

ANNALI DELLA  
SCUOLA NORMALE SUPERIORE DI PISA  
*Classe di Scienze*

KUO-SHUNG CHENG

CHANG-SHOU LIN

**Compactness of conformal metrics with positive  
gaussian curvature in  $\mathbb{R}^2$**

*Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze 4<sup>e</sup> série, tome 26,*  
n° 1 (1998), p. 31-45

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASNSP\\_1998\\_4\\_26\\_1\\_31\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASNSP_1998_4_26_1_31_0)

© Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 1998, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze » (<http://www.sns.it/it/edizioni/riviste/annaliscienze/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques  
<http://www.numdam.org/>

## Compactness of Conformal Metrics with Positive Gaussian Curvature in $\mathbb{R}^2$

KUO-SHUNG CHENG – CHANG-SHOU LIN

**Abstract.** In this paper we consider the compactness of a sequence of solutions  $u_n$  of

$$(0.1) \quad \Delta u + K(x)e^{2u} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2,$$

where  $K(x)$  is positive in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and decays like  $|x|^{-b}$  at  $\infty$  for some  $b > 0$ . Assuming that the limit of the total curvature of  $u_n$  satisfies

$$(0.2) \quad 2 - b \neq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K(x)e^{2u_n(x)} dx < 2,$$

we prove that  $u_n$  must be bounded in  $W_{\text{loc}}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for any  $p > 1$ . We also construct a specific  $K(x) = K(|x|)$  to show that the total curvature of any solution  $u$  of equation (0.1) with this  $K(|x|)$  must satisfy

$$(0.3) \quad (2 - b) < \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K(x)e^{2u} dx < 2.$$

This appears to be in contrast with the statement of Theorem A<sup>1</sup> in [A]. In this respect, we show that for any  $K$  which decays like  $|x|^{-b}$  for  $0 < b < 2$ , there exists  $\alpha_0(K) > \frac{2-b}{2}$  such that the total curvature of any solution  $u$  of (0.1) must satisfy

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K e^{2u} dx \geq \alpha_0(K) > \frac{2-b}{2}.$$

### 1. – Introduction

In this paper, we consider the entire solution of the equation

$$(1.1) \quad \Delta u + K(x)e^{2u} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2,$$

where  $\Delta$  is the Laplacian operator of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $K(x)$  is a given function in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Equation (1.1) arises in the problem of finding a Riemannian metric which is

conformal to the flat metric of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and realizes the given function  $K(x)$  as its Gaussian curvature. We refer the reader to [CN1] for a brief description of the background and the history of this problem.

In case  $K$  is nonpositive on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , a fairly complete understanding of the the solution set of (1.1) was achieved in [CN1], [CN2]. To state the results in [CN2], we introduce  $\alpha_1$  as

$$(1.2) \quad \alpha_1 = \sup \left\{ \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |K(x)|(1+|x|^2)^\alpha dx < +\infty \right\}.$$

Then the main result in [CN2] is

**THEOREM A.** *Suppose that  $K \leq 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and that*

$$(1.3) \quad |x|^{-m} \leq |K(x)| \leq |x|^m$$

for  $|x|$  large and some positive constant  $m$ . Then we have:

(I) *If  $\alpha_1 \leq 0$ , then (1.1) possesses no entire solution in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .*

(II) *If  $\alpha_1 > 0$ , then the following conclusions hold:*

(i) *For each  $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_1)$ , (1.1) possesses a unique solution  $u_\alpha$  such that*

$$(1.4) \quad u_\alpha(x) = \alpha \log |x| + O(1) \quad \text{at } \infty.$$

(ii) *The function  $U(x)$  given by*

$$U(x) \equiv \sup\{u(x) \mid u \text{ is an entire solution of (1.1) in } \mathbb{R}^2\}$$

*is well-defined everywhere in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and is a solution of (1.1) in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Moreover,  $K(x)e^{2u(x)} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ .*

(iii) *Let  $u$  be an arbitrary solution of (1.1) in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Then either  $u \equiv U$  or  $u \equiv u_\alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_1)$ .*

(iv) *If  $0 < \alpha < \beta < \alpha_1$ , then  $u_\alpha(x) < u_\beta(x) < U(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Furthermore, for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a constant  $R = R(\varepsilon)$  such that for  $|x| > R$ ,*

$$(\alpha_1 - \varepsilon) \log |x| - C \leq U(x) \leq \alpha_1 \log |x| + C.$$

In this paper,  $K$  is always assumed locally bounded and positive in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . A solution  $u$  means  $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for any  $p > 1$  and satisfies (1.1) in the distributional sense. For the case  $K(x)$  is positive in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , it is not expected that results similar to Theorem A should hold. However, for some special  $K(x)$  as stated in Theorem 1.1 below, we have the following result in the spirit of Theorem A.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $K(x) \equiv 1$  for  $|x| \leq 1$  and  $K(x) \equiv |x|^{-b}$  for  $|x| \geq 1$  for some constant  $b > 0$ . Then the following statements hold:*

- (i) *For every  $\alpha$  satisfying  $-2 < \alpha < \min\{0, b - 2\}$ , (1.1) possesses a unique  $C^2$  radial solution  $u_\alpha(r)$  satisfying (1.4).*
- (ii) *Let  $u$  be an arbitrary solution of (1.1) satisfying (1.4) for some  $\alpha$ , then  $\alpha$  satisfies  $-2 < \alpha < \min\{0, b - 2\}$  and  $u(x) \equiv u_\alpha(x)$  where  $u_\alpha(x)$  is the solution in (i) above.*

**REMARK 1.2.** On the contrast to the case  $K \leq 0$ , the family of solution  $u_\alpha(x)$  in Theorem 1.1 does not have the monotone property in  $\alpha$  as the case in Theorem A. In fact, by the concrete construction of solutions in the proof of Theorem 1.1, it can be seen that  $u_\alpha(r)$  and  $u_\beta(r)$  exactly intersects once for  $\alpha \neq \beta$ . We hope that it will be useful in a future study.

Although Theorem 1.1 are only concerned with some specific  $K(x)$ , it still provides an interesting example to the situation when  $K(x)$  is positive in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . In [A], Aviles proved the following theorem, (See Theorem A<sup>1</sup> in [A]).

**THEOREM B.** *Assume  $K(x) > 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} K(x)|x|^b = 1$  for some positive constant  $b > 0$ . Then, for any  $\alpha$  satisfying*

$$(1.5) \quad -2 < \alpha < \min\left(0, \frac{b-2}{2}\right),$$

*there exists a solution  $u$  of (1.1) satisfying*

$$u(x) = \alpha \log |x| + O(1) \quad \text{at } \infty.$$

Let  $K(x)$  be the specific function given in Theorem 1.1 with  $0 < b < 2$ . Then Theorem 1.1 contradicts to the result of Theorem B. In fact, Theorem 1.1 is not an isolated case to show that Theorem B does not hold. For a general  $K(x)$ , set

$$(1.6) \quad \alpha_0 = \sup\{\alpha \mid \text{there is an entire solution } u \text{ of (1.1) such that } u(x) = \alpha \log |x| + O(1) \text{ at } \infty\}.$$

Our main result is

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Suppose that  $K(x)$  is positive and locally bounded in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and satisfies*

$$(1.7) \quad B|x|^{-b} \leq K(x) \leq A|x|^{-b}$$

*for  $|x| \geq 1$  and for positive constants  $A, B$  and  $0 < b < 2$ . Then  $\alpha_0 < -\frac{2-b}{2}$ , where  $\alpha_0$  is given in (1.6).*

Obviously, Theorem 1.2 implies that Theorem B does not hold in general. We note that the real number  $\alpha_1$  in (1.2) is  $-\frac{2-b}{2}$  if  $K(x)$  satisfies (1.7). Theorem 1.2 provides a major contrast to Theorem A for the case  $K(x) \leq 0$ . We would like to remark that solutions possessing the asymptotic behavior (1.4) have a geometric meaning. Following conventional notations, a solution  $u(x)$  of (1.1) is said to have a finite total curvature if  $K(x)e^{2u(x)} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , and the quantity  $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K(x)e^{2u(x)} dx$  is called the total curvature of  $u$ . Assume  $K(x)$  satisfies (1.7). A consequence of our previous results in [CLn] is that a solution  $u$  has a finite total curvature if and only if  $u$  possesses the asymptotic behavior (1.4), or more precisely,  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} u(x)/\log|x|$  exists, and the identity

$$\frac{-1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K(x)e^{2u(x)} dx = \lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{u(x)}{\log|x|}$$

are always true. Please see Lemma 2.1 in Section 2. Thus, it is interesting to know what is the possible range of  $\alpha$  or equivalently, the possible range of the total curvature of solutions. In [M], McOwen proved that if  $0 < K(x) \leq C|x|^{-b}$  at  $\infty$ , then for every  $\alpha \in (-2, (b-2)^-)$  where  $(b-2)^- = \min(0, b-2)$ , there exists a solution of (1.1) satisfying (1.4). Together with Theorem 1.1, we see that the result of McOwen is the best possible for a general  $K$  which decays like  $|x|^{-b}$  at  $\infty$ .

**THEOREM 1.3.** *Suppose  $K(x)$  is a positive continuous function in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and satisfies  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} K(x)|x|^b = 1$  for some  $0 \leq b < 2$ . Assume  $u_n$  is a sequence of solutions of (1.1) such that*

$$(1.8) \quad 2 - b \neq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K(x)e^{2u_n(x)} dx < 2$$

*Then  $u_n$  is bounded in  $W_{\text{loc}}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for any  $p > 1$ . Furthermore if  $u_n$  converges to  $u$  in  $W_{\text{loc}}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , then*

$$(1.9) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K(x)e^{2u_n(x)} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K(x)e^{2u(x)} dx.$$

**COROLLARY 1.4.** *Suppose  $K$  satisfies the assumption of Theorem 1.3 and  $u_n$  is a sequence of solutions of (1.1). If  $|u_n(0)| \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K(x)e^{2u_n(x)} dx \leq 2 - \varepsilon_0$  for some  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ , then we always have*

$$(1.10) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K(x)e^{2u_n(x)} dx = 2\pi(2-b).$$

**COROLLARY 1.5.** *Suppose  $K$  satisfies the assumption of Theorem 1.3 and  $\alpha_0(K)$  is defined in (1.6). If  $\alpha_0(K) > -(2-b)$ , then  $\alpha_0(K)$  is achieved, i.e. there exists a solution  $u$  of (1.1) with*

$$(1.11) \quad -\alpha_0(K) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K(x)e^{2u(x)} dx.$$

REMARK 1.6. When  $K(x)$  decays like  $|x|^{-b}$  for  $b \geq 2$ , and  $u_n$  is a sequence of solutions of (1.4) satisfying

$$0 < \varepsilon_0 \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K(x)e^{2u_n(x)} dx \leq 2 - \varepsilon_0$$

for some  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ , then  $u_n$  is bounded in  $L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . The proof is easy, and will be omitted.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we will give a proof of Theorem 1.1. Both Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 will be proved in Section 3.

## 2. – Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let  $K$  be positive in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and satisfy

$$(2.1) \quad |x|^{-m} \leq K(x) \leq |x|^m$$

for  $|x|$  large, where  $m$  is a positive constant. A solution  $u$  of (1.1) is said to have a finite total curvature if  $Ke^{2u} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , and the quantity  $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int Ke^{2u} dx$  is called the *total curvature* of  $u$ . Theorem 1.1 in [CLn] says that if  $u$  is a solution of (1.1) with a finite total curvature, then  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{u(x)}{\log|x|}$  exists and

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{u(x)}{\log|x|} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} Ke^{2u} dx.$$

Conversely, it is easy to see that if  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{u(x)}{\log|x|}$  exists, then  $Ke^{2u} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and (2.2) holds. Hence, we have

LEMMA 2.1. *Suppose  $K$  satisfies (2.1). Then  $K(x)e^{2u(x)} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  if and only if  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{u(x)}{\log|x|}$  exists. Moreover, (2.2) always holds.*

REMARK 2.2. In fact, Theorem 1.1 in [CLn] also shows that for a solution  $u$  of (1.1) having a finite total curvature  $\alpha$ , there exists a constant  $C$  such that

$$(2.3) \quad \alpha \log|x| - C \leq u(x)$$

holds. Hence, if  $C_2|x|^{-b} \leq K(x) \leq C_1|x|^{-b}$  for large  $|x|$ , then  $\alpha < -\frac{(2-b)^+}{2}$  where  $(2-b)^+ = \max\{2-b, 0\}$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1. Let

$$(2.4) \quad u_\alpha(r) = \frac{1}{2} \log(4B_1) - \log[1 + B_1 r^2], \quad r \in [0, 1]$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad u_\alpha(r) = \frac{1}{2} \log(4A_2^2 B_2) + \left( A_2 - 1 + \frac{b}{2} \right) \log r - \log[1 + B_2 r^{2A_2}],$$

$$r \in [1, \infty),$$

where  $B_1 > 0$  is a constant and  $\alpha = -A_2 - 1 + \frac{b}{2}$ . Then it is not very difficult to verify that  $u_\alpha$  is a  $C^2$ -solution of (1.1) provided that

$$(2.6) \quad A_2 = \left\{ \frac{4B_1 + \left[ B_1 \left( 1 + \frac{b}{2} \right) - \left( 1 - \frac{b}{2} \right) \right]^2}{(1 + B_1)^2} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$(2.7) \quad B_2 = \frac{A_2(1 + B_1) + \left[ B_1 \left( 1 + \frac{b}{2} \right) - \left( 1 - \frac{b}{2} \right) \right]}{A_2(1 + B_1) - \left[ B_1 \left( 1 + \frac{b}{2} \right) - \left( 1 - \frac{b}{2} \right) \right]}.$$

Since  $u_\alpha(0) = \frac{1}{2} \log(4B_1)$ , we see that  $B_1 > 0$  exhausts all radial solutions. It is easy to see that  $u_\alpha$  satisfies (1.4) with  $\alpha = -A_2 - 1 + \frac{b}{2}$ . Now  $A_2$  is a monotonic function of  $B_1$  satisfying

$$\lim_{B_1 \rightarrow 0^+} A_2(B_1) = \left| \frac{b}{2} - 1 \right| \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{B_1 \rightarrow \infty} A_2(B_1) = \frac{b}{2} + 1.$$

Hence  $\alpha$  satisfies  $-2 < \alpha < \min\{0, b - 2\}$ . This proves (i).

Now suppose that  $u$  be an arbitrary solution of (1.1) with finite total curvature. Since  $K(x) = K(|x|)$  is nonincreasing in  $r$  and  $K(r) \geq e^{-r^\beta}$  for any  $0 < \beta < 1$ , then from Theorem 1.7 in [CLn], we conclude that  $u$  must be a radial function. Hence  $u \equiv u_\alpha$  for some  $\alpha$  in the range  $-2 < \alpha < \min\{0, b - 2\}$ , where  $u_\alpha$  is defined in (2.4) and (2.5). This proves (ii).  $\square$

### 3. – Proofs of compactness theorems

In this section, we begin with a proof of Theorem 1.2. First, we need the following result which was proved in [BM].

**THEOREM 3.1** (Theorem 3 in [BM]). *Assume  $u_n$  is a sequence of solutions of*

$$(3.1) \quad \Delta u_n + K_n e^{2u_n} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

satisfying

$$(3.2) \quad 0 \leq K_n \leq C_1 \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad \|e^{2u_n}\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq C_2$$

for two constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ . Then either  $u_n$  is bounded in  $L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$  or there exists a subsequence of  $u_n$  (still denoted by  $u_n$ ) such that either  $u_n \rightarrow -\infty$  uniformly on any compact sets of  $\Omega$  or the blow-up set  $S$  is a set of finite number of points,  $u_n \rightarrow -\infty$  uniformly on any compact set of  $\Omega \setminus S$ , and  $K_n e^{2u_n}$  converges to  $\sum_i \alpha_i \delta_{p_i}$  with  $\alpha_i \geq 2\pi$  and  $S = \cup_i \{p_i\}$ .

REMARK 3.2. When either  $K_n$  is uniformly convergent or converges to a positive constant then Theorem 3.1 can be improved to have  $\alpha_i \geq 4\pi$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2. Suppose  $\alpha_0 = -(\frac{2-b}{2})$ . Since  $\alpha_0 = -\frac{2-b}{2}$  can not be achieved by some solution of (1.1) by Remark 2.2, there exists a sequence of solutions of  $u_n$  such that the total curvature

$$(3.4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K(x) e^{2u_n(x)} dx = \frac{2-b}{2} < 1.$$

Since  $K$  has a lower positive bound in any compact set of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , by Theorem 3.1, we have either  $u_n$  is uniformly bounded in any compact set or  $u_n$  is uniformly convergent to  $-\infty$  in any compact set of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

STEP 1. We claim that  $u_n \rightarrow -\infty$  uniformly in any compact set of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Suppose  $u_n$  is uniformly bounded in any compact set of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . By the elliptic estimates, we may assume  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $W_{\text{loc}}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for any  $p > 1$ . In particular,  $u$  satisfies (1.1) and the total curvature

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int K(x) e^{2u(x)} dx \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int K(x) e^{2u_n(x)} dx = \frac{2-b}{2},$$

which yields a contradiction by Remark 2.2. Hence, by Theorem 3.1, we have  $u_n \rightarrow -\infty$  uniformly in any compact set of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

STEP 2. We claim there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$(3.5) \quad K(x) e^{2u_n(x)} \leq C|x|^{-2} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

To prove the claim, we assume there exists  $x_n \in \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $u_n(x_n) + \frac{(2-b)}{2} \log|x_n| \rightarrow +\infty$ . By Step 1, we have  $|x_n| \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Set

$$v_n(y) = u_n(x_n + |x_n|y) + \frac{2-b}{2} \log|x_n|.$$



Then  $v_n$  satisfies

$$(3.6) \quad \Delta v_n + K_n(y)e^{2v_n(y)} = 0 \quad \text{in } |y| < \frac{1}{2},$$

where  $K_n(y) = |x_n|^b K_n(x_n + |x_n|y)$ . By the assumption on  $K$ ,  $0 < \bar{C}_1 \leq K_n(y) \leq \bar{C}_2$  for  $|y| < \frac{1}{2}$ , and

$$\int_{|y| < \frac{1}{2}} K_n(y)e^{2v_n(y)} dy \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K(x)e^{2u_n(x)} dx < 2\pi.$$

By Theorem 3.1, we conclude that  $v_n(0) \leq C$  for some constant  $C$ , which yields a contradiction to the assumption.

STEP 3. There exists a positive constant  $C$  such that  $|\nabla u_n(x)| \leq C|x|^{-1}$  and  $|u_n(x) - u_n(y)| \leq C$  for  $|x| = |y|$ .

In [CLn], we have proved that  $u_n$  has the following representation

$$(3.7) \quad u_n(x) = u_n(0) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log \frac{|y|}{|x-y|} K(y)e^{2u_n(y)} dy.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla u_n(x)| &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x-y|^{-1} K(y)e^{2u_n(y)} dy \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|y-x| \leq \frac{|x|}{2}} |x-y|^{-1} K(y)e^{2u_n(y)} dy \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|y-x| \geq \frac{|x|}{2}} |x-y|^{-1} K(y)e^{2u_n(y)} dy \end{aligned}$$

By Step 2, the first integral can be estimated by

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|y-x| \leq \frac{|x|}{2}} |x-y|^{-1} K(y)e^{2u_n(y)} dy \leq C_1|x|^{-2} \int_{|y-x| \leq \frac{|x|}{2}} |x-y|^{-1} dy = C_2|x|^{-1}.$$

For the second integral, we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|y-x| \geq \frac{|x|}{2}} |x-y|^{-1} K(y)e^{2u_n(y)} dy \leq \frac{1}{\pi|x|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K(y)e^{2u_n(y)} dy.$$

Combined these two estimates together, we have

$$|\nabla u_n(x)| \leq C_3|x|^{-1}.$$

Set  $w_n(x) = e^{2u_n(x)}$ . Then  $w_n(x)$  satisfies

$$(3.8) \quad \Delta w_n(x) + 4(Ke^{2u_n} + |\nabla u_n|^2)w_n = 0.$$

Since  $K(x)e^{2u_n(x)} + |\nabla u_n|^2 \leq C_4|x|^{-2}$  for some constant  $C_4$ , by Harnack inequality, for any  $a \geq 1$ , there exists a positive constant  $C_5 = C_5(a)$  such that

$$(3.9) \quad \sup_{a^{-1}r \leq |x| \leq ar} w_n(x) \leq C_5 \inf_{a^{-1}r \leq |x| \leq ar} w_n(x).$$

Hence, Step 3 is proved.

STEP 4. For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $R = R(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that  $|u_n(x) - u_n(y)| \leq \varepsilon$  for  $|x| = |y| \geq R_\varepsilon$  and large  $n$ .

Step 4 will be proved by contradiction. Suppose there exist a positive number  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  and  $x_n, y_n$  with  $r_n = |x_n| = |\bar{x}_n| \rightarrow +\infty$  such that  $u_n(\bar{x}_n) - u_n(x_n) \geq \varepsilon_0$ . Let

$$v_n(y) = u_n(r_n y) - u_n(x_n).$$

Then  $v_n$  satisfies

$$\Delta v_n + K_n(y)e^{2v_n} = 0,$$

where  $K_n(y) = e^{2u_n(x_n)} K(r_n y) r_n^2$ . By Step 2,

$$(3.10) \quad K_n(y) \leq C_1 e^{2u_n(x_n)} r_n^{2-b} |y|^{-b} \leq C_2 |y|^{-b}.$$

For  $|y| \geq 1$ , we have

$$(3.11) \quad K_n(y) \geq C_3 e^{2u_n(x_n)} r_n^{2-b} |y|^{-b}.$$

By Step 3 and the Harnack inequality (3.9),  $v_n(y)$  is bounded in  $L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . By the elliptic estimates, we may assume  $v_n(y) \rightarrow v_0(y)$  in  $W_{\text{loc}}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for any  $p > 1$ . Suppose there exists a subsequence of  $x_n$  (still denoted by  $x_n$ ) such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} e^{2u_n(x_n)} r_n^{2-b} = S > 0$ , then by (3.10) and (3.11), we may assume  $K_n(y) \rightarrow K_0(y)$  weakly in  $L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\})$ , where  $K_0(y)$  satisfies

$$C_1 |y|^{-b} \leq K_0(y) \leq C_2 |y|^{-b}$$

for some positive constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , and  $v_0(y)$  satisfies

$$\Delta v_0(y) + K_0(y)e^{2v_0(y)} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}.$$

For any  $0 < r_0 < r_1$ , we have

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{r_0 \leq |y| \leq r_1} K_n(y) e^{2v_0(y)} dy &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{r_0 \leq |y| \leq r_1} K_n(y) e^{2v_n(y)} dy \\ &= \int_{r_0 r_n \leq |y| \leq r_1 r_n} K(x) e^{2u_n(x)} dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K(x) e^{2u_n(x)} dx \\ &\rightarrow \left( \frac{2-b}{2} \right) 2\pi \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the total curvature

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_0(y) e^{2v_0(y)} dy \leq \frac{2-b}{2}.$$

Applying Corollary 1.4 in [CLn],  $v_0(y)$  in fact satisfies

$$(3.13) \quad \Delta v_0(y) + K_0(y) e^{2v_0(y)} = 2\pi\beta\delta(0) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2$$

for some  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\delta(0)$  is the Dirac measure at the origin, and the function  $v_1(y) = v_0(y) - \beta \log |y|$  satisfies

$$(3.14) \quad \Delta v_1(y) + K_0(y) |y|^{2\beta} e^{2v_1(y)} dy = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} o(1) + \beta &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|y|=r} \frac{\partial v_0}{\partial \nu}(y) d\sigma \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|y|=r} \frac{\partial v_n}{\partial \nu}(y) d\sigma \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{-1}{2\pi} \int_{|y| \leq r} K_n(y) e^{2v_n(y)} dy \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{-1}{2\pi} \int_{|x| \leq r_n r} K(x) e^{2u_n(x)} dx, \end{aligned}$$

where  $o(1)$  denotes  $o(1) \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow 0$ . Thus, putting (3.12) and the above together, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_0(y) |y|^{2\beta} e^{2v_1(y)} dy &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_0(y) e^{2v_0(y)} dy \\ &\leq \frac{2-b}{2} + \beta = \frac{2-b+2\beta}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously,  $2-b+2\beta > 0$ . Since  $K_0(y) |y|^{2\beta} \sim |y|^{-b+2\beta}$  at  $\infty$ , by Remark 2.2, there exists no entire solution (3.14) with the total curvature equal to  $\frac{2-b+2\beta}{2}$ . Thus, it yields a contradiction. Hence we have proved  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} e^{2u_n(x_n)} r_n^{2-b} = 0$ .

Since  $e^{2u_n(x_n)} r_n^{2-b} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , then  $v_0(y)$  is harmonic in  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ . By Step 3,

$$|\nabla v_n(y)| = r_n |\nabla u_n(r_n y)| \leq C |y|^{-1}.$$

By Liouville's theorem, we have

$$v_0(y) = \alpha_0 \log |y| + C,$$

where both  $\alpha_0$  and  $C$  are constant. Since  $v_0(y)$  is radially symmetric, it obviously yields a contradiction to the assumption. Hence, Step 4 is proved.

STEP 5. Set

$$(3.15) \quad F_n(r) = \int_{B_r} K(x) e^{2u_n(x)} dx,$$

and

$$(3.16) \quad \bar{u}_n(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi r} \int_{|x|=r} u_n(x) ds.$$

Define  $\bar{K}_n(r)$  by

$$\bar{K}_n(r) = (2\pi r)^{-1} e^{-2\bar{u}_n(r)} \int_{|x|=r} K(x) e^{2u_n(x)} ds.$$

Differentiating (3.15) and (3.16) with respect to  $r$ , we have

$$(3.17) \quad F'_n(r) = (2\pi r) \bar{K}_n(r) e^{2\bar{u}_n(r)}$$

$$(3.18) \quad \bar{u}'_n(r) = \frac{-1}{2\pi r} \int_{B_r} K(x) e^{2u_n(x)} dx = \frac{-F_n(r)}{2\pi r}.$$

Thus, we have

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \left( \frac{r^{1-b} F'_n(r)}{\bar{K}_n(r)} \right)' &= (2\pi r^{2-b} e^{2\bar{u}_n(r)})' \\ &= 2\pi [(2-b)r^{1-b} e^{2\bar{u}_n(r)} + 2r^{2-b} e^{2\bar{u}_n(r)} \bar{u}'_n(r)] \\ &= \frac{(2-b)F'_n}{r^b \bar{K}_n} - \frac{F_n F'_n(r)}{\pi r^b \bar{K}_n} \\ &= \frac{-F'_n(r)}{r^b \bar{K}_n} \left[ \frac{F_n(r)}{\pi} - (2-b) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $F_n(\infty) > \pi(2-b)$ , set  $r_n$  to satisfy  $F_n(r_n) = \pi(2-b)$ . Obviously,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} r_n = +\infty$ . For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , by Step 4, there exists  $R = R(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that

$$Ae^{2\varepsilon} r^{-b} \leq \bar{K}_n(r) \leq e^{-2\varepsilon} B r^{-b} \quad \text{for } r \geq R_\varepsilon.$$

Hence,

$$\left( \frac{r^{1-b} F'_n(r)}{\bar{K}_n(r)} \right)' \geq \begin{cases} \frac{e^{2\varepsilon}}{B} \left( (2-b) - \frac{F_n(r)}{\pi} \right) F'_n(r) & \text{for } R \leq r \leq r_n, \\ \frac{e^{-2\varepsilon}}{A} \left( (2-b) - \frac{F_n(r)}{\pi} \right) F'_n(r) & \text{for } r \geq r_n. \end{cases}$$

Since  $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{rF'_n(r)}{r^b \bar{K}_n(r)} = 0$  for any  $n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{r^{1-b} F'_n(r)}{\bar{K}_n(r)} \Big|_{r=R} &\geq \frac{e^{-2\varepsilon}}{B} \int_R^{r_n} \left[ (2-b) - \frac{F_n(r)}{\pi} \right] F'_n(r) dr \\ &\quad + \frac{e^{2\varepsilon}}{A} \int_{r_n}^{\infty} \left( (2-b) - \frac{F_n(r)}{\pi} \right) F'_n(r) dr \\ &= -e^{-2\varepsilon} B^{-1} \left[ (2-b) F_n(r) - \frac{F_n^2(r)}{2\pi} \right] \Big|_{r=R} \\ &\quad + \left( \frac{e^{2\varepsilon}}{B} - \frac{e^{-2\varepsilon}}{A} \right) \frac{\pi(2-b)^2}{2} + e^{-2\varepsilon} A^{-1} \left( (2-b) F_n(\infty) - \frac{F_n^2(\infty)}{2\pi} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By Step 1, we note that the boundary term at  $R$  tends to 0 as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . By letting  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  first and then  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  the above yields

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \left( \frac{1}{B} - \frac{1}{A} \right) \frac{\pi(2-b)^2}{2} + \frac{1}{A} \left[ (2-b) \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} F_n(\infty) - \frac{\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} F_n^2(\infty)}{2\pi} \right] \\ &= \frac{\pi(2-b)^2}{2B}, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction, where  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} F_n(\infty) = (2-b)\pi$  is used. Therefore, the proof of Theorem 1.2 is completely finished.  $\square$

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3.** Suppose  $u_n$  is a sequence of solution of (1.1) and satisfies the assumption of Theorem 1.3. By Remark 3.2, we may assume that either  $u_n$  is uniformly bounded in any compact set or  $u_n$  uniformly converges to  $-\infty$  in any compact set of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . By the the same reasoning of Step 1 and Step 2 of Theorem 1.2, there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that inequalities

$$(3.20) \quad K(x) e^{2u_n(x)} \leq C|x|^{-2},$$

$$(3.21) \quad |\nabla u_n(x)| \leq C|x|^{-1},$$

$$(3.22) \quad |u_n(x) - u_n(y)| \leq C \quad \text{whenever} \quad |x| = |y|$$

hold.

First, we want to prove  $u_n$  is bounded in  $L_{\text{loc}}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Suppose the claim is not true. As before, we want to prove the asymptotic symmetry of  $u_n$ , i.e. for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $R = R(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that for  $|y| = |x| \geq R$ ,  $|u_n(x) - u_n(y)| \leq \varepsilon$ . Assume the conclusion is not true. Then there exists  $r_n \rightarrow +\infty$  such that  $u_n(\bar{x}_n) \geq u_n(x_n) + \varepsilon_0$  with  $|\bar{x}_n| = |x_n| = r_n$  for some positive constant  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ . Let

$$v_n(y) = u_n(r_n y) - u_n(x_n).$$

Then  $v_n$  is bounded in  $L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\})$  by Harnack inequality and satisfies

$$\Delta v_n + K_n(y)e^{2v_n} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2,$$

where  $K_n(y) = e^{2u_n(x_n)} K(r_n y) r_n^2$ . By the assumption on  $K$  and (3.20) for any  $r_0 > 0$ , we have for  $|y| \geq r_0$ ,

$$K_n(y) \leq 2e^{2u_n(x_n)} r_n^{2-b} |y|^{-b}$$

for large  $n$ . If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} e^{u_n(x_n)} r_n^{2-b} = 0$ , then using (3.21) and the same argument of Step 4 of Theorem 1.2,  $v_n(y)$  converges to  $v_0(y) = \alpha_0 \log |y| + C_0$  in  $L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\})$  where  $\alpha_0$  and  $C_0$  are constant. Since  $v_0(y)$  is radially symmetric, it yields a contradiction.

If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} e^{2u_n(x_n)} r_n^{2-b} = s > 0$ , then  $K_n(y) \rightarrow s|y|^{-b}$  uniformly in any compact set of  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $v_0(y)$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v_0(y) + s|y|^{-b} e^{2v_0(y)} = \beta \delta(0) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \\ v_0(y) = \frac{\beta}{2\pi} \log |y| + O(1) & \text{as } y \rightarrow 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\delta(0)$  is the Dirac measure. For any  $r_0 > 0$ ,

$$\int_{|y|=r_0} \frac{\partial v_0(y)}{\partial \nu} d\sigma = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{|y|=r_0} \frac{\partial v_n}{\partial \nu} d\sigma = - \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{|y| \leq r_0} K_n(y) e^{2v_n} dy \leq 0.$$

Thus either  $v_0(y)$  is regular at 0 or  $v_0(y) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $|y| \rightarrow +\infty$ . Since  $|y|^{-b} e^{2v_0(y)} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and  $v_0(y) = \alpha \log |y| + O(1)$  as  $|y| \rightarrow +\infty$ , for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $2\alpha - b < -2$ , i.e.  $|y|^{-b} e^{2v_0(y)} = o(1)|y|^{-2}$  as  $|y| \rightarrow +\infty$ . Hence, we can apply the method of moving planes as in [CL] and [CLn] to prove  $v_0(y)$  is radially symmetric with respect to the origin, which obviously yields a contradiction. Hence the uniform asymptotic symmetry of  $u_n$  is proved.

To finish the proof of Theorem 1.3, we set

$$F_n(r) = \int_{B_r} K(x) e^{2u_n(x)} dx,$$

and,

$$\bar{K}_n(r) = (2\pi r)^{-1} e^{-2\bar{u}_n(r)} \int_{|x|=r} K(x) e^{2u_n(x)} dx.$$

As in (3.19), we have

$$(3.23) \quad \left( \frac{r^{1-b} F'_n(r)}{\bar{K}_n(r)} \right)' = \frac{-F'_n(r)}{r^b \bar{K}_n(r)} \left[ \frac{F_n(r)}{\pi} - (2-b) \right].$$

For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $R = R(\varepsilon)$  be large such that

$$e^{-3\varepsilon} r^{-b} \leq \bar{K}_n(r) \leq e^{3\varepsilon} r^{-b}$$

holds for  $r \geq R$ . This immediately follows from the uniformly asymptotic symmetry of  $u_n$  and the assumption on  $K$ . Let  $r_n$  satisfy  $F_n(r_n) = \pi(2 - b)$ . Suppose  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K(x) e^{2u_n(x)} dx < (2 - b)$  first. Then we can follow the same proof as Step 5 in Theorem 1.2 to obtain

$$(2 - b)F(\infty) - (2\pi)^{-1} F^2(\infty) \leq 0,$$

where  $F(\infty) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K(x) e^{2u_n(x)} dx$ . Obviously, the above yields  $F(\infty) \geq 2\pi(2 - b)$ , a contradiction.

Suppose  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K(x) e^{2u_n(x)} dx > 2\pi(2 - b)$ . Then by (3.23), we have the reverse inequality

$$\left( \frac{r^{1-b} F'_n(r)}{\bar{K}_n(r)} \right)' \leq \begin{cases} e^{3\varepsilon} [(2 - b) - F_n(r)/\pi] F'_n(r) & \text{for } R \leq r \leq r_n, \\ e^{-3\varepsilon} [(2 - b) - F_n(r)/\pi] F'_n(r) & \text{for } r \geq r_n. \end{cases}$$

Integrating the above and letting  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  first and then  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$(2 - b)F(\infty) - \frac{F^2(\infty)}{2\pi} \geq 0,$$

which implies

$$F(\infty) \leq 2\pi(2 - b).$$

Obviously, it yields a contradiction. Hence the boundedness of  $u_n$  in  $L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is proved.

To prove (1.9), we may assume  $u_n \rightarrow u_0$  in  $W_{\text{loc}}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for any  $p > 1$ . Obviously,  $u_0$  satisfies (1.1) and has a finite total curvature. In particular,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K(x) e^{2u_0(x)} dx > \frac{2 - b}{2}.$$

Hence, there exists  $R_0 > 0$ ,  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  and  $n_0$  such that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{B_r} K(x) e^{2u_n(x)} dx > \left( \frac{2 - b}{2} + \varepsilon_0 \right)$$

for all  $r \geq R_0$  and  $n \geq n_0$ . Integrating (1.1), we have

$$\frac{d}{dr} \bar{u}_n(r) < - \left( \frac{2 - b}{2} + \varepsilon_0 \right) r^{-1}$$

for all  $r \geq R_0$  and  $n \geq n_0$ , where  $\bar{u}_n(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi r} \int_{|x|=r} u_n(x) d\sigma$ . Thus,

$$\bar{u}_n(r) \leq \bar{u}_n(R_0) - \left( \frac{2-b}{2} + \varepsilon_0 \right) \log r/R_0.$$

Applying the Harnack inequality, we have

$$u_n(x) \leq \bar{u}_n(|x|) + C_1 \leq C_2 - \left( \frac{2-b}{2} + \varepsilon_0 \right) \log r$$

for  $r \geq R_0$  and  $n \geq n_0$  where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are constants independent of  $n$  and  $r$ . In particular,

$$\int_{|y| \geq r} K(x) e^{2\bar{u}_n(x)} dx \leq C_3 \int_{|y| \geq r} |x|^{-(2+2\varepsilon_0)} dx$$

could be arbitrarily small provided that  $r$  is large. Thus, (1.9) follows immediately. And the proof of Theorem 1.3 is finished.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [A] P. AVILES, *Conformal complete metrics with prescribed non-negative Gaussian curvature in  $\mathbb{R}^2$* , Invent. Math. **83** (1986), 519-544.
- [BM] H. BREZIS – F. MERLE, *Estimates on the solutions of  $\Delta u = v(x) \exp u(x)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$* , Comm. Partial Differential Equations **16** (1991), 1223-1253.
- [CL] W.-X. CHENG – C.-M. LI, *Classification of solutions of some nonlinear elliptic equations*, Duke Math. J. **63** (1991), 615-622.
- [CLn] K.-S. CHENG – C.-S. LIN, *On the asymptotic behavior of solutions of the conformal Gaussian curvature equation in  $\mathbb{R}^2$* , Math. Ann. **308** (1991), 119-139
- [CN1] K.-S. CHENG – W.-M. NI, *On the structure of the conformal Gaussian curvature equation on  $\mathbb{R}^2 I$* , Duke. Math. J. **62** (1991), 721-737.
- [CN2] K.-S. CHENG – W.-M. NI, *On the structure of the conformal Gaussian curvature equation on  $\mathbb{R}^2 II$* , Math. Ann. **290** (1991), 671-680.
- [CY1] S. Y. A. CHANG – P. C. YANG, *Prescribing Gaussian curvature on  $S^2$* , Acta Math. **159** (1987), 215-259.
- [CY2] S. Y. A. CHANG – P. C. YANG, *Conformal deformation of metric on  $S^2$* , J. Differential Geom. **27** (1988), 259-296.
- [H] Z.-C. HAN, *Prescribing Gaussian curvature on  $S^2$* , Duke Math. J. **61** (1990), 679-703.
- [M] R. MCOWEN, *Conformal metric in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with prescribed Gaussian curvature and positive total curvature*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **34** (1985), 97-104.

Department of Mathematics  
National Chung Cheng University  
Minghsiang, Chiayi, Taiwan  
kscheng@math.ccu.edu.tw  
cslin@math.ccu.edu.tw