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# NUMBER FIELDS OF GIVEN DEGREE AND BOUNDED DISCRIMINANT 

Wolfgang M. Schmidt ${ }^{\dagger}$

1. Introduction. Let $N(d ; X)$ be the number of algebraic number fields of degree $d$ and discriminant $\Delta$ with $|\Delta| \leqq X$. It has been conjectured (but I don't know to whom to attribute this conjecture) that for each fixed $d>1$ we have $N(d ; X) \sim c_{d} X$ as $X \rightarrow \infty$, with a constant $c_{d}>0$. This is easy to see when $d=2$, and has been established for $d=3$ by Davenport and Heilbronn [3]. For $d=4$ Bailey [1] could show that $X \ll N(4 ; X) \ll$ $X^{3 / 2}(\log X)^{4}$. The goal of the present note is an easy proof of

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(d ; X) \ll X^{(d+2) / 4} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $d=4$ this improves slightly upon Bailey. In fact, for given $d_{1}>$ $1, \ldots, d_{t}>1$ and a number field $L$, let $N\left(L ; d_{1}, \ldots, d_{t} ; X\right)$ be the number of chains of fields $L=K_{0} \subset K_{1} \subset \cdots \subset K_{t}=K$ with degrees $\left[K_{j}\right.$ : $\left.K_{j-1}\right]=d_{j}(j=1, \ldots, t)$ and with discriminant $\Delta(K)$ of modulus $\leqq X$. We will show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(L ; d_{1}, \ldots, d_{t} ; X\right) \ll(X /|\Delta(L)|)^{(d+2) / 4}|\Delta(L)|^{-1 / 2 \ell} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d=\max \left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{t}\right), \ell=\operatorname{deg} L$, and where the constant in $\ll$ depends only on $d, t, \ell$. The case when $L=\mathbb{Q}, t=2, d_{1}=d_{2}=2$ is contained in Bailey's work [1]. In many cases when $d_{t}<d$, the exponent $(d+2) / 4$ could be reduced. The exponent $-1 / 2 \ell$ of $|\Delta(L)|$ could always be reduced; in fact the main purpose of the factor $|\Delta(L)|^{-1 / 2 \ell}$ will be to be able to carry out an induction on $t$.

Related to our topic is the important work of D. J. Wright [4] on abelian extensions. Given a finite abelian group $G$ of order $|G|$ and with

[^0]$Q$ the smallest prime divisor of $|G|$, set $\alpha(G)=|G|\left(1-Q^{-1}\right)$. Then the number of abelian number fields with Galois group $G$ and discriminant of modulus $\leqq X$ is $\sim c X^{1 / \alpha}(\log X)^{\beta}$ where $c=c(G)>0, \beta=\beta(G) \geqq 0$. Therefore if the above mentioned conjecture is correct, the main contribution to the asymptotic formula would come from nonabelian extensions.
2. Geometry of Number Fields. When $K$ is a number field of degree $k$ and $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{k}$ are the embeddings of $K$ into $\mathbb{C}$, write $k=r+2 s$ and suppose that $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{r}$ are real, and $\sigma_{r+i}, \sigma_{r+s+i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, s$ are pairs of complex conjugates. For $\alpha \in K$ set $\alpha^{(j)}=\sigma_{j}(\alpha)(j=1, \ldots, k)$. Let $\underline{\underline{\varphi}}_{K}$ be the map $K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k}$ with
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underline{\underline{\varphi}}_{K}(\alpha)= & \left(\alpha^{(1)}, \ldots, \alpha^{(r)}, \sqrt{2} \operatorname{Re} \alpha^{(r+1)}, \sqrt{2} \operatorname{Im} \alpha^{(r+1)}, \ldots, \sqrt{2} \operatorname{Re} \alpha^{(r+s)},\right. \\
& \left.\sqrt{2} \operatorname{Im} \alpha^{(r+s)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Let $\mathfrak{O}_{K}$ be the ring of integers in $K$; then $\underline{\underline{\varphi}}_{K}\left(\mathfrak{O}_{K}\right)=\Lambda_{K}$, say, is a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ of determinant

$$
\operatorname{Det} \Lambda_{K}=|\Delta(K)|^{1 / 2}
$$

Finally, let $\kappa_{1}, \ldots, \kappa_{k}$ be the successive minima of $\Lambda_{K}$ (with respect to the Euclidean norm) in the sense of Minkowski. There are $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}$ in $\mathfrak{O}_{K}$, linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\underline{\underline{\varphi}}_{K}\left(\alpha_{j}\right)\right|=\kappa_{j} \quad(j=1, \ldots, k) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|\cdot|$ denotes the Euclidean norm. As is well known,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{1} \cdots \kappa_{k} \gg \ll \operatorname{Det} \Lambda_{K}=|\Delta(K)|^{1 / 2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the implied constants depend on $k$ only. Each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$ has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\underline{\underline{\varphi}}_{K}(\alpha)\right|=\sqrt{k}|\alpha|, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

in particular $\left|\underline{\underline{\varphi}}_{K}(1)\right|=\sqrt{k}$, so that $\kappa_{1} \leqq \sqrt{k}$. On the other hand, $\alpha \neq 0$ in $\mathfrak{O}_{K}$ has
$\left|\alpha^{(1)} \cdots \alpha^{(r)} \| \alpha^{(r+1)} \cdots \alpha^{(r+s)}\right|^{2} \geqq 1$, so that by the arithmetic-geometric inequality

$$
\left|\alpha^{(1)}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|\alpha^{(r)}\right|^{2}+2\left|\alpha^{(r+1)}\right|^{2}+\cdots+2\left|\alpha^{(r+s)}\right|^{2} \geqq k,
$$

i.e., $\left|\underline{\underline{\varphi}}_{K}(\alpha)\right|^{2} \geqq k$. We may conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{1}=\sqrt{k} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $L$ be a subfield of $K$ of degree $\ell$. Denote the conjugates of $\alpha \in L$ by $\alpha^{[1]}, \ldots, \alpha^{[\ell]}$. (We can't write them as $\alpha^{(1)}, \ldots, \alpha^{(\ell)}$ since the maps $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{\ell}$ (among the maps $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{k}$ given above) do not necessarily give the distinct embeddings of $L$ into $\mathbb{C}$.) We define $\underline{\underline{\varphi}}_{L}, \Lambda_{L}$ and successive minima $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell}$ in the obvious way. It is easily seen that $\alpha \in L$ has $\left|\underline{\underline{\varphi}}_{K}(\alpha)\right|=\sqrt{d}\left|\underline{\underline{\varphi}}_{L}(\alpha)\right|$ where $d=[K: L]$; this generalizes (2.3). The image $\Lambda_{L}^{\prime}=\underline{\underline{\varphi}}_{K}\left(\mathfrak{O}_{L}\right)$ is therefore isometric to $\sqrt{d} \Lambda_{L}$, and the minima $\lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell}^{\prime}$ of $\Lambda_{L}^{\prime}$ have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{j}^{\prime}=\sqrt{d} \lambda_{j} \quad(j=1, \ldots, \ell) . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## LEMMA 1.

$$
\lambda_{\ell-j} \ll \kappa_{k-j} \quad(0 \leqq j<\ell) .
$$

Proof. Let $\operatorname{Tr}$ denote the trace from $K$ to $L$. It is a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear map whose image is $L$, so that its kernel (as a $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space) has dimension $\operatorname{deg} K-$ $\operatorname{deg} L=k-\ell$. Let $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}$ be as in (2.1). Among $\beta_{q}=\operatorname{Tr} \alpha_{q}$ with $q=1, \ldots, k-j$, there must therefore be at least $k-j-(k-\ell)=\ell-j$ linearly independent ones; say for $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{\ell-j}$. Then $\beta_{q_{1}}, \ldots, \beta_{q_{\ell-j}}$ are $\mathbb{Q}$-linearly independent elements of $\mathfrak{O}_{L}$ with

$$
\left|\beta_{q_{u}}^{[i]}\right| \ll \max _{t}\left|\alpha_{q_{u}}^{(t)}\right| \leqq\left|\underline{\underline{\varphi}}_{K}\left(\alpha_{q_{u}}\right)\right|=\kappa_{q_{u}} \leqq \kappa_{k-j} .
$$

Therefore $\left|\underline{\underline{\varphi}}_{L}\left(\beta_{q_{u}}\right)\right| \ll \kappa_{k-j}(u=1, \ldots, \ell-j)$, and the lemma follows.
By the argument leading to (2.4) we may set $\alpha_{1}=1$, so that $\alpha_{1} \in$ $\mathbb{Q} \subset L$.

LEMMA 2. Let $m$ be least with $\alpha_{m+1} \notin L$. Then

$$
|\Delta(L)|^{1 / 2} \kappa_{m+1}^{k-\ell} \ll|\Delta(K)|^{1 / 2}
$$

Proof. $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}$ lie in $L$, and therefore $\lambda_{j}^{\prime}=\kappa_{j}$, hence by (2.5), $\lambda_{j} \leqq \kappa_{j}$ for $j=1, \ldots, m$. On the other hand, $\lambda_{m+1} \cdots \lambda_{\ell} \ll \kappa_{k-\ell+m+1} \cdots \kappa_{k}$ by Lemma 1. Thus

$$
|\Delta(L)|^{1 / 2}=\operatorname{Det} \Lambda_{L} \ll \lambda_{1} \cdots \lambda_{\ell} \ll\left(\kappa_{1} \cdots \kappa_{m}\right)\left(\kappa_{k-\ell+m+1} \cdots \kappa_{k}\right) .
$$

There are exactly $k-\ell$ integers strictly between $m$ and $k-\ell+m+1$, so that

$$
|\Delta(L)|^{1 / 2} \kappa_{m+1}^{k-\ell} \ll \kappa_{1} \cdots \kappa_{k} \ll|\Delta(K)|^{1 / 2} .
$$

3. Proof of the main result. When the chain $L=K_{0} \subset \cdots \subset$ $K_{t}=K$ is refined by inserting extra fields, the quantity $d$ can only decrease. Therefore we may restrict ourselves to saturated chains, i.e., chains where there is no field strictly between $K_{j-1}$ and $K_{j}(j=1, \ldots, t)$. We will first deal with the case $t=1$. Thus we consider fields $K \supset L$ with $[K: L]=d$ and no field strictly between $L$ and $K$.

The lattice $\Lambda_{L}$ has a basis $\underline{\underline{b}}_{1}, \ldots, \underline{\underline{b}}_{\ell}$ with $\lambda_{j} \leqq\left|\underline{\underline{b}}_{j}\right| \ll \lambda_{j}(j=1, \ldots, \ell)$ ([2, §VIII.5.2]), and such a basis has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\underline{\underline{b}}_{1}\right| \cdots\left|\underline{\underline{b}}_{\ell}\right| \ll \operatorname{Det} \Lambda_{L} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\underline{b}_{1}^{*}, \ldots, \underline{b_{\ell}}$ be the dual basis, so that the inner products $\underline{b}_{i} \underline{b}_{j}^{*}=\delta_{i j}$ $(1 \leqq i, j \leqq \ell)$, with $\delta_{i j}$ the Kronecker symbol. Further, with $\wedge$ denoting the exterior product,

$$
\underline{\underline{b}}_{j}^{*}=\left(\underline{\underline{b}}_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \underline{\underline{b}}_{j-1} \wedge \underline{\underline{b}}_{j+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \underline{\underline{b}}_{\ell}\right) / \operatorname{Det} \Lambda_{L}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\underline{\underline{b}}_{j}\right|\left|\underline{\underline{b}}_{j}^{*}\right| \leqq\left|\underline{\underline{b}}_{1}\right| \cdots\left|\underline{\underline{b}}_{\ell}\right| / \operatorname{Det} \Lambda_{L} \ll 1 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

by (3.1). Let $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{\ell}$ be the elements in $L$ with $\underline{\underline{\varphi}}_{L}\left(\beta_{j}\right)=\underline{\underline{b}}_{j}(j=1, \ldots, \ell)$; then $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{\ell}$ are a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathfrak{O}_{L}$.

As in the last section, let $m$ be least with $\alpha_{m+1} \notin L$. Set $\beta=\operatorname{Tr} \alpha_{m+1}$ and $\underline{\underline{b}}=\underline{\underline{\varphi}}_{L}(\beta)$. We may write $\beta=c_{1} \beta_{1}+\cdots+c_{\ell} \beta_{\ell}$ with $c_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}(j=$ $1, \ldots, \ell)$, and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\underline{b}}=c_{1} \underline{\underline{b}}_{1}+\cdots+c_{\ell} \underline{\underline{b}} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left|\underline{\underline{\varphi}}_{K}\left(\alpha_{m+1}\right)\right|=\kappa_{m+1}$, each conjugate of $\alpha_{m+1}$ has modulus $\leqq \kappa_{m+1}$, therefore each conjugate of $\beta$ is $<\kappa_{m+1}$, and $\mid \underline{\underline{b}} \ll \kappa_{m+1}$. The inner product of (3.3) with $\underline{\underline{b}}_{j}^{*}$ gives $\underline{\underline{b}}_{j}^{*}=c_{j}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c_{j}\right| \ll \kappa_{m+1}\left|\underline{\underline{b}}_{j}^{*}\right| \ll \kappa_{m+1} /\left|\underline{\underline{b}}_{j}\right| \ll \kappa_{m+1} / \lambda_{j} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

by (3.2). Set

$$
\alpha=\alpha_{m+1}-\left[c_{1} / d\right] \beta_{1}-\cdots-\left[c_{\ell} / d\right] \beta_{\ell},
$$

where [] denotes integer parts. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr} \alpha=\left(c_{1}-d\left[c_{1} / d\right]\right) \beta_{1}+\cdots+\left(c_{\ell}-d\left[c_{\ell} / d\right]\right) \beta_{\ell} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\underline{\underline{\varphi}}_{K}(\alpha)\right| \ll \kappa_{m+1} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\left|\underline{\underline{\varphi}}_{K}\left(\alpha_{m+1}\right)\right|=\kappa_{m+1}$, since $\left|\underline{\underline{\varphi}}_{K}\left(\beta_{j}\right)\right|=\sqrt{d}\left|\underline{\underline{\varphi}}_{L}\left(\beta_{j}\right)\right|=\sqrt{d}\left|\underline{\underline{b}}_{j}\right| \ll\left|\underline{\underline{b}}_{j}\right|$, and since $\left|c_{j}\right|\left|\underline{\underline{b}}_{j}\right| \ll\left(\kappa_{m+1} / \lambda_{j}\right) \lambda_{j}$ by (3.4).

Now $\alpha$ satisfies

$$
\alpha^{d}+\tau_{1} \alpha^{d-1}+\cdots+\tau_{d}=0,
$$

where $(-1)^{j} \tau_{j}$ is the $j$-th elementary symmetric polynomial in the conjugates of $\alpha$ over $L$. Here $\tau_{j}$ is in $\mathfrak{D}_{L}$, so that we may write

$$
\tau_{j}=c_{j 1} \beta_{1}+\cdots+c_{j \ell} \beta_{\ell} \quad(j=1, \ldots, d)
$$

with coefficients $c_{j h} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\tau_{1}=-\operatorname{Tr} \alpha$, (3.5) shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c_{1 h}\right| \leqq d \ll 1 \quad(1 \leqq h \leqq \ell) . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (3.6), each conjugate of $\alpha$ is $\ll \kappa_{m+1}$, therefore each conjugate of $\tau_{j}$ is $\ll \kappa_{m+1}^{j}$, and $\left|\underline{\underline{\varphi}}\left(\tau_{j}\right)\right| \ll \kappa_{m+1}^{j}$. But

$$
\underline{\underline{\varphi}}_{L}\left(\tau_{j}\right)=c_{j 1} \underline{\underline{b}}_{1}+\cdots+c_{j \ell} \underline{\underline{b}}_{\ell},
$$

and taking the inner product with $\underline{\underline{b}}_{h}^{*}$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c_{j h}\right| \leqq\left|\underline{\underline{\varphi}}_{L}\left(\tau_{j}\right)\right|\left|\underline{\underline{b}}_{h}^{*}\right| \ll \kappa_{m+1}^{j} / \lambda_{h} \quad(2 \leqq j \leqq d, 1 \leqq h \leqq \ell) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The number of possibilities for each $c_{1 h}$ is $\ll 1$ by (3.7), and the number of possibilities for $c_{j h}$ with $2 \leqq j \leqq d$ is $\ll \kappa_{m+1}^{j}$, where we have not used the extra factor $1 / \lambda_{h}$ in (3.8). The total number of possibilities for the coefficients $c_{j h}$ is

$$
\ll \kappa_{m+1}^{(2+3+\cdots+d) \ell}=\kappa_{m+1}^{\ell(d-1)(d+2) / 2}
$$

and by Lemma 2 this is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ll(X /|\Delta(L)|)^{(d+2) / 4} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $k-\ell=\ell(d-1)$ and since we consider fields $K$ with $|\Delta(K)| \leqq X$. The number of possibilities for $\alpha$ is bounded by (3.9). But since $L \subset K$ is saturated and $\alpha \notin L$, we have $K=L(\alpha)$, so that $K$ is determined by $\alpha$.

To get the extra factor $|\Delta(L)|^{-1 / 2 \ell}$ we proceed as follows. Either $\kappa_{m+1}^{d} \geqq \lambda_{\ell}$. Then by (3.8) the number of possibilities for $c_{d h}$ is $\ll$ $\kappa_{m+1}^{d} / \lambda_{h}(h=1, \ldots, \ell)$, and altogether we save by a factor $\left(\lambda_{1} \cdots \lambda_{\ell}\right)^{-1} \ll$ $|\Delta(L)|^{-1 / 2}$. Or $\kappa_{m+1}^{d}<\lambda_{\ell}$, so that $\kappa_{m+1}^{j}<\lambda_{\ell}$ for $j=2, \ldots, d$. By (3.8), the number of possibilities for $c_{j \ell}$ is $\ll 1$. Thus we save by a factor $\left(\kappa_{m+1}^{2+3+\cdots+d}\right)^{-1}$, and the total number of possibilities for $K$ is

$$
\ll \kappa_{m+1}^{(2+3+\cdots+d)(\ell-1)} \ll(X /|\Delta(L)|)^{(1-(1 / \ell))(d+2) / 4}
$$

by Lemma 2. Now it is well known that $\Delta(L)^{d}$ divides $\Delta(K)$, so that (if there is any field $K$ as required) $X \geqq|\Delta(K)| \geqq|\Delta(L)|^{d}$, and we save (from (3.9)) by a factor

$$
\ll(X /|\Delta(L)|)^{-(d+2) / 4 \ell} \ll|\Delta(L)|^{-(d-1)(d+2) / 4 \ell} \leqq|\Delta(L)|^{-1 / \ell} .
$$

This finishes the case $t=1$.
To do an inductive argument from $t-1$ to $t$, we initially consider only chains $L=K_{0} \subset K_{1} \subset \cdots \subset K_{t-1} \subset K_{t}=K$ with $A \leqq\left|\Delta\left(K_{t-1}\right)\right|<e A$, where $A$ is given. The number of possibilities for $K_{1}, \ldots, K_{t-1}$ is

$$
\ll(A /|\Delta(L)|)^{(d+2) / 4}|\Delta(L)|^{-1 / 2 \ell} .
$$

Given $K_{t-1}$, the number of possibilities for $K_{t}$ with $\left|\Delta\left(K_{t}\right)\right| \leqq X$ is

$$
\ll(X / A)^{(d+2) / 4} A^{-1 / 2 \ell^{\prime}}
$$

where $\ell^{\prime}=\operatorname{deg} K_{t-1}=\ell d_{1} \cdots d_{t-1}$. Taking the product we get

$$
\ll(X /|\Delta(L)|)^{(d+2) / 4}|\Delta(L)|^{-1 / 2 \ell} A^{-1 / 2 \ell^{\prime}} .
$$

Taking the sum over $A=e^{\nu}$ with $\nu=0,1, \ldots$ we obtain (1.2).

## References.

[1] A. M. Bailey. On the density of discriminants of quartic fields, J. Reine Angew. Math. 315 (1980), 190-210.
[2] J. W. S. Cassels. An Introduction to the Geometry of Numbers, Springer Grundlehren 99 (1959).
[3] H. Davenport and H. Heilbronn. On the density of discriminants of cubic fields II. Proc. Roy. Soc. London A322 (1971).
[4] D. J. Wright. Distribution of discriminants of abelian extensions, Proc. London Math. Soc., (3) 58 (1989), 17-50.

Wolfgang M. Schmidt
University of Colorado
Department of Mathematics Boulder, Colorado 80309-0395 U.S.A.


[^0]:    AMS Classification Number: 11R04 (Algebraic Number Theory: general)
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Supported in part by NSF grant DMS-9108581.

