

ANNALI DELLA  
SCUOLA NORMALE SUPERIORE DI PISA  
*Classe di Scienze*

HANS LEWY

**On analyticity in homogeneous first order partial differential equations**

*Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze 4<sup>e</sup> série, tome 3, n° 4*  
(1976), p. 719-723

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASNSP\\_1976\\_4\\_3\\_4\\_719\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASNSP_1976_4_3_4_719_0)

© Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 1976, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze » (<http://www.sns.it/it/edizioni/riviste/annaliscienze/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques  
<http://www.numdam.org/>

# On Analyticity in Homogeneous First Order Partial Differential Equations.

HANS LEWY (\*)

1. – Let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, s$  be independent real variables and  $x, y, u$  real  $C^1$ -functions of these near the origin, satisfying

$$(1) \quad \frac{\partial(x, y, u)}{\partial(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, s)} = 0$$

and

$$(2) \quad \frac{\partial(x, y)}{\partial(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)} \neq 0, \quad \frac{\partial x}{\partial s} \neq 0.$$

An arbitrary  $C^1$ -function  $u = f(x, y)$  satisfies (1). We investigate conditions such that  $f$  is analytic in  $x$  and  $y$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $x, y, u$  satisfy (1) and (2) and*

$$(3) \quad \begin{vmatrix} x_s & y_s & (y_s/x_s)_s \\ x_{\alpha_1} & y_{\alpha_1} & (y_s/x_s)_{\alpha_1} \\ x_{\alpha_2} & y_{\alpha_2} & (y_s/x_s)_{\alpha_2} \end{vmatrix} \neq 0$$

*and if  $x, y, u$  can be extended as holomorphic functions of  $s + it$ ,  $|t| < t_0$ , which remain  $C^1$  in  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, s, t$ , then  $u = f(x, y)$  where  $f$  is analytic in  $x$  and  $y$ .*

**PROOF.** We first establish that near the  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, s, t$ -origin the map

$$\alpha_1 \alpha_2, s, t \rightarrow x, y$$

is one-one for  $t \neq 0$ .

(\*) University of California at Berkeley, visitor, Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa.  
Pervenuto alla Redazione l'8 Aprile 1976.

Put

$$x = x_0 + x_s(s + it) + \frac{1}{2}x_{ss}(s + it)^2 + \dots$$

$$y = y_0 + y_s(s + it) + \frac{1}{2}y_{ss}(s + it)^2 + \dots$$

where

$$x_0 = x(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, 0), \quad x_s = \frac{\partial x}{\partial s}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, 0), \dots$$

An application of Cauchy's integral formula shows the coefficients of the above power series to be  $C^1$ -functions of  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$ . The imaginary parts of  $x$  and  $y$  are of the form  $tP(s, t)$  where  $P(s, t)$  is a convergent power series in  $s$  and  $t$ . It follows from (2) that for  $t \neq 0$

$$\frac{\text{Im } y}{\text{Im } x} = \frac{y_s + y_{ss}s + \dots}{x_s + x_{ss}s + \dots} = \frac{y_s}{x_s} + s \frac{y_{ss}x_s - x_s y_{ss}}{x_s^2} + \dots,$$

a power series in  $s$  and  $t$ .

Hence near  $s = t = \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$ ,

$$J = \frac{\partial(\text{Re } x, \text{Re } y, \text{Im } x, \text{Im } y / \text{Im } x)}{\partial(s, t, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)} =$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} x_s & y_s & 0 & \frac{y_{ss}x_s - x_s y_{ss}}{x_s^2} \\ 0 & 0 & x_s & 0 \\ x_{\alpha_1} & y_{\alpha_1} & 0 & \left(\frac{y_s}{x_s}\right)_{\alpha_1} \\ x_{\alpha_2} & y_{\alpha_2} & 0 & \left(\frac{y_s}{x_s}\right)_{\alpha_2} \end{vmatrix} + \dots = - \begin{vmatrix} x_s & y_s & (y_s/x_s)_s \\ x_{\alpha_1} & y_{\alpha_1} & (y_s/x_s)_{\alpha_1} \\ x_{\alpha_2} & y_{\alpha_2} & (y_s/x_s)_{\alpha_2} \end{vmatrix} \cdot x_s + \dots$$

where the omitted terms are of degree  $\geq 1$  in  $s, t$ .

By (2) and (3),  $J \neq 0$  near the origin. Accordingly

$$s, t, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \rightarrow \text{Re } x, \quad \text{Re } y, \quad \text{Im } x, \quad \frac{\text{Im } y}{\text{Im } x}$$

is one-one, if  $\text{Im } y / \text{Im } x$  is defined by continuity also for  $\text{Im } x = 0$ ;  $\text{Im } x = 0$  coincides with  $t = 0$  by (2). Now the map

$$\text{Re } x, \quad \text{Re } y, \quad \text{Im } x, \quad \frac{\text{Im } y}{\text{Im } x} \rightarrow \text{Re } x, \quad \text{Re } y, \quad \text{Im } x, \quad \text{Im } y$$

is one-one as long as  $\text{Im } x \neq 0$ . Hence the part of an open neighborhood of the  $s, t, \alpha_1, \alpha_2$ -origin for which  $t \neq 0$  is in one-one correspondence with a certain open set of  $\text{Re } x, \text{Re } y, \text{Im } x, \text{Im } y$ -space with  $\text{Im } x \neq 0$ ; and the Jacobian  $\partial(x, y, \bar{x}, \bar{y})/\partial(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, s, t) \neq 0$  there.

Now consider for  $t \neq 0$  the form

$$\omega = du \wedge dx \wedge dy \wedge d\bar{y}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{x}} d\bar{x} \wedge dx \wedge dy \wedge d\bar{y} \\ &= \frac{\partial(u, x, y, \bar{y})}{\partial(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, s, t)} d\alpha_1 \wedge d\alpha_2 \wedge ds \wedge dt \\ &= \left( + \frac{\partial(u, x, y)}{\partial(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, s)} \frac{\partial \bar{y}}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial(u, x, y)}{\partial(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, t)} \frac{\partial \bar{y}}{\partial s} + \frac{\partial(u, x, y)}{\partial(\alpha_1, s, t)} \frac{\partial \bar{y}}{\partial \alpha_2} - \frac{\partial(u, x, y)}{\partial(\alpha_2, s, t)} \frac{\partial \bar{y}}{\partial \alpha_1} \right) \\ &= 0 \qquad \qquad \qquad d\alpha_1 \wedge d\alpha_2 \wedge ds \wedge dt \end{aligned}$$

since by assumption (1) holds and  $u, x, y$  are all holomorphic in  $s + it$ , *i.e.* satisfy  $\partial u/\partial t = i(\partial u/\partial s), \dots, \partial y/\partial t = i(\partial y/\partial s)$ , and the first derivatives with respect to  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  are also holomorphic in  $s + it$ . As  $J \neq 0$  in  $t \neq 0$  we conclude

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{x}} = 0 \quad \text{for } t \neq 0.$$

Similarly,  $\partial u/\partial \bar{y} = 0$  for  $t \neq 0$ . Hence  $u = f(x, y)$  in  $t \neq 0$  with  $f$  holomorphic for  $\text{Im } x \neq 0$ . As  $\text{Im } x \rightarrow 0$  we have  $t \rightarrow 0, \text{Im } y \rightarrow 0$  and  $u, x, y$  tend to their values for  $t = 0$  uniformly on compact sets of  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, s$ . This implies that  $f(x, y)$  with  $x, y$  real is the limit of  $f(x, y)$  as  $x, y$  tend from complex to real values. Moreover that part of the neighborhood of the origin of the  $x, y$ -space which is image of a neighborhood of the origin of  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, s, t$  certainly contains the Cartesian product of

$$|\text{Re } x| < \varepsilon, \quad |\text{Re } y| < \varepsilon$$

with

$$0 \neq |\text{Im } x| < \varepsilon, \quad \left| \frac{\text{Im } y}{\text{Im } x} - \frac{y_s}{x_s} \right| < \varepsilon$$

with  $\varepsilon > 0$  and small, *i.e.* the products of a square  $E$  of the  $\text{Re } x, \text{Re } y$ -plane with an open « cone »  $W$  of the  $\text{Im } x, \text{Im } y$ -plane (truncated by  $\text{Im } x = \varepsilon$ ), vertex at  $(0, 0)$ , and with its negative,  $-W$ . Therefore we may apply the local version of the edge-of-the-wedge theorem [1] which tells that  $f(x, y)$  is holomorphic also for real  $x, y$ , *q.e.d.*

2. - Theorem 1 contains the special case when  $x = \alpha_1, y = \alpha_2$  for  $s = 0$ . We then have  $u(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, 0) = f(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$  with analytic  $f$ .

Note that (1) (with the aid of (2)) can be formulated thus:  $x, y, u$  are solutions of

$$(4) \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial s} = A_1 \frac{\partial v}{\partial \alpha_1} + A_2 \frac{\partial v}{\partial \alpha_2}$$

with

$$A_1 = \frac{\partial(x, y)}{\partial(s_1, \alpha_2)} \bigg/ \frac{\partial(x, y)}{\partial(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)}, \quad A_2 = \frac{\partial(x, y)}{\partial(\alpha_1, s)} \bigg/ \frac{\partial(x, y)}{\partial(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)}.$$

This suggests the following corollary of Theorem 1.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $A_1(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, s), A_2(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, s)$  be real valued analytic functions of  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, s$ , extensible holomorphically as functions of  $s + it, |t| < t_0$ . Let  $v$  be a  $C^1$ -solution of (4) which can be extended to a  $C^1$ -function of  $s + it, \alpha_1, \alpha_2$ , holomorphic in  $s + it$  for  $|t| < t_0$ . Then  $v$  is holomorphic in all three variables  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, s$ , provided  $A_1(\partial A_2 / \partial s) - A_2(\partial A_1 / \partial s) \neq 0$ .*

**PROOF.** There exist by Cauchy-Kovalewski two solutions  $x, y$  of (4) which reduce to  $x = \alpha_1, y = \alpha_2$  for  $s = 0$ . We find for  $s = 0$ , if w.l.o.g.,  $A_1 = \partial x / \partial s \neq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial x}{\partial \alpha_1} &= 1, & \frac{\partial x}{\partial \alpha_2} &= 0, & \frac{\partial y}{\partial \alpha_1} &= 0, & \frac{\partial y}{\partial \alpha_2} &= 1, & \frac{\partial x}{\partial s} &= A_1, & \frac{\partial y}{\partial s} &= A_2, \\ \frac{\partial^2 x}{\partial s^2} &= \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial s} + \sum_2 A_j \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial \alpha_j}, & \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial s^2} &= \frac{\partial A_2}{\partial s} + \sum_1 A_j \frac{\partial A_2}{\partial \alpha_j} \end{aligned}$$

so that (3) becomes for  $s = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{vmatrix} x_s & y_s & \left(\frac{y_s}{x_s}\right)_s \\ x_{\alpha_1} & y_{\alpha_1} & \left(\frac{y_s}{x_s}\right)_{\alpha_1} \\ x_{\alpha_2} & y_{\alpha_2} & \left(\frac{y_s}{x_s}\right)_{\alpha_2} \end{vmatrix} &= x_s^{-2} \begin{vmatrix} A_1 & A_2 & y_{ss}A_1 - x_{ss}A_2 \\ 1 & 0 & A_1(A_2)_{\alpha_1} - A_2(A_1)_{\alpha_1} \\ 0 & 1 & A_1(A_2)_{\alpha_2} - A_2(A_1)_{\alpha_2} \end{vmatrix} = \\ &= x_s^{-2} \left( A_1 \frac{\partial A_2}{\partial s} - A_2 \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial s} \right) \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

so that Theorem 1 applies. Hence  $v$  is a holomorphic function of  $x, y$  which

in turn are analytic in  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, s$  as Cauchy-Kowalevski solutions of (4). Thus  $v$  is holomorphic in  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, s$ , q.e.d.

Dr. T. KAWAI has kindly communicated to the A. how to deduce Theorem 2 as a special case of a general analyticity Theorem to be found in [2].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] W. RUDIN, *Lectures on the edge-of-the wedge Theorem*, Regional Conference Series in Mathematics 1971, p. 10.
- [2] M. SATO - T. KAWAI - N. KASHIWARA, *Hyperfunctions and Pseudodifferential Equations*, Lectures Notes 287 (Springer 1973), p. 265 ff.