

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

R. GORTON

A-systems

Compositio Mathematica, tome 33, n° 1 (1976), p. 3-13

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1976__33_1_3_0>

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1976, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

A-SYSTEMS*

R. Gorton

1. Introduction

The axiomatic study of the substitutive algebra of functions has its roots in the works of Schonfinkel [16], Curry [2] and Menger [8]. In 1959, Menger [12] introduced a set of axioms designed to describe the algebra of ordinary functions under addition, multiplication or composition. During the 1960's this work was continued, notably, by Schweizer and Sklar [17, 18, 20, 21]. Their initial paper [17] discusses a set of five axioms which, together, are equivalent to the six axioms given by Menger [12]. Their later articles focus attention on the axiomatic study of composition. The algebra of functions III culminates in two representation theorems, one of which gives sufficient conditions for a function to be represented as a union of minimal functions called atoms [20]. The purpose of this paper is to axiomatically describe the substitutive or additive behavior of atoms.

2. Preliminaries

An *a-system* is an ordered triple $(A, \circ, ')$ such that:

- A1. $(A, \circ, ')$ is an inverse semigroup with null element \emptyset .
- A2. If $a, b \in A$ and $\emptyset \neq a \circ b$ then $b \circ b' = a' \circ a$.

EXAMPLE (2.1): Let A consist of all restrictions of the identity function on the set S ($|S| \geq 2$). If “ \circ ” represents composition and, for any $f \in S$, $f = f'$, then $(A, \circ, ')$ is an inverse semigroup (with null element \emptyset) violating axiom A2.

* Some of these results appeared in a thesis written by the author under the guidance of Prof. A. Sklar.

EXAMPLE (2.2): Let S be any non-empty set and let $A = S \times S \cup \{\emptyset\}$. Define $(a, b) \circ (c, d) = (c, b)$ if $a = d$; otherwise $(a, b) \circ (c, d) = \emptyset$. Then $(A, \circ, ')$ is an a -system where $(a, b)' = (b, a)$.

EXAMPLE (2.3): Let $(G, +)$ be any group. Let $A = G \times G \cup \{\emptyset\}$, where $(a, b) \circ (c, d) = (a, b+d)$ if $a = c$; otherwise $(a, b) \circ (c, d) = \emptyset$. Also, for any $(a, b) \in A$, $\emptyset \circ (a, b) = \emptyset = (a, b) \circ \emptyset$. Then $(A, \circ, ')$ is an a -system where $(a, b)' = (a, -b)$.

EXAMPLE (2.4): Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be any division ring. Let

$$A = \{f: R \rightarrow R \mid \text{for any } x \in R, f(x) \neq 0\} \cup \{\theta\}$$

where $\theta: R \rightarrow R$ is given by $\theta(x) = 0$ for all $x \in R$. Define $f \circ g$ by: $(f \circ g)(x) = f(x) \cdot g(x)$. Then $(A, \circ, ')$ is an a -system where $f'(x) = (f(x))^{-1}$ and $\emptyset = \theta$.

In the sequel, $(A, \circ, ')$ denotes an a -system.

LEMMA (2.5): *If $a \in A$, then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $a = \emptyset$.
- (ii) $a \circ a' = \emptyset$.
- (iii) $a' = \emptyset$.
- (iv) $a' \circ a = \emptyset$.

LEMMA (2.6): *If $a, b \in A$, $\emptyset \neq a \circ b$ then $(a \circ b)' \circ (a \circ b) = b' \circ b$ and $(a \circ b) \circ (a \circ b)' = a \circ a'$.*

PROOF: $(a \circ b)' \circ (a \circ b) = b' \circ a' \circ a \circ b = b' \circ b \circ b' \circ b = b' \circ b$. The other identity is proved similarly.

LEMMA (2.7): *Let $a(\neq \emptyset)$, $b(\neq \emptyset) \in A$. Then either $a \circ b \circ b' = \emptyset$ or $a \circ b \circ b' = a$. The latter case occurs if and only if $a \circ b \neq \emptyset$.*

PROOF: If $a \circ b \circ b' \neq \emptyset$, then $a \circ b \neq \emptyset$ whence $b \circ b' = a' \circ a$. Thus $a \circ b \circ b' = a \circ a' \circ a = a$.

Dually, we have

LEMMA (2.8): *Let $a(\neq \emptyset)$, $b(\neq \emptyset) \in A$. Then either $a' \circ a \circ b = \emptyset$ or $a' \circ a \circ b = b$. The latter case occurs if and only if $a \circ b \neq \emptyset$.*

LEMMA (2.9): *If $a(\neq \emptyset)$, $b(\neq \emptyset) \in A$ and $b \circ b' = a' \circ a$ then $a \circ b \neq \emptyset$.*

PROOF: Suppose $a \circ b = \emptyset$. Then $a \circ b \circ b' = \emptyset$ whence $a = \emptyset$.

LEMMA (2.10): If $a, b \in A$ and $\emptyset \neq b = a \circ b$ then $a = b \circ b'$. Dually, if $\emptyset \neq b = b \circ a$ then $a = b' \circ b$.

PROOF: $\emptyset \neq b = a \circ b$ implies $a' \circ a = b \circ b'$ whence (from Lemma 2.7) $\emptyset \neq b \circ b' = a \circ b \circ b' = a$.

For any $a \in A$, let $La = a \circ a'$, $Ra = a' \circ a$.

THEOREM (2.11): (A, \circ, L, R) is a function system; i.e., (A, \circ, L, R) satisfies:

1. (A, \circ) is a semigroup.
2. For all elements $a \in A$,
 - (a) $L Ra = Ra$, $R La = La$;
 - (b) $La \circ a = a = a \circ Ra$.
3. For all elements $a, b \in A$,
 - (a) $L(a \circ b) = L(a \circ Lb)$, $R(a \circ b) = R(Ra \circ b)$;
 - (b) $La \circ Rb = Rb \circ La$;
 - (c) $Ra \circ b = b \circ R(a \circ b)$ [21].

PROOF: See [21; theorem 23].

3. Categorical semigroups and Brandt semigroups

If a, b are elements of any function system then $a \subseteq b$ means $a = b \circ Ra$ [21].

THEOREM (3.1): In any a-system, " \subseteq " is trivial; i.e., $a, b \in A$, $a \subseteq b$ implies $a = b$ or $a = \emptyset$.

PROOF: If $a \subseteq b$ then $a = b \circ a' \circ a$. If $a \neq \emptyset$ then, by Lemma 2.7, $a = b$.

COROLLARY (3.2): (A, \circ, L, R) is a categorical semigroup; i.e., (A, \circ, L, R) possesses a zero element \emptyset satisfying $R\emptyset = \emptyset$ and

1. (A, \circ) is a semigroup.
2. For all elements $a \in A$,
 - (a) $L Ra = Ra$, $R La = La$;
 - (b) $La \circ a = a = a \circ Ra$.
3. For all a, b in A , $a \circ b \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $a \neq \emptyset$, $b \neq \emptyset$ and $Ra = Lb$ [21].

PROOF: See [21; theorem 25].

EXAMPLE (3.3): Let

$$C = \{f: \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R} \mid \text{dom } f = \mathfrak{R}, f \text{ is constant, } f(x) \geq 0\} \cup \{\emptyset\}.$$

For any $f(\neq \emptyset) \in C$, let $Lf, Rf \in C$ be defined by: $Lf(x) = Rf(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{R}$. Then $(C, +, L, R)$ is a categorical semigroup violating axiom A1.

LEMMA (3.4): Let (C, \circ, L, R) be a categorical semigroup. If, for any $a \in C$ there exists $x \in C$ such that $x \circ a = Ra$, then $a \circ x = La$.

PROOF: Let $x \circ a = Ra \neq \emptyset$. Then $Rx = La$ and

$$a \circ x \circ a = a \circ Ra = a \neq \emptyset$$

whence $a \circ x \neq \emptyset$. Hence $Ra = Lx$. Now, there exists $y \in C$ such that $y \circ x = Rx$. Thus $Ry = Lx$ and $Ly = Rx$. Hence $y \circ x = La$ which implies that $y \circ x \circ a = La \circ a = a$. Thus $y \circ Ra = y \circ Ry = y = a$.

LEMMA (3.5): If (C, \circ, L, R) is a categorical semigroup such that for any $a \in C$ there exists $a' \in C$ such that $a' \circ a = Ra$ then C is cancellative; i.e.,

$$\emptyset \neq a \circ b = a \circ c \text{ implies } b = c$$

and

$$\emptyset \neq b \circ a = c \circ a \text{ implies } b = c.$$

PROOF: $\emptyset \neq b \circ a = c \circ a$ implies $Rb = La = Rc$ whence by Lemma 3.4, $b = b \circ Rb = b \circ La = b \circ a \circ a' = c \circ a \circ a' = c \circ La = c \circ Rc = c$.

THEOREM (3.6): Let (C, \circ, L, R) be a categorical semigroup. Then C is an a -system if and only if for any $a \in C$ there exists $a' \in C$ such that $a' \circ a = Ra$.

PROOF: Suppose that C is a categorical semigroup having the above property. Then, for any $a \in C$, $a' \circ a \circ a' \circ a = a' \circ a \circ Ra = a' \circ a$. By cancelling, we get:

$$a \circ a' \circ a = a$$

and

$$a' \circ a \circ a' = a'.$$

Notice that a' must be unique (by the cancellative property). Thus $(C, \circ, ')$ is an inverse semigroup with null element \emptyset . Let $a, b \in C$. If $\emptyset \neq a \circ b$ then $Ra = Lb$; i.e., $a' \circ a = b \circ b'$.

Conversely, let (C, \circ, L, R) be a categorical semigroup which is also

an a -system. Let $a(\neq \emptyset) \in C$. Then $\emptyset \neq a = a \circ Ra$ and the result follows from Lemma 2.10.

THEOREM (3.7): *Let $(A, \circ, ')$ be an a -system. If, for any two idempotents $a, b \in A$ there exists $x \in A$ such that $a \circ x \circ b \neq \emptyset$ then A is a Brandt semigroup and conversely, where a Brandt semigroup is defined to be a semigroup (B, \cdot) with zero element \emptyset satisfying:*

1. If $a, b, c \in B$ and, if $ac = bc \neq \emptyset$ or $ca = cb \neq \emptyset$ then $a = b$.
2. If $a, b, c \in B$ and if $ab \neq \emptyset$ and $bc \neq \emptyset$ then $abc \neq \emptyset$.
3. For each $a(\neq \emptyset)$ in B there exists a unique $e \in B$ such that $ea = a$, a unique $f \in B$ such that $af = a$ and a unique $a' \in B$ such that $a'a = f$.
4. If e, f are non-zero idempotents of B , then there exists $a \in B$ such that $eaf \neq \emptyset$ [1].

PROOF: Let $(A, \circ, ')$ be an a -system. Let $a(\neq \emptyset) \in A$. Let $e = a \circ a'$ and $f = a' \circ a$. Then $e \circ a = a = a \circ f$. Moreover, $x \circ a = f$ implies $x = a'$ since A is cancellative.

Conversely, let (B, \circ) be a Brandt semigroup. Then B is an inverse semigroup with null element \emptyset [1; page 102]. Let $\emptyset \neq a \circ b$. Then there exists $e \in B$ such that $e \circ a \circ b = a \circ b$. Thus

$$e = a \circ a' = (a \circ b) \circ (a \circ b)' = a \circ b \circ b' \circ a'.$$

Hence

$$a' = a' \circ a \circ a' = a' \circ a \circ b \circ b' \circ a'.$$

Thus, $a' \circ a = a' \circ a \circ b \circ b'$; i.e., $a = a \circ b \circ b'$. But $a = a \circ a' \circ a$. Hence $a' \circ a = b \circ b'$.

COROLLARY (3.8): *Every Brandt semigroup is a categorical semigroup.*

EXAMPLE (3.9): Let $A = \{\emptyset, a, b\}$. Define

$$a \circ a = a; \quad b \circ b = b; \quad a \circ b = \emptyset = b \circ a; \quad a' = a; \quad b' = b.$$

Then $(A, \circ, ')$ is an a -system which is not a Brandt semigroup.

4. Functions over A

Let a, b be distinct elements of $(A, \circ, ')$. Then a and b are *inconsistent* if $a' \circ a = b' \circ b$ (cf. [11; page 169]).

If a and b are distinct elements of A and $a \circ Rb = b \circ Ra$ then either $\emptyset = a \circ Rb$ or $a = a \circ Rb$. In the former case $a' \circ a \neq b' \circ b$ and in the latter case $a = b$. In either case, a and b are consistent. Conversely, let a and b be distinct consistent elements. Then $a' \circ a \neq b' \circ b$. Hence $a \circ b' \circ b = a \circ Rb = \emptyset$. Similarly, $b \circ Ra = \emptyset$. Thus we have proved:

LEMMA (4.1): *Let $a, b \in A$. Then a and b are consistent if and only if $a \circ Rb = b \circ Ra$ [20].*

LEMMA (4.2): *For any $a \in A$, a and \emptyset are consistent.*

PROOF: $a \circ R\emptyset = \emptyset = \emptyset \circ Ra$.

LEMMA (4.3): *For any $a, b \in A$, $a \circ a'$ and $b \circ b'$ are consistent.*

PROOF: If $(a \circ a') \circ (a \circ a') = (b \circ b') \circ (b \circ b')$ then

$$a \circ a' \circ a \circ a' = a \circ a' = b \circ b' \circ b \circ b' = b \circ b'.$$

COROLLARY (4.4): *If $a, b \in A$, then $a \circ a'$, $a' \circ a$, $b' \circ b$, $b \circ b'$ are pairwise consistent.*

If $\emptyset \in f \subseteq A$ and $a, b \in f$ implies that a and b are consistent then f is a *function over A* . Let F denote the set of functions over A . For any $f, g \in F$, define:

$$f \circ g = \{a \circ b \mid a \in f, b \in g\};$$

$$Lf = \{a \circ a' \mid a \in f\};$$

$$Rf = \{a' \circ a \mid a \in f\}.$$

THEOREM (4.5): *(F, \circ) is a semigroup.*

PROOF: Let $f, g \in F$, $a, c \in f$, $b, d \in g$. Suppose that $a \circ b$, $c \circ d$ are inconsistent. Then $a \circ b \neq \emptyset \neq c \circ d$ and $(a \circ b)' \circ (a \circ b) = (c \circ d)' \circ (c \circ d)$; i.e., $b' \circ b = d' \circ d$. But b and d are consistent whence $b = d$. Thus $a \neq c$. Since a and c are consistent, then $a' \circ a \neq c' \circ c$. Thus $a' \circ a = b \circ b'$ and $d \circ d' = c' \circ c$ whence $a' \circ a = c' \circ c$. This contradiction shows that $f \circ g \in F$. It is obvious that “ \circ ” is associative.

THEOREM (4.6): *(F, \circ) contains an identity j .*

PROOF: Let $j = \{a \circ a' \mid a \in A\}$; obviously, $j \in F$. Let $a \in f \in F$. Then $a' \circ a$, $a \circ a' \in j$ whence $a \circ (a' \circ a) = a \in f \circ j$. Hence $f \subseteq f \circ j$ and $f \subseteq j \circ f$.

In the other direction, let $a \in f$, $b \circ b' \in j$. Then either $a \circ b \circ b' = \emptyset$ or $a \circ b \circ b' = a$. In either case, $a \circ b \circ b' \in f$; i.e., $f \circ j \subseteq f$. Similarly, $j \circ f \subseteq f$.

THEOREM (4.7): (F, \circ, L, R) is a function system.

PROOF:

- (a) For any $f \in F$, $LRf = \{a \circ a' \mid a \in Rf\} = \{b' \circ b \circ b' \circ b \mid b \in f\} = Rf$.
Similarly, $RLf = Lf$.
- (b) For any $f \in F$, $Lf \circ f = \{a \circ a' \circ b \mid a, b \in f\}$. But $a \circ a' \circ b = \emptyset$ or $a \circ a' \circ b = b$. Hence $Lf \circ f \subseteq f$. But if $a \in f$ then $a \circ a' \circ a \in Lf \circ f$ whence $f \subseteq Lf \circ f$. Similarly, $f = f \circ Rf$.
- (c) Let $f, g \in F$, $a \in f$, $b \in g$. Then

$$(a \circ b) \circ (a \circ b)' = (a \circ b \circ b') \circ (a \circ b \circ b')'$$

whence $L(f \circ g) = L(f \circ Lg)$. Similarly, $R(f \circ g) = R(Rf \circ g)$.

- (d) Let $a \in f$, $b \in g$. Then

$$a \circ a' \circ b' \circ b = \emptyset \in Rg \circ Lf$$

or

$$a \circ a' \circ b' \circ b = b' \circ b \neq \emptyset$$

whence $a' \circ b' \neq \emptyset$ which implies $b \circ a \neq \emptyset$. Then

$$b' \circ b = b' \circ b \circ a \circ a' \in Rg \circ Lf.$$

Consequently $Lf \circ Rg \subseteq Rg \circ Lf$. The reverse inclusion is similarly established.

- (e) Let $a \in f$, $b \in g$. Either $a' \circ a \circ b = \emptyset \in g \circ R(f \circ g)$ or

$$a' \circ a \circ b = b = b \circ b' \circ b = b \circ (a \circ b)' \circ (a \circ b) \in g \circ R(f \circ g).$$

Hence $Rf \circ g \subseteq g \circ R(f \circ g)$. In the opposite direction, let $a \in f$, $b, c \in g$. Suppose $c \circ (a \circ b)' \circ (a \circ b) \neq \emptyset$. Then $c \circ (a \circ b)' \circ (a \circ b) = c$ and $b' \circ b = c' \circ c$. Since b and c are consistent, $b = c$. Thus

$a \circ c \neq \emptyset$ whence, by lemma 2.8, $c = a' \circ a \circ c \in Rf \circ g$. Thus

$$g \circ R(f \circ g) = Rf \circ g.$$

THEOREM (4.8): *If “ \subseteq ” denotes set inclusion (not the partial order of section 3) then (F, \circ, \subseteq) is a function semigroup; i.e.,*

1. F is partially ordered by “ \subseteq ”.
2. (F, \circ) is a semigroup with identity j .
3. (a) For all $a, b \in F$, if $a \subseteq b$ then F contains an element $j_1 \subseteq j$ such that $a = b \circ j_1$.
 (b) If $j_2 (\subseteq j) \in F$, then for all $a \in F$, $a \circ j_2 \subseteq a$ and $j_2 \circ a \subseteq a$.
4. For every $a \in F$ there exist La and Ra in F such that
 (a) $La \circ a = a = a \circ Ra$.
 (b) $L(a \circ b) \subseteq La$, $R(a \circ b) \subseteq Rb$.
 (c) If $a \subseteq j$ then $La \subseteq a$ and $Ra \subseteq a$ [18].

PROOF: Let $f, g \in F$, $f \subseteq g$. If $a \in f$ then $a \circ a' \circ a = a \in g \circ Rf$; i.e., $f \subseteq g \circ Rf$. Conversely, if $\emptyset \neq b \circ a' \circ a \in g \circ Rf$ then $b' \circ b = a' \circ a$. Since $f \subseteq g$ then a and b are consistent whence $a = b$. Thus $b \circ a' \circ a = a \in f$. Hence $f \subseteq g$ implies $f = g \circ Rf$.

Conversely, let $a (\neq \emptyset) \in f$. Then there exist $b \in g$, $c \in f$ such that $a = b \circ c' \circ c$. Hence $a = b$. Thus $f \subseteq g$ if and only if $f = g \circ Rf$. The result now follows from [21; theorem 16].

5. Representations

Let S be any set. Then \mathcal{A}_S will denote the *atomic semigroup* on S ; i.e., \mathcal{A}_S consists of the empty set and all functions $f: S \rightarrow S$ such that $|\text{dom } f| = |\text{ran } f| = 1$ where the semigroup operation is composition [20].

THEOREM (5.1): *Let $(A, \circ, ')$ be an a -system. Then (A, \circ) can be homomorphically embedded in (\mathcal{A}_A, \circ) .*

PROOF: Let $f: A \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_A$ be defined by: $f(a) = (Ra, La)$ for any $a (\neq \emptyset) \in A$ and $f(\emptyset) = \emptyset$. Let $a, b \in A$. If $\emptyset \neq La \neq Rb \neq \emptyset$, then $b \circ a = \emptyset$. Hence $f(b \circ a) = \emptyset$. Also, $f(b) \circ f(a) = \emptyset$. On the other hand, if $La = Rb \neq \emptyset$ then $b \circ a \neq \emptyset$. Hence

$$f(b \circ a) = (R(b \circ a), L(b \circ a)) = (Ra, Lb) = f(b) \circ f(a).$$

Thus f is a semigroup homomorphism.

COROLLARY (5.2): *If $Ra = Rb$, $La = Lb$ imply $a = b$ then (A, \circ) can be monomorphically embedded in \mathcal{A}_A .*

Let $(A, \circ, ')$ be an a -system. If, for any $a(\neq \emptyset)$, $b(\neq \emptyset) \in A$ there exists a unique $c \in A$ such that $Lc = La$, $Rc = Rb$ then A is a *composition system* (c -system).

THEOREM (5.3): *For any c -system $(A, \circ, ')$ there exists a set S such that (A, \circ) is isomorphic to the atomic semigroup (\mathcal{A}_S, \circ) .*

PROOF: Let $S = \{Ra | a \in A\}$. Notice that $La = RLa \in S$ for all $a \in A$. By corollary 5.2, the mapping f defined in theorem 5.1 is a monomorphism. Let $(Ra, Rb) \in S \times S$. Then there exists $c \in A$ such that $Rc = Ra$, $Lc = LRb$, whence $f(c) = (Ra, Rb)$.

Let k be a function over A . Then k is a *constant* if for every function f over A , $k \circ f = k \circ Rf$ [17; page 380]. If k is a constant and $Rk = j$ then k is a *proper constant*.

Let $a, b \in A$. Then aLb means $La = Lb$. Obviously " L " is an equivalence relation on A . The L -equivalence class containing a will be denoted a_L .

THEOREM (5.4): *Let $(A, \circ, ')$ be a c -system. Then k is a proper constant if and only if there exists $a(\neq \emptyset) \in A$ such that $k = a_L \cup \{\emptyset\}$.*

PROOF: Let $k = a_L \cup \{\emptyset\}$. Let $b(\neq \emptyset)$, $c(\neq \emptyset) \in k$. Then $Lb = La = Lc$. Now if $Rb = Rc$, then, since A is a c -system, $b = c$. Thus b and c are consistent whence k is a function over A .

Next, let $c \in A$. Then there exists $x \in A$ such that $Rx = Rc$, $Lx = La$. Thus $x \in k$, whence $Rk = j$.

Now, let f be any function over A . Let $x \circ b \in k \circ f$. If $x \circ b \neq \emptyset$ then $L(x \circ b) = Lx$. Then there exists $y \in k$ such that $x \circ b = y$. Obviously, $x = y \circ b'$ whence $x \circ b = y \circ b' \circ b \in k \circ Rf$; i.e., $k \circ f \subseteq k \circ Rf$. In the opposite direction, let $\emptyset \neq x \circ (b' \circ b) \in k \circ Rf$. Then there exists $y \in A$ such that $Ry = RLb$, $Ly = Lx$; thus $y \circ b \neq \emptyset$. Then $R(y \circ b) = Rb = Rx$ since $x \circ b' \neq \emptyset$. Since $y \circ b$ and x are consistent, $y \circ b = x = x \circ b' \circ b$. Hence $k \circ Rf \subseteq k \circ f$.

Conversely, let k be a proper constant and let $a(\neq \emptyset) \in A$. Let $k_1 = a_L \cup \{\emptyset\}$. Then $Rk_1 = j$ and $k \circ k_1 = k \circ Rk_1 = k \circ j = k$. Now, let $x_1(\neq \emptyset)$, $x_2(\neq \emptyset) \in k$. Then there exist $x, y \in k_1$, $z, w \in k$ such that $z \circ x = x_1$ and $w \circ y = x_2$. Thus $Lx = Rz$ and $Ly = Rw$. However, $x, y \in k_1$; i.e., $Lx = Ly$. Hence $Rz = Rw$. Since z and w are consistent, $z = w$. Thus $z \circ x = x_1$; $z \circ y = x_2$. Therefore, $Lx_1 = Lz = Lx_2$; i.e., x_1Lx_2 . Since $(A, \circ, ')$ is a c -system it follows that $k = x_{1L} \cup \{\emptyset\}$.

If Q is a collection of functions over A satisfying;

- i) $f \in Q$ implies $Rf = j$;
- ii) If $f, g \in Q$ and $f \neq g$ then $f \cap g = \{\emptyset\}$;
- iii) $\bigcup_{f \in Q} f = A$

then Q will be called a *partition of A into proper functions*. Notice that for any c -system A there exists a partition Q of A into proper functions; to wit, the partition of A into proper constants.

THEOREM (5.5): *Let A be any c -system and let Q be a partition of A into proper functions. Then (A, \circ) is isomorphic to (\mathcal{A}_Q, \circ) .*

PROOF: Let $r(\neq \emptyset) \in A$. For any $a(\neq \emptyset) \in A$ there exist unique elements $x, y \in A$ such that $Rx = Rr, Lx = La'$; $Ry = Rr, Ly = La$. Moreover there exist unique $f, g \in Q$ such that $x \in f, y \in g$. Define $h: A \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_Q$ by $h: a \mapsto (f, g)$ and $h(\emptyset) = \emptyset$. Notice first that $h(a') = (g, f)$. Next, let $a, b \in A$ and let $h(a) = (f_1, g_1), h(b) = (f_2, g_2)$. There are two cases to consider:

Case 1: $a \circ b = \emptyset$. Then $Lb \neq Ra$. Suppose $g_2 = f_1$. Let y_2, x_1 be the unique elements satisfying $Rx_1 = Rr, Lx_1 = La'$; $Ry_2 = Rr, Ly_2 = Lb$. Then $x_1 \in f_1, y_2 \in g_2, Rx_1 = Ry_2$ imply (since x_1 and y_2 are consistent) that $x_1 = y_2$. Hence $Ra = Lb$. Thus $a \circ b \neq \emptyset$. This contradiction shows that $g_2 \neq f_1$ whence $h(a \circ b) = \emptyset = h(a) \circ h(b)$.

Case 2: $a \circ b \neq \emptyset$. Then $Lb = Ra = La'$ whence $g_2 = f_1$. Thus

$$h(a) \circ h(b) = (f_1, g_1)(f_2, g_2) = (f_2, g_1).$$

However, $L(a \circ b) = La$ and $R(a \circ b) = Rb$. Therefore $h(a \circ b) = (f_2, g_1)$. Thus h is a homomorphism.

Now, let $f, g \in Q$. Since f, g are proper functions, there exist unique elements $x \in f, y \in g$ such that $Rx = Rr, Ry = Rr$. Also, there exists a unique element $z \in A$ such that $Rz = Rx', Lz = Ly$. Then $h(z) = (f, g)$; i.e., h is an epimorphism.

Finally, suppose $h(a) = h(b) \neq \emptyset$. Then there exist $x, y \in A$ such that $Rx = Rr, Lx = La' = Lb'$ and $Ry = Rr, Ly = La = Lb$. Hence $a = b$.

For any $a(\neq \emptyset) \in A$, let $k_a = a_L \cup \{\emptyset\}$.

COROLLARY (5.6): (Menger's representation by constant functions) [8; page 13]. *Let $(A, \circ, ')$ be a c -system. Let $Q = \{k_a | a \in A\}$. Then $h: A \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_Q$ given by $h: a \mapsto (k_a, k_a)$ if $a \neq \emptyset$ and $h(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ is an isomorphism.*

REFERENCES

- [1] CLIFFORD, A. H. and G. B. PRESTON: The algebraic theory of semigroups. I. *Am. Math. Soc. Math. Surveys No. 7*, Providence, 1961.
- [2] CURRY, H. B.: An analysis of logical substitution. *Am. J. Math.* 51 (1929) 363–384.
- [3] CURRY, H. B. and R. FEYS: *Combinatory logic*. Amsterdam 1958.
- [4] DAVIS, A. S.: An axiomatization of the algebra of transformations over a set. *Math. Ann.* 164 (1966) 372–377.
- [5] GORTON, R.: The algebra of atoms. *Math. Jap.* 17 (1972) 105–111.
- [6] JOHNSON, H. H.: Realizations of abstract algebras of functions. *Math. Ann.* 142 (1961) 317–321.
- [7] MANNOS, M.: Ideals in tri-operational algebra. *Reports Math. Coll., 2nd series, Notre Dame* 7 (1946) 73–79.
- [8] MENGER, K.: Algebra of analysis. *Notre Dame Math. Lect.* 3 (1944).
- [9] MENGER, K.: Tri-operational algebra. *Reports Math. Coll., 2nd series, Notre Dame* 5–6 (1945) 3–10.
- [10] MENGER, K.: General algebra of analysis. *Reports Math. Coll. 2nd series, Notre Dame* 7 (1946) 46–60.
- [11] MENGER, K.: *Calculus. A modern approach*. Boston: Ginn 1955.
- [12] MENGER, K.: *An axiomatic theory of functions and fluents. The axiomatic method*. L. Henkin et al Eds., Amsterdam: North-Holland Publishing Co. (1959) 454–473.
- [13] MENGER, K.: Algebra of functions: past, present, future. *Rend. Mat. Roma* 20 (1961) 409–430.
- [14] MENGER, K.: Superassociative systems and logical functors. *Math. Ann.* 157 (1964) 278–295.
- [15] NÖBAUER, W.: Über die Operation des Einsetzens in Polynomringen. *Math. Ann.* 134 (1958) 248–259.
- [16] SCHÖNFINKEL, M.: Über die Bausteine der mathematischen Logik, *Math. Ann.* 92 (1924) 305–316.
- [17] SCHWEIZER, B. and A. SKLAR: The algebra of functions. *Math. Ann.* 139 (1960) 366–382.
- [18] SCHWEIZER, B. and A. SKLAR: The algebra of functions II. *Math. Ann.* 143 (1961) 440–447.
- [19] SCHWEIZER, B. and A. SKLAR: A mapping algebra with infinitely many operations, *Coll. Math.* 9 (1962) 33–38.
- [20] SCHWEIZER, B. and A. SKLAR: The algebra of functions III. *Math. Ann.* 161 (1965) 171–196.
- [21] SCHWEIZER, B. and A. SKLAR: Function systems. *Math. Ann.* 172 (1967) 1–16.
- [22] WHITLOCK, H. I.: A composition algebra for multiplace functions. *Math. Ann.* 157 (1964) 167–178.

(Oblatum 24–III–1975 & 26–VIII–1975)

Department of Mathematics
University of Dayton
Dayton, Ohio 45469
U.S.A.