

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

KURT MAHLER

A correction

Compositio Mathematica, tome 8 (1951), p. 112

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1951__8__112_0

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1951, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

A Correction

by

Kurt Mahler

Manchester.

Recently, I discovered a rather ridiculous error in my paper, *Ueber transzendente P-adische Zahlen*, Comp. Math. 1935, 259—275. On page 268 of this paper, the following statement is made:

„Let α be a P -adic integer different from 0 and 1, and such that

$$(1) \quad |\alpha - 1|_P \leq P^{-1}.$$

Then $A = \log \alpha$

satisfies the inequalities

$$(2) \quad 0 < |A|_P \leq \begin{cases} P^{-2} & \text{if } P = 2, \\ P^{-1} & \text{if } P \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

If the prime P is odd, the statement is quite correct; and the upper bounds in (2) remain true for $P = 2$. But if $P = 2$, then the condition (1) does not imply that $A \neq 0$. For evidently the diadic number

$$(3) \quad \log(-1) = \log(1 - 2) = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^n}{n}$$

vanishes since

$$(-1)^2 = +1 \text{ and therefore } \log(-1) = \frac{1}{2} \log 1 = 0.$$

There are, however, no further zeros of the diadic logarithmic function.

For assume that

$$\alpha \neq 0, \quad \alpha \neq 1, \quad |\alpha - 1|_2 \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Then $\alpha \equiv \mp 1 \pmod{4}$,

and since $\log(-\alpha) = \log \alpha + \log(-1) = \log \alpha$,

we may assume, without loss of generality, that

$$\alpha \equiv +1 \pmod{4},$$

hence that $0 < |\alpha - 1|_P \leq \frac{1}{4}$.

Therefore

$$\log \alpha = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{(\alpha - 1)^n}{n} \equiv \alpha - 1 \pmod{2(\alpha - 1)}, \text{ i.e. } \log \alpha \neq 0,$$

as asserted.

Hence, if $P = 2$, the two numbers α and β of my paper must be different not only from 0 and 1, but also from -1 ; but with this small further restriction, the proof of transcendency becomes again valid.

(Received November 6, 1948).