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1.- Results. We shall indicate some inequalities for resultants of polynomials with integer coefficients and for decomposable forms in $n$ variables with coefficients in Q. A form $f(\underline{x})=f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is called decomposable if it is a product of linear forms with algebraic coefficients.

In the sequel let $M$ be an arbitrary but fixed finite set of valuations of $\mathbb{Q}$ containing the archimedean one. A point $\underline{x} \in \mathbf{z}^{n}$ is called primitive for $M$ if the greatest common divisor of the components of $\underline{x}$ is not divisible by the primes corresponding to the non-archimedean valuations in $M$.

THEOREM 1.1. Let $f(\underline{x})=f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be a decomposable form of degree $d$ with rational coefficients. Let $k$ be an integer with $d>k \geq n>1$ and suppose that $f$ is not divisible by a rational form of degree less than $k$ and that moreover in the factorization $f(\underline{x})=I_{1}(\underline{x}) \ldots I_{d}(\underline{x})$ of $f$ in a product of linear forms with algebraic coefficients any $k$ factors $I_{i}(\underline{x})$ have rank $n$. Then for every $\varepsilon>0$ there are only finitely many integer points $\underline{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, which are primitive for $M$, such that the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{p \in \mathbb{N}}|f(\underline{x})|_{p}<\|\underline{x}\|^{d-2(k-1)-\varepsilon} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satisfied, where $\|\underline{x}\|=\max \left\{\left|x_{1}\right|, \ldots,\left|x_{n}\right|\right\}$.

As a consequence of theorem 1.1 we can prove

THEOREM 1.2. Let $R(X) \in Z[X]$ be of degree $d$ and without multiple roots. Suppose $0<t<d$, and $R(X)$ has no factor of degree $<t$ in $Z[X]$. Let $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{s}\right\}$ be a finite set of primes and denote the archimedean prime by $p_{o}$. For polynomials $P$ and $Q$ write $r(P, Q)$ for their resultant. Then for every $\varepsilon>0$ there are only finitely many polynomials $Q(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ of degree $\leq t$, whose coefficients have no common factor, divisible by one of the $p_{i}(1 \leq i \leq s)$ and with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=0}^{s}|r(R, Q)|_{p_{i}}<H(Q)^{d-2 t-\varepsilon} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H(Q)$ means the height of $Q$, i.e. the maximum of the absolute values of its coefficients.

COROLLARY. Let the hypotheses be the same as in theorem 1.1, but suppose moreover $d>2 t$ and that we are considering only polynomials $Q$ with respectively coprime coefficients. Write $P(Q)$ for the greatest prime factor of $r(R, Q)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(Q) \rightarrow \infty \text { as } H(Q) \rightarrow \infty . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following theorem gives an estimate for decomposable, irreducible forms. Let $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ be elements of a number field $K$ and write $\boldsymbol{n}$ for the norm from $K$ to $\mathbb{Q}$ 。

THEOREM 1.3. Suppose $N(\underline{x})=n\left(\alpha_{1} x_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{n} x_{n}\right)$ is an irreducible norm form of degree $d>n>1$, whose coefficients $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ are linearly independent over $Q$ and ly in a number field $K$ which has no nontrivial subfields. Then for every $\varepsilon>0$ there are only finitely many integer points $x$, which are primitive for $M$, such that
(1.4)

$$
\prod_{p \in \mathbb{M}}|N(\underline{x})|_{p} \leq\|\underline{x}\|^{d-d^{\prime}-\varepsilon}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{\prime}=\frac{n}{n-1} 2^{(n-2) / 2} d^{(n-2) /(n-1)} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

All these results have been proved by W.M. SCHMIDT [2] in the archimedean case.
2.- On the proofs. The method of proof is that by SCHMIDT [2]. The main work consists in reducing the assertions in such a way that the following criterion for the estimation of products of norm forms is applicable (this is a special version of theorem 1.1 [1]):

THEOREM 2.1. Suppose $f(\underline{x})=f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is a form with rational coefficients, which is decomposable in a product of $d$ linear forms $I_{i}(\underline{x})(1 \leq i \leq d)$ with algebraic coefficients. If the inequality

$$
\text { (2.1) } \prod_{p \in \mathbb{M}}|f(\underline{x})|_{p}<c \|\left. x\right|^{d-\eta}
$$

has infinitely many solutions $\underline{x}$, which are primitive for $M$, with positive constants $c$ and $\eta$, then there is a rational subspace $S^{t}$ of dimension $t$ with $1 \leq t \leq n$ and there are $m$ forms $l_{i_{1}}, \ldots, l_{i_{m}} \frac{\text { among the forms }}{} l_{1}, \ldots, l_{d}$ with $1 \leq m \leq d$ and $i_{1}<\ldots<i_{m}$, whose restrictions to $S^{t}$ have rank $r$ satisfying (2.2) $r<t$ and $r \leq \frac{t m}{\eta}$.

Applying (2.2) of theorem 2.1 for the proof of theorem 1.1 one sees that it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<r<t \text { implies } \frac{2(k-1)}{t} \geq \frac{m}{r}, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

since by the hypotheses of theorem 1.1 a linear factor $l_{i}$ of $f$ has $l_{i}(\underline{x})=0$
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only for $\underline{x}=0$.
We take an arbitrary but fixed rational subspace $S^{t}$ of dimension $t \geq 2$ and we write $m(r)$ for the maximum number of linear forms $I_{i_{1}}, \ldots, l_{i_{m}}$ of rank $r$ on $S^{t}$. Putting $q(r)=\frac{m(r)}{r}$ and $q_{0}=\max _{0<r<t} q(r)$ one shows that the hypotheses of theorem 1.1 imply $q_{0} \leq \frac{2(k-1)}{t}$, which proves by (2.3) the theorem (for more details cf. [2], pp. 242-245).

Theorem 1.2 is proved by using theorem 1.1. Let $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{d}$ be the roots of $R$. Then

$$
r(R, Q)=a_{d}^{q} Q\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \ldots Q\left(\alpha_{d}\right)
$$

where $q=\operatorname{deg} Q$ and $a_{d}$ is the leading coefficient of $R$.
Since deg $Q \leq t, Q$ may be written as $Q(X)=b_{t} X^{t}+\ldots+b_{1} X+b_{0}$ with $\left(b_{t}, \ldots, b_{1}, b_{o}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{t+1}$. Therefore as $Q$ runs through the polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ of degree $\leq t, Q\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$ runs through the values of the linear form $l_{i}(y)=y_{t} \alpha_{i}^{t}+\ldots+y_{1} \alpha_{i}+y_{o}$ at points $y \in z^{t+1}$. The hypotheses of theorem 1.2 imply those of theorem 1.1 with $t+1=n=k$; thus by (1.1) the assertion follows. (It should be noted that the condition that $R$ has no multiple roots guarantees that any $k$ of the linear forms $I_{i}(y)(1 \leq i \leq d)$ have rank $\left.n.\right)$

Theorem 1.2 is also obtained as an application of theorem 2.1. But the necessary considerations to obtain the shape of $d^{\prime}$ as in (1.5) are more intricated. For the precise details we refer the reader to [2], pp. 245-253.
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