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§1. Introduction. Let $N \geq 1$ be an integer and, for a reduced fraction $a / N$, let $K(a / N)$ denote the largest partial quotient in the continued fraction expansion of $a / N$. Set $K_{0}(N)=\min K(a / N)$ for $1 \leq a \leq N,(a, N)=1$. Let, for a sequence $B$ of integers, $B(x)$ denote the number of $b$ in $B$, but not exceeding $x$. With this notation, a conjecture of S.K. Zaremba can be stated as $K_{0}(N) \leq 5$, for all $N$ (cf., p. 76 of [4]). There has also been some numerical evidence for this conjecture (cf., p. 989 of [2]).

Now considering for given integer $\ell \geq 2$, the sequence $A_{\ell}$ consisting of $N$ with $K_{0}(N) \leq \ell$, it has been shown in [3] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\ell}(x)>\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \ell}} x^{\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\ell^{-2}\right)}, \text { for } x \geq 1 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this context, we observe the following proposition, which also leads to a qualitative result of type (1). For a given collection of a.p.s (i.e., arithmetic progressions) of (positive) integers, let $\alpha(m)$ denote the number of a.p.s containing $m$ (but not as the smallest) and, $\delta(m)$ denote the number of a.p.s with common difference $m$.

Proposition 1. Let $k(\geq 2)$ be an integer, $\beta$ and $\beta^{\prime}$ some positive constants. Suppose $A$ is the union of a collection of a.p.s, each consisting of $k$ integers, satisfying (i) $\alpha(m) \leq \beta \delta(m)$ for all $m$ and (ii) the smallest member of each a.p. is $\leq \beta^{\prime}$ times its common difference. Then, for any b such that $\alpha(b)>0$ and for all sufficiently large $x$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(x) \geq \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{k-1}\left(\frac{x}{b}\right)^{\theta}, \text { for some } \theta=\theta\left(\beta, \beta^{\prime}, k\right)>0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided ( $k-1$ ) exceeds $\beta$. (An expression for $\theta$ is given in (4) below.)

Our second observation gives the following
Proposition 2. Any solution in integers of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}-1\right)=t(\xi u+\eta v)(\xi v-\eta u) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies tuv $=0$.
In § 3, we shall give an example from R.Tijdeman in connection with Proposition 1 . And in § 4, we have some remarks about these results.
§2. Proofs. We start with the proof of Proposition 1. Consider

$$
T(x):=\sum_{m \leq x} \alpha(m) \leq \beta \sum_{m \leq x} \delta(m) .
$$

Since every a.p. counted by the last sum, in view of the assumption (ii), consists of members not exceeding $\left(k-1+\beta^{\prime}\right) x$, we see that it occurs $(k-1)$ times in $T\left(\left(k-1+\beta^{\prime}\right) x\right)$ and so,

$$
(k-1) T(x) \leq \beta T\left(\left(k-1+\beta^{\prime}\right) x\right)
$$

On letting $x_{r}=\left(k-1+\beta^{\prime}\right)^{r} b$, we obtain

$$
T\left(x_{r}\right) \geq\left(\frac{k-1}{\beta}\right)^{r}, \quad r=1,2,3, \cdots
$$

From here, after a short calculation, (2) is obtained on noting that $T(x) \leq(k-1)(A(x))^{2}$. To see the last inequality observe that every a.p. counted in $\alpha(m)$ determines the pair ( $a, m$ ), a being its smallest member and, each such pair arises from at most $(k-1)$ of the a.p.s. Also, we may take

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=\frac{1}{2}\left\{\log \left(\frac{k-1}{\beta}\right) / \log \left(k-1+\beta^{\prime}\right)\right\} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we give a proof of Proposition 2. Let $t u v \neq 0$. First, observe that, after changing notations if necessary, we can assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u, v)=1, \quad \min (\xi, \eta, t, x, y)>0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x:=\xi u+\eta v, y:=\xi v-\eta u$. Now, since $(x, y) \nmid\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)$, we can conclude, by (5), that $(x, y)=1$. Then (3) can be rewritten as

$$
\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)=d(1+t x y), \quad d:=u^{2}+v^{2}>1
$$

Next we obtain from this

$$
\begin{equation*}
w \geq \varphi(x, y):=\left(x^{2}+y^{2}-w x y\right)=d>1, \quad w:=d t . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have here

$$
\varphi(x, y)=y^{2}-x z=\varphi(y, z) ; \quad z:=w y-x .
$$

Thus starting with a solution $(x, y)$ of (6), we obtain another solution $(y, z)$ through $z=w y-x$. Now we observe that if $x \geq y>1$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(x, y)=1$, then $y>z>0$, and obviously $\operatorname{gcd}(y, z)=1$ so that, on iteration, we finally get a solution of (6) with $y=1$, whereas (6) has no such solution and therefore $t u v=0$, which proves Proposition 2.
§3. About $\beta$. The following example illuminates the significance of $\beta$ occurring in Proposition 1: Let $k>2$, and let $r$ be a positive integer such that $r+1, \ldots, r+k-2$ are all composite. (We can take $r<k!$ ) Consider the sequence $A$ of positive integers composed of primes at most $r$. Take any element $m>1$ of $A$. Then $m$ is divisible by a prime $p$ which is at most $r$. The numbers $p-1, p, \ldots, p+k-2$ are all smaller than $r+k-1$ and therefore composed of primes at most $r$. Thus $m$ is the second element of the following a.p. of length $k$ with entries from $A:(p-1) m / p, m,(p+1) m / p, \ldots,(p+k-2) m / p$. Now it is easily seen that

$$
A(x)<2(\log x)^{t},
$$

where $t$ is the number of primes at most $r$. Hence $t$ is a constant depending only on $k$.

This example satisfies all conditions of Proposition 1, except the last one. For, we have $\delta(m)=t$ and $\alpha(m) \leq t(k-1)$ and further, $\alpha(m)=t(k-1)$ for all $m$ belonging to $A$ and which are multiples of $K$, defined as the product of $(p+j)$, as $p$ runs through all of the $t$ primes not exceeding $r$, and $j$ takes values $0,1, \ldots,(k-2)$. So, $\beta=k-1$.
§4. Some remarks. It can easily be seen that the conjecture of Zaremba (in $\S 1$ ) may also be stated as follows: Let $V_{q}:=\left(\begin{array}{ll}q & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$ and $Z_{\ell}$ denote the semigroup of matrices generated by $V_{1}, \cdots, V_{\ell}$. Then every $N \geq 1$ occurs as an entry of some element in $Z_{5}$. It is this formulation involving substitutions like $x_{j+1}=q x_{j}+x_{j-1}$ which links Propositions 1 and 2 with the conjecture; in fact, in Proposition 1 this connection comes by considering members of an a.p., with least element $a$ and common difference $m$, as values of $q m+a$ ( $0 \leq q<k$ ), whereas in Proposition 2 this is more explicit through the substitution $z=w y-x$.

For obtaining a result of the form (1), from (2), we need only observe that $A_{\ell}$ can be considered as a sequence $A$ of Proposition 1, with $k=\ell+1$,
$\beta=1=\beta^{\prime}$. (Here, with regard to $N \in A_{\ell}, N \geq 2$ and $a / N$ with $K(a / N) \leq \ell$ we consider the a.p.s (of length $\ell+1$ ): (i) with smallest member $b$ and common difference $a$, where $N=a j+b$ ( $0 \leq b<a, 1 \leq j \leq \ell$ ), and (ii) with smallest member $a$ and common difference $N$.)

Also, it is apparent from the proof that for an estimate like (2) it suffices to have (i), in Proposition 1, for all sufficiently large values of $m$.

Incidentally, it may be noted that the argument subsequent to (6) would lead to all solutions of the simultaneous congruences

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{2} \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod \eta), \quad \eta^{2} \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod \xi) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is because (7) implies that $\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}-1=w \xi \eta$ for some positive integer $w$ and so we obtain (6), but instead with $d=1$. Now starting with any solution $(\xi, \eta)$ of (7) we can iterate the passage from $(\xi, \eta)$ to $(\eta, w \eta-\xi)$ from the proof following (6) until, after only finitely many steps, we reach ( $w, 1$ ). It is obvious that $(w, 1)$ is a solution of (7) for every positive integer $w$. Hence we obtain a complete parametrization of the set of solutions of (7). Congruences of the type (7) were earlier considered in [1].
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