

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

S. COEN

Factoriality of a ring of holomorphic functions

Compositio Mathematica, tome 29, n° 2 (1974), p. 191-196

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1974__29_2_191_0

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1974, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

FACTORIALITY OF A RING OF HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

S. Coen *

A non negative *Cousin II distribution* $\mathcal{D} = \{U_i, f_i\}_{i \in I}$ on a complex (reduced) space X is the datum of an open covering $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of X and, for every $i \in I$, of a holomorphic function $f_i : U_i \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with the property that for $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$ one has, on $U_i \cap U_j$, $f_i = \eta_{ij} f_j$ where η_{ij} is a holomorphic function on $U_i \cap U_j$ and η_{ij} is nowhere zero. A solution of \mathcal{D} is a holomorphic function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $f = \eta_i f_i$ on every U_i when $\eta_i : U_i \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a nowhere zero, holomorphic function. One says that X is a *Cousin-II space* when every non negative Cousin-II distribution on X has a solution; if $K \subset X$ we say that K is a *Cousin-II set* when K has, in X , an open neighborhood base \mathcal{U} and every $U \in \mathcal{U}$ is a Cousin-II space.

The object of this note is to prove the following

THEOREM: *Let X be a complex manifold; let K be a semianalytic connected compact Cousin-II subset of X ; then the ring of the holomorphic functions on K is a unique factorization domain.*

Observe that, in the preceding conditions, K is not necessarily a Stein set.

In the proof we shall use some results of J. Frisch [1].

The proof is based on two lemmas. We begin with some notations and definitions.

Let X be a complex space; the structure sheaf of X is denoted by \mathcal{O}_X or \mathcal{O} . Let Y be a (closed) analytic subset of X ; we say that a function $f \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O})$ is *associated*, in X , to Y if for every $x \in X$ the germ f_x generates the ideal of Y in x , $\text{Id } Y_x$. If $g \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O})$ we set $Z(X, g) := \{x \in X \mid g(x) = 0\}$; we write also $Z(g)$ to denote $Z(X, g)$.

LEMMA 1: *Let X be a complex space, $f \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O})$; let $\mathcal{C} = \{C_i\}_{i \in I}$ ($I = \{1, \dots, m\}$ $m \leq \infty$) be the family of the analytic irreducible components of $Z(X, f)$ and suppose that \mathcal{C} does not contain any irreducible*

* While preparing this work the author had a fellowship of C.N.R. and was part of G.N.S.A.G.A. of C.N.R.

component of X . For every $i \in \{1, \dots, d\} \subset I$ let a function g_i be given associated, in X , to C_i .

Then g_i is irreducible in the ring $H^0(X, \mathcal{O})$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Furthermore there are d natural numbers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d$ such that the following factorization holds

$$f = k_d(g_1)^{\lambda_1} \cdots (g_d)^{\lambda_d}$$

and $k_d(x) \neq 0$ for every $x \in X - \bigcup_{j \geq d+1} C_j$.

PROOF: Every g_i is irreducible in $H^0(X, \mathcal{O})$. Indeed, if $g_i = ab$ with $a, b \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O})$ then we may suppose without loss of generality $Z(X, a) = C_i$, because C_i is irreducible. Let $z \in C_i$; we call \mathcal{M}_z the maximal ideal of \mathcal{O}_z ; there is a suitable natural number s such that $(g_i)_z \in \mathcal{M}_z^{s-1}$, $(g_i)_z \notin \mathcal{M}_z^s$; by the definition of g_i there exists a germ $c_z \in \mathcal{O}_z$ for which $a_z = (g_i)_z c_z$; it follows that $(g_i)_z = (g_i)_z c_z b_z$ and hence $b_z \notin \mathcal{M}_z$; therefore b is a unit in $H^0(X, \mathcal{O})$.

We will prove the assumptions by induction on d . Let $d = 1$. Since f vanishes on C_1 , it follows from the definition of g_1 that there exists $k \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O})$ such that

$$f = kg_1.$$

We observe that if k vanishes in a point $z' \in C_1 - \bigcup_{j \geq 2} C_j$, then k vanishes on the whole of C_1 . Indeed, if U is a neighborhood of z' in X with $U \cap (\bigcup_{j \geq 2} C_j) = \emptyset$, then we have $U \cap Z(k) = U \cap Z(k) \cap C_1$; hence from the equality of analytic set germs

$$Z(k)_{z'} = (Z(k) \cap C_1)_{z'}$$

it follows $\text{codim}_{\mathbb{C}}(Z(k) \cap C_1)_{z'} = 1 = \text{codim}_{\mathbb{C}}(C_1)_{z'}$; as C_1 is irreducible, it follows $Z(k) \cap C_1 = C_1$.

Then there is $k' \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O})$ with $k = k'g_1$, $f = (k')g_1^2$. If k' vanishes in $z'' \in C_1 - \bigcup_{j \geq 2} C_j$, then $k'|_{C_1} = 0$; but since f has a zero of finite order in every point of C_1 , a number $\lambda_1 \geq 1$ must exist such that

$$f = k_1(g_1)^{\lambda_1}$$

with $k_1 \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O})$ and $k_1(z'') \neq 0$; it follows that $k_1(x) \neq 0$ for every $x \in C_1 - \bigcup_{j \geq 2} C_j$, hence $k_1(x) \neq 0$ for $x \in X - \bigcup_{j \geq 2} C_j$.

Suppose that the thesis holds for $d - 1$. We have

$$f = k_{d-1}(g_1)^{\lambda_1} \cdots (g_{d-1})^{\lambda_{d-1}}$$

with $k_{d-1}(x) \neq 0$ for every $x \in X - \bigcup_{j \geq d} C_j$.

One has $k_{d-1}(x) = 0$ for every $x \in C_d$; therefore there is $h \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O})$ such that $k_{d-1} = hg_d$, $f = h(g_1)^{\lambda_1} \cdots (g_{d-1})^{\lambda_{d-1}}g_d$. If $h(w) = 0$ at a point

$w \in C_d - \bigcup_{j \geq d+1} C_j$, then h vanishes on C_d ; indeed, $Z(h)_w = (Z(h) \cap C_d)_w$. As in the case $d = 1$, we deduce that there exists $\lambda_d \geq 1$ with

$$f = k_d(g_1)^{\lambda_1} \cdots (g_{d-1})^{\lambda_{d-1}}(g_d)^{\lambda_d}$$

where $k_d \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O})$ and $k_d(x) \neq 0$ for every $x \in C_d - \bigcup_{j \geq d+1} C_j$, as required.

Let f be a holomorphic function on a complex space X ; let $K \subset X$; f_K denotes the ‘holomorphic function’ induced by f on K ; i.e. f_K is the class of the functions g which are holomorphic in a neighborhood of K and such that $g|_U = f|_U$ in some neighborhood $U = U_g$ of K in X .

The ring of all the holomorphic functions on K is denoted by $H(K)$.

We say that the *property (C)* holds on X when, for every holomorphic function f on X , every irreducible analytic component D of $Z(X, f)$ has, in X , an associated function; it is said that the property (C) holds on K when K has an open neighborhood base \mathcal{B} such that the property (C) holds on every $B \in \mathcal{B}$.

LEMMA 2: *Let X be a complex space; assume that $f \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O})$ does not vanish identically on any irreducible analytic component of X . Let K be a compact semianalytic subset of K and let the property (C) hold on K .*

Then f_K is uniquely expressible as finite product of irreducible elements of $H(K)$, except for units and for the order of the factors.

PROOF:

(I) *Existence of the factorization.* Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an open neighborhood base of K in X such that on every $U \in \mathcal{U}$ the property (C) holds.

Let \mathcal{C}_1 be the family of the irreducible components of $Z(U_1, f|_{U_1})$ which contain points of K . As K is compact, \mathcal{C}_1 has only a finite number of elements. Lemma 1 proves that, without loss of generality, we may suppose that $f|_{U_1}$ is irreducible in $H^0(U_1, \mathcal{O})$; indeed, there is a factorization $f = uq_1 \cdots q_r$ where q_1, \dots, q_r are irreducible elements of $H^0(U_1, \mathcal{O})$ and $u \in H^0(U_1, \mathcal{O})$ induces on K a function u_K that is a unit in $H(K)$.

Assume that there is no factorization of f_K as required by the thesis.

Under this hypothesis we shall prove, in the following step (a), that there is a suitable sequence $\{U_h, x_h\}_{h \geq 1}$ with $U_h \in \mathcal{U}$ and $x_h \in U_h$ satisfying certain properties specified below and, later in (b), we shall prove that the existence of this sequence, implies a contradiction.

(a) We define a sequence $\{U_h, x_h\}_{h \geq 1}$ such that $U_h \in \mathcal{U}$ and $x_h \in U_h \cap K$ for every $h \geq 1$; furthermore we impose that the four following properties hold for every $h \geq 1$:

(1) there are $m_h \geq 1$. functions $g_1^{(h)}, \dots, g_{m_h}^{(h)}$ holomorphic on U_h and irreducible in $H^0(U_h, \mathcal{O})$ such that on U_h

$$f = k^{(h)}(g_1^{(h)})^{\lambda_{h,1}} \dots (g_{m_h}^{(h)})^{\lambda_{h,m}}$$

where $\lambda_{h,1}, \dots, \lambda_{h,m}$ are non zero natural numbers and $k^{(h)} \in H^0(U_h, \mathcal{O})$ is such that $k^{(h)}(x) \neq 0$ for every $x \in K$;

(2) if \mathcal{C}_h is the family of the irreducible components C of $Z(U_h, f|_{U_h})$ such that $C \cap K \neq \emptyset$, then $\mathcal{C}_h = \{Z(U_h, g_1^{(h)}), \dots, Z(U_h, g_{m_h}^{(h)})\}$ and \mathcal{C}_h has exactly m_h elements;

(3) $m_h \geq h$;

(4) there are h different elements C_1, \dots, C_h of \mathcal{C}_h with $x_1 \in C_1, \dots, x_h \in C_h$.

To define (U_1, x_1) it suffices to call x_1 an arbitrary point of $Z(U_1, f|_{U_1}) \cap K$.

Now, we assume $(U_1, x_1), \dots, (U_{h-1}, x_{h-1})$ already defined and we define (U_h, x_h) .

First of all we choose U_h . Since f_K has no finite decomposition as product of irreducible elements of $H(K)$, there is, at least, a function $g_j^{(h-1)}$, say $g_1^{(h-1)}$, which satisfies the following properties. On an open set $V \in \mathcal{U}$, $V \subset U_{h-1}$ two holomorphic functions a, b are given such that $g_1^{(h-1)} = ab, Z(V, a) \cap K \neq \emptyset, Z(V, b) \cap K \neq \emptyset$; furthermore there is a point $x \in K$ such that $a(x) \neq 0, b(x) = 0$. We set $U_h := V$. Certainly \mathcal{C}_h is a finite set; let m_h be the cardinality of \mathcal{C}_h ; for every $G_j \in \mathcal{C}_h$ ($j = 1, \dots, m_h$), we call $g_j^{(h)}$ a holomorphic function on U_h , associated to G_j . Property (2) is true. Lemma 1 implies that also property (1) holds. For every $j \in \{1, \dots, m_{h-1}\}$ we write briefly C_j to indicate $Z(U_{h-1}, g_j^{(h-1)})$; let $D_1^j, \dots, D_{i_j}^j$ be the different irreducible components of $C_j \cap U_h$, such that $D_i^j \cap K \neq \emptyset$ for every $i = 1, \dots, i_j$. Certainly $i_j \geq 1$ for every such j ; furthermore the definition of U_h implies $i_1 > 1$.

The analytic sets D_i^j are 1-codimensional in U_h (we consider the complex dimension); hence they are irreducible components of $Z(U_h, f|_{U_h})$ i.e. they are elements of \mathcal{C}_h . If $D_j^i = D_t^s$ then $(i, j) = (s, t)$; indeed, there exist points which are both in C_j and in C_t and which are regular in $Z(U_{h-1}, f|_{U_{h-1}})$. It follows $m_h \geq m_{h-1} + 1$, that is condition (3) is fulfilled.

Let C_1, \dots, C_{h-1} be different elements of \mathcal{C}_{h-1} such that

$$x_1 \in C_1, \dots, x_{h-1} \in C_{h-1};$$

for each $j = 1, \dots, h-1$ the analytic subset $C_j \cap U_h$ of U_h contains at least one element D_j of \mathcal{C}_h such that $x_j \in D_j$; it was previously observed that D_1, \dots, D_{h-1} are different one from the other. Let $D \in \mathcal{C}_h$ such that $D \neq D_j$ for every $j \in \{1, \dots, h-1\}$; pick a point x_h in $D \cap K$.

With this definition $\{(U_1, x_1), \dots, (U_h, x_h)\}$ satisfies (1), (2), (3) and also (4).

In step (b) we shall find a contradiction.

(b) Let x be a cluster point of the sequence $\{x_h\}_{h \geq 1}$; since K is compact,

$x \in K$. We call \mathcal{M}_x the maximal ideal of \mathcal{O}_x ; $f_x \in \mathcal{M}_x$; let $s \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $f_x \in \mathcal{M}_x^{s-1}$, $f_x \notin \mathcal{M}_x^s$.

Let \mathcal{F} be the coherent ideal sheaf on U_1 associated to the analytic subset $Z(U_1, f_{|U_1})$ of U_1 and let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{F}$.

With regard to \mathcal{F} there is an open neighborhood base \mathcal{B} of x in K such that every $V \in \mathcal{B}$ has an open neighborhood base \mathcal{X}_V in X so that every $W \in \mathcal{X}_V$ is an \mathcal{F} -privileged neighborhood of x . The existence of \mathcal{B} and of the families \mathcal{X}_V is proved in ([1], théor. (1.4), (1.5)).

Let $V \in \mathcal{B}$; V contains s terms x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_s} with $i_1 < \dots < i_s$.

Let us consider U_{i_s} ; because of property (4), there are s irreducible components C_1, \dots, C_s of $Z(U_{i_s}, f_{|U_{i_s}})$ different one from the other such that $x_{i_j} \in C_j$ for every $j = 1, \dots, s$. Let S be the union of the irreducible components of $Z(U_{i_s}, f_{|U_{i_s}})$ which contain x ; because of (2) for everyone of these components C there is a suitable t , $1 \leq t \leq s$, such that $C = Z(U_{i_s}, g_t^{(i_s)})$. But the functions $g_t^{(i_s)}$ vanishing in x may not be more than $s-1$, because of (1). Hence we may suppose, without loss of generality, that C_1 is not contained in S . Let $W \in \mathcal{X}_V$ be an \mathcal{F} -privileged neighborhood of V in X such that $W \subset U_{i_s}$ and let $y \in W \cap C_1$, $y \notin S$. Property (C) implies that a function $F \in H^0(U_{i_s}, \mathcal{O})$ exists that vanishes in the points of S and only in these points. The function F induces a section $F' \in H^0(W, \mathcal{F})$; F' is not the zero section of \mathcal{F} on W because $F(y) \neq 0$; but $F'_x \in \mathcal{F}_x$ is the zero germ. This is a contradiction.

(II) *Uniqueness of the decomposition in irreducible factors.* Let

$$f_K = \delta \alpha_1^{m_1} \cdots \alpha_t^{m_t}$$

$$f_K = \lambda \beta_1^{p_1} \cdots \beta_s^{p_s}$$

where δ, λ are units in $H(K)$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s$ are irreducible elements of $H(K)$. Furthermore assume that, for $i \neq j$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq t$, α_i and α_j , are not associated elements in $H(K)$ and that, for $i \neq j$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq s$, β_i and β_j are not associated elements in $H(K)$.

In a suitable neighborhood $U \in \mathcal{U}$ there are holomorphic functions $d, a_1, \dots, a_t, l, b_1, \dots, b_s$ such that they induce respectively $\delta, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t, \lambda, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s$ and on U

$$f = da_1^{m_1} \cdots a_t^{m_t} = lb_1^{p_1} \cdots b_s^{p_s}.$$

Each a_i , for $i = 1, \dots, t$, defines an irreducible component V_i of $Z(U, a_i)$ such that $V_i \cap K \neq \emptyset$. Such a V_i is unique; indeed, if $Z_i \neq V_i$ is an irreducible component of $Z(U, a_i)$ and $Z_i \cap K \neq \emptyset$, then, by lemma 1 and by recalling that property (C) holds on U , we can write $a_i = vgh$ where v, g, h are holomorphic functions on U and g, h are respectively associated in U to V_i and to Z_i ; it follows that $\alpha_i = v_K g_K h_K$; this is a contradiction,

because g_K and h_K are not units in $H(K)$. Let $i, j \in \{1, \dots, t\}$, $i \neq j$, then $V_i \neq V_j$. Assume, in fact, $V_i = V_j$; let g_i be a holomorphic function associated in U to V_j ; since g_i divides a_i and a_j in $H(U, \mathcal{O})$, it follows that α_i, α_j are associated elements in $H(K)$. Every V_i is an irreducible component of $Z := Z(U, f_U)$; indeed, if $x \in V_i$, then $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V_i)_x = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X_x - 1 = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} Z_x$; vice versa for every irreducible component C of Z such that $C \cap K \neq \emptyset$, there is one $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ such that $C = V_i$. Every b_j defines, for $j = 1, \dots, s$, one and only one irreducible component W_j of $Z(U, b_j)$ such that $W_j \cap K \neq \emptyset$; by what we have just proved it follows that $t = s$ and, eventually changing the indicization of b_1, \dots, b_s , $V_i = W_i$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$. Then we can deduce that for each i the elements α_i, β_i are associated in the ring $H(K)$.

Pick, now, $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ let $x \in V_i$ be a regular point of Z with $d(x) \neq 0$, $l(x) \neq 0$ and let g_i be a function associated to V_i in U . Recall that V_i is an irreducible component of Z ; by lemma 1, $a_i = s_i g_i^{r_i}$ and $s_i(x) \neq 0$; by the irreducibility of α_i it follows $r = 1$. Furthermore $a_j(x) \neq 0$, $b_j(x) \neq 0$ for $j \neq i$. Then on U we can write

$$f = f_1 g_i^{m_i} = f_2 g_i^{p_i}$$

where $f_1, f_2 \in H^0(U, \mathcal{O})$, $f_1(x) \neq 0$, $f_2(x) \neq 0$; it follows that $m_i = p_i$. The lemma is proved.

Note that property (C) holds on each Cousin-II space U in which the local rings $\mathcal{O}_{U,y}$ are U.F.D. (= unique factorization domains) for every $y \in U$. Thus, we have proved that if K is a semianalytic, connected, compact Cousin-II subset of a complex space X and if K has an open neighborhood U in X so that the rings \mathcal{O}_y are U.F.D. for every $y \in U$, then $H(K)$ is an U.F.D.

By a different way, in [2] a criterion is given for the factoriality of $H(K)$ in the case that K is a compact Stein set and that every element of $H(K)$ is expressible as product of irreducible elements.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. FRISCH: Points de platitude d'un morphisme d'espaces analytiques complexes. *Inv. Math.* 4 (1967) 118–138.
- [2] K. LANGMANN: Ringe holomorpher Funktionen und endliche Idealverteilungen. *Schriftenreihe des Math. Inst. Münster* (1971) 1–125.

(Oblatum 8–V–1974)

Università di Pisa
Istituto di Matematica
Via Derna, 1 – Pisa (Italy)