

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

GIUSEPPE VALLA

On determinantal ideals which are set-theoretic complete intersections

Compositio Mathematica, tome 42, n° 1 (1980), p. 3-11

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1980__42_1_3_0

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1980, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

**ON DETERMINANTAL IDEALS WHICH ARE
 SET-THEORETIC COMPLETE INTERSECTIONS***

Giuseppe Valla

Let A be an $r \times s$ ($r \leq s$) matrix with entries in a commutative noetherian ring R with identity. We shall denote by (A) the ideal generated by its subdeterminants of order r . If (A) is a proper ideal of R , then the height of (A) , abbreviated as $h(A)$, is at most $s - r + 1$ (see [1], Theorem 3). In this paper we prove that there exist elements $f_1, \dots, f_{s-r+1} \in (A)$ such that $\text{rad}(A) = \text{rad}(f_1, \dots, f_{s-r+1})$ (where $\text{rad}(I)$ means the radical of the ideal I) in each of the following situations:

- (1) $A = \|a_{ij}\|$ is an $r \times s$ matrix such that $a_{ij} = a_{kl}$ if $i + j = k + l$.
- (2) A is an $r \times (r + 1)$ partly symmetric matrix, where partly symmetric means that the $r \times r$ matrix obtained by omitting the last column is symmetric.
- (3) $A = \begin{vmatrix} a^{p_1} & b^{q_1} & c^{r_1} \\ b^{q_2} & c^{r_2} & a^{p_2} \end{vmatrix}$ where (a, b, c) is an ideal of height 3 and p_i, q_i, r_i are positive integers not necessarily distinct.

It follows that if $h(A)$ is as large as possible, $s - r + 1$, then the above determinantal ideals are set-theoretic complete intersections.

It is interesting to compare these results with the following theorem due to M. Hochster (never published).

THEOREM: *Let $t < r < s$ be integer, and let k be a field of characteristic 0. Let $A = k[X_{ij}]$ be the ring of polynomials in rs variables, and let $I_t(X)$ be the ideal generated by the $t \times t$ minors of the $r \times s$ matrix (X_{ij}) . Then $I_t(X)$ is not set theoretically a complete intersection.*

1

Let $A = \|a_{ij}\|$ be an $r \times s$ given matrix, where $a_{ij} \in R$ and $r \leq s$. In

* This work was supported by the C.N.R. (Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche).

this section we assume that $a_{ij} = a_{kl}$ if $i + j = k + l$, hence we may write

$$A = \left\| \begin{array}{cccc} a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_s \\ a_2 & a_3 & \cdots & a_{s+1} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ a_r & a_{r+1} & \cdots & a_{r+s+1} \end{array} \right\|$$

We shall denote by (A) the ideal generated by the r -rowed minors of A and if $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r)$ is a set of r integers such that $1 \leq \sigma_1 < \sigma_2 < \cdots < \sigma_r \leq s$, we put

$$A_\sigma = \left\| \begin{array}{cccc} a_{\sigma_1} & a_{\sigma_2} & \cdots & a_{\sigma_r} \\ a_{\sigma_1+1} & a_{\sigma_2+1} & \cdots & a_{\sigma_r+1} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ a_{\sigma_1+r-1} & a_{\sigma_2+r-1} & \cdots & a_{\sigma_r+r-1} \end{array} \right\|$$

and $d_\sigma = \det A_\sigma$.

If $i = r, \dots, s$ let \mathfrak{A}_i be the ideal generated by the d_σ with $\sigma_r \leq i$; then $\mathfrak{A}_s = (A)$ and, with a self explanatory notation, $\mathfrak{A}_i = (\mathfrak{A}_{i-1}, d_\sigma)_{\sigma_r=i}$ (where $\mathfrak{A}_{r-1} = (0)$).

Next for all $i = r, \dots, s$, let f_i be the determinant of the $i \times i$ matrix

$$M_i = \left\| \begin{array}{cccccc} a_1 & \cdots & a_r & \cdot & \cdots & a_i \\ \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ a_r & \cdots & a_{2r-1} & \cdot & \cdots & a_{i+r-1} \\ \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ a_i & \cdots & a_{i+r-1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{array} \right\|$$

It is clear that $\mathfrak{A}_r = (f_r)$ and $f_i \in \mathfrak{A}_i$ for all $i = r, \dots, s$.

THEOREM 1.1: *With the above notations, we have:*

$$\text{rad}(\mathfrak{A}_i) = \text{rad}(\mathfrak{A}_{i-1}, f_i)$$

for all $i = r, \dots, s$.

PROOF: Since $(\mathfrak{A}_{i-1}, f_i) \subseteq \mathfrak{A}_i$ we need only to prove that $\mathfrak{A}_i \subseteq \text{rad}(\mathfrak{A}_{i-1}, f_i)$. This is true if $i = r$, hence we may assume $i > r$. Now $\mathfrak{A}_i = (\mathfrak{A}_{i-1}, d_\sigma)_{\sigma_r=i}$, so it is enough to show that $d_\sigma \in \text{rad}(\mathfrak{A}_{i-1}, f_i)$ for all σ such that $\sigma_r = i$. Let $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r = i)$; then

$$A_\sigma = \left\| \begin{array}{cccc} a_{\sigma_1} & a_{\sigma_2} & \cdots & a_i \\ a_{\sigma_1+1} & a_{\sigma_2+1} & \cdots & a_{i+1} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ a_{\sigma_1+r-1} & a_{\sigma_2+r-1} & \cdots & a_{i+r-1} \end{array} \right\|$$

Hence, by expanding the determinant along the last column, we get $d_\sigma = \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} a_{i+k} c_k$ where c_k is the cofactor of a_{i+k} in A_σ . Denote by λ_m ($m = 1, \dots, i$) the m -th row of M_i and let $1 \leq \tau_1 < \tau_2 < \cdots < \tau_{i-r} \leq i-1$, where $\{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{i-r}\}$ is the complement of $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r = i\}$ in $\{1, 2, \dots, i\}$.

Then if $j = 1, \dots, i-r$ we have $j \leq \tau_j \leq \tau_{i-r} - (i-r-j) \leq i-1-i+r+j = r+j-1$.

Denote by N_i the matrix obtained from M_i by replacing, for all $j = 1, \dots, i-r$, the row λ_{τ_j} by $\sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \lambda_{j+k} c_k$; since, as we have seen, $j \leq \tau_j \leq r+j-1$, in this linear combination λ_{τ_j} has coefficient c_{τ_j-j} . It follows that

$$\det N_i = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i-r} c_{\tau_j-j} \right) f_i.$$

Denote by m_{pq} the entries of the matrix M_i and by n_{pq} those of N_i ; then $m_{j+k,l} = a_{j+k+l-1}$ (where $a_t = 0$ if $t > i+r-1$), hence $n_{\tau_j l} = \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} a_{j+k+l-1} c_k$ for all $j = 1, \dots, i-r$ and $l = 1, \dots, i-j+1$. It follows that for all $j = 1, \dots, i-r$ if $1 \leq l \leq i-j+1$, $n_{\tau_j l}$ is the determinant of the matrix obtained by replacing the last column of A_σ by the $(j+l-1)$ -th column of A . Therefore we get:

- (1) $n_{\tau_j l} = 0$ if $j+l-1 \in \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{r-1}\}$.
- (2) $n_{\tau_j l} = d_\sigma$ if $j+l-1 = i$, or, which is the same, $l = i-j+1$.
- (3) $n_{\tau_j l} \in \mathfrak{A}_{i-1}$ if $j+l-1 \in \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{i-r}\}$ and this because $\tau_{i-r} \leq i-1$ and $\sigma_{r-1} \leq i-1$.

So we get for all $j = 1, \dots, i-r$: $n_{\tau_j l} \in \mathfrak{A}_{i-1}$ if $l = 1, \dots, i-j$ and $n_{\tau_j, i-j+1} = d_\sigma$. Then we can write

$$\det N_i = \det \left\| \begin{array}{cccccccc} \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot \\ n_{\tau_1 1} & n_{\tau_1 2} & \cdots & n_{\tau_1 r} & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & n_{\tau_1 i-1} & n_{\tau_1 i} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ n_{\tau_2 1} & n_{\tau_2 2} & \cdots & n_{\tau_2 r} & \cdot & \cdots & n_{\tau_2 i-2} & n_{\tau_2 i-1} & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ n_{\tau_{i-r} 1} & n_{\tau_{i-r} 2} & \cdots & n_{\tau_{i-r} r} & n_{\tau_{i-r} r+1} & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ a_i & a_{i+1} & \cdots & a_{i+r-1} & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \end{array} \right\|$$

$$= \det \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & \cdot & 0 & d_\sigma \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & d_\sigma & 0 & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & d_\sigma & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ a_i & a_{i+1} & \cdots & a_{i+r-1} & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \end{vmatrix} \pmod{\mathfrak{A}_{i-1}}.$$

By expanding the determinant along the first r columns we get

$$\det N_i = \pm \det \begin{vmatrix} a_{\sigma_1} & a_{\sigma_1+1} & \cdots & a_{\sigma_1+r-1} \\ a_{\sigma_2} & a_{\sigma_2+1} & \cdots & a_{\sigma_2+r-1} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ a_i & a_{i+1} & \cdots & a_{i+r-1} \end{vmatrix} \det \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdot & d_\sigma \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & d_\sigma & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot \\ d_\sigma & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot \end{vmatrix} \pmod{\mathfrak{A}_{i-1}};$$

but clearly A_σ is a symmetric matrix, hence $\det N_i = \pm d_\sigma^{i-r+1} \pmod{\mathfrak{A}_{i-1}}$. It follows that $d_\sigma \in \text{rad}(\mathfrak{A}_{i-1}, f_i)$, since, as we have seen, $\det N_i \in (f_i)$; this completes the proof.

COROLLARY 1.2: *With A and f_r, \dots, f_s as before, we have:*

$$\text{rad}(A) = \text{rad}(f_r, \dots, f_s).$$

PROOF: By Theorem 1.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rad}(A) &= \text{rad}(\mathfrak{A}_s) = \text{rad}(\mathfrak{A}_{s-1}, f_s) = \text{rad}(\text{rad}(\mathfrak{A}_{s-1}) + \text{rad}(f_s)) \\ &= \text{rad}(\text{rad}(\mathfrak{A}_{s-2}, f_{s-1}) + \text{rad}(f_s)) = \text{rad}(\mathfrak{A}_{s-2}, f_{s-1}, f_s) \\ &= \cdots = \text{rad}(\mathfrak{A}_r, f_{r+1}, \dots, f_s) = \text{rad}(f_r, \dots, f_s). \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 1.3: If the elements of the matrix A are indeterminates over an algebraically closed field k , the ideal (A) is the defining ideal of the locus V of chordal $[r-2]$'s of the normal rational curve of \mathbb{P}^{s+r-2} , where if $p \geq 2$ a chordal $[p-1]$ of a manifold is one which meets it in p independent points (see [4] pag. 91 and 229). V is a projective variety in \mathbb{P}^{s+r-2} of dimension $2r-3$ and order $\binom{s}{r-1}$; hence the codimension of V is $s+r-2-2r+3 = s-r+1$ and the above result proves that V is set-theoretic complete intersection. The case $r=2$ is the main result in [5].

2

In this section A is a partly symmetric $r \times (r+1)$ matrix whose elements belong to R . Therefore we may write

$$A = \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1r} & b_1 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2r} & b_2 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot \\ a_{r1} & a_{r2} & \cdots & a_{rr} & b_r \end{vmatrix}$$

where the matrix $S = \|a_{ij}\|$ is $r \times r$ symmetric.

Let $B = \left\| \frac{A}{b_1 \dots b_r 0} \right\|$, $f_1 = \det S$ and $f_2 = \det B$; next, for all $i = 1, \dots, r+1$, denote by A_i the matrix which results when the i -th column of A is deleted, and put $d_i = \det A_i$. Then $f_1 = d_{r+1}$, $(A) = (d_1, \dots, d_{r+1})$ and $f_2 \in (A)$.

THEOREM 2.1: *With the above notations we have:*

$$\text{rad}(A) = \text{rad}(f_1, f_2).$$

PROOF: Since $(f_1, f_2) \subseteq (A)$ and $d_{r+1} = f_1$, it is enough to prove that $(d_1, \dots, d_r) \subseteq \text{rad}(f_1, f_2)$. Let i be any integer, $1 \leq i \leq r$; by expanding the determinant of A_i along the last column, we get $d_i = \sum_{k=1}^r b_k c_{ki}$ where c_{ki} is the cofactor of b_k in A_i . Denote by B' the matrix obtained by replacing the i -th row of B by the linear combination of the first r rows of B with coefficients $c_{1i}, c_{2i}, \dots, c_{ri}$. Then it is clear that $\det B' = c_{ii} \det B$ and the i -th row of B' is:

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^r a_{k1} c_{ki}, \dots, \sum_{k=1}^r a_{kr} c_{ki}, \sum_{k=1}^r b_k c_{ki} \right).$$

But $\sum_{k=1}^r a_{kj} c_{ki}$ is the determinant of the matrix obtained by replacing the last column of A_i by the j -th column of A . Hence $\sum_{k=1}^r a_{kj} c_{ki} = 0$ if $j \neq i$, while $\sum_{k=1}^r a_{ki} c_{ki} = \pm f_1$. Therefore we get:

$$c_{ii} f_2 = \det B' = \det \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1r} & b_1 \\ \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot \\ a_{i-1,1} & \cdots & a_{i-1,r} & b_{i-1} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & d_i \\ a_{i+1,1} & \cdots & a_{i+1,r} & b_{i+1} \\ \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot \\ a_{r1} & \cdots & a_{rr} & b_r \\ b_1 & \cdots & b_r & 0 \end{vmatrix} \pmod{f_1}.$$

By expanding this determinant along the first r columns we get:

$$c_{ii}f_2 = \pm d_i \det \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1r} \\ \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ a_{i-1,1} & \cdots & a_{i-1,r} \\ a_{i+1,1} & \cdots & a_{i+1,r} \\ \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ a_{r1} & \cdots & a_{rr} \\ b_1 & \cdots & b_r \end{vmatrix} \pmod{f_1};$$

But S is symmetric, hence $c_{ii}f_2 = \pm d_i \det A_i^t = \pm d_i \det A_i = \pm d_i^2 \pmod{f_1}$, and the theorem is proved.

EXAMPLE 2.2: Let V be the rational cubic scroll in \mathbb{P}^4 ; then it is well known that V is the locus where $\text{rk} \begin{vmatrix} X_0 & X_1 & X_3 \\ X_1 & X_2 & X_4 \end{vmatrix} = 1$. Hence the above theorem shows that V is set-theoretic complete intersection.

3

In this last section we will be interested in a particular 2×3 matrix. Suppose a , b and c are elements of the ring \mathcal{R} , such that the ideal they generate is of height 3; next let p_i, q_i, r_i ($i = 1, 2$) positive integers not necessarily distinct. Let us consider the 2×3 matrix

$$A = \begin{vmatrix} a^{p_1} & b^{q_1} & c^{r_1} \\ b^{q_2} & c^{r_2} & a^{p_2} \end{vmatrix}$$

and put $p = p_1 + p_2$, $q = q_1 + q_2$, $r = r_1 + r_2$ and $f_1 = b^{q_1}a^{p_2} - c^r$, $f_2 = a^p - b^{q_2}c^{r_1}$, $f_3 = a^{p_1}c^{r_2} - b^q$.

We want to show that if $(A) = (f_1, f_2, f_3)$ then $\text{rad}(A)$ is equal to the radical of an ideal generated by 2 elements; but first we shall give some remarks which are useful in the following.

Let k be any integer, $0 \leq k \leq q$; then we can write

$$(1) \quad kq_1 = tq + s \quad \text{where } 0 \leq s \leq q - 1.$$

Hence we have $kq = kq_1 + kq_2 = tq + s + kq_2$; it follows that

$$(2) \quad q_2(q - k) = (q_2 - k + t)q + s \quad \text{for all } k = 0, \dots, q.$$

Now, since $q_2(q-k) \geq 0$, we have $(q_2 - k + t)q + s \geq 0$; but $s < q$ by (1), hence

$$(3) \quad q_2 - k + t \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } k = 0, \dots, q.$$

Then we have also

$$(4) \quad 0 \leq (q-k)r_1 + r_2(q_2 - k + t) = (q-k)r + tr_2 - q_1r_2 \quad \text{for all } k = 0, \dots, q.$$

This allows us to consider the element

$$g = \sum_{k=0}^q (-1)^{q-k} \binom{q}{k} a^{kp_2+tp_1} b^s c^{(q-k)r+tr_2-q_1r_2}.$$

THEOREM 3.1: *With the above notations we have:*

$$\text{rad}(A) = \text{rad}(g, f_3).$$

PROOF: We have

$$f_1^q = (b^{q_1} a^{p_2} - c^r)^q = \sum_{k=0}^q (-1)^{q-k} \binom{q}{k} a^{kp_2} b^{kq_1} c^{r(q-k)};$$

since by (1) $kq_1 = tq + s$ for all $k = 0, \dots, q$ we get

$$f_1^q = \sum_{k=0}^q (-1)^{q-k} \binom{q}{k} a^{kp_2+tp_1} b^s c^{r(q-k)+tr_2} \text{ mod } f_3,$$

or $f_1^q = c^{q_1r_2} g \text{ mod } f_3$. On the other hand

$$f_2^q = (a^p - b^{q_2} c^{r_1})^q = \sum_{k=0}^q (-1)^{q-k} \binom{q}{k} a^{kp} b^{q_2(q-k)} c^{r_1(q-k)},$$

hence, using (2) and (3) we get

$$f_2^q = \sum_{k=0}^q (-1)^{q-k} \binom{q}{k} a^{kp+p_1(q_2-k+t)} b^s c^{r_1(q-k)+r_2(q_2-k+t)} \text{ mod } f_3.$$

But $kp + p_1(q_2 - k + t) = kp_2 + p_1q_2 + tp_1$, hence, using (4), we get $f_2^q = a^{p_1q_2} g \text{ mod } f_3$. This proves that $(A) \subseteq \text{rad}(g, f_3)$.

Next we have seen that $f_1^q = c^{q_1r_2} g \text{ mod } f_3$; hence $c^{q_1r_2} g \in (A)$. Let \mathfrak{B}

be a minimal prime ideal of (A) , then $h(\mathfrak{P}) \leq 2$ by [1, Theorem 3], so $c \notin \mathfrak{P}$, because if $c \in \mathfrak{P}$ then $(a, b, c) \subseteq \mathfrak{P}$ which is a contradiction since we have assumed $h(a, b, c) = 3$. It follows that $g \in \text{rad}(A)$; this completes the proof.

EXAMPLE 3.2: Let k be an arbitrary field, t transcendental over k . Let n_1, n_2, n_3 natural numbers with greatest common divisor 1, and let C be the affine space curve with the parametric equations $X = t^{n_1}$, $Y = t^{n_2}$, $Z = t^{n_3}$. Let c_i be the smallest positive integer such that there exist integers $r_{ij} \geq 0$ with $c_1 n_1 = r_{12} n_2 + r_{13} n_3$, $c_2 n_2 = r_{21} n_1 + r_{23} n_3$, $c_3 n_3 = r_{31} n_1 + r_{32} n_2$. In [2] it is proved that if C is not a complete intersection then $r_{ij} > 0$ for all i, j and $c_1 = r_{21} + r_{31}$, $c_2 = r_{12} + r_{32}$, $c_3 = r_{13} + r_{23}$.

Furthermore if $f_1 = X^{r_{31}} Y^{r_{32}} - Z^{c_3}$, $f_2 = X^{c_1} - Y^{r_{12}} Z^{r_{13}}$ and $f_3 = X^{r_{21}} Z^{r_{23}} - Y^{c_2}$, then the vanishing ideal $I(C) \subseteq k[X, Y, Z]$ of C is $I(C) = (f_1, f_2, f_3)$. Then it is easy to see that $I(C)$ is the ideal generated by the 2×2 minors of the matrix

$$\begin{vmatrix} X^{r_{21}} & Y^{r_{32}} & Z^{r_{13}} \\ Y^{r_{12}} & Z^{r_{23}} & X^{r_{31}} \end{vmatrix}.$$

It follows, by Theorem 3.1, that C is set-theoretic complete intersection. This result has been proved in [3] by completely different methods; see also [6].

Finally we remark that if $C = \{(t^5, t^7, t^8) \in \mathbb{A}^3(k)\}$ then the matrix is $\begin{vmatrix} X & Y^2 & Z \\ Y & Z^2 & X^2 \end{vmatrix}$, which is not partly symmetric; so the conclusion that C is set-theoretic complete intersection cannot be drawn from Theorem 2.1.

EXAMPLE 3.3: Let n, p be non-negative integers; we have seen (see Example 3.2) that if

$$C = \{(t^{2n+1}, t^{2n+1+p}, t^{2n+1+2p}) \in \mathbb{A}^3(k)\},$$

the vanishing ideal $I(C)$ in $k[X_1, X_2, X_3]$ is generated by $X_1^{n+p} X_2 - X_3^{n+1}$, $X_1^{n+p-1} - X_2 X_3^n$ and $X_1 X_3 - X_2^2$. Let \bar{C} be the projective closure of C in \mathbb{P}^3 . Since C has only one point at the infinity, it is well known that the homogeneous ideal of \bar{C} in $k[X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3]$ is generated by the polynomials $X_1^{n+p} X_2 - X_0^p X_3^{n+1}$, $X_1^{n+p+1} - X_0^p X_2 X_3^n$ and $X_1 X_3 - X_2^2$. It is immediately seen that this ideal is generated by the 2×2 minors of the matrix

$$\left\| \begin{array}{ccc} X_1 & X_2 & X_0^p X_3^n \\ X_2 & X_3 & X_1^{n+p} \end{array} \right\|.$$

Thus, by Theorem 2.1, \bar{C} is set-theoretic complete intersection of the two hypersurfaces $X_1 X_3 - X_2^2$ and $X_0^{2p} X_3^{2n+1} + X_1^{2n+2p+1} - 2X_0^p X_1^{n+p} X_2 X_3^n$.

EXAMPLE 3.4: If $C = \{(t^3, t^7, t^8) \in \mathbb{A}^3(k)\}$, the vanishing ideal $I(\bar{C}) \subseteq k[X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3]$ of the projective closure \bar{C} of C in \mathbb{P}^3 , needs five generators and our methods do not apply in order to see if \bar{C} is set-theoretic complete intersection.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.A. EAGON and D.G.NORTHCOTT: Ideals defined by matrices and a certain complex associated with them. *Proc. Roy. Soc. A*269 (1962) 188–204.
- [2] J. HERZOG: Generators and relations of abelian semigroups and semigroup rings. *Manuscripta Math.* 3 (1970) 175–193.
- [3] J. HERZOG: Note on complete intersections, (unpublished).
- [4] T.G. ROOM: *The geometry of determinantal loci* (Cambridge, 1938).
- [5] L. VERDI: Le curve razionali normali come intersezioni complete insiemistiche. *Boll. Un. Mat. It.*, (to appear).
- [6] H. BRESINSKY: Monomial Space Curves as set-theoretic complete intersection. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, (to appear).

(Oblatum 28-VI-1979)

Università di Genova
Genova
Italia