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# Isomorphisms of $\boldsymbol{H}^{*}$-Triple Systems ${ }^{1}$ 

A. CASTELLÓN SERRANO - J.A. CUENCA MIRA

Let $A$ be a module over the commutative unit ring $\phi$. We say that $A$ is a $\phi$-triple system if it is endowed with a trilinear map $\langle\cdots\rangle$ of $A \times A \times A$ to $A$. If $\phi=\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ the map ${ }^{*}: A \rightarrow A$ that to each $x$ assigns $x^{*}$ is said to be a multiplicative involution if it satisfies $\langle x y z\rangle^{*}=\left\langle x^{*} y^{*} z^{*}\right\rangle$ for any $x, y, z \in A$ and it is involutive linear if $\phi=\mathbb{R}$ or involutive antilinear if $\phi=\mathbb{C}$. The $\phi$-triple system $A$ is said an $H^{*}$-triple system if its underlying $\phi$-module ( $\phi=\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ ) is a Hilbert $\phi$-space of inner product $(\cdot \mid \cdot)$ endowed with a multiplicative involution $x \mapsto x^{*}$ satisfying

$$
(\langle x y z\rangle \mid t)=\left(x \mid\left\langle t z^{*} y^{*}\right\rangle\right)=\left(y\left|z^{*} t x^{*}\right\rangle\right)=\left(z \mid\left\langle y^{*} x^{*} t\right\rangle\right)
$$

for any $x, y, z \in A$. A $\phi$-linear map $F$ between the triple systems $V$ and $V^{\prime}$ is said to be a morphism if $F\langle x y z\rangle=\langle F(x) F(y) F(z)\rangle$ for any $x, y, z \in V$. The concepts of isomorphism, automorphism between triple systems and *-morphism, *-isomorphism and ${ }^{*}$-automorphism between $H^{*}$-triple systems are defined in an obvious way. A ${ }^{*}$-isomorphism $F$ between the $H^{*}$-triple systems $V$ and $V^{\prime}$ is said to be an isogeny if there exists a real positive number $\lambda$, called the constant of the isogeny, such that $(F(x) \mid F(y))=\lambda(x \mid y)$ for any $x, y \in V$. If $F$ is a continuous morphism between the $H^{*}$-triple systems $V$ and $V^{\prime}$, we denote by $F^{\square}: V^{\prime} \rightarrow V$ the adjoint operator of $F$ and by $F^{*}: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ the morphism given by $F^{*}: x \mapsto\left[F\left(x^{*}\right)\right]^{*}$. A subspace $I$ of a triple system $V$ is said to be an ideal of $V$ if it satisfies $\langle I V V\rangle+\langle V I V\rangle+\langle V V I\rangle \subseteq I$. An $H^{*}$-triple system $V$ is topologically simple if the triple product is non-zero and contains no proper closed ideals. In an $H^{*}$-triple system $V$ we define the annihilator $\operatorname{Ann}(V)$ of $V$ to be the set $\{x \in V:\langle x V V\rangle=0\}$. We observe that if $V$ is an $H^{*}$-triple system, then $\operatorname{Ann}(V)=\{x \in V:\langle V x V\rangle=0\}=\{x \in V:\langle V V x\rangle=0\}$, and the involution * is isometric if $\operatorname{Ann}(V)=0$ ([3], [4] and [5]). $\operatorname{Ann}(V)$ is a closed self-adjoint ideal of $V$. The centroid $Z(V)$ of an $H^{*}$-triple system $V$ is the set of
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linear maps $F: V \rightarrow V$ such that $F\langle x y z\rangle=\langle F(x) y z\rangle=\langle x F(y) z\rangle=\langle x y F(z)\rangle$ for any $x, y, z \in V$. In [6] we proved that if $V$ is a topologically simple $H^{*}$-triple system then $(Z(V), 口)$ is $\left(\mathbb{C}\right.$ Id, $\left.{ }^{-}\right)$in the complex case and either ( $\mathbb{C}$ Id, ${ }^{-}$) or $(\mathbb{R} \mathrm{Id}, \mathrm{Id})$ in the real case.

Proposition 1. Let $V$ and $V^{\prime}$ be two $H^{*}$-triple systems with continuous involution and $F: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ a continuous morphism with dense range. Then $F^{*} \circ F^{\square}$ lies in $Z\left(V^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. For any $x, y, z \in V, t \in V^{\prime}$, we have

$$
(F\langle x y z\rangle \mid t)=\left(\langle x y z\rangle \mid F^{\square}(t)\right)=\left(x \mid\left\langle F^{\square}(t) z^{*} y^{*}\right\rangle\right)
$$

and on the other hand

$$
\begin{aligned}
(F\langle x y z\rangle \mid t) & =(\langle F(x) F(y) F(z)\rangle \mid t)=\left(F(x) \mid\left\langle t F(z)^{*} F(y)^{*}\right\rangle\right) \\
& =\left(x \mid F^{\square}\left\langle t F(z)^{*} F(y)^{*}\right\rangle\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $F^{\square}\left\langle t F(z)^{*} F(y)^{*}\right\rangle=\left\langle F^{\square}(t) z^{*} y^{*}\right\rangle$. Substituting $y$ for $y^{*}$ and $z$ for $z^{*}$, we can write $F^{\square}\left\langle t F^{*}(z) F^{*}(y)\right\rangle=\left\langle F^{\square}(t) z y\right\rangle$, and by applying $F^{*}$ to both members, we obtain

$$
F^{*} F^{\square}\left\langle t F^{*}(z) F^{*}(y)\right\rangle=\left\langle F^{*} F^{\square}(t) F^{*}(z) F^{*}(y)\right\rangle .
$$

It follows, from continuity of $F$ and the fact that $F^{*}$ is a morphism, that $F^{*}$ has dense range. Taking into account the above equality we have

$$
F^{*} F^{\square}\langle t u v\rangle=\left\langle F^{*} F^{\square}(t) u v\right\rangle,
$$

for any $u, v \in V^{\prime}$. Analogously we can prove that

$$
F^{*} F^{\square}\langle t u v\rangle=\left\langle t F^{*} F^{\square}(u) v\right\rangle=\left\langle t u F^{*} F^{\square}(v)\right\rangle,
$$

for any $u, v \in V^{\prime}$ and therefore $F^{*} F^{\square} \in Z\left(V^{\prime}\right)$.
PROPOSITION 2. (a) Let $V$ be an $H^{*}$-triple system with zero annihilator of norm $\|\cdot\|$. If $V$ is endowed with another norm $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ such that $\left(V,\|\cdot\|_{1}\right)$ is a complete normed triple system, then $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ are equivalent.
(b) Let $V$ and $V^{\prime}$ be two $H^{*}$-triple systems with zero annihilator and $F: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ an (algebraic) isomorphism. Then $F$ is continuous.

Proof. (a) Let $V_{1}$ be the triple system $V$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{1}$. For any $x, y \in V$, we have $L(x, y) \in B L(V) \cap B L\left(V_{1}\right)$. So

$$
L(x, y)^{\square} L(x, y) \in B L(V) \cap B L\left(V_{1}\right) .
$$

From the Banach inverse map theorem, we obtain

$$
r\left(B L(V), L(x, y)^{\square} L(x, y)\right)=r\left(B L\left(V_{1}\right), L(x, y)^{\square} L(x, y)\right) .
$$

So

$$
r\left(B L\left(V_{1}\right), L(x, y)^{\square} L(x, y)\right) \leq\left\|L(x, y)^{\square} L(x, y)\right\|_{1},
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|L(x, y)\|^{2} \leq\left\|L(x, y)^{\text {ㄱ }}\right\|_{1}\|L(x, y)\|_{1} \leq\left\|x^{*}\right\|_{1}\left\|y^{*}\right\|_{1}\|x\|_{1}\|y\|_{1} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in [7, (1-2-36)], it can be shown that * is continuous for the topology induced by the norm $\|\cdot\|_{1}$, hence there exists a positive real $k$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x^{*}\right\|_{1} \leq k\|x\|_{1} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $x \in V_{1}$. Let $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of elements of $V$ with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} z_{n}=0$ in $V_{1}$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} z_{n}=z$ in $V$. It follows from (3) and (4) that

$$
\|L(x, y)\| \leq k\|x\|_{1}\|y\|_{1}
$$

and therefore

$$
\left\|L\left(z_{n}, y\right)\right\| \leq k\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{1}\|y\|_{1}
$$

The limit of the sequence $\left\{L\left(z_{n}, y\right)\right\}$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is therefore zero. Since relative to the norm $\|\cdot\|$, we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} L\left(z_{n}, y\right)=L(z, y)$, it follows that $L(z, y)=0$ and $z=0$. The closed map theorem implies that $x \mapsto x$ is a continuous map of $V_{1}$ to $V$. The Banach inverse map theorem finishes the proof.
(b) We define a new norm $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ on $V$ by means $\|x\|_{1}=\|F(x)\|$. Then $\left(V,\|\cdot\|_{1}\right)$ is a complete normed triple system. Part (a) proves that $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ are equivalent and this implies the continuity of $F$.

COROLLARY 5. Let $V$ and $V^{\prime}$ be topologically simple $H^{*}$-triple systems and $G: V \rightarrow V^{\prime} a^{*}$-isomorphism. Then $G$ is an isogeny.

Proof. By Proposition 3, $G$ is continuous. It follows from Proposition 1 and $\left[6\right.$, Teorema 14] that $G G^{\square}=\lambda \operatorname{Id}(\lambda \in \mathbb{R})$. For any $x, y \in V^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\left(G^{\square}(x) \mid G^{\square}(y)\right)=\left(x \mid G G^{\square}(y)\right)=(x \mid \lambda y)=\lambda(x \mid y) .
$$

Hence $\lambda$ is a positive real number and $G$ is an isogeny of constant $\lambda$.
DEFINITION 6. Let $V$ be a triple system over $\mathbb{K}$ and $D: V \rightarrow V$ a linear map. We say that $D$ is a derivation if it satisfies

$$
D\langle x y z\rangle=\langle D(x) y z\rangle+\langle x D(y) z\rangle+\langle x y D(z)\rangle
$$

for any $x, y, z \in V$.
LEMMA 7. Let $V$ be a complex $H^{*}$-triple system with non-zero triple product, $F$ a continuous automorphism of $V$ and $D$ a continuous derivation of $V$. Then
(a) there exist $\lambda, \mu, \nu \in \operatorname{Sp}(F)$, such that $\lambda \mu \nu \in \operatorname{Sp}(F)$;
(b) there exist $\lambda, \mu, \nu \in \operatorname{Sp}(D)$, such that $\lambda+\mu+\nu \in \operatorname{Sp}(D)$;
(c) $\operatorname{Sp}(F)$ cannot be contained in a halfine of origin 0 different from $\mathbb{R}^{+}$and $\mathbb{R}^{-}$;
(d) $\operatorname{Sp}(D)$ cannot be contained in a line other than for the lines containing the origin.

Proof. Let $F$ be the Banach space of the continuous trilinear maps of $V \times V \times V$ into $V$. For any $T \in B L(V)$ we define the maps $\stackrel{a}{T}, \stackrel{b}{T}, \stackrel{c}{T}, \stackrel{d}{T} \in B L(F)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \stackrel{a}{T}(f)(x, y, z)=T(f(x, y, z)) \\
& \stackrel{b}{T}(f)(x, y, z)=f(T(x), y, z) \\
& \stackrel{c}{T}(f)(x, y, z)=f(x, T(y), z) \\
& \stackrel{d}{T}(f)(x, y, z)=f(x, y, T(z))
\end{aligned}
$$

If $f_{0}(x, y, z)=\langle x y z\rangle$ then $T$ is an automorphism iff $\stackrel{a}{T}\left(f_{0}\right)=\stackrel{b}{T} \stackrel{c}{T} \stackrel{d}{T}\left(f_{0}\right)$ and $T$ is a derivation iff $\stackrel{a}{T}\left(f_{0}\right)=(\stackrel{b}{T}+\stackrel{c}{T}+\stackrel{d}{T})\left(f_{0}\right)$. The map $T \mapsto \stackrel{a}{T}$ is a continuous morphism which preserves the unity and the maps $T \mapsto \stackrel{x}{T}, x \in\{b, c, d\}$, are continuous skewmorphisms which preserve the unity. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Sp}(\stackrel{x}{T}) \subset \operatorname{Sp}(T), \quad x \in\{a, b, c, d\} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $F$ is an automorphism then $\stackrel{a}{F}\left(f_{0}\right)=\stackrel{b}{F} \stackrel{c}{F} \stackrel{d}{F}\left(f_{0}\right)$, that is, $(\stackrel{a}{F}-\stackrel{b}{F} \stackrel{c}{F} \stackrel{d}{F})\left(f_{0}\right)=0$, and therefore $0 \in \operatorname{Sp}(\stackrel{a}{F}-\stackrel{b}{F} \stackrel{c}{F} \stackrel{d}{F})$. It follows from the fact that $\{\stackrel{x}{F}\}_{x \in\{a, b, c, d\}}$ is a commutative set, that $0 \in \operatorname{Sp}(\stackrel{a}{F})-\operatorname{Sp}(\stackrel{b}{F}) \operatorname{Sp}(\stackrel{c}{F}) \operatorname{Sp}(\stackrel{d}{F})$ (see [11, p. 280]). So there exist $\rho \in \operatorname{Sp}(\stackrel{a}{F}), \lambda \in \operatorname{Sp}(\stackrel{b}{F}), \mu \in \operatorname{Sp}(\stackrel{c}{F}), \nu \in \operatorname{Sp}(\stackrel{d}{F})$, such that $0=\rho-\lambda \mu \nu$. Part (a) now follows from (8). In a similar way part (b) can be obtained. Parts (c) and (d) are consequence of (a) and (b).

Let $V$ be a Hilbert space and $F \in B L(V)$. We recall that $F$ is a positive operator if $F$ is self-adjoint and $(F(x) \mid x) \geq 0$ for any $x \in V$. In the complex case the self-adjointness follows from the last condition.

LEMMA 9. Let $V$ and $V^{\prime}$ be two topologically simple complex $H^{*}$-triple systems and $F: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ an isomorphism. Then either $\left(F^{*}\right)^{-1} \circ F$ or $-\left(F^{*}\right)^{-1} \circ F$ is a positive operator.

Proof. By [6, Teorema 14] and Proposition 1 we have $F^{*} \circ F^{\square}=\lambda$ Id $(\lambda \in \mathbb{C})$. Firstly we prove that $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}-\{0\}$. The fact that $\lambda \neq 0$ is obtained from the invertibility of $F$. So

$$
\left(F^{*}\right)^{-1} \circ F=\frac{1}{\lambda} F^{\square} \circ F .
$$

Since $F^{\square} \circ F$ is a positive operator

$$
\operatorname{Sp}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} F^{\square} \circ F\right) \subset \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbb{R}^{+}
$$

and, by Lemma $7, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}-\{0\}$. Then we have either $\left(F^{*}\right)^{-1} \circ F$ or $-\left(F^{*}\right)^{-1} \circ F$ is a positive operator. This finishes the proof.

From the following lemmata we shall prove that the unique positive root of $\left(F^{*}\right)^{-1} \circ F$ or $-\left(F^{*}\right)^{-1} \circ F$ is also a morphism. Next we generalize a well know result (see [10, Lemme 8 p. 313]).

Lemma 10. Let $E$ be a complex Banach space and $\hat{F}$ the Banach space of the continuous multilinear maps of $E^{n}$ into $E$. Let $D \in B L(E)$ and $F=e^{D}$. We define $D^{\prime} \in B L(F)$ and $F^{\prime} \in B L(\hat{F})$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(D^{\prime} f\right)\left(\varsigma_{1}, \varsigma_{2}, \ldots, \varsigma_{n}\right)= & \left.D\left(f\left(\varsigma_{1}, \varsigma_{2}, \ldots, \varsigma_{n}\right)\right)-f\left(D \varsigma_{1}, \varsigma_{2}, \ldots, \varsigma_{n}\right)\right) \\
& \quad-f\left(\varsigma_{1}, D \varsigma_{2}, \ldots, \zeta_{n}\right)-\cdots-f\left(\zeta_{1}, \varsigma_{2}, \ldots, D \zeta_{n}\right) \\
\left(F^{\prime} f\right)\left(\varsigma_{1}, \varsigma_{2}, \ldots, \varsigma_{n}\right)= & F\left(f\left(F^{-1} \varsigma_{1}, F^{-1} \varsigma_{2}, \ldots, F^{-1} \zeta_{n}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}, \ldots, \zeta_{n} \in E, f \in \hat{F}$. Then
(a) $D^{\prime} f=0$ implies $F^{\prime} f=f$.
(b) If $F^{\prime} f=f$, and $\operatorname{Sp} D \subset\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|\operatorname{Im}(z)|<\frac{2 \pi}{(n+1)}\right\}$, then $D^{\prime} f=0$.

Proof. We define maps $x_{1}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in B L(\hat{F})$ by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(x_{0} f\right)\left(\varsigma_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{n}\right)=D\left(f\left(\varsigma_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{n}\right)\right) \\
\left(x_{i} f\right)\left(\varsigma_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{n}\right)=-f\left(\varsigma_{1}, \ldots,{\zeta_{i}}_{i}, \ldots, \varsigma_{n}\right), \quad i \in\{1, \ldots, n),
\end{gathered}
$$

taking into account that $D^{\prime}=\sum_{i=0}^{n} x_{i}$ and that the $x_{i}$ pairwise commute, we can conclude the proof as in [10, Lemme 8 p. 314].

LEMMA 11. Let $V$ be a complex complete normed triple system and $F$ a continuous automorphism of $V$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Sp}(F) \subset\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|\arg (Z)|<\frac{\pi}{2}\right\}
$$

There there exists a unique continuous derivation $D: V \rightarrow V$ such that $e^{D}=F$ and

$$
\operatorname{Sp}(D) \subset\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|\operatorname{Im}(z)|<\frac{\pi}{2}\right\}
$$

Proof. Let $f$ be the triple product of $V$ and log the principal determination of the logarithm. Let $D=\log (F)$. Because $F$ is an automorphism, it follows that $F^{\prime} f=f$, with $F^{\prime}$ as in Lemma 10. The condition on $F$ implies that

$$
\operatorname{Sp}(D)=\log (\operatorname{Sp}(F)) \subset\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|\operatorname{Im}(z)|<\frac{\pi}{2}\right\}
$$

and by Lemma 10 (b) we have that $D^{\prime} f=0$, that is $D$ is a derivation.
PROPOSITION 12. Let $V$ be a topologically simple $H^{*}$-triple system and $F$ a positive automorphism of $V$. Then there exists a unique positive automorphism $G$ of $V$ such that $G^{2}=F$. Moreover if $\left(F^{*}\right)^{-1}=F$, then $\left(G^{*}\right)^{-1}=G$.

Proof. Let $V$ be a complex topologically simple $H^{*}$-triple system. Since $\operatorname{Sp}(F) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{+}$, by Lemma 11 there exists a unique continuous derivation $D: V \rightarrow V$ such that $e^{D}=F$. Obviously $\frac{1}{2} D$ is a derivation of $V$, and by Lemma 10 (a) and the spectral mapping theorem, $G=e^{(1 / 2) D}$ is a positive automorphism of $V$ such that $G^{2}=F$. If $V$ is a real $H^{*}$-triple system with $(Z(V), \square)=(\mathbb{R} \mathrm{Id}, \mathrm{Id})$, the unique positive automorphism $\hat{G}$ of $C(V)$, with $\hat{G}^{2}=C(F)$ can be obtained by arguing over the automorphism $C(F)$ of the complexified $C(V)$ of $V$ given by $C(F):(a+b i) \mapsto F(a)+F(b) i$. A direct calculation proves that $\tau \hat{G} \tau$ is another positive root of $\mathcal{C}(F)$, where $\tau$ is the (real) involutive $\mathbb{C}$-antilinear automorphism of $V$ given by $\tau:(a+b i) \mapsto(a-b i)$. So $\hat{G}(V) \subseteq V$ and $G=\left.\hat{G}\right|_{V}$ is the unique positive automorphism of $V$ such that $G^{2}=F$. Finally, if $V$ is a real $H^{*}$-triple system with $(Z(V), \square)=\left(\mathbb{C} I d,{ }^{-}\right)$, that is, $V$ is the realization of a complex $H^{*}$-triple system, then as in [1, Lemma 1.4.3] it can be proved that $F$ is either $\mathbb{C}$-linear or $\mathbb{C}$-antilinear. But the (real) positivity of $F$ implies that $F$ must be a $\mathbb{C}$-linear automorphism of $V$. Hence this case follows from the complex one.

Suppose now that $\left(F^{*}\right)^{-1}=F$. By Proposition 1, we obtain $\left(G^{*}\right)^{-1}=\mu G$, for some $\mu \in Z(V)(Z(V)=\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C})$, since $G$ and $G^{*}$ are positive operators $\mu>0$. On the other hand, we have

$$
\mu^{2} F=(\mu G) \circ(\mu G)=\left(G^{*}\right)^{-1} \circ\left(G^{*}\right)^{-1}=\left(F^{*}\right)^{-1}=F
$$

so $\mu=1$ and the proposition is proved.
MAIN THEOREM 13. Let $V$ and $V^{\prime}$ be two topologically simple $H^{*}$ triple systems and $F: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ an isomorphism. Then either $F: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$,
or $-F: V^{b} \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ splits in a unique way

$$
\sigma F=F_{2} \circ F_{1}, \quad \sigma \in\{1,-1\}
$$

where $F_{1}$ is a positive automorphism, $F_{2}$ is $a^{*}$-isomorphism and $V^{b}$ is the twin of $V$, that is, the $H^{*}$-triple system with the same Hilbert space and triple product as $V$ and involution $x \mapsto-x^{*}$.

In particular if $V$ and $V^{\prime}$ are isomorphic, then either $V$ or $V^{b}$ is *-isomorphic to $V^{\prime}$.

Proof. Let $H=\left(F^{*}\right)^{-1} \circ F$. By Lemma 9 and the proposition above, we obtain that either $H$ or $-H$ has a unique positive root $F_{1}$. First we suppose that $H$ is a positive operator. A direct calculation prove that $\left(H^{*}\right)^{-1}=H$, and by Proposition 12 we have $\left(F_{1}^{*}\right)^{-1}=F_{1}$. Then $F_{2}=F \circ F_{1}^{-1}$ is a ${ }^{*}$-isomorphism. Indeed from

$$
F_{1}^{-1} \circ\left(F^{*}\right)^{-1} \circ F_{2}=F_{1}^{-1} \circ\left(F^{*}\right)^{-1} \circ F \circ F_{1}^{-1}=F_{1}^{-1} \circ F_{1}^{2} \circ F_{1}^{-1}=\mathrm{Id},
$$

we obtain

$$
F_{1}^{-1} \circ\left(F^{*}\right)^{-1}=F_{2}^{-1},
$$

or equivalently

$$
F_{2}^{*}=F \circ F_{1}^{*} .
$$

It follows from $\left(F_{1}^{*}\right)^{-1}=F_{1}$ that $F_{2}^{*}=F_{2}$, and $F_{2}$ is a ${ }^{*}$-isomorphism. If $H$ is a negative operator we argue over -Id $\circ H$ in a similar way, taking into account that $-\mathrm{Id}: V \rightarrow V^{b}$ shows that $(-\mathrm{Id})^{*}=\mathrm{Id}$. Finally we prove the uniqueness of the factorization. Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 9, we have that $G^{\square}=\lambda(G)\left(G^{*}\right)^{-1}$ for every automorphism $G$, where $\lambda(G)$ is a non-zero real number. Moreover $\lambda(G)>0$ if $G$ is positive. Suppose that $F=F_{2} \circ F_{1}$ with $F_{1}$ a positive automorphism and $F_{2} \mathrm{a}^{*}$-isomorphism. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(F^{*}\right)^{-1} \circ F & =\left(F_{2}^{*} \circ F_{1}^{*}\right)^{-1} \circ F_{2} \circ F_{1}=\left(F_{1}^{*}\right)^{-1} \circ\left(F_{2}^{*}\right)^{-1} \circ F_{2} \circ F_{1} \\
& =\left(F_{1}^{*}\right)^{-1} \circ F_{1}=\lambda\left(F_{1}\right)^{-1} F_{1}^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

so $F_{1}$ is the unique positive root of the operator $\left(G^{*}\right)^{-1} \circ G$ with $G=\lambda\left(F_{1}\right)^{1 / 2} F$ and, by Proposition 12, $\left(F_{1}^{*}\right)^{-1}=F_{1}$, that is $\lambda\left(F_{1}\right)=1$ and the factorization is unique. In a similar way, we can obtain the uniqueness in the case $-F=F_{2} \circ F_{1}$.

COROLLARY 14 (Essential uniqueness). Let $V$ be a topologically simple $H^{*}$-triple system over $\mathbb{K}(\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C})$ and $V^{\prime}$ another $H^{*}$-triple system with the same underlying $\mathbb{K}$-triple system of $V$. Then either $V$ or $V^{b}$ is isogenic to $V^{\prime}$.
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