

Astérisque

R. FINN

The influence of boundary geometry on capillary surfaces without gravity

Astérisque, tome 118 (1984), p. 157-165

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AST_1984__118__157_0

© Société mathématique de France, 1984, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la collection « Astérisque » (<http://smf4.emath.fr/Publications/Asterisque/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

THE INFLUENCE OF BOUNDARY GEOMETRY ON
CAPILLARY SURFACES WITHOUT GRAVITY

by R. FINN (Stanford University)

1. For given boundary geometry, the form of a capillary free surface can change strikingly, depending on whether or not an external force (gravity) field is present. We consider the historically important example of a cylinder Z of homogeneous material, closed at one end by a base of general section Ω and partly filled with liquid. We seek to characterize those configurations for which liquid can cover Ω and be in mechanical equilibrium. According to the Principle of Virtual Work, the associated energy functional

$$(1) \quad E = \sigma(S - \beta S^* + 2HV)$$

will be stationary in equilibrium configuration. Here S is the (free) fluid surface area, σ the surface tension, S^* the area of wetted surface on Z , $\sigma\beta$ the adhesion coefficient of fluid to cylinder, $2\sigma H$ a Lagrange multiplier arising from the constraint that the volume V of fluid is prescribed. Formal variational procedures lead to a geometrical problem: to find those sections Ω such that there will exist a surface S of constant mean curvature H , which covers Ω and meets the cylinder walls Z in the constant angle $\gamma = \cos^{-1} \beta$ (measured between S and Ω). Our principal interest is directed toward configurations for which the energy will be minimized, and hence - in view of a theorem of Miranda [13] - we consider only surfaces that can be described non-parametrically by a function $z = u(x,y)$ over Ω . The variational condition applied to (1) then leads to an

analytical formulation

$$(2) \quad \operatorname{div} Tu \equiv 2H = \frac{\Sigma}{\Omega} \cos \gamma$$

in Ω , with

$$(3) \quad Tu \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}} Du$$

and

$$(4) \quad \nu \cdot Tu = \cos \gamma$$

on $\Sigma = \partial\Omega$. Here ν is outer directed normal on Σ . We use the symbols Σ , Ω , ... both to denote a set and to denote its measure.

We may always assume $0 \leq \gamma < \pi/2$. We assume Σ to be smooth except for a finite number of isolated corners P with interior angle 2α ($\leq 2\pi$). At P the condition (4) is not prescribed. It can be shown that a solution, whenever one exists, is nevertheless uniquely determined up to an additive constant; no growth condition at P need be imposed.

2. It was shown by Concus and Finn [2] that solutions of (2-4) may not exist, even for convex analytic Σ ; thus the structure of the solution set is quite different from what happens in a gravity field. The nonexistence is not an idiosyncrasy of the equations, it has been verified experimentally.

If a corner P appears, then no solution can exist if $\alpha + \gamma < \pi/2$; however, solutions for which $\alpha + \gamma = \pi/2$ are explicitly known [2].

3. The question of determining natural geometrical conditions on Ω for existence of a solution was addressed by Giusti and Weinberger [12], by Chen [1], by Finn [5] and by Finn and Giusti [10], with limited success. We describe here another approach to the problem, that has led to more inclusive results (Finn

[6, 7, 8], Concus and Finn [3, 4], Tam [17]). The underlying idea contacts on an observation of Concus and Finn [2], that whenever a solution exists, the functional

$$(5) \quad \Phi[\Gamma] \equiv \Gamma - \Sigma^* \cos \gamma + \left(\frac{\Sigma}{\Omega} \cos \gamma \right) \Omega^*$$

must be positive for any curve (or system of curves) Γ that cuts a subregion Ω^* from Ω and subarc Σ^* from Σ (see Figure 1).




Figure 1

Giusti [11] showed that whenever there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ so that the modified functional

$$(6) \quad \Phi^\varepsilon[\Gamma] \equiv (1 - \varepsilon)\Gamma - \Sigma^* \cos \gamma + \left(\frac{\Sigma}{\Omega} \cos \gamma \right) \Omega^*$$

is positive for all Γ as above, then a capillary surface must exist. In the special case $\gamma = 0$, he showed that $\Phi[\Gamma] > 0$ is already sufficient [12].

4. In order to see how these requirements relate to the geometry of Ω , it is

natural to seek those Γ that minimize the respective functionals. If we compare (5) with (1), we see a remarkable analogy, which shows that the problem of minimizing (5) is simply that of finding a capillary surface in one lower dimension. The only differences are a) the mean curvature is now prescribed in advance $\left(= \frac{\Sigma}{\Omega} \cos \gamma \right)$ and b) the bounding walls are no longer cylindrical, so that the problem must now be studied in a parametric formulation. The structure of the problem tells us, however, what to expect, and the result is proved in [7]: *A minimizing set Γ , whenever one exists, consists of circular arcs of radius $R_\gamma = \frac{\Omega}{\Sigma \cos \gamma}$. If $\gamma \neq 0$, then Γ consists of a finite number of disjoint arcs, each of which either meets Σ at a point P , or else intersects Σ with angle γ , measured on the side of Γ opposite to that into which its curvature vector points. No arc of Γ can enter a point P at which $2\alpha < \pi$, and no arc of Γ can include a semicircle.*

5. It can happen that no minimizing set exists. *Whenever that happens, there holds $\Phi[\Gamma] > 0$, all $\Gamma \subset \Omega$ [7]. Further, it is shown in [7] that if at every corner P there holds $\alpha + \gamma > \pi/2$, then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\Phi^\varepsilon[\Gamma] > 0$, all $\Gamma \subset \Omega$. Under this condition, we obtain:*

The nonexistence of a solution to the subsidiary variational problem for $\Phi[\Gamma]$ is a sufficient condition for the existence of a solution to the original (capillary) variational problem for $E[S]$.

The nonexistence of a minimizing set can be verified directly in many particular cases (e.g., it is easy to see that it holds in any parallelogram for which the smaller angle satisfies $\alpha + \gamma > \pi/2$). It also holds in any case for which the second variation on any extremal Γ can be made negative. In [7] this second variation is calculated explicitly. In polar coordinates r, θ referred to the center of an arc Γ , we find in terms of a variation $\dot{r} = \eta$,

$$(7) \quad I[\eta] \equiv \ddot{\Phi} = Q + \frac{\cot \gamma}{R_Y} \left[\left(1 - k_1 \frac{R_Y}{\cos \gamma} \right) \eta_1^2 + \left(1 - k_2 \frac{R_Y}{\cos \gamma} \right) \eta_2^2 \right]$$

with

$$(8) \quad Q = \frac{1}{R_Y} \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} (\eta'^2 - \eta^2) d\theta .$$

Here the indices 1, 2 refer to the two points of contact with Σ , and k_1, k_2 are the curvatures of Σ at those points, considered as positive when the curvature vector points into Ω .

Under the constraint $\eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2 = 1$, the stationary points for $\ddot{\Phi}$ are the rigid motions

$$(9) \quad \eta = a \cos(\theta - \sigma)$$

where σ, a satisfy

$$(10) \quad \frac{2 \sin 2\sigma}{\cos 2\sigma + \cos 2\delta} = (k_2 - k_1) \frac{R_Y}{\sin \gamma}$$

$$(11) \quad a^2 = \frac{1}{1 + \cos 2\sigma \cos 2\delta}, \quad \delta = \theta_2 - \theta_1 .$$

These equations admit, in general, four distinct solutions.

For any choice of σ, a , we find from (7), (9)

$$(12) \quad \frac{1}{a^2} I[\eta] = -\sin 2\delta \cos 2\sigma + \cot \gamma \left\{ \cos^2(\delta - \sigma) \left[1 - k_2 \frac{R_Y}{\cos \gamma} \right] + \cos^2(\delta + \sigma) \left[1 - k_1 \frac{R_Y}{\cos \gamma} \right] \right\} .$$

We find immediately the general result: if $\gamma > 0$ and if for every strict subarc Γ of a semicircle of radius R_Y that meets Σ in equal angles γ (measured exterior to the semicircle) the relation (12) with one of the four σ from (10) yields a negative I , then there exists a solution of (2-4).

Further sufficiency criteria appear in [7]. These criteria are useful in many particular cases, although for a general configuration they do require an investigation of the possible extremal configurations. The criterion can be made

a priori in the case of a convex Ω , as then $k_1, k_2 \geq 0$ and the angle δ can be estimated in terms of the maximal boundary curvature. We obtain the result [7]:
Suppose the boundary curvature k satisfies $0 \leq k_m \leq k \leq k_M \leq \infty$. Then a solution of (2-4) exists whenever either $R_Y k_M \leq 1$ or

$$(13) \quad \min \left\{ \frac{\sin^2 \gamma}{R_Y k_M - \cos \gamma}, \cos \gamma \right\} + \left\{ R_Y k_m - \cos \gamma \right\} > 0 .$$

The particular case of a trapezoidal section is discussed in some detail in [6], where an anomalous behavior that had been observed for that section is clarified.

6. The extremals for the subsidiary problem have a curious property [4]:
Suppose there is a rigid displacement η of an extremal Γ , for which $d\gamma = 0$ at both points of contact with Σ . Then $I[\eta] = 0$; further, η is an extremal for the functional I , in the sense that when η is expressed in the form (9) the parameters σ , a satisfy (10) and (11).

7. It can be shown that to every section Ω there corresponds an angle γ_0 in $\left[0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$ such that if $\gamma_0 < \pi/2$, a solution of (2-4) exists for all $\gamma > \gamma_0$, while if $\gamma_0 > 0$, then no solution exists for $\gamma < \gamma_0$. Concus and Finn [3] studied the case $\gamma = \gamma_0$ and obtained the result:

Suppose $0 < \gamma_0 < \frac{\pi}{2}$. If Σ is smooth, or if $\alpha + \gamma_0 > \frac{\pi}{2}$ at all corners, then no solution exists at γ_0 . If one or more corners appear at which $\alpha + \gamma_0 = \frac{\pi}{2}$, then a solution may or may not exist, depending on the geometry.

It may at first seem surprising that a surface should exist when Σ is not smooth and fail to exist when Σ is smooth. However, the matter can be viewed from another point of view: If Σ is smooth, the capillary surface disappears in a continuous way as $\gamma \downarrow \gamma_0$, while if Σ has one or more corners, the surface can disappear in a discontinuous way.

CAPILLARY SURFACES WITHOUT GRAVITY

In every case for which the surface fails to exist at γ_0 , the surfaces corresponding to a sequence $\gamma \downarrow \gamma_0$ can be normalized so that at γ_0 a limiting configuration is obtained, of a solution surface defined over a part Ω_0 of Ω bounded in part by extremals Γ of the subsidiary problem, and which is asymptotic at Γ to vertical circular cylinders of radius R_γ over Γ . If $\Omega_0 = \phi$ then the limiting surface consists of one or more vertical circular cylinders.

We note that in the above result, the case $\alpha + \gamma_0 < \frac{\pi}{2}$ cannot occur. This follows from the general result (§2 above) that no solution can exist when $\alpha + \gamma < \frac{\pi}{2}$ holds at any corner. If $\alpha + \gamma = \frac{\pi}{2}$ at some corner, then the positivity of Φ^ε (§3 above) fails for every $\varepsilon > 0$.

8. It is desirable to characterize those configurations with $\alpha + \gamma_0 = \frac{\pi}{2}$, for which a solution will exist. A simple example is obtained by choosing Ω to be a regular polygon. A lower hemisphere whose equatorial circle circumscribes Ω then provides an explicit solution of (2-4), with $\alpha + \gamma = \frac{\pi}{2}$ at each corner. Larger values of γ are obtained by increasing the radius of the hemisphere, while for smaller γ there is no solution (discontinuous disappearance).

A general configuration does not seem to lend itself to a comparably simple discussion; however, Finn [8] proved the following result: *Suppose that at each corner P there is a lower hemisphere of radius R_{γ_0} which in some neighborhood of P meets the vertical cylinder walls over Σ in angles not larger than γ_0 . Suppose also that $\Phi[\Gamma] > 0$ for all admissible $\Gamma \subset \Omega$. Then there exists a solution $u(x)$ of (2-4) in Ω . If $u(x)$ is normalized (e.g., so that $\int_{\Omega} u \, dx = 0$), then $u(x) < M < \infty$ in Ω , depending only on the geometry and on the physical constants. The bounds are (in principle) explicit.*

This result was strengthened in important ways by Tam [17], who also extended it to any number of dimensions. Tam's proof proceeds along quite different lines, using an indirect argument based on the notion of generalized solution introduced

by Miranda [14].

Siegel [15] studied the behavior of solutions $u(x;g)$ in a gravity field g , directed toward the base through the fluid, as $g \rightarrow 0$. He showed that if Σ is smooth, if $\gamma > 0$, and if there exists a solution $v(x)$ of (2-4) in Ω , then (after normalization by additive constants) $|u - v| = o(g)$ in Ω . Later Tam [17] weakened the restriction on Σ so as to allow corners, and showed that if $\gamma = 0$, then two different types of behavior can occur, depending on whether or not $v \in L^1(\Omega)$. Tam also provides new information on what happens in the case $\gamma = \gamma_0$.

R E F E R E N C E S

- [1] J.-T. CHEN, On the existence of capillary free surfaces in the absence of gravity, Pacific J. Math. 88 (1980), 323-361.
- [2] P. CONCUS and R. FINN, On capillary free surfaces in the absence of gravity, Acta Math. 132 (1974), 177-198.
- [3] —————, Continuous and discontinuous disappearance of capillary surfaces, to appear.
- [4] —————, On the extremals of a subsidiary capillary problem, to appear.
- [5] R. FINN, Existence and nonexistence of capillary surfaces, Manuscripta Math. 28 (1979), 1-11.
- [6] ————, Existence criteria for capillary free surfaces without gravity, Indiana J. Math., 32 (1983), 439-460.
- [7] ————, A subsidiary variational problem and existence criteria for capillary surfaces, to appear.
- [8] ————, On a limiting geometry for capillary surfaces, to appear.
- [9] R. FINN and C. GERHARDT, The internal sphere condition and the capillary problem, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 112 (1977), 13-31.

CAPILLARY SURFACES WITHOUT GRAVITY

- [10] R. FINN and E. GIUSTI, Nonexistence and existence of capillary surfaces, *Manuscripta Math.* 28 (1979), 13-20.
- [11] E. GIUSTI, Boundary value problems for nonparametric surfaces of prescribed mean curvature, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa S IV*, 3 (1976), 501-548.
- [12] ———, On the equation of surfaces of prescribed mean curvature. Existence and uniqueness without boundary conditions, *Invent. Math.* 46 (1978), 11-137.
- [13] M. MIRANDA, Superfici cartesiane generalizzate ed insiemi di perimetro localmente finito sui prodotti cartesiani, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa* 18 (1964), 515-542.
- [14] ———, Superfici minime illimitate, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa* 4 (1977), 313-322.
- [15] D. SIEGEL, On the behavior of a capillary surface in a narrow tube, to appear.
- [16] L. SIMON, Regularity of capillary surfaces over domains with corners, *Pacific J. Math* 88 (1980), 363-377.
- [17] L.-F. TAM, Dissertation, Stanford University, 1984.

Robert FINN
Department of Mathematics
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305
U.S.A.