
$$

where $F\left(t, x_{t}\right)$ is the ball of radius $g\left(t, x_{t}\right)$ centered at $A x(t)+f\left(t, x_{t}\right)$.
iii) Control problems where the control $u(t)$ and the trajectory $x(t)$ are related by the second-order delay differential equation

$$
x^{\prime \prime}(t)=A x(t)+f\left(t, x_{t}, u(t)\right), u(t) \in U(t) .
$$

Here, the control function $u(t)$ is a measurable function and $F\left(t, x_{t}\right)=f\left(t, x_{t}, U(t)\right)$.
This paper is concerned with the second-order delay differential inclusion (1) and its mild trajectories. We show that many results which allow us to apply differential inclusions, see for example $[1,3,8,10,13]$ and references therein, are valid as well for (1). In our relaxation theorem, the assumption of integrale boundedness (condition $\left(H_{4}\right)$ ) will be replaced by an integrability condition (condition $\left(H_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ ). We also give some properties of the solution set of the inclusion (1).

## 2 Preliminaries

For a real Banach space $\left(E,|\cdot|_{E}\right)$ and $J:=[-r, 0](r>0)$, let $\mathcal{C}:=C([-r, 0] ; E)$ be the Banach space of continuous functions from $J$ to $E$ with the usual supremum norm $\|\cdot\|$. For any continuous function $x \in C([-r, \omega] ; E)(\omega>0)$ and any $t \in I:=[0, \omega]$ we denote by $x_{t}$ the element of $\mathcal{C}$ defined by $x_{t}(\theta)=x(t+\theta), \theta \in J$.
For a subset $A \subset E, \operatorname{coA}, \overline{c o} A$ and $c l A$ are respectively the convex hull, the closed convex hull and the closure. We denote by $\mathcal{F}(E)$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}_{c}(E)$ ) the family of all nonempty closed (resp. closed convex) subsets of $E$, and by $\delta$ the Hausdorff distance in $\mathcal{F}(E)$, i.e. for $A, B \in \mathcal{F}(E)$

$$
\delta(A, B)=\max \left[\sup _{a \in A}\left(d(a, B), \sup _{b \in B} d(b, A)\right]\right.
$$

where $d(a, B)=\inf _{b \in B} d(a, b)$.
Next we present some basic concepts concerning multimappings.
Let $X$ be another Banach space, for a multimapping $G: X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(E)$ (the family of all nonempty subsets of $E$ ), we define its limsup and liminf at $x \in X$ in the Kuratowski sense by

$$
\underset{y \rightarrow x}{\limsup } G(y)=\left\{z \in E: \liminf _{y \rightarrow x} d(z, G(y))=0\right\}
$$

and

$$
\liminf _{y \rightarrow x} G(y)=\left\{z \in E: \lim _{y \rightarrow x} d(z, G(y))=0\right\}
$$

We say that the limit of $G(y)$ as $y$ tends to $x$ exists in the Kuratowski sense if

$$
\limsup _{y \rightarrow x} G(y)=\liminf _{y \rightarrow x} G(y) .
$$

We denote this limit by $\lim _{y \rightarrow x} G(y)=G(x)$. We say that $G$ is upper (resp. lower) semicontinuous at $x$ if

$$
\underset{y \rightarrow x}{\limsup } G(y) \subseteq G(x)\left(\text { resp. } G(x) \subseteq \liminf _{y \rightarrow x} G(y)\right)
$$

If $G$ is both upper and lower semicontinuous at $x$ then we say that $G$ is continuous at $x$. If $G$ is continuous or semicontinuous for all $x \in X$, we say that $G$ is continuous or semicontinuous on $X$.

Let $G: I \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(E)$ be a multimapping. A function $g: I \rightarrow E$ such that $g(t) \in G(t)$ for every $t \in I$ is called a selection of $G$.
$G$ is called measurable if, for almost all $t \in I$

$$
G(t) \subseteq c l\left\{g_{n}(t): n \geq 1\right\}
$$

where $g_{n}$ are measurable selections of $G$. This definition of the mesurability is given by Zhu [13], when $E$ is separable and $G(t) \in \mathcal{F}(E)$ for every $t \in I$ this definition is the same as the classic one (see for example [3]).
By the symbol of $I_{G}^{1}$ we will denote the set of all Bochner integrable selections of the multimapping $G$, i.e.

$$
I_{G}^{1}=\left\{g \in L^{1}(I ; E): g(t) \in G(t) \text { a.e. }\right\} .
$$

If $I_{G}^{1} \neq \emptyset$, then the measurable multimapping $G$ is called integrable and

$$
\int_{I} G(t) d t=\left\{\int_{I} g(t) d t: g \in I_{G}^{1}\right\}
$$

Clearly if $G$ is measurable and integrably bounded, i.e. there exists $\nu \in L_{+}^{1}(I)$ such that

$$
\|G(t)\|:=\sup \left\{|e|_{E}: e \in G(t)\right\} \leq \nu(t) \text { a.e. }
$$

then $G$ is integrable. But the converse is not true.
We will also need the following properties (see [13]) which will be used later.
Lemma 2.1 Let $G: I \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(E)$ be a measurable multimapping. Then so is $\overline{c o} G$.
Lemma 2.2 Let $G: I \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(E)$ be an integrable multimapping. Then $c l \int_{I} G(t) d t$ is a convex set and

$$
c l \int_{I} G(t) d t=c l \int_{I} c o G(t) d t=c l \int_{I} \overline{c o} G(t) d t .
$$

Remark If $G: I \rightarrow P(E)$ is an integrable multimapping, then so is $\bar{G}$ where $\bar{G}(t)=$ $c l G(t)$ and

$$
c l \int_{I} G(t) d t=c l \int_{I} \bar{G}(t) d t
$$

(indeed $\left.c l \int_{I} G(t) d t \subset c l \int_{I} \bar{G}(t) d t \subset c l \int_{I} \overline{c o} G(t) d t=c l \int_{I} G(t) d t\right)$.
Lemma 2.3 Let $G: I \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(E)$ be a measurable multimapping and $u: I \rightarrow E$ a measurable function. Then for any measurable function $v: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$, there exists a measurable selection $g$ of $G$ such that

$$
|g(t)-u(t)|_{E} \leq d(u(t), G(t))+v(t) \text { a.e. }
$$

At last, we give some important properties of a $\mathrm{C}_{0}$-propagator and its infinitesimal generator (see [7]).
A strongly continuous propagator $(C(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ of continuous operators on $E$ is a family of continuous linear mappings $C(t): E \rightarrow E, t \in \mathbb{R}$, satisfying
i) $C(0)=I$;
ii) $C(t+s)+C(t-s)=2 C(t) C(s)$;
iii) for $x \in E, C() x:. \mathbb{R} \rightarrow E$ is continuous.

A strongly continuous propagator of continuous linear mappings is also called a $\mathrm{C}_{0^{-}}$ propagator. A linear operator $A$ is associated with a propagator, it plays the role of the infinitesimal generator for $\mathrm{C}_{0}$-semigroups:

$$
D(A)=\left\{x \in E: \lim _{h>0} \frac{2}{h^{2}}[C(h)-I] x \text { exists }\right\}
$$

and

$$
A x=\lim _{h \searrow 0} \frac{2}{h^{2}}[C(h)-I] x \text { for } x \in D(A)
$$

is the infinitesimal generator of the $\mathrm{C}_{0}$-propagator $(C(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}, D(A)$ is the domain of $A$. We have:

- There exist constants $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\eta \geq 1$ such that

$$
\|C(t)\| \leq \eta e^{\alpha|t|} \text { for } t \in \mathbb{R}
$$

- $D(A)$ is dense in $E$ and $A$ is a closed linear operator.
- For every $x \in D(A)$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then $C(t) x \in D(A)$ and

$$
\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}} C(t) x=A C(t) x=C(t) A x
$$

- Let $a, b \in E$ and $f \in L^{1}(I ; E)$, the function $u \in C(I ; E)$ given by

$$
u(t)=C(t) a+S(t) b+\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s)(f(s)) d s, t \in I
$$

is the mild solution on $I$ of the initial value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime \prime}(t)=A u(t)+f(t), t \in I \\
u(0)=a, u^{\prime}(0)=b
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $S(t)=\int_{0}^{t} C(s) d s$. Moreover

$$
|u(t)|_{E} \leq \eta e^{\alpha t}|a|_{E}+\eta \alpha^{-1}\left(e^{\alpha t}-1\right)|b|_{E}+\eta \alpha^{-1}\left(e^{\alpha \omega}-1\right)\|f\|_{1}, t \in I
$$

( $\alpha^{-1}\left(e^{\alpha t}-1\right)$ is replaced by $t$ when $\alpha=0$ ). If $a=0$ then $u$ is continuously differentiable and

$$
\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|_{E} \leq \eta e^{\alpha t}|b|_{E}+\eta e^{\alpha \omega}\|f\|_{1}, t \in I .
$$

## 3 The solution set of a second-order delay differential inclusion and a relaxation theorem

Consider the functional differential inclusion

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t) \in A x(t)+F\left(t, x_{t}\right) \text { a.e. in } I \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 3.1 A function $x \in \mathcal{C}_{\omega}:=C([-r, \omega] ; E)$ is called a mild trajectory of (3.1), if there exist $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}:=\left\{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}: \varphi^{\prime}(0)\right.$ exists $\}$ and a Bochner integrable function $f \in L^{1}(I ; E)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t) \in F\left(t, x_{t}\right) \text { a.e. in } I \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
x(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
\varphi(t), & t \in J  \tag{3}\\
C(t) \varphi(0)+S(t) \varphi^{\prime}(0)+\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s)(f(s)) d s, t \in I
\end{array}\right.
$$

i.e., $f$ is a Bochner integrable selection of the multimapping $t \longmapsto F\left(t, x_{t}\right)$ and $x$ is a mild solution of the initial value problem

$$
\text { (4) }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x "(t)=A x(t)+f(t), \quad t \in I \\
x_{0}=\varphi, \varphi \in \mathcal{B} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

For $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}$, we define $S_{F}(\varphi)=\left\{x \in \mathcal{C}_{\omega}: x\right.$ is a mild trajectory of (3.1) with $\left.x_{0}=\varphi\right\}$ to be the solution set of (3.1) from the point $\varphi$.
Let $\psi \in \mathcal{B}, g \in L^{1}(I, E)$ and $y \in \mathcal{C}_{\omega}$ be a mild solution of the problem

$$
(C)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y "(t)=A y(t)+g(t), \quad t \in I \\
y_{0}=\psi
\end{array}\right.
$$

Suppose that the multimapping $F: I \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(E)$ satisfies the following conditions: $H_{1}$ ) For every $\phi \in \mathcal{C}$, the multimapping $F(., \phi)$ is measurable on $I$.
$H_{2}$ ) There is an integrable function $k: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$such that for every $\phi, \xi \in \mathcal{C}$,

$$
\delta(F(t, \phi), F(t, \xi)) \leq k(t)\|\phi-\xi\| \text { a.e. in } I .
$$

$H_{3}$ ) The function $q: t \longmapsto d\left(g(t), F\left(t, y_{t}\right)\right)$ is integrable on $I$.
$H_{3}^{\prime}$ ) For any function $x \in \mathcal{C}_{\omega}$, the multimapping $t \longmapsto F\left(t, x_{t}\right)$ is integrable on $I$.
$H_{4}$ ) There is an integrable function $\nu \in L_{+}^{1}(I)$ such that

$$
\|F(t, \phi)\|:=\sup \left\{|y|_{E}: y \in F(t, \phi)\right\} \leq \nu(t)
$$

for all $\phi \in \mathcal{C}$ and almost all $t \in I$.

## Remarks

- When $F$ satisfies $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $\left(H_{2}\right)$, then $t \rightarrow F\left(t, y_{t}\right)$ and $q$ are measurable on $I$.
- If $q$ is measurable, then the condition ( $H_{3}^{\prime}$ ) gives ( $H_{3}$ ).
- When $F$ satisfies $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $\left(H_{2}\right)$ it satisfies $\left(H_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ if and only if it satisfies: there is $z \in \mathcal{C}_{\omega}$ such that the multimapping $t \rightarrow F\left(t, z_{t}\right)$ is integrable (see [13]).
- When $F$ satisfies $\left(H_{2}\right)$, then for every integrable function $k^{\prime}>k$ and $\phi, \xi \in \mathcal{C}$,

$$
F(t, \phi) \subset F(t, \xi)+k^{\prime}(t)\|\phi-\xi\| B \text { a.e. in } I
$$

where $B$ denotes the closed unit ball in $E$.
Next we present a useful result on the relationships between the trajectories of (3.1) and the solutions of problem ( $C$ ).

Theorem 3.1 Let $\psi \in \mathcal{B}, g \in L^{1}(I ; E)$ and $y \in \mathcal{C}_{\omega}$ be a mild solution of problem (C). Assume that $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{3}\right)$ hold true and let $\mu \geq 0$. Then for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\|\varphi-\psi\| \leq \mu,\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)-\psi^{\prime}(0)\right|_{E} \leq \mu$ and for all integrable function $v: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$, there exist $x \in \mathcal{C}_{\nu}$ and $f \in L^{1}(I ; E)$ satisfying (2), (3) and

$$
\|x-y\|_{w} \leq K(\omega) m(\omega), \quad\|f-g\|_{1} \leq K(\omega) m(\omega)
$$

where $M=\eta\left(e^{\alpha \omega}+\frac{e^{\alpha \omega}-1}{\alpha}\right),\left(\frac{e^{\alpha \omega}-1}{\alpha}\right.$ is replaced by $\omega$ when $\left.\alpha=0\right)$,

$$
K(t)=M \exp M \int_{0}^{t} 2 k(s) d s, m(t)=\mu+\int_{0}^{t}(q(s)+v(s)) d s
$$

Proof. By lemma 2.3, there is a measurable selection $f_{1}$ of the multimapping $t \longmapsto F\left(t, y_{t}\right)$ such that, for almost all $t \in I$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f_{1}(t)-g(t)\right|_{E} & \leq d\left(g(t), F\left(t, y_{t}\right)\right)+v(t) \\
& \leq q(t)+v(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

and then $f_{1} \in L^{1}(I ; E)$. Set

$$
x^{1}(t)= \begin{cases}\varphi(t) & \text { if } t \in J \\ C(t) \varphi(0)+S(t) \varphi^{\prime}(0)+\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s)\left(f_{1}(s)\right) d s & \text { if } t \in I\end{cases}
$$

we have $x^{1} \in \mathcal{C}_{\omega}$ and for all $t \in I$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{t}^{1}-y_{t}\right\| & =\sup _{\theta \in J}\left|x^{1}(t+\theta)-y(t+\theta)\right|_{E} \\
& \leq M\left(\mu+\int_{0}^{t}\left|f_{1}(s)-g(s)\right|_{E} d s\right) \\
& \leq M\left(\mu+\int_{0}^{t}(q(s)+v(s)) d s\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By using lemma 2.3, there is a measurable selection $f_{2}$ of the multimapping $t \longmapsto F\left(t, x_{t}^{1}\right)$ such that, for almost all $t \in I$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f_{2}(t)-f_{1}(t)\right|_{E} & \leq 2 d\left(f_{1}(t), F\left(t, x_{t}^{1}\right)\right) \\
& \leq 2 \delta\left(F\left(t, y_{t}\right), F\left(t, x_{t}^{1}\right)\right) \\
& \leq 2 k(t)\left\|x_{t}^{1}-y_{t}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

and then $f_{2} \in L^{1}(I ; E)$. Set

$$
x^{2}(t)= \begin{cases}\varphi(t) & \text { if } t \in J \\ C(t) \varphi(0)+S(t) \varphi^{\prime}(0)+\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s)\left(f_{2}(s)\right) d s & \text { if } t \in I\end{cases}
$$

Thus, we can define by induction two sequences $\left(x^{n}\right)$ and $\left(f_{n}\right)$ with $x^{n} \in \mathcal{C}_{\omega}$ and $f_{n} \in L^{1}(I ; E)$ such that:
i) $x^{0}=y$ and for all $n \geq 1$,

$$
x^{n}(t)= \begin{cases}\varphi(t) & \text { if } t \in J \\ C(t) \varphi(0)+S(t) \varphi^{\prime}(0)+\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s)\left(f_{n}(s)\right) d s & \text { if } t \in I\end{cases}
$$

ii) $f_{0}=g$ and for all $n \geq 1$

$$
f_{n}(t) \in F\left(t, x_{t}^{n-1}\right) \text { a.e. in } I
$$

iii) for almost all $t \in I$ and $n \geq 1$,

$$
\left|f_{n+1}(t)-f_{n}(t)\right|_{E} \leq 2 k(t)\left\|x_{t}^{n}-x_{t}^{n-1}\right\| .
$$

It follows then from (iii) that
$i v$ ) for all $t \in I$ and $n \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{t}^{n+1}-x_{t}^{n}\right\| & \leq M \int_{0}^{t}\left|f_{n+1}\left(t_{1}\right)-f_{n}\left(t_{1}\right)\right|_{E} d t_{1} \\
& \leq M \int_{0}^{t} 2 k\left(t_{1}\right)\left\|x_{t_{1}}^{n}-x_{t_{1}}^{n-1}\right\| d t_{1} \\
& \leq M \int_{0}^{t} 2 k\left(t_{1}\right)\left[M \int_{0}^{t_{1}} 2 k\left(t_{2}\right)\left\|x_{t_{2}}^{n-1}-x_{t_{2}}^{n-2}\right\| d t_{2}\right] d t_{1} \\
& \vdots \\
& \leq M^{n} \int_{0}^{t} 2 k\left(t_{1}\right) \int_{0}^{t_{1}} 2 k\left(t_{2}\right) \cdots \int_{0}^{t_{n-1}} 2 k\left(t_{n}\right)\left\|x_{t_{n}}^{1}-y_{t_{n}}\right\| d t_{n} \cdots d t_{1} \\
& \leq M\left[\eta+\int_{0}^{t}(q(s)+v(s)) d s\right] . \frac{\left[M \int_{0}^{t} 2 k(s) d s\right]^{n}}{n!}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, for all $n \geq 1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x^{n+1}-x^{n}\right\|_{\omega} & :=\max \left(\left\|x^{n+1}-x^{n}\right\|, \sup _{t \in I}\left|x^{n+1}(t)-x^{n}(t)\right|_{E}\right) \\
& =\sup _{t \in I}\left|x^{n+1}(t)-x^{n}(t)\right|_{E} \\
& \leq \sup _{t \in I}\left\|x_{t}^{n+1}-x_{t}^{n}\right\| \\
& \leq M m(\omega) \frac{\left[M \int_{0}^{w} 2 k(t) d t\right]^{n}}{n!}
\end{aligned}
$$

By (iv) we obtain for all $t \in I$ and $n \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{t}^{n+1}-y_{t}\right\| & \leq\left\|x_{t}^{1}-y_{t}\right\|+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_{t}^{i+1}-x_{t}^{i}\right\| \\
& \leq M m(t)\left[1+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left[M \int_{0}^{t} 2 k(s) d s\right]^{i}}{i!}\right] \\
& \leq K(t) m(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

We deduce that ( $x^{n}$ ) is a Cauchy sequence of a continuous functions, converging uniformly to a function $x \in \mathcal{C}_{\omega}$ and for almost all $t \in I,\left(f_{n}(t)\right)$ is a Cauchy sequence in
$E$, hence $\left(f_{n}().\right)$ converges pointwise almost everywhere to a measurable function $f($. in $E$. But for almost all $t \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f_{n+1}(t)-g(t)\right|_{E} & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|f_{i+1}(t)-f_{i}(t)\right|_{E}+\left|f_{1}(t)-g(t)\right|_{E} \\
& \leq 2 k(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_{t}^{i}-x_{t}^{i-1}\right\|+q(t)+v(t) \\
& \leq 2 k(t) K(\omega) m(\omega)+q(t)+v(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

hence, $\left|f_{n+1}(t)\right|_{E} \leq|g(t)|_{E}+2 k(t) K(\omega) m(\omega)+q(t)+v(t)$, thus $\left(f_{n}\right)$ converges to $f$ in $L^{1}(I ; E)$ and then $\left(x^{n}(t)\right)(t \in[-r, \omega])$ converges in $E$ to

$$
\begin{cases}\varphi(t) & \text { if } t \in J \\ C(t) \varphi(0)+S(t) \varphi^{\prime}(0)+\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s)(f(s)) d s & \text { if } t \in I\end{cases}
$$

we obtain

$$
x(t)= \begin{cases}\varphi(t) & \text { if } t \in J \\ C(t) \varphi(0)+S(t) \varphi^{\prime}(0)+\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s)(f(s)) d s & \text { if } t \in I\end{cases}
$$

Furthermore, for almost all $t \in I$

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(f(t), F\left(t, x_{t}\right)\right) & \leq\left|f(t)-f_{n}(t)\right|_{E}+d\left(f_{n}(t), F\left(t, x_{t}\right)\right) \\
& \leq\left|f(t)-f_{n}(t)\right|_{E}+\delta\left(F\left(t, x_{t}^{n-1}\right), F\left(t, x_{t}\right)\right) \\
& \leq\left|f(t)-f_{n}(t)\right|_{E}+k(t)\left\|x_{t}^{n-1}-x_{t}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

The right hand side tends to zero almost everywhere on $I$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. Thus, for almost all $t \in I, f(t) \in F\left(t, x_{t}\right)$.
Consequently $x \in S_{F}(\varphi)$, moreover, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x^{n+1}-y\right\|_{\omega} & \leq \sup _{t \in I}\left\|x_{t}^{n+1}-y_{t}\right\| \\
& \leq K(\omega) m(\omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking limits in the precedent inequality, we have $\|x-y\|_{\omega} \leq K(\omega) m(\omega)$.
We now show $\|f-g\|_{1} \leq K(\omega) m(\omega)$.
For almost all $t \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\left|f_{n+1}(t)-g(t)\right|_{E} \leq q(t)+v(t)+2 k(t) M m(\omega) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left[M \int_{0}^{t} 2 k(s) d s\right]^{i-1}}{(i-1)!}
$$

thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\| f_{n+1}-g\right) \|_{1} & \leq m(\omega)\left[1+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left.\left[M \int_{0}^{\omega} 2 k(t)\right) d t\right]^{i}}{i!}\right] \\
& \leq m(\omega) K(\omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the limit in the above inequality, we obtain $\|f-g\|_{1} \leq m(\omega) K(\omega)$.
In the next theorem we compare trajectories of (3.1) and of the convexified (relaxed) second-order delay differential inclusion $x "(t) \in A x(t)+\overline{c o} F\left(t, x_{t}\right)$
For $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}$, we put

$$
S_{\overline{c o} F}(\varphi)=\left\{x \in \mathcal{C}_{\omega}: x \text { is a trajectory of (3.2) with } x_{0}=\varphi\right\} .
$$

Theorem 3.2 Assume that $F$ satisfies conditions $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{2}\right)$ and $\left(H_{3}^{\prime}\right)$. Then, for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$
c l S_{F}(\varphi)=c l S_{\overline{c o} F}(\varphi)
$$

Proof. It is easy to see that $c l S_{F}(\varphi) \subset c l S_{c o F}(\varphi)$. Conversly, we shall show that $S_{\overline{c o} F}(\varphi) \subset c l S_{F}(\varphi)$. Let $y \in S_{\overline{c o} F}(\varphi)$, then there exists $g \in L^{1}(I ; E)$ such that

$$
y(t)= \begin{cases}\varphi(t) & \text { if } t \in J \\ C(t) \varphi(0)+S(t) \varphi^{\prime}(0)+\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s)(g(s)) d s & \text { if } t \in I\end{cases}
$$

where $g(s) \in \overline{c o} F\left(s, y_{s}\right)$ a.e. in $I$.
The following result follows immediately from [ 3 p .85 ].

## Lemma 3.1

Let $G: I \rightarrow P(E)$ be a measurable multimapping, then so is
$s \rightarrow S(t-s) G(s)$. Moreover if $f(s) \in S(t-s) G(s)$ then, there exists a measurable selection $g(s) \in G(s)$ such that $f(s)=S(t-s) g(s)$ a.e. in $I$.
By $\left(H_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ for all fixed $t$ in $I$, the multimapping $s \longmapsto S(t-s) F\left(s, y_{s}\right)$ is integrable on $I$ and by lemma 2.2 and its remark we obtain

$$
s \longmapsto c l S(t-s) F\left(s, y_{s}\right) \text { and } s \longmapsto \overline{c o} S(t-s) F\left(s, y_{s}\right)
$$

are also integrable on $I$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
c l \int_{I} S(t-s) F\left(s, y_{s}\right) d s & =c l \int_{I} c l S(t-s) F\left(s, y_{s}\right) d s \\
& =c l \int_{I} \overline{c o} S(t-s) F\left(s, y_{s}\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

but, $\overline{c o} S(t-s) F\left(s, y_{s}\right)=c l S(t-s) \overline{c o} F\left(s, y_{s}\right)$, indeed

$$
S(t-s) F\left(s, y_{s}\right) \subset c l S(t-s) \overline{c o} F\left(s, y_{s}\right)
$$

which is a closed convex set and then

$$
\overline{c o} S(t-s) F\left(s, y_{s}\right) \subset c l S(t-s) \overline{c o} F\left(s, y_{s}\right)
$$

conversly, it suffice to see that

$$
S(t-s) \overline{c o} F\left(s, y_{s}\right) \subset \overline{c o} S(t-s) F\left(s, y_{s}\right)
$$

let $f(s) \in S(t-s) \overline{c o} F\left(s, y_{s}\right)$, then there exists $g(s) \in \overline{c o} F\left(s, y_{s}\right)$ such that
$f(s)=S(t-s) g(s)$ hence, there exists a sequence $\left(g_{n}(s)\right)$ such that $g_{n}(s) \in \operatorname{coF}\left(s, y_{s}\right)$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} g_{n}(s)=g(s)$, we put

$$
f_{n}(s)=S(t-s) g_{n}(s) \in S(t-s) \operatorname{coF}\left(s, y_{s}\right)=c o S(t-s) F\left(s, y_{s}\right)
$$

and taking the limit as $n \rightarrow+\infty$, we obtain

$$
f(s)=S(t-s) g(s) \in c l \operatorname{coS}(t-s) F\left(s, y_{s}\right)
$$

thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
c l \int_{I} S(t-s) F\left(s, y_{s}\right) d s & =c l \int_{I} c l S(t-s) \overline{c o} F\left(s, y_{s}\right) d s \\
& =c l \int_{I} S(t-s) \overline{c o} F\left(s, y_{s}\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

(see remark of lemma 2.2).
By lemma 3.1, we obtain for all $\varepsilon>0$ an integrable selection $h(s) \in F\left(s, y_{s}\right)$ a.e. such that

$$
\left|\int_{I} S(t-s)(g(s)) d s-\int_{I} S(t-s)(h(s)) d s\right|_{E}<\frac{\varepsilon}{K(\omega)\left(\|k\|_{1}+\omega\right)+1}
$$

set

$$
z(t)= \begin{cases}\varphi(t) & \text { if } t \in J \\ C(t) \varphi(0)+S(t) \varphi^{\prime}(0)+\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s)(h(s)) d s & \text { if } t \in I\end{cases}
$$

then $z$ is a mild solution of problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z^{\prime \prime}(t)=A z(t)+h(t) \\
z_{0}=\varphi
\end{array}\right.
$$

Moreover by assumption $\left(H_{3}^{\prime}\right)$, the function $t \longmapsto q(t)=d\left(h(t), F\left(t, z_{t}\right)\right)$ is integrable on $I$. It follows from theorem 3.1 for $\mu=0$ and $v(t)=\frac{s}{K(\omega)\left(\|k\|_{1}+\omega\right)+1}$ there exists $x \in S_{F}(\varphi)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|x-z\|_{\omega} & \leq K(\omega)\left[\int_{0}^{\omega} q(t) d t+\int_{0}^{\omega} v(t) d t\right] \\
& \leq \frac{\varepsilon K(\omega)\left(\|k\|_{1}+\omega\right)}{K(\omega)\left(\|k\|_{1}+\omega\right)+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|x-y\|_{\omega} & \leq\|x-z\|_{\omega}+\|z-y\|_{\omega} \\
& \leq \frac{\varepsilon K(\omega)\left(\|k\|_{1}+\omega\right)}{K(\omega)\left(\|k\|_{1}+\omega\right)+1}+\frac{\varepsilon}{K(\omega)\left(\|k\|_{1}+\omega\right)+1} \\
& \leq \varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4 Some properties of the solution set

In this section, we discuss the continuous dependence of the solution set on parameters and initial value. We suppose that $E$ is a reflexive Banach space.
Theorem 4.1. Let $\left(\Lambda, d_{\Lambda}\right)$ be a metric space, $F_{\lambda}: I \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{c}(E)$ a family of multimappings satisfying conditions $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{2}\right)$ with the same function $k$ and $\left(H_{4}\right)$ for the same function $\nu$. If for any $(t, \phi) \in I \times \mathcal{C}, \lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{0}} \delta\left(F_{\lambda}(t, \phi), F_{\lambda_{0}}(t, \phi)\right)=0$, then for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}, \lambda \longmapsto S_{F_{\lambda}}(\varphi)$ is upper semicontinuous at $\lambda_{0}$.
Proof. Let $x \in \limsup _{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{0}} S_{F_{\lambda}}(\varphi)$, there exists a sequence $\left(\lambda_{n}\right)$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \lambda_{n}=\lambda_{0}$ and $x^{\lambda_{n}} \in S_{F_{\lambda_{n}}}(\varphi)$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} x^{\lambda_{n}}=x$ in $\mathcal{C}_{\omega}$, hence

$$
x^{\lambda_{n}}(t)= \begin{cases}\varphi(t) & \text { if } t \in J \\ C(t) \varphi(0)+S(t) \varphi^{\prime}(0)+\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s)\left(f_{\lambda_{n}}(s)\right) d s & \text { if } t \in I\end{cases}
$$

where $f_{\lambda_{n}}(s) \in F_{\lambda_{n}}\left(s, x_{s}^{\lambda_{n}}\right)$ a.e. in $I$.
The sequence $\left(f_{\lambda_{n}}\right)$ is integrably bounded and $E$ is reflexive, then by the Dunford-Pettis theorem [12], taking a subsequence and keeping the same notation, we may assume that it converges weakly in $L^{1}(I ; E)$ to some function $f \in L^{1}(I ; E)$. For each $t \in I$, the mapping

$$
g \in L^{1}(I ; E) \rightarrow \int_{0}^{t} S(t-s)(g(s)) d s
$$

is a continuous linear operator from $L^{1}(I ; E)$ into $E$. It remains continuous if these spaces are endowed with the weak topologies [2]. Therefore for each $t \in I$, the sequence $\left(x^{\lambda_{n}}(t)\right)$ converges weakly to $C(t) \varphi(0)+S(t) \varphi^{\prime}(0)+\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s)(f(s)) d s$. Since by assumption $\left(x^{\lambda_{n}}(t)\right)$ converges to $x(t)$ in $E$, we have

$$
x(t)=C(t) \varphi(0)+S(t) \varphi^{\prime}(0)+\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s)(f(s)) d s
$$

We claim that $f(s) \in F_{\lambda_{0}}\left(s, x_{s}\right)$ a.e. According to Mazur's theorem [6], the weak convergence implies the existence of the double sequence of nonnegative numbers ( $\alpha_{m, n}$ ) such that
i) $\alpha_{m, n}=0$ for $n \geq n_{0}(m)$;
ii) $\sum_{n=m}^{n_{0}(m)} \alpha_{m, n}=1$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$;
iii) the sequence $\left(\tilde{f}_{m}\right)$, where $\tilde{f}_{m}(t)=\sum_{n=m}^{n_{0}(m)} \alpha_{m, n} f_{\lambda_{n}}(t)$, converges to $f$ with respect to the norm of the space $L^{1}(I, E)$. Passing if necessary to a subsequence we can assume that $\left(\tilde{f}_{m_{j}}\right)$ converges to $f$ almost everywhere on $I$. Moreover for almost everywhere $s \in I$

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(f_{\lambda_{n}}(s), F_{\lambda_{0}}\left(s, x_{s}\right)\right. & \leq \delta\left(F_{\lambda_{n}}\left(s, x_{s}^{\lambda_{n}}\right), F_{\lambda_{0}}\left(s, x_{s}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \delta\left(F_{\lambda_{n}}\left(s, x_{s}^{\lambda_{n}}\right), F_{\lambda_{n}}\left(s, x_{s}\right)\right)+\delta\left(F_{\lambda_{n}}\left(s, x_{s}\right), F_{\lambda_{0}}\left(s, x_{s}\right)\right) \\
& \leq k(s)\left\|x_{s}^{\lambda_{n}}-x_{s}\right\|+\delta\left(F_{\lambda_{n}}\left(s, x_{s}\right), F_{\lambda_{0}}\left(s, x_{s}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and since $\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{0}} \delta\left(F_{\lambda}(t, \phi), F_{\lambda_{0}}(t, \phi)\right)=0$, then

$$
\forall \varepsilon>0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}: \forall n>N, f_{\lambda_{n}}(s) \in F_{\lambda_{0}}\left(s, x_{s}\right)+2 \varepsilon B \text { a.e. in } I
$$

where $B$ is the closed unit ball in $E$, and then, for all $n>N$

$$
\tilde{f}_{m_{j}}(s) \in \sum_{n=m_{j}}^{n_{0}\left(m_{j}\right)} \alpha_{m_{j}, n}\left(F_{\lambda_{0}}\left(s, x_{s}\right)+2 \varepsilon B\right)=F_{\lambda_{0}}\left(s, x_{s}\right)+2 \varepsilon B
$$

taking the limit in the above formula, we deduce that for all $\varepsilon>0$, $f(s) \in F_{\lambda_{0}}\left(s, x_{s}\right)+2 \varepsilon B$ a.e. in $I$, and then

$$
f(s) \in F_{\lambda_{0}}\left(s, x_{s}\right) \text { a.e. in } I
$$

Remark Since, in the theorem 4.1, the assumption $E$ is reflexive is used only for deducing the sequence ( $f_{\lambda_{n}}$ ) converges weakly in $L^{1}(I ; E)$, it may be replaced by the following assumption: there exists a $k \geq 0$ such that for all bounded subset $\Omega \subset \mathcal{C}$

$$
\chi(F(t, \Omega)) \leq k \chi_{0}(\Omega) \text { for all } t \in I
$$

where $\chi$ (resp. $\chi_{0}$ ) is the measure of noncompactness in $E$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}$ ) (see for example $[4,11])$. In this case, we obtain

$$
\chi\left(\left\{f_{\lambda_{n}}(t): n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}\right) \leq k \chi_{0}\left(\left\{x_{t}^{\lambda_{n}}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}\right)=0
$$

for almost all $t \in I$, i.e. the set $\left\{f_{\lambda_{n}}(t): n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is relatively compact in $E$ a.e. in $I$ and since $\sup _{n \in \mathcal{Y}}\left\|f_{\lambda_{n}}\right\|_{1}<+\infty$, then from Diestel'theorem [4] it follows that the sequence $\left(f_{\lambda_{n}}\right)$ is relatively weak compact in the space $L^{1}(I ; E)$.
Theorem 4.2 ( $E$ is not reflexive). Let ( $\Lambda, d_{\Lambda}$ ) be a metric space, $F_{\lambda}: I \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(E)$ a family of multimappings satisfying the conditions $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{2}\right)$ with the same function $k$. If for any $(t, \phi) \in I \times \mathcal{C}$ the multimapping $\lambda \longmapsto F_{\lambda}(t, \phi)$ is lower semicontinuous at $\lambda_{0} \in \Lambda$, then for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}, \lambda \longmapsto S_{F_{\lambda}}(\varphi)$ is lower semicontinuous at $\lambda_{0}$.
Proof. Since the case $S_{F_{\lambda_{0}}}(\varphi)=\emptyset$ is trivial, we assume that $S_{F_{\lambda_{0}}}(\varphi) \neq \emptyset$. Let $x \in S_{F_{\lambda_{0}}}(\varphi)$ then,

$$
x(t)= \begin{cases}\varphi(t) & \text { if } t \in J \\ C(t)_{\varphi}(0)+S(t) \varphi^{\prime}(0)+\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s)(f(s)) d s & \text { if } t \in I\end{cases}
$$

where $f(s) \in F_{\lambda_{0}}\left(s, x_{s}\right) \subset \liminf _{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{0}} F_{\lambda}\left(s, x_{s}\right)$ a.e. in $I$, thus $\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{0}} d\left(f(s), F_{\lambda}\left(s, x_{s}\right)\right)=0$ a.e., and then for $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\rho>0$ such that


$$
d_{\Lambda}\left(\lambda, \lambda_{0}\right)<\rho, t \longmapsto d\left(f(t), F_{\lambda}\left(t, x_{t}\right)\right)=q(t)
$$

is integrable and $x$ is a mild solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x "(t)=A x(t)+f(t) \\
x_{0}=\varphi
\end{array}\right.
$$

and by theorem 3.1 with $\mu=0$ and $v(t)=\frac{\varepsilon}{2 \omega K(\omega)}$ there exists a function $x^{\lambda} \in S_{F_{\lambda}}(\varphi)$ (for $d_{\Lambda}\left(\lambda, \lambda_{0}\right)<\rho$ ) such that

$$
\left\|x^{\lambda}-x\right\|_{\omega} \leq K(\omega) m(\omega)=K(\omega)\left[\int_{0}^{\omega}(q(t)+v(t)) d t\right]=\varepsilon
$$

hence $x \in \underset{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{0}}{\liminf } S_{F_{\lambda}}(\varphi)$.
Combining theorems 4.1 and 4.2, we obtain.
Corollary Let $\left(\Lambda, d_{\Lambda}\right)$ be a metric space, $F_{\lambda}: I \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{c}(E)$ a family of multimappings satisfying the conditions $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{2}\right)$ with the same function $k$ and $\left(H_{4}\right)$ with the same function $\nu$. If for any $(t, \phi) \in I \times \mathcal{C}, \lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{0}} \delta\left(F_{\lambda}(t, \phi), F_{\lambda_{0}}(t, \phi)\right)=0$, then for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}$, $\lambda \longmapsto S_{F_{\lambda}}(\varphi)$ is continuous at $\lambda_{0}$.
Theorem 4.3 Assume that $F: I \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{c}(E)$ satisfying the conditions $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{2}\right)$ and $\left(H_{4}\right)$. Then $S_{F}: \mathcal{C}^{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\omega}\right)$ is continuous on $\mathcal{C}^{1}$, where $\mathcal{C}^{1}:=C^{1}(J ; E)$ denote the Banach space of continuously differentiable $E$-valued functions on $J$ with the norm $\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}^{1}}=\|\varphi\|+\left\|\varphi^{\prime}\right\|$.
Proof. For any $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2} \in \mathcal{C}^{1}$, let $F_{\varphi_{2}}(t, \phi)=F\left(t, \phi+\left(\widetilde{\varphi_{2}}\right)_{t}-\left(\widetilde{\varphi_{1}}\right)_{t}\right)$ for all $(t, \phi) \in I \times \mathcal{C}$ then $S_{F}\left(\varphi_{2}\right)=S_{F_{\varphi_{2}}}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)+\tilde{\varphi}_{2}-\tilde{\varphi}_{1}$ where

$$
\tilde{\varphi}(t)= \begin{cases}\varphi(t) & \text { if } t \in J \\ C(t) \varphi(0)+S(t) \varphi^{\prime}(0) & \text { if } t \in I\end{cases}
$$

indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
x \in S_{F_{\varphi_{2}}}\left(\varphi_{1}\right) & \Leftrightarrow x(t)= \begin{cases}\varphi_{1}(t) & \text { if } t \in J \\
C(t) \varphi_{1}(0)+S(t) \varphi_{1}^{\prime}(0)+\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s)(f(s)) d s & \text { if } t \in I\end{cases} \\
\text { where } f(s) & \in F_{\varphi_{2}}\left(s, x_{s}\right) \text { a.e. } \\
& \Leftrightarrow x(t)+\tilde{\varphi}_{2}(t)-\tilde{\varphi}_{1}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\varphi_{2}(t) \\
C(t) \varphi_{2}(0)+S(t) \varphi_{2}^{\prime}(0)+\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s)(f(s)) d s
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f(s) \in F\left(s, x_{s}+\left(\widetilde{\varphi_{2}}\right)_{s}-\left(\widetilde{\varphi_{1}}\right)_{s}\right)=F\left(s,\left(x+\tilde{\varphi}_{2}-\tilde{\varphi}_{1}\right)_{s}\right)$ a.e.
$\Leftrightarrow x+\tilde{\varphi}_{2}-\tilde{\varphi}_{1} \in S_{F}\left(\varphi_{2}\right)$.
Furthermore, it is clear that $\varphi_{2} \longmapsto F_{\varphi_{2}}(t, \phi)$ (for all $(t, \phi) \in I \times \mathcal{C}$ ) is continuous at $\varphi_{1}$ and the family $\left(F_{\varphi_{2}}\right)_{\varphi_{2} \in \mathcal{C}^{1}}$ satisfy the assumptions of precedent corollary, therefore for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{1}, \varphi_{2} \longmapsto S_{{F_{\varphi_{2}}}}(\varphi)$ is continuous at $\varphi_{1}$ and then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{\varphi_{2} \rightarrow \varphi_{1}} S_{F}\left(\varphi_{2}\right) & =\lim _{\varphi_{2} \rightarrow \varphi_{1}}\left(S_{F_{\varphi_{2}}}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)+\tilde{\varphi}_{2}-\tilde{\varphi}_{1}\right) \\
& =S_{F_{\varphi_{1}}}\left(\varphi_{1}\right) \\
& =S_{F}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 4.4 ( $E$ is not reflexive) Assume that $F: I \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{c}(E)$ satisfying the conditions $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{2}\right)$ and $\left(H_{4}^{\prime}\right)$ i.e. there exists a compact $K \subset E$ such that for every $(t, \phi) \in I \times \mathcal{C}, F(t, \phi) \subset K$. Then for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}, S_{F}(\varphi)$ is compact.

Proof. We prove first that $S_{F}(\varphi)$ is relatively compact. Let $\left(x^{n}\right)$ be a sequence of $S_{F}(\varphi)$, then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
x^{n}(t)= \begin{cases}\varphi(t) & \text { if } t \in J \\ C(t) \varphi(0)+S(t) \varphi^{\prime}(0)+\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s)\left(f_{n}(s)\right) d s & \text { if } t \in I\end{cases}
$$

where $f_{n}(s) \in F\left(s, x_{s}^{n}\right)$ a.e. in $I$.
We shall show that $\mathcal{A}:=\left\{x_{\left.\right|_{I}}^{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is equicontinuous. For each $0 \leq t_{0}<t \leq \omega$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|x^{n}(t)-x^{n}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|_{E} \leq & \left|C(t) \varphi(0)-C\left(t_{0}\right) \varphi(0)\right|_{E}+\left|S(t) \varphi^{\prime}(0)-S\left(t_{0}\right) \varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|_{E}+ \\
& \int_{0}^{t_{0}}\left\|S(t-s)-S\left(t_{0}-s\right)\right\|\left|f_{n}(s)\right|_{E} d s+\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\|S(t-s)\|\left|f_{n}(s)\right|_{E} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

but,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|S(t-s)-S\left(t_{0}-s\right)\right\| & =\left\|\int_{0}^{t-s} C(\tau) d \tau-\int_{0}^{t_{0}-s} C(\tau) d \tau\right\| \\
& \leq \int_{t_{0}-s}^{t-s}\|C(\tau)\| d \tau \\
& \leq \int_{t_{0}-s}^{t-s} \eta e^{\alpha \tau} d \tau \\
& \leq \eta \alpha^{-1}\left[e^{\alpha(t-s)}-e^{\alpha\left(t_{0}-s\right)}\right] \\
& \leq \eta\left(t-t_{0}\right) e^{\alpha \omega}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left(\alpha^{-1}\left[e^{\alpha(t-s)}-e^{\alpha\left(t_{0}-s\right)}\right]\right.$ is replaced by $t-t_{0}$ when $\left.\alpha=0\right)$, then

$$
\int_{0}^{t_{0}}\left\|S(t-s)-S\left(t_{0}-s\right)\right\|\left|f_{n}(s)\right|_{E} d s \leq \eta\left(t-t_{0}\right) e^{\alpha \omega} \int_{0}^{t_{0}}\left|f_{n}(s)\right|_{E} d s
$$

Also,

$$
\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\|S(t-s)\|\left|f_{n}(s)\right|_{E} d s \leq \eta\left(t-t_{0}\right) e^{\alpha \omega} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left|f_{n}(s)\right|_{E} d s
$$

Since $f_{n}$ are integrably bounded and the maps $t \rightarrow C(t) \varphi(0), t \rightarrow S(t) \varphi^{\prime}(0)$ are uniformly continuous on $I$, we obtain that $\mathcal{A}$ is equicontinuous, clearly it is also bounded. Now, we prove that $\mathcal{A}(t)=\left\{x^{n}(t): n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is relatively compact. For all $s \in I$, $S(t-s): E \rightarrow E$ is continuous, then by assumption $\left(H_{4}^{\prime}\right)$ we have that $K_{1}=\left\{S(t-s) f_{n}(s): s \in[0, t]\right.$ and $\left.n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is relatively compact, thus $K_{2}=\overline{c o} K_{1}$ is compact and $K_{3}=\left\{t x:(t, x) \in I \times K_{2}\right\}$ is compact. Consequently $\mathcal{A}(t) \subset C(t) \varphi(0)+S(t) \varphi^{\prime}(0)+K_{3}$ is relatively compact. From the Ascoli theorem [4,11] we may assume that the sequence ( $x^{n}$ ) converges to some $x \in \mathcal{C}_{\omega}$. We prove next that $x \in S_{F}(\varphi)$. By condition $\left(H_{4}^{\prime}\right)$, the set $\left\{f_{n}(t): n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is relatively compact in $E$ and since $\sup _{n \in I N}\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{1}<+\infty$, then from Diestel's theorem [4] it follows that the sequence $\left(f_{n}\right)$ is relatively weak compact in the space $L^{1}(I ; E)$ and by using exactly the same method as in the proof of theorem 4.1 we obtain $x \in S_{F}(\varphi)$.
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