

Astérisque

GAUTAMI BHOWMIK

**Divisor functions of integer matrices: evaluations,
average orders and applications**

Astérisque, tome 209 (1992), p. 169-177

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AST_1992__209__169_0>

© Société mathématique de France, 1992, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la collection « Astérisque » (<http://smf4.emath.fr/Publications/Asterisque/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

DIVISOR FUNCTIONS OF INTEGER MATRICES: EVALUATIONS, AVERAGE ORDERS AND APPLICATIONS

Gautami BHOWMIK*

Divisor functions have been extensively studied in classical number theory. We examine the corresponding functions for matrices over the ring of integers. These functions not only are a natural generalisation of historically important concepts, but their study also yields applications and some new interpretations.

We deal only with $r \times r$ nonsingular matrices with entries from the ring of integers. Since there are an infinite number of unimodular matrices, it is necessary to identify *canonical factorisations* of matrices. In his study of arithmetic of matrices, Nanda [8] defines them as follows:

DEFINITION 1. The decomposition of the matrix A as

$$A = A_1 A_2 \dots A_k, \quad (1)$$

where A_k, A_{k-1}, \dots, A_2 are matrices in nonsingular Hermite Normal Form (HNF), is said to be a k -order (canonical) factorisation of A .

Since an HNF matrix uniquely represents a class under one-sided equivalence, two factorisations defined as in (1) are *inequivalent*.

* Research supported in part by the Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst.

DEFINITION 2. The function $\tau_k^{(r)}$ counts the number of k -order factorisations of an $r \times r$ matrix A , i.e.,

$$\tau_k^{(r)}(A) = \sum_{A=A_1 A_2 \dots A_k} 1. \tag{2}$$

When we restrict ourselves to the case $k = 2$, A_2 above is called a *divisor* of A . As in classical theory, we define the functions $\sigma_a^{(r)}$.

DEFINITION 3. For a non-negative integer a , let $\sigma_a^{(r)}(A)$ be the sum of the a^{th} powers of the determinants of divisors of a matrix A , i.e.,

$$\sigma_a^{(r)}(A) = \sum_{A=A_1 A_2} (\det A_2)^a. \tag{3}$$

We notice that $\tau_2^{(r)} = \sigma_0^{(r)}$.

Evaluations

For evaluating divisor functions, it is enough to consider A to be in non-singular Smith Normal Form (SNF) with prime-power determinant. We use the notation F_r for the matrix

$$(\text{diag } [p^{f_1}, p^{f_1+f_2}, \dots, p^{f_1+f_2+\dots+f_r}])$$

and may also denote it by $\langle f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r \rangle$, where $f_1 \geq 1$, $f_i \geq 0$ for $i > 1$, and p is a prime number. We write G_r for the matrix $\langle f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{r-1}, f_r - 1 \rangle$.

It is clear that the evaluation of $\sigma_a^{(r)}$ involves the solving of a system of diophantine equations with rather stringent side conditions and is therefore not easy.

In [4] we proved the result :

$$\tau_2(F_r) - \tau_2(G_r) = \sigma_1(F_{r-1}), \tag{4}$$

which helped us evaluate $\tau_2^{(2)}$ and $\tau_2^{(3)}$. Here we generalise (4) and prove a recurrence between $\sigma_a^{(r)}$ and $\sigma_{a+1}^{(r-1)}$. Thus it becomes possible to reduce the problem to evaluations on F_1 or on *prime matrices* $P_r = \langle 1, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle$. We prove:

THEOREM 1. $\sigma_a(F_r) - p^a \sigma_a(G_r) = \sigma_{a+1}(F_{r-1})$.

Proof. Let $\nu = f_1 + f_2 + \dots + f_r$. Now

$$F_r = \begin{pmatrix} A_{r-1} & 0 \\ Y & p^t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B_{r-1} & 0 \\ X & p^{\nu-t} \end{pmatrix}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \nu \quad (5)$$

$$G_r = \begin{pmatrix} A_{r-1} & 0 \\ Y & p^t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B_{r-1} & 0 \\ X & p^{\nu-t-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad 0 \leq t < \nu \quad (6)$$

are factorisations of F_r and G_r whenever B_{r-1} is a divisor of F_{r-1} and $YB_{r-1} + Xp^t = 0$. Then

$$\sigma_a(F_r) = \sum_{\substack{YB_{r-1} + Xp^t = 0 \\ 0 \leq t < \nu}} (\det B_{r-1})^a p^{(\nu-t)a} + \sum_{YB_{r-1} + Xp^\nu = 0} (\det B_{r-1})^a \quad (7)$$

and

$$\sigma_a(G_r) = \sum_{\substack{YB_{r-1} + Xp^t = 0 \\ 0 \leq t < \nu}} (\det B_{r-1})^a p^{(\nu-t-1)a} \quad (8)$$

Thus

$$\sigma_a(F_r) = p^a \sigma_a(G_r) + \sum_{YB_{r-1} + Xp^\nu = 0} (\det B_{r-1})^a. \quad (9)$$

But, as in the proof of (4), we can show that the equation $YB_{r-1} + Xp^\nu = 0$ has solutions for all possible choices of X by considering the cases where the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_{r-1}^{-1} F_{r-1} & 0 \\ -p^\nu X B_{r-1}^{-1} & p^\nu \end{pmatrix}$$

is integral. Since X can be chosen in $\det(B_{r-1})$ ways, we have:

$$\sigma_a(F_r) = p^a \sigma_a(G_r) + \sum_{B_{r-1} | F_{r-1}} (\det B_{r-1})^{a+1}.$$

□

It is easy to evaluate $\sigma_a(P_r)$ by generalising Nanda's result [7] for $a = 0$:

THEOREM 2. $\sigma_a(P_r) = \sum_{j=0}^r p^{aj} \begin{bmatrix} r \\ j \end{bmatrix}$, where $\begin{bmatrix} r \\ j \end{bmatrix}$ are the Gaussian polynomials in p .

Proof. Nanda [7] gives a combinatorial argument to show that the number of divisors of P_r with p occurring exactly j times on the diagonal is given by $\begin{bmatrix} r \\ j \end{bmatrix}$. The factor p^{aj} is obviously the a^{th} power of the determinant of the divisor. □

The above theorems give us a method for evaluation, but the calculations involved are cumbersome, as the following example shows.

EXAMPLE.

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1(F_3) &= (p^{f_3} + p^{f_3-1} + \dots + 1)\sigma_2(F_2) \\ &+ p^{f_3+1}(p+1)(\sigma_2\langle f_1, f_2-1 \rangle + p^2\sigma_2\langle f_1, f_2-2 \rangle + \dots + p^{2f_2-2}\sigma_2\langle f_1, 0 \rangle) \\ &+ p^{f_3+2f_2+1}\sigma_2\langle f_1-1, 1 \rangle + p^{f_3+2f_2+2}(p+1)\sigma_2\langle f_1-1, 0 \rangle \\ &+ p^{f_3+2f_2+4}\sigma_2\langle f_1-2, 1 \rangle + p^{f_3+2f_2+5}(p+1)\sigma_2\langle f_1-2, 0 \rangle + \dots \\ &+ p^{f_3+2f_2+3f_1+2}(p+1)\sigma_2\langle 1, 0 \rangle + p^{f_3+2f_2+3f_1+4}\sigma_1\langle 1, 0 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_2(F_2) &= (p^{2f_2} + p^{2f_2-2} + \dots + 1)\sigma_3\langle f_1 \rangle + p^{2f_2+2}(p^2+1)\sigma_3\langle f_1-1 \rangle \\ &+ p^{2f_2+6}(p^2+1)\sigma_3\langle f_1-2 \rangle + \dots + p^{2f_2+4f_1-6}(p^2+1)\sigma_3\langle 1 \rangle \\ &+ p^{2f_2+4f_1-2}\sigma_2\langle 1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Average orders

In [3] we evaluated $T(x) = \sum_{\det A \leq x} \tau_2^{(2)}(A)$, where A is in SNF. The absence of a zeta function does not allow satisfactory extension of this result.

Here we instead allow A to be in HNF and consider the following zeta function [5]:

$$Z_r(s) = \sum_{A \text{ in HNF, } r \times r} (\det A)^{-s} = \sum \alpha_r(n) n^{-s}, \quad s = \sigma + it, \quad \sigma > 1, \tag{10}$$

where $\alpha_r(n)$ is the HNF class-number, i.e., the number of $r \times r$ HNF matrices with determinant n .

Thus

$$Z_r(s) = \zeta(s)\zeta(s-1) \dots \zeta(s-r+1), \tag{11}$$

where $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta-function. $Z_r(s)$ is a holomorphic function with simple poles at $s = r, r-1, r-2$. It is, in fact, a special case of the Koecher zeta-function [10].

Authors (e.g. [9]) have evaluated $\alpha_r(n)$, and in [3] we obtained an average order for $\alpha_r(n)$ by using elementary methods.

Here we give a few asymptotic results for divisor functions obtained by using $Z_r(s)$ for

$$A_r(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \alpha_r(n), \quad T_k(x) = \sum_{A \text{ in HNF, } \det A \leq x} \tau_k(A)$$

and $S_a^{(r)}(x) = \sum_{A \text{ in HNF, } \det A \leq x} \sigma_a^{(r)}(A)$. These are only first results. We should be able to prove sharper results in [5].

THEOREM 3.

$$A_r(x) = \frac{1}{r} L_r x^r - \frac{1}{2(r-1)} L_{r-1} x^{r-1} + o(x^{r-1}),$$

where $L_k = \prod_{j=2}^k \zeta(j)$.

Proof. From Perron's formula we have:

$$A_r(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{Z_r(s) x^s}{s} ds.$$

The main terms can be obtained as residues at $s = r, r-1$. □

THEOREM 4. $T_k(x) \sim P_r(x)$,

where $P_r(x)$ is a polynomial in x of degree r .

Proof. Obvious. □

THEOREM 5.

$$S_a^{(r)}(x) = \frac{1}{a+r} L_r M_{a,0} x^{a+r} - \frac{1}{2(a+r-1)} L_{r-1} M_{a,1} x^{a+r-1} + o(x^{a+r-1}),$$

where

$$M_{b,t} = \prod_{j=1}^r \zeta(b+j-t).$$

Proof. Similar to that of Theorem 3. □

Restricted divisor functions

Here we consider factorisations on the subsets

(a) \mathcal{S}_r , of all $r \times r$ matrices in SNF, and

(b) \mathcal{D}_r of all $r \times r$ diagonal matrices,

and show their connection with average orders of functions of integers.

DEFINITION 4. The decompositions

$$\begin{aligned} S &= S_1 S_2 \dots S_k, & S, S_i &\in \mathcal{S}_r \\ \text{and } D &= D_1 D_2 \dots D_k, & D, D_i &\in \mathcal{D}_r \end{aligned}$$

are said to be k -order SNF (resp., *diagonal*) factorisations of S (resp., D).

In [3] we have defined the SNF zeta function

$$\widehat{Z}_r(s) = \sum \beta_r(n)/n^s = \zeta(s)\zeta(2s) \dots \zeta(rs) \tag{12}$$

and proved :

THEOREM 6.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{S \in \mathcal{S}_r \\ \det S \leq x}} \widehat{\sigma}_a(r)(S) &= \frac{1}{a+1} L_r^{(1)} K_1 x^{a+1} + \frac{1}{a+\frac{1}{2}} L_r^{(1/2)} K_2 x^{a+\frac{1}{2}} \\ &+ \frac{1}{a+\frac{1}{3}} L_r^{(1/3)} K_3 x^{a+\frac{1}{3}} + O(x^{a+\varepsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

where ε is the best known exponent in the error of the three-dimensional divisor problem, and the constants are defined as

$$L_r^{(i)} = \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^r \zeta(ji), \quad K_i = \prod_{j=1}^r \zeta(j(a+i)).$$

We can similarly define the *diagonal zeta function* as

$$Z_{\mathcal{D}}(s) = \sum_{D \in \mathcal{D}_r} (\det D)^{-s} = \zeta^r(s) \tag{13}$$

and obtain the average order $B_a^{(r)}(x)$ for diagonal divisor functions. For example, when $a = r = 2$, we get

THEOREM 7.

$$B_2^{(2)} = \frac{1}{6}x \log^3 x + (2\gamma - \frac{1}{2})x \log^2 x + (6\gamma^2 - 4\gamma + 4\gamma_1 + 1)x \log x + (-1 + 4(\gamma - \gamma_1 + \gamma_2) - 6\gamma^2 + 4\gamma^3 + 12\gamma\gamma_1)x + O(x^{1/2})$$

where the γ 's are constants.

Proof. We use the classical estimate for $\zeta^4(s)$. □

Applications

We can interpret some of the above results in different contexts. We give a few illustrations:

a) We consider the set $\Gamma = \text{GL}(r, \mathbb{Z})$ of all $r \times r$ integer unimodular matrices as the unimodular group of degree r over the ring of integers [6]. The divisor functions of matrices then appear in the formal power series over the *Hecke algebra*, which is a polynomial ring over \mathbb{Z} in infinitely many independent indeterminates. Thus, formally,

$$\sum \Gamma A \Gamma (\det A)^{-s} \sum \Gamma A \Gamma (\det A)^{a-s} = \sum \Gamma A \Gamma \sigma_a(A) (\det A)^{-s}, \tag{14}$$

where $A \in \mathcal{S}_r$.

b) We consider Ramanujan's classical formula

$$\frac{\zeta(s)\zeta(s-a)\zeta(s-b)\zeta(s-a-b)}{(2s-a-b)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_a(n)\sigma_b(n)}{n^s}$$

for the case $a = 1, b = 2$, to get

$$Z_4(s) = \zeta(2s - 3) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_1(n)\sigma_2(n)/n^s. \tag{15}$$

c) We can evaluate $\sigma_a(P_r)$ in terms of two different *partition functions*. We can show that to get the number of divisors of P_r , we may look at the number of $\underline{0}$'s to the right of each $\underline{1}$ in every string of length r with entries from $\{0, 1\}$. This can be expressed in terms of the function $\hat{q}(n, k, r)$, which counts the number of partitions of n into at most k parts (not necessarily distinct) each at most r , i.e.,

$$\sigma_a(P_r) = \sum \sum q(n - ka, k, r - k)p^n. \tag{16}$$

This, we note, agrees with Theorem 2 via Sylvester's generating function for $\hat{\sigma}$ (see, e.g. Andrews [1]). We can also evaluate $\sigma_a(P_r)$ using the function $q(n, k, r)$ which counts the number of partitions of n into exactly k distinct parts. In [2] we have shown that the number of divisors of P_r that are equivalent to P_k , $k \leq r$, is given by

$$\pi_{k,r} = \sum_{n=\frac{k(k+1)}{2}}^{kr - \frac{k(k-1)}{2}} q(n, k, r) p^{kr - \frac{k(k-1)}{2} - n}. \tag{17}$$

Thus we get

$$\sigma_a(P_r) = \sum \sum q(kr - \frac{k(k-1)}{2} - n - ak, k, r) p^n. \tag{18}$$

We see that there is no contradiction between these two results, for we can independently show that

$$\hat{q}(n, k, r - k) = q(kr - \frac{k(k-1)}{2} - n, k, r) \tag{19}$$

by writing n as a sum of parts n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k ; $r - k \geq n_i \geq n_{i+j} \geq 0$ and replacing n_i by $m_i = r - n_i - i + 1$.

As in [2], it is possible to obtain *polynomial* and *partition identities* from these results.

References

[1] G.E. Andrews, The Theory of Partitions, *Encyclopedia of Math. and its Appl.*, vol. 2, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., 1976.
 [2] G. Bhowmik, Completely Multiplicative Arithmetical Functions of Matrices and Certain Partition Identities, *Journal of Indian Mathematical Society*, 56 (1991), 77-83.

- [3] –, Average Orders of Certain Functions Connected with Arithmetic of Matrices (to appear in *JIMS*).
- [4] –, The Divisor and Summatory Functions of Integer Matrices (to appear).
- [5] – and A. Krieg, Manuscript.
- [6] A. Krieg, Hecke Algebras, *Memoirs of the AMS*, no. 435, vol. 87, 1990.
- [7] V.C. Nanda, Arithmetic Functions of Matrices and Polynomial Identities, *Colloq. Math. Soc. Janos Bolyai*, 34 (1982), 1107–1126.
- [8] V.C. Nanda, Arithmetic of Matrices: Manuscript.
- [9] M. Newman, *Integer Matrices*, Academic Press, New York-London, 1972.
- [10] A. Terras, *Harmonic Analysis on Symmetric Spaces and Applications II*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin-Heidelberg, 1988.

Gautami Bhowmik
Jesus & Mary College
New Delhi – 110 021
India