

ANNALI DELLA
SCUOLA NORMALE SUPERIORE DI PISA
Classe di Scienze

NIGEL WATT

An elementary treatment of a general diophantine problem

Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze 4^e série, tome 15,
n° 4 (1988), p. 603-614

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASNSP_1988_4_15_4_603_0

© Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 1988, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze* » (<http://www.sns.it/it/edizioni/riviste/annaliscienze/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

An Elementary Treatment of a General Diophantine Problem

NIGEL WATT

1. - Introduction

This paper is concerned with the order of magnitude of $I_8(H, \Delta)$, the number of integer solutions $(h_1, \dots, h_4, k_1, \dots, k_4)$ of

$$(1) \quad \sum_{j=1}^4 (h_j^2 - k_j^2) = \sum_{j=1}^4 (h_j - k_j) = 0$$

and

$$(2) \quad \left| \sum_{j=1}^4 \left[g\left(\frac{h_j}{H}\right) - g\left(\frac{k_j}{H}\right) \right] \right| < \Delta,$$

with

$$(3) \quad H \leq h_j, k_j < 2H, \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, 4,$$

where H is a positive integer, $\Delta > 0$ and g is a fixed complex-valued function defined on the interval $[1, 2]$. The following result is obtained.

THEOREM. If g is analytic on some open subset V of \mathbb{C} containing the interval $[1, 2]$ and

$$(4) \quad g^{(3)}(x)g^{(5)}(x) \neq (g^{(4)}(x))^2, \text{ for } 1 \leq x \leq 2,$$

then

$$(5) \quad I_8(H, \Delta) \ll H^4 + \Delta H^5 \log^3 H.$$

Interest in $I_8(H, \Delta)$ goes back to 1985, when E. Bombieri and H. Iwaniec showed, in [2], that if $g^{(1)}(x) = x^\beta$, for $1 \leq x \leq 2$, where β is some real

constant other than 0 or 1, then

$$(6) \quad I_g(H, \Delta) \ll_{\epsilon} (1 + \Delta H) H^{4+\epsilon}, \text{ for } \epsilon > 0.$$

Later I obtained the bound (5) for $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$ (see [5, Theorem 1]).

The bounds for $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$ are important in applications to exponential sums. In [1] Bombieri and Iwaniec used the bound (6), for $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$, to show that $\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) \ll_{\epsilon} t^{\epsilon+9/56}$, for $t > \epsilon > 0$. The bound (5), for $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$, has been applied in [3] and [4].

To prove (6) Bombieri and Iwaniec treated $I_g(H, \Delta)$ as a mean-value of an exponential sum and used an ingenious argument involving Poisson summation. In this paper the more elementary techniques of [5] are adapted to generalize [5, Theorem 1]. The resulting Theorem (above) does not generalize the bound (6) of Bombieri and Iwaniec, as the condition (4) is not satisfied for $\beta = 2$.

My thanks are due to the Science and Engineering Research Council, University College Cardiff and my supervisor, Dr M. N. Huxley.

2. - Preliminary results and definitions

The positive number $(2^{\frac{1}{4}} - 1)^{-1}$, which we shall henceforth refer to as α , occurs naturally in the next Lemma. It satisfies the equation

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^4 = 2.$$

Now

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{6}\right)^4 < 2 < \left(1 + \frac{4}{21}\right)^4,$$

so

$$(7) \quad \frac{21}{4} < \alpha < 6.$$

Also

$$a^4 = 4a^3 + 6a^2 + 4a + 1,$$

so the sequence $\{\alpha^n\}$ is generated by the recurrence formula,

$$(8) \quad \alpha^r = 4\alpha^{r-1} + 6\alpha^{r-2} + 4\alpha^{r-3} + \alpha^{r-4}.$$

Starting from the lower bounds $0, \frac{1}{6}, 1$ and $\frac{21}{4}$ for $\alpha^{-2}, \alpha^{-1}, \alpha^0$ and α (respec-

tively), we use the recurrence formula to produce the lower bounds

$$(9) \quad \frac{83}{3}, \frac{439}{3}, \frac{2320}{3}, \frac{16349}{4}, 21602, \frac{685027}{6}, 603419 \text{ and } \frac{12756793}{4}$$

for $\alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^9$ (respectively).

LEMMA 1. Let $\delta \geq 0$ and $K > 0$. For $r = 1, \dots, 4$, let

$$|x_r| \leq K, |y_r| \leq K \text{ and } \left| \sum_{j=1}^4 (x_j^r - y_j^r) \right| \leq \delta K^r.$$

Then, for $i = 1, \dots, 4$,

$$(10) \quad \left| \prod_{j=1}^4 (x_i - y_j) \right| \leq \frac{147}{4} \delta K^4$$

and, for $r = 5, 6, 7, \dots$,

$$(11) \quad \left| \sum_{j=1}^4 (x_j^r - y_j^r) \right| \leq \frac{1}{25} \delta (\alpha K)^r.$$

PROOF. It is sufficient to prove these results for $\delta > 0$, since the case $\delta = 0$ then follows by letting δ tend to zero from above.

For $r = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, let

$$S_r = \sum_{j=1}^4 x_j^r \quad \text{and} \quad T_r = \sum_{j=1}^4 y_j^r.$$

Let E_0, \dots, E_4 be given by the polynomial expansion,

$$(X - x_1) \cdots (X - x_4) = E_0 X^4 + E_1 X^3 + \cdots + E_4.$$

Define F_0, \dots, F_4 similarly, but in terms of y_1, \dots, y_4 . Then

$$S_r + S_{r-1} E_1 + \cdots + S_1 E_{r-1} + r E_r = 0 = T_r + T_{r-1} F_1 + \cdots + T_1 F_{r-1} + r F_r,$$

for $r = 1, \dots, 4$. Therefore, for $R = 1, \dots, 4$,

$$\begin{aligned} R |E_R - F_R| &\leq \sum_{r=1}^R |S_r E_{R-r} - T_r F_{R-r}| \\ &\leq \sum_{r=1}^R [|S_r (E_{R-r} - F_{R-r})| + |F_{R-r} (S_r - T_r)|] \\ &\leq \sum_{r=1}^R \left[4K^r |E_{R-r} - F_{R-r}| + \binom{4}{R-r} K^{R-r} \delta K^r \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Starting from the fact that $E_0 = F_0 = 1$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} |E_1 - F_1| &\leq \delta K, \\ |E_2 - F_2| &\leq \frac{9}{2} \delta K^2, \\ |E_3 - F_3| &\leq 11 \delta K^3 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|E_4 - F_4| \leq \frac{81}{4} \delta K^4.$$

Let i be an integer with $1 \leq i \leq 4$. Then

$$(x_i - y_1) \cdots (x_i - y_4) = x_i^4 + F_1 x_i^3 + \cdots + F_4$$

and

$$0 = (x_i - x_1) \cdots (x_i - x_4) = x_i^4 + E_1 x_i^3 + \cdots + E_4.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |(x_i - y_1) \cdots (x_i - y_4)| &\leq |F_1 - E_1| K^3 + \cdots + |F_4 - E_4| \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{9}{2} + 11 + \frac{81}{4}\right) \delta K^4 = \frac{147}{4} \delta K^4, \end{aligned}$$

which is the result (10).

For $r = 5, 6, 7, \dots$,

$$S_r + S_{r-1} E_1 + \cdots + S_{r-4} E_4 = 0 = T_r + T_{r-1} F_1 + \cdots + T_{r-4} F_4.$$

Therefore, for $R = 5, 6, 7, \dots$,

$$\begin{aligned} |S_R - T_R| &\leq \sum_{r=1}^4 (|S_{R-r}(E_r - F_r)| + |F_r(S_{R-r} - T_{R-r})|) \\ &\leq 147 \delta K^R + \sum_{r=1}^4 \binom{4}{r} K^r |S_{R-r} - T_{R-r}|. \end{aligned}$$

Now, for $r = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, let U_r be given by

$$|S_r - T_r| = \left(U_r - \frac{21}{2}\right) \delta K^r.$$

Then, for $R = 5, 6, 7, \dots$,

$$U_R \leq \sum_{r=1}^4 \binom{4}{r} U_{R-r}.$$

Starting from the upper bound of $\frac{23}{2}$ for U_1, U_2, U_3 and U_4 , we apply this inequality to produce the upper bounds

$$\frac{345}{2}, \frac{1633}{2}, \frac{8717}{2}, \frac{46069}{2} \text{ and } \frac{243455}{2}$$

for U_5, \dots, U_9 (respectively). Using (9) we can easily check that

$$26U_r \leq \alpha^r, \text{ for } r = 6, \dots, 9.$$

Now suppose that R is an integer greater than 9 and such that

$$U_r \leq \frac{1}{26}\alpha^r, \text{ for } r = 6, \dots, R - 1.$$

Then

$$U_R \leq \frac{1}{26} \sum_{r=1}^4 \binom{4}{r} \alpha^{R-r} = \frac{1}{26} \alpha^R,$$

by (8). The result (11), for $r > 5$, follows by induction. To complete the proof note that $25 \left(U_5 - \frac{21}{2} \right) \leq 25 \times 162 < \alpha^5$, by (9).

Let (h_1, \dots, k_4) be an integer solution of (1). Then so is $(h_1 + t, \dots, k_4 + t)$, for any integer t . As in [5] we call this set of integer solutions of (1) a family f (say). The integer solution (h_1, \dots, k_4) of (1) is called trivial if and only if (h_1, \dots, h_4) is a permutation of (k_1, \dots, k_4) . The family f is called trivial if and only if

$$(h_i - k_1) \cdots (h_i - k_4) = (k_i - h_1) \cdots (k_i - h_4) = 0,$$

for $i = 1, \dots, 4$.

LEMMA 2. *An integer solution of (1) is trivial if and only if it is a member of a trivial family. The number of trivial integer solutions of (1) and (3) is*

$$A(H) = 24H^4 - 72H^3 + 82H^2 - 33H.$$

PROOF. This Lemma is almost a restatement of [5, Lemma 4], since there is a one-to-one correspondence between the trivial integer solutions of (1) and (3) and the trivial integer solutions of (1) with $0 \leq h_i, k_i < H$, for $i = 1, \dots, 4$. The correspondence is given by

$$(h_1, \dots, k_4) \longrightarrow (h_1 - H, \dots, k_4 - H).$$

LEMMA 3. *The integer solutions of (1) and (3) fall into $O(H^4)$ families.*

PROOF. This Lemma follows directly from [5, Lemma 6].

LEMMA 4. Let $\delta > 0$ and $K > 0$. Let F be the number of non-trivial families which contain a member $(a_1, \dots, a_4, b_1, \dots, b_4)$ with

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^4 (a_j^r - b_j^r) \right| \leq \delta K^r, \text{ for } r = 3, 4,$$

and

$$|a_i| \leq K, |b_i| \leq K, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, 4.$$

Then

$$F \ll \delta K^4 \log^2 K.$$

PROOF. If $K < 2$, then

$$a_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\} \text{ and } b_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, 4,$$

and it follows from (1) that there are no such non-trivial families. The rest of the proof is almost the same as that of [5, Lemma 9], except that the appeals to [5, Lemma 8] are made with $r = 1$, $x_j = a_j$ and $y_j = b_j$, for $j = 1, \dots, 4$.

For $J \subset [H, 2H)$, let $S(J)$ be the set of integer solutions of (1) and (2) with $h_i \in J$ and $k_i \in J$, for $i = 1, \dots, 4$.

LEMMA 5. If $[H, 2H) = J_1 \cup \dots \cup J_Q$, then

$$I_8(H, \Delta) \ll Q^7 \sum_{q=1}^Q |S(J_q)|.$$

PROOF. The condition (2) implies that

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^4 \left[\operatorname{Re} g \left(\frac{h_j}{H} \right) - \operatorname{Re} g \left(\frac{k_j}{H} \right) \right] \right| < \Delta$$

and

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^4 \left[\operatorname{Im} g \left(\frac{h_j}{H} \right) - \operatorname{Im} g \left(\frac{k_j}{H} \right) \right] \right| < \Delta.$$

Conversely we note that if $-\Delta < x, y < \Delta$, then $|x + iy| < \sqrt{2}\Delta$. Therefore the Lemma follows by [4, Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3].

3. - Proof of the Theorem

For $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $r \geq 0$, let

$$D(c, r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z - c| \leq r\}.$$

For $1 \leq x \leq 2$, let

$$R(x) = \sup\{r \in [0, 1] \mid D(x, r) \subset V\}.$$

Then R is a continuous positive-valued function on $[1, 2]$, so there exists a positive constant ρ such that

$$(12) \quad R(x) > \rho, \text{ for } 1 \leq x \leq 2.$$

Let A be the union of the sets $D(x, \rho)$ with $1 \leq x \leq 2$. Then A is a compact subset of \mathbb{C} . Let B be the maximum value attained by $|g(z)|$ for $z \in A$. By (4), $B > 0$. If $1 \leq x \leq 2$, then by Cauchy's Integral Formulae,

$$(13) \quad |g^{(n)}(x)| \leq n! \rho^{-n} B, \text{ for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

For $1 \leq x \leq 2$, let

$$E(x) = |g^{(3)}(x)g^{(5)}(x) - (g^{(4)}(x))^2|.$$

By (4), E is a positive-valued continuous function on $[1, 2]$, so there exists a positive constant ε such that

$$(14) \quad E(x) \geq \frac{3!4!B^2}{\rho^8} \varepsilon, \text{ for } 1 \leq x \leq 2.$$

By (13),

$$(15) \quad \varepsilon \leq 5 + 4 = 9.$$

Let Q be the least integer with

$$(16) \quad \varepsilon \rho Q \geq \alpha^5.$$

We divide $[H, 2H]$ into disjoint intervals J_1, \dots, J_Q of equal length. Let J be one of these intervals. Let $(h_1, \dots, k_4) \in f \cap S(J)$, where f is a family. Let (a_1, \dots, b_4) be the member of f with $b_4 = 0$. Then, for $i = 1, \dots, 4$,

$$a_i = h_i - k_4 \text{ and } b_i = k_i - k_4,$$

so that

$$(17) \quad |a_i| \leq \frac{H}{Q} \text{ and } |b_i| \leq \frac{H}{Q}.$$

For $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, let

$$d_r = \sum_{j=1}^4 (a_j^r - b_j^r).$$

Let

$$(18) \quad \delta = \max_{r=3,4} \left[\frac{Q}{H} \right]^r |d_r|.$$

By (1), (17) and Lemma 1 (11),

$$(19) \quad d_0 = d_1 = d_2 = 0$$

and

$$(20) \quad |d_r| \leq \frac{1}{25} \delta \left[\frac{\alpha H}{Q} \right]^r, \text{ for } r = 5, 6, 7, \dots.$$

To estimate $|f \cap S(J)|$ we consider the function

$$G(z) = \sum_{j=1}^4 \left[g \left(\frac{h_j}{H} + z \right) - g \left(\frac{k_j}{H} + z \right) \right],$$

which (by (12)) is certainly analytic for $|z| \leq \rho$. The members of $f \cap S(J)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with those integer solutions t of

$$(21) \quad \left| G \left(\frac{t}{H} \right) \right| < \Delta$$

which have

$$(22) \quad h_j + t\epsilon J \text{ and } k_j + t\epsilon J, \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, 4.$$

Now, by (7), (15) and (16),

$$(23) \quad \rho Q \geq \frac{\alpha^5}{9} > \left(\frac{25}{3} \right)^2 \alpha > 64\alpha > 256,$$

so that $\frac{h_j}{H} \in D \left(\frac{k_4}{H}, \rho \right)$, for $j = 1, \dots, 4$. Therefore, for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$g^{(n)} \left(\frac{h_j}{H} \right) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r!} c_{n+r} \left(\frac{a_i}{H} \right)^r,$$

where $c_r = g^{(r)}\left(\frac{k_4}{H}\right)$, for $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. The various $g^{(n)}\left(\frac{k_j}{H}\right)$ have similar Taylor series. Combining these results we find that

$$G^{(n)}(0) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r!} c_{n+r} d_r H^{-r}, \text{ for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Hence, by (13), (19), (20) and (23),

$$\left| G^{(n)}(0) - \sum_{r=3}^4 \frac{c_{n+r} d_r}{r! H^r} \right| \leq \frac{n! B \delta}{25 \rho^n} \sum_{r=5}^{\infty} \binom{n+r}{n} \left[\frac{\alpha}{\rho Q} \right]^r, \text{ for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Now, if n and s are non-negative integers and $0 \leq x < 1$, then by Taylor's Theorem there exists $\eta \in (0, 1)$ with

$$(24) \quad \sum_{r=s}^{\infty} \binom{n+r}{n} x^r = \binom{n+s}{n} x^s (1 - \eta x)^{-(n+s+1)}.$$

Therefore, if we let $\tau = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\alpha}{\rho Q}}$, then, for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$(25) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| G^{(n)}(0) - \sum_{r=3}^4 \frac{c_{n+r} d_r}{r! H^r} \right| &\leq \frac{n! B \delta}{25 \rho^n} \binom{n+5}{5} \left[\frac{\alpha}{\rho Q} \right]^5 \tau^{n+6} \\ &\leq \frac{(n+5)!}{5!} \left[\frac{\tau}{\rho} \right]^n \frac{\tau^6 B \epsilon \delta}{25 (\rho Q)^4}, \end{aligned}$$

by (16).

Now, by (23), $1 < \tau < \frac{64}{64-1}$,

so

$$(26) \quad 1 < \tau^7 < \frac{64}{64-7} < \frac{25}{22}.$$

Therefore, since

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_5 & -c_4 \\ -c_4 & c_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_3 & c_4 \\ c_4 & c_5 \end{bmatrix} = E \left(\frac{k_4}{H} \right) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

it follows from (25) that, for $r = 3, 4$,

$$\left| \frac{E\left(\frac{k_4}{H}\right) d_r}{r! H^r} + \sum_{n=0}^1 (-1)^{n+r} c_{8-n-r} G^{(n)}(0) \right| < \frac{1}{22} \left[\sum_{n=0}^1 |c_{8-n-r}| \frac{(n+5)!}{5! \rho^n} \right] \frac{B \epsilon \delta}{(\rho Q)^4}.$$

Hence, by (13) and (14),

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{Q}{H}\right]^r |d_r| &\leq \frac{(Q\rho)^r}{B\varepsilon} [(8-r)|G(0)| + \rho|G^{(1)}(0)|] + \frac{(14-r)}{2} (\rho Q)^{r-4} \delta \\ &\leq \frac{(Q\rho)^r}{B\varepsilon} \Gamma + \frac{1}{2} (\rho Q)^{r-4} \delta, \text{ for } r = 3, 4, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Gamma = 5|G(0)| + \rho|G^{(1)}(0)|$.

By (23), $\rho Q > 1$, so it now follows from (18) that

$$(27) \quad \left[\frac{Q}{H}\right]^4 |d_4| \leq \delta \leq \frac{2(Q\rho)^4}{B\varepsilon} \Gamma$$

and

$$(28) \quad \left[\frac{Q}{H}\right]^3 |d_3| \leq \frac{2(Q\rho)^3}{B\varepsilon} \Gamma.$$

We now return to (25) and apply the results (13), (15), (26), (27) and (28) to show that, for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\begin{aligned} (29) \quad |G^{(n)}(0)| &\leq 2 \frac{(n+3)! \Gamma}{3! \rho^n \varepsilon} + 2 \frac{(n+4)! \Gamma}{4! \rho^n \varepsilon} + \frac{1}{11} \frac{(n+5)! \tau^n \Gamma}{5! \rho^n} \\ &\leq \frac{5\Gamma}{\varepsilon} \frac{(n+5)!}{5!} \left(\frac{\tau}{\rho}\right)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose that (21) and (22) hold. Then, by (23),

$$(30) \quad \left|\frac{t}{H}\right| \leq \frac{1}{Q} \leq \rho,$$

so

$$(31) \quad G\left(\frac{t}{H}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} G^{(n)}(0) \left(\frac{t}{H}\right)^n.$$

By (7), (16), (23), (24), (26), (29) and (30).

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} G^{(n)}(0) \left(\frac{t}{H}\right)^n \right| &\leq \frac{5\Gamma}{\varepsilon} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \binom{n+5}{5} \left|\frac{\tau t}{\rho H}\right|^n \leq \frac{5\Gamma}{\varepsilon} \binom{7}{5} \left|\frac{\tau t}{\rho H}\right|^2 \left[1 - \frac{\tau}{\rho H}\right]^{-8} \\ &\leq \left| \frac{105\tau^2 \Gamma t}{\varepsilon \rho^2 Q H} \left[1 - \frac{8 \times 2}{256}\right]^{-1} \right| < \left| \frac{256\Gamma t}{\alpha^5 \rho H} \left[1 - \frac{1}{2}\right]^{-1} \right| < \left| \frac{2^9 \Gamma t}{2^{10} \rho H} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (31),

$$\left| G^{(1)}(0) \frac{t}{H} \right| \leq \left| G \left(\frac{t}{H} \right) - G(0) \right| + \frac{\Gamma|t|}{2\rho H}$$

and

$$\left| \Gamma \frac{t}{H} \right| \leq \left| 5G(0) \frac{t}{H} \right| + \rho \left| G \left(\frac{t}{H} \right) - G(0) \right| + \left| \Gamma \frac{t}{2H} \right|.$$

Hence, by (2), (21), (23) and (30),

$$\left| \Gamma \frac{t}{H} \right| \leq \left| 10G(0) \frac{t}{H} \right| + 2\rho \left| G \left(\frac{t}{H} \right) - G(0) \right| \leq 5\rho\Delta.$$

Therefore, by (27),

$$\left| \delta \frac{t}{H} \right| \leq \left(\frac{10Q^4\rho^5}{B\varepsilon} \right) \Delta,$$

so

$$(32) \quad |\delta t| \ll \Delta H.$$

We can now bound $|S(J)|$. First note that, by (17) and (18),

$$0 \leq \delta \leq 8.$$

Therefore, it is sufficient to consider the following three cases.

Case 1: $\delta = 0.$

By Lemma 1 (10), f is a trivial family. By Lemma 2, (h_1, \dots, k_4) is a trivial integer solution of (1) and $S(J)$ contains at most $24H^4$ such solutions.

Case 2: $\Delta H < \delta.$

By (32),

$$|f \cap S(J)| \ll 1.$$

Hence, by Lemma 3, at most $O(H^4)$ members of $S(J)$ fall into Case 2.

Case 3: $0 < \delta \leq \Delta H.$

By Lemma 2, f is not a trivial family. Since a_1, \dots, b_4 are integers and $Q \geq 1$, it follows from (17) and (18) that

$$\frac{1}{H^4} \leq \delta \leq 8.$$

We now consider the $O(\log H)$ non-empty subcases of the form,

$$\Delta_2 < \delta \leq 2\Delta_2,$$

where Δ_2 is an integer power of 2. By (32),

$$|f \cap S(J)| \ll \left(\frac{\Delta}{\Delta_2}\right) H.$$

By (17), (18) and Lemma 4, at most $O(\Delta_2 H^4 \log^2 H)$ families fall into any one such subcase. Hence, at most $O(\Delta H^5 \log^2 H)$ members of $S(J)$ fall into each subcase and the total number falling into Case 3 is therefore $O(\Delta H^5 \log^3 H)$.

Collecting the results from the three cases we find that

$$|S(J)| \ll H^4 + \Delta H^5 \log^3 H.$$

The Theorem now follows by Lemma 5.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. BOMBIERI - H. IWANIEC, *On the order of $\zeta(\frac{1}{2}+it)$* , Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **13** (1986) 449-472.
- [2] E. BOMBIERI - H. IWANIEC, *Some mean-value theorems for exponential sums*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **13** (1986) 473-486.
- [3] M.N. HUXLEY - N. WATT, *Exponential sums and the Riemann zeta-function*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **57** (1988) 1-24.
- [4] N. WATT, *Exponential sums and the Riemann zeta-function II*, J. London Math. Soc., to appear.
- [5] N. WATT, *A problem on semicubical powers*, Acta Arith., to appear.

Department of Mathematics,
Hill Center, Busch Campus,
Rutgers University,
New Brunswick NJ 08903