

ANNALI DELLA
SCUOLA NORMALE SUPERIORE DI PISA
Classe di Scienze

L. A. AĪZENBERG

C. REA

The moment-condition for the free boundary problem for *CR* functions

Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze 4^e série, tome 20,
n^o 2 (1993), p. 313-322

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASNSP_1993_4_20_2_313_0

© Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 1993, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze » (<http://www.sns.it/it/edizioni/riviste/annaliscienze/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

The Moment-Condition for the Free Boundary Problem for CR Functions

L.A. AĪZENBERG - C. REA

1. - Statements

We are interested in studying a *free boundary problem* for holomorphic functions: a continuous function f is given on an open piece Γ of the boundary of an open set in \mathbb{C}^n and we want to find a holomorphic function in the open set which is continuous up to Γ and takes the value f there. We shall say then that f extends holomorphically to the original open set.

If $n \geq 2$ then f must satisfy a well known necessary condition, i.e., f must be a CR function on Γ .

This problem has been studied in [1], [7], [10], [12], [14], [15], [16], [17] (for a survey see [2] Section 27). In those papers rather complicated conditions are given with exception of [7]. Recently, using a simple theorem in one variable (Theorem A below), in the spirit of [7], simpler results have been obtained.

Later, very general results appeared ([16], [9]) but they are hard to use. A generalization of the one-variable-theorem A is in [5]. We shall consider it later in Theorem B.

We are indebted to Lee Stout who pointed out an error in a former version of Theorem 2. We also thank Peter Plug for useful conversations.

Let Ω be an open set in $\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathbb{C}_w^n$, $\Gamma \subset \partial\Omega$ a hypersurface of class C^1 , transversal to the z -direction. Write $L_w \equiv \mathbb{C}_z \times \{w\}$.

Assume that, for all $w \in \mathbb{C}^n$ that

$$(1) \quad L_w \cap \Omega \text{ is connected and } L_w \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset \implies L_w \cap \Gamma \neq \emptyset.$$

Next fix, for any w with $L_w \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset$, a conformal mapping $\varphi_w : D \rightarrow L_w$,

During the preparation of the manuscript the first Author was a visiting professor at the University of Roma - Tor Vergata, supported by M.P.I. Research funds.

The second Author is supported by M.P.I. Research funds.

Pervenuto alla Redazione il 5 Dicembre 1991 e in forma definitiva il 22 Giugno 1992.

injective, with non-vanishing derivative, with these properties:

$$(2) \quad \varphi_w(0) \notin \overline{\Omega}, \quad (\Omega \cap \Gamma) \cap L_w \text{ is a closed subset of } \varphi_W(D).$$

The inverse of φ_w defines a function $\psi : \Omega \cup \Gamma \rightarrow D$. Let now f be a continuous, CR function on Γ such that, for any w , $f \circ \varphi_w$ is a L^1 function on the curve $\psi(L_w \cap \Gamma) \subset D$. Set

$$(3) \quad a_k(w) = \int_{L_w \cap \Gamma} \frac{f}{\psi^{k+1}} d\psi.$$

THEOREM 1. *f extends holomorphically to Ω , continuous up to Γ if and only if*

$$(4) \quad \overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} |a_k(w)|^{1/k} \leq 1, \quad \forall w \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

This will be obtained as a consequence of two other results: Theorem A and Theorem 2 below.

THEOREM A. (AĪzenberg [3]). *Let γ be a C^1 , simple curve in the unit disc D , closed as a subset of D . Let D^+ , D^- be the components of $D \setminus \gamma$, assume $0 \in D^-$. Let f be a continuous function on γ , $f \in L^1(\gamma)$. Set*

$$(5) \quad a_k = \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta^{k+1}} d\zeta.$$

Then f extends as a holomorphic function on D^+ , continuous up to γ if and only if

$$(6) \quad \overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} |a_k|^{1/k} \leq 1.$$

A simple proof of this Theorem, renewed for the necessity side, can be found in Section 3.

THEOREM 2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}_z \times \mathbb{C}_w^n$ and $\Gamma \subset \partial\Omega$ satisfy (1) and let $f : \Omega \cup \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a function which is holomorphic with respect to the z -variable and, when restricted to $(\Omega \cup \Gamma) \cap L_w$, is continuous up to $\Gamma \cap L_w$. Assume that $f|_{\Gamma}$ is CR and continuous. Then f is holomorphic in Ω with respect to all variables and continuous on $\Omega \cup \Gamma$.*

Notice that the CR-hypothesis is essential in the last theorem: if this hypothesis fails, then f might be even non-locally bounded as we show in the next

EXAMPLE. $\Omega \equiv \{(z, w) \mid |z| < 1/2, |w| < 1\}$, $\Gamma \equiv \{(z, w) \in \partial\Omega \mid |z - 1| < 2/3\}$, $f(z, w) = w(z - 1)^{1/|w|}$ for $w \neq 0$, $f(z, 0) = 0$. There is $f \in C^0(\Gamma)$ because

there $|z - 1| < 2/3 < 1$, but near $\Omega \cap \{|z - 1| > 1\} \cap \{w = 0\}$ f is unbounded (local boundedness of f would at least ensure its continuity by a well known Lindelöf procedure).

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Set $\gamma_w = \psi(L_w \cap \Gamma) \subset D$ so that, for $a_k(w)$ defined in (3), we have

$$a_k(w) = \int_{\gamma_w} \frac{f \circ \varphi_w}{\zeta^{k+1}} d\zeta.$$

Thus we can apply Theorem A to $f \circ \varphi_w$ on γ_w and this gives that (4) is equivalent to the fact that $f \circ \varphi_w$ extends holomorphically to $\psi(\Omega \cap L_w)$, continuous up to γ_w , for any w .

If we go back to $\Omega \cap L_w$ with φ_w , we obtain that (4) is equivalent to the fact that f is holomorphic with respect to the z -variable and, when restricted to $(\Omega \cup \Gamma) \cap L_w$, it is continuous up to $\Gamma \cap L_w$. Thus the necessity of condition (4) follows immediately. For the sufficiency we have only to apply Theorem 2. □

REMARK 1. No assumption is made on the dependence of the φ_w 's on w and their choice is suggested by the various situations where the theorem applies. See "applications" below.

REMARK 2. The L^1 assumption in Theorem 1 and Theorem A can be forgotten when they are used as extendability theorems: one shrinks $\Omega \cup \Gamma$ a little bit...

REMARK 3. No assumption is necessary on the boundary behaviour of γ in Theorem A and, correspondingly, on $\psi(L_w \cap \Gamma) \subset D$ in Theorem 1. For different values of w this last can have very different shapes; an arc joining two points, a closed curve, a curve which is ergodic to the boundary $\partial\Delta$

For instance if γ of Theorem A (or $\psi(L_w \cap \Gamma)$ in Theorem 1, for all w) is a closed curve, then those theorems yield the solvability of an *exterior problem*.

REMARK 4. Instead of taking $\Gamma \subset \partial\Omega$, one can take $\Gamma \subset \Omega$, disconnecting Ω in two parts Ω^+ , Ω^- and Theorem 1 might be applicable twice: to $\Omega^+ \cup \Gamma$ and to $\Omega^- \cup \Gamma$ so that it yields a necessary and sufficient condition for the solution of an *interior problem*. We shall see such a situation in the applications below. See Remark 6 in Section 2.

REMARK 5. The proof of Theorem 2 can become very easy if stronger hypothesis are imposed. For instance if $f \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\Omega \cup \Gamma)$ then the proof goes as follows: for any $j = 1, \dots, n$, $f_{\bar{w}_j}$ is z -holomorphic and vanishes on Γ and hence everywhere in Ω .

Also if Γ contains no complex hypersurface, then the application of Trepreau's well known theorem yields quickly to the statement. Nevertheless, if really *nothing* is assumed on the behaviour of f out of Γ (such as local boundedness or L^1_{loc}) and on the complex structure of Γ , then Theorem 2 becomes a rather delicate matter.

Further possible Developments. We obtained Theorem 1 applying Theorem 2 to Theorem A. One could start with the n - dimensional analogue of Theorem A which we state now.

THEOREM B. (Aĭzenberg, Kytmanov [5]). *Let Ω be a Reinhardt domain in \mathbb{C}^n , $|\partial\Omega|$ the image of $\partial\Omega$ by $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \mapsto (|z_1|, \dots, |z_n|)$, b a $C^2(n - 2)$ -form in the space of the moduli, with support on $|\partial\Omega|$, $\psi(\zeta) = \psi(|\zeta_1|, \dots, |\zeta_n|)$ the Minkowski functional of Ω . Let Γ be a smooth, relatively closed hypersurface in Ω which divides Ω in two domains Ω^- , Ω^+ and let 0 be in Ω^- . Then for the holomorphic extension of a CR function $f \in C^0(\Gamma) \cap L^1(\Gamma)$ it is necessary and sufficient that*

$$\overline{\lim}_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} (|c_\alpha|/d_\alpha(\Omega))^{1/|\alpha|} \leq 1$$

where

$$c_\alpha = \int_\Gamma f(\zeta) \left(\frac{\bar{\zeta}}{\psi^2([\zeta])} \right)^\alpha b \left(\frac{[\zeta]}{\psi([\zeta])} \right) \wedge \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta}$$

and $[\zeta]$ stands for $(|\zeta_1|, \dots, |\zeta_n|)$, usual notation for the multi-index is employed and $d_\alpha(\Omega) = \max_{\bar{\Omega}} |z^\alpha|$.

Other developments of Theorem A are in [4].

2. - Applications of Theorem 1

The very general set up of Theorem 1 has been conceived in view of its simple formulation for some important classes of domains or manifolds.

We list some of them.

(A) Ω is contained in a complete 1-circular domain Δ .

$\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}_z \times \mathbb{C}_w^n$ is such that $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\lambda| < 1$ and $(z, w) \in \Delta$ imply $(\lambda z, w) \in \Delta$. Those domains can be given the form

$$(7) \quad |z| < \rho(w), \quad w \in B.$$

$B \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is an open subset and ρ is a positive, lower semi-continuous function on B . The map φ_w of Theorem 1 is defined by $\zeta \mapsto \zeta\rho(w)$, hence $\psi(z, w) = z\rho(w)^{-1}$. Let Ω be defined by $\phi > 0$, where $\phi \in C^1(\Delta)$ is a real function, Γ by the equation $\phi = 0$. Our requirements (1) and (2) on the position of Γ with respect to Ω and to the maps φ_w become $\phi(0, w) < 0$, $\phi_z \neq 0$ on Γ and for no $w \in B$, $\phi(z, w)$ is positive for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $|z| < \rho(w)$.

For any $f \in C^0(\Gamma) \cap CR(\Gamma)$, set

$$(8) \quad \alpha_k(w) = \int_{(\zeta, w) \in \Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta, w)}{\zeta^{k+1}} d\zeta$$

and

$$(9) \quad r_f(w) = \left(\overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} |\alpha_k(w)|^{1/k} \right)^{-1}$$

$\tilde{\Delta} \equiv \{|z| \leq r_f(w)\}$ is the maximal circular set such that f extends to $\tilde{\Delta} \cap \Omega$. Hence the necessary and sufficient condition (4) for f to be the trace of a function holomorphic in Ω , continuous up to Γ , becomes

$$(10) \quad r_f \geq \rho \quad \text{on } B,$$

and this is very easy to handle in concrete cases.

(B) Ω is contained in a complete circular domain (Cartan domain) Δ .

Here Δ is a domain in \mathbb{C}_z^n with the property that $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\lambda| < 1$, $z \in \Delta$ imply $\lambda z \in \Delta$.

If $B \subset \mathbb{P}_{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$ is the open set of those complex lines which have non-empty intersection with $\Delta \setminus \{0\}$ and $\pi : \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$ is the usual projection, then $\Delta \setminus \{0\}$ can be given the equation

$$0 < |z| < \rho(\pi z), \quad \pi z \in B,$$

where ρ is again any lower semicontinuous, positive function on B . The rest is very similar to the case (A): let Ω be $\phi > 0$, $0 \notin \Omega$, and $\Gamma \equiv \{\phi = 0\}$ with $\sum_{j=1}^n z_j \phi_{z_j}(z) \neq 0$ on Γ . For any $w \in B$ let L_w be the complex line through the origin corresponding to w ($L_w = \pi^{-1}w \cup \{0\}$) and $a(w) \in L_w$, with $|a(w)| = 1$, be arbitrarily chosen. (If $0 \in \Delta$, we have $B = \mathbb{P}_{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$ and a continuous $a(w)$ does not exist, but this displays no role).

The function

$$(11) \quad \alpha_k(w) = \int_{\zeta a(w) \in \Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta a(w))}{\zeta^{k+1}} d\zeta, \quad w \in B,$$

may be non-continuous. Nevertheless, if we set again

$$(12) \quad r_f(w) = \left(\overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} |\alpha_k(w)|^{1/k} \right)^{-1},$$

the condition

$$(13) \quad r_f \geq \rho \quad \text{on } B$$

turns out again to be necessary and sufficient for f to be extendable to Ω as we want.

(C) Ω is a part of a disc bundle Δ .

Although Theorem 1 is stated for open sets, its method works for manifolds as well. Let be $\Delta \equiv \{z \in L, |z| < 1\}$, where L is a holomorphic line bundle over a complex manifold B and $|\cdot|$ a lower semicontinuous hermitian metric on the fibers L_w of L , ($w \in B$). Now $\psi : \Delta \rightarrow D$ is the complex gauge function of Δ . Further details are similar to the preceding cases.

(D) Ω is contained in a domain Δ with simply connected sections.

In this case $\Omega \subset \Delta \subset \mathbb{C}_z \times \mathbb{C}_w^n$ and for each w , $\Delta_w \equiv \Delta \cap \{\mathbb{C}_z \times \{w\}\}$, there is a Riemann map $\Delta_w \rightarrow D$ which defines a function $\psi : \Delta \rightarrow D$. If Γ is unbounded then the L^1 assumption on f becomes an asymptotic condition. Note that if Ω is pseudoconvex then the simply-connectedness hypothesis is useless.

REMARK 6. (Inner problems). This is a continuation of Remark 4 in Section 1. Let $\Delta \equiv \{|z| < \rho(w)\}$ be as in (A) or (B) of Section 1, $\Gamma \equiv \{\phi = 0\}$ with $\phi_z \neq 0$ on Γ . For each $w \in B$, pick arbitrary numbers $a_1(w)$, $a_2(w)$, with $|a_j(w)| < \rho(w)$, ($j = 1, 2$), and $\phi[a_1(w), w] < 0$, $\phi[a_2(w), w] > 0$. Set

$$\varphi_j(z, w) = \frac{z - a_j(w)}{1 - \bar{a}_j(w)\rho^2(w)\bar{z}}, \quad j = 1, 2, \text{ and define, as in (A), for } j = 1, 2,$$

$$r_j(w) = \left\{ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\Phi(\zeta, w)=0} \frac{f(\zeta) d_\zeta \varphi_j(\zeta, w)}{[\varphi_j(\zeta, w)]^{k+1}} \right|^{1/k} \right\}^1.$$

Then the following condition, similar to (10)

$$\rho \leq r_1, \quad \rho \leq r_2 \quad \text{on } B$$

is necessary and sufficient for f to be extended holomorphically to the whole set Δ .

3. - Complexified double layer potential or Plemelj integral and proof of Theorem A

Let γ be a C^1 arc of curve in the complex plane \mathbb{C} such that γ has two endpoints and let f be a complex function on γ . For $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \gamma$, we consider the integral

$$(14) \quad F(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta.$$

This is a holomorphic function on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \bar{\gamma}$. The usual context for studying F is when f is Hölder continuous in γ , continuous on $\bar{\gamma}$.

In this case, F is continuous up to γ and the jump of F across γ is exactly equal to f .

If f is not Hölder but only continuous on $\bar{\gamma}$ (or continuous on γ , L^1 on $\bar{\gamma}$) then the continuity of F up to γ no longer holds and the relation

$$F^+ - F^- = f \quad \text{on } \gamma$$

must be taken in weaker sense, i.e., on dipoles. If z^+ , z^- are two points on the normal to γ at some point $z \in \gamma$, then we have

$$\lim_{z^\pm \rightarrow z} [F(z^+) - F(z^-)] = f(z)$$

(z^+ and z^- are taken at the left and right side respectively of the point moving positively on γ).

In particular if F is continuous up to γ from one side, then it is continuous from the other side too. For all that we refer to [11] or to the original proof of Plemelj [13]. More about this kind of integrals can be found in [11], see also [13].

We are now in a position for proving Theorem A.

PROOF OF THEOREM A. Let F^\pm be defined by the integral (13) according to $z \in D^+$ or $z \in D^-$. Since $O \in D^-$ we can write in the integral (13),

$$(\zeta - z)^{-1} = \zeta^{-1} \sum_0^\infty (z/\zeta)^k$$

and obtain that the left hand side of (6) is the inverse of the radius of convergence of F^- at the origin. Thus condition (6) is equivalent to saying that F^- extends holomorphically to the whole disc and in particular is continuous on γ . Hence, if (6) holds, then F^+ is continuous up to γ , and $F^+ - F^-$ is holomorphic in D^+ , continuous up to γ and equal to f there.

Conversely, if f extends in this way, then the path of integration in the integral defining F^- can be deformed only in a compact subset of D , going inside in D^+ so that the new set D^- includes any given point of D and so F^- is holomorphic in D and (6) holds. □

4. - Proof of Theorem 2

By Hartogs' separate holomorphicity theorem there is no restriction if we assume to be in $\mathbb{C}^2 = \mathbb{C}_z \times \mathbb{C}_w$. Also we can use a classical theorem of Hartogs ([10], Lemma 2.2.11) which says that if $\emptyset \neq E \subset A \subset \mathbb{C}_z$ and $B \subset \mathbb{C}_w$ are open sets and A is connected, then any z -holomorphic function in $A \times B$, which is w -holomorphic in $E \times B$, is also (z, w) -holomorphic in $A \times B$.

Therefore, using the hypothesis (1), we only need to prove the statement near a point $p \in \Gamma$ and choose two small discs $D \subset \mathbb{C}_z$, $\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}_w$ so that $p \in D \times \Delta$ and Γ cuts $D \times \Delta$ in two open components $(D \times \Delta)^+ = \Omega \cap (D \times \Delta)$ and $(D \times \Delta)^-$ so that, for each $w \in \Delta$, the disc $D_w \equiv D \times \{w\}$ is divided in two parts D_w^\pm by a C^1 curve $\gamma_w = \Gamma \cap D_w$.

For any fixed $z^\pm \in (D \times \Delta)^\pm$, we consider the Plemelj integral

$$F^\pm(z^\pm, w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_w} \frac{f(\zeta, w)}{\zeta - z^\pm} d\zeta.$$

By dominated convergence the functions F^\pm are continuous and holomorphic with respect to z^\pm in $(D \times \Delta)^\pm$ respectively. We shall now prove that they are also holomorphic with respect to w in $(D \times \Delta)^\pm$ respectively.

For we fix $(z^\pm, w^\pm) \in (D \times \Delta)^\pm$ and shall prove the w -holomorphicity near this point. Take a smooth, compactly supported function $\psi(w)$ whose support is so small that $\{z^\pm\} \times \text{supp } \psi \subset (D \times \Delta)^\pm$. If we consider ψ as a function in $D \times \Delta$, constant with respect to z , then $\psi|_\Gamma$ is compactly supported.

There is

$$\int F^\pm(z^\pm, w) \psi_{\bar{w}} dw \wedge d\bar{w} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma \frac{f(\zeta, w)}{\zeta - z^\pm} \bar{\partial}(\psi d\zeta \wedge dw) = 0$$

because $f(\zeta, w)(\zeta - z^\pm)^{-1}$ is a CR function on Γ and the holomorphicity of F^\pm follows.

Observe incidentally that, till now, we only dealt with the restriction of f to Γ , thus we proved the well known Andreotti-Hill theorem [6] about the representation of CR functions as a difference of two holomorphic functions. The z -holomorphic function f will be considered now.

There is $(\overline{D \times \Delta})^+ \setminus \Gamma \subset \Omega$, thus, by moving the integration defining F^- , we have

$$F^-(z, w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial^+ D_w} \frac{f(\zeta, w)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$$

where $\partial^+ D_w = \partial D_w \cap \partial D_w^+$.

F^- is now z -holomorphic in $D \times \Delta$, thus, again by the classical theorem of Hartogs, we conclude that F^- extends to a function in $D \times \Delta$ which is holomorphic with respect to both variables and in particular is continuous on Γ , hence F^+ is also continuous up to Γ as well as $f = F^+ - F^-$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] L.A. AĪZENBERG, *On the possibility of holomorphic continuation from a part of the boundary of a domain to the whole domain. A generalization of the theorem of Fock-Kuni*, Complex analysis and mathematical physic, Krasnoyarsk, 5-11 (1980) (Russian).
- [2] L.A. AĪZENBERG, *Carleman formulas in complex analysis. First application* "Nauka", Novosibirsk 1990, (Russian).
- [3] L.A. AĪZENBERG - A.M. KYTMANOV, *On the holomorphic extendability of functions given on a connected part of a boundary*, Mat. Sb., **4**, 490-507 (1991) (Russian).
- [4] L.A. AĪZENBERG, *Simple conditions for holomorphic continuation from a part of the boundary of a convex domain to the whole domain*, preprint, Dept. of Math., Royal Institute of technology, 5-100 44 Stockholm, Sweden, 7 (1991).
- [5] L.A. AĪZENBERG - A.M. KYTMANOV, *On the holomorphic extendability of functions given on a connected part of a boundary*, II, preprint, Roma Tor Vergata, 14 (1991).
- [6] A. ANDREOTTI - D. HILL, *F.E. Levi convexity and the Hans Levy problem*, Part I and II, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, Cl. Sci., **26**, 325-363 and 747-806 (1972).
- [7] V.A. FOCK - F.M. KUNI, *On the introduction of a "annihilating" function in the dispersion equations of gases*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **127**:6, 1195-1198 (1959) (Russian).
- [8] L. HÖRMANDER, *An Introduction to complex analysis in several variables*, Van Nostrand 1966.
- [9] O.V. KAREPOV - N.N. TARKHANOV, *The Cauchy problem for holomorphic functions of Hardy class H^2* , preprint 53M, Institut Fiziki 50 AN SSSR, Krasnoyarsk, 21 (1990) (Russian).
- [10] M.G. KRĚIN - P. YA NUDELMAN, *On some new problems for Hardy class functions and continuous families of functions with double orthogonality*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **14**:2 (1973).
- [11] N.I. MUSKHELISHVILI, *Singular integral equations*, P. Noordhoff N.V. - Groningen - Holland, 435, (1953).
- [12] D.I. PATIL, *Representation of H^p functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **78**:4, 617-620 (1972).
- [13] J. PLEMELJ, *Ein Ergänzungssatz für Cauchyschen Integraldarstellung analytischer Funktionen, Randwerte betreffend*, Monatshefte für Math. u. Phys. XIX, 211-245 (1908).
- [14] B.A. SHAIMKULOV, *Conditions for the solution of the Cauchy problem for holomorphic functions*, Proc. Conf. of geometric theory of functions, Novosibirsk, 1988.
- [15] A. STEINER, *Abschnitte von Randfunktionen beschränkter Analytischer Funktionen*, Lecture Notes in Math. **419**, 342-351, (1974).
- [16] L.N. ZNAMENSKAYA, *Conditions for the holomorphic extension of functions of class L^2 given on a part of the Shilov boundary of circular, starshaped domains*, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh., **31**:3 (1990).

- [17] G. ZIN, *Esistenza e rappresentazione di funzioni analitiche, le quali, su una curva di Jordan, si riducono ad una funzione assegnata*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **34**, 365-405 (1953).

Physics Institute
Russian Academy of Sciences
Siberian branch
660036 Krasnoyarsk
Russia

Dipartimento di Matematica
Università Roma Tor Vergata
00133 Roma