

# COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

WERNER GEORG NOWAK

ROBERT FRANZ TICHY

**An improved estimate on the distribution mod  
1 of powers of real matrices**

*Compositio Mathematica*, tome 49, n° 2 (1983), p. 283-289

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM\\_1983\\_\\_49\\_2\\_283\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1983__49_2_283_0)

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1983, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

## AN IMPROVED ESTIMATE ON THE DISTRIBUTION MOD 1 OF POWERS OF REAL MATRICES

Werner Georg Nowak and Robert Franz Tichy

### 1. Introduction

This note is an addition to a former paper by the authors together with V. Losert [5] which was concerned with metrical results on the asymptotic distribution modulo 1 of powers of matrices. (For the basic concepts of the theory we refer to the classical monographs of Kuipers–Niederreiter [3] and Hlawka [2].) As the main result of [5] it was proved that for any strictly increasing sequence  $(p(n))_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of positive integers and for almost all real  $(s \times s)$ -matrices  $A$  with at least one eigenvalue of modulus larger than 1 the sequence  $(A^{p(n)})_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is uniformly distributed in  $\mathbb{R}^{s^2}$  modulo 1 and that its discrepancy  $D(N, A)$  can be estimated by

$$D(N, A) \leq C(A, \varepsilon) N^{-1/2} (\log N)^{s^2 + (3/2) + \varepsilon} \quad (\varepsilon > 0) \quad (1)$$

For the case that the matrix  $A$  has a real eigenvalue of modulus larger than 1 we established the sharper bound

$$D(N, A) \leq C(A) N^{-1/2} (\log N)^{s^2 + (1/2)} (\log \log N)^{1/2} \quad (2)$$

(again for almost all such matrices  $A$ ).

The object of the present paper is to improve the inequality (1) by the following result.

**THEOREM:** *Let  $(p(n))_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers. Then for almost all (in the sense of the  $s^2$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure) real  $(s \times s)$ -matrices  $A$  with at least one eigenvalue of modulus*

larger than 1 there exists a constant  $C(A)$  such that the discrepancy  $D(N, A)$  of the sequence  $(A^{p(n)})_{n=1}^N$  can be estimated by

$$D(N, A) \leq C(A)N^{-1/2}(\log N)^{s^2+1}. \quad (3)$$

The improvement is effected by using an Erdős–Koksma type argument [1] instead of the method of Leveque [4] based on the properties of trigonometric functions which we had employed to establish (1).

## 2. Proof of our theorem

It is obviously sufficient to consider the set  $M$  of all real  $(s \times s)$ -matrices with pairwise different eigenvalues and with at least one nonreal eigenvalue of modulus larger than 1. For each  $\rho \equiv s \pmod{2}$  we denote by  $M_\rho$  the set of all matrices of  $M$  with exactly  $\rho$  real and  $\tau = \frac{1}{2}(s - \rho)$  pairs of conjugate complex eigenvalues. Then for any  $A \in M_\rho$  there exists an invertible transformation matrix  $X$  and an “almost diagonal” matrix  $Y$  of the form

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \lambda_\rho & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \Lambda_\tau \end{pmatrix} \quad (4)$$

such that  $A = X^{-1}YX$ . In (4) the  $\Lambda_j$  are  $(2 \times 2)$ -matrices

$$\Lambda_j = \begin{pmatrix} r_j \cos \phi_j & r_j \sin \phi_j \\ -r_j \sin \phi_j & r_j \cos \phi_j \end{pmatrix} \quad (1 \leq j \leq \tau) \quad (5)$$

where the  $r_j$  are the moduli of the nonreal eigenvalues and  $\phi_j$  are the corresponding arguments ( $0 < \phi_j < \pi$ ).

For any  $(s \times s)$ -matrix  $G$  with integer entries not all zero we shall have to consider the Weyl sum

$$S(N; G, X, Y) = \sum_{n=1}^N e \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\rho} \alpha_i(G, X) \lambda_i^{p(n)} + \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} \gamma_j(G, X) r_j^{p(n)} \cos(p(n)\phi_j + y_j(G, X)) \right) \quad (6)$$

(with  $e(x) := e^{2\pi i x}$ ) occurring as formula (3.14) of [5], where  $\alpha_i(G, X)$ ,

$\gamma_j(G, X)$  and  $y_j(G, X)$  are defined in chapter 3 of [5]. There we also have proved the estimate

$$|\gamma_j(G, X)| \geq C(X) \|G\|^{-5s^2} \tag{7}$$

for almost all (with respect to the  $s^2$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure) transformation matrices  $X$ ; here  $C(X) > 0$  and  $\|G\|$  denotes the maximum of the moduli of the elements of the matrix  $G$ . Now by the same measure-theoretic argument as used in [5] to deduce Theorem I from Proposition 3.8 our theorem is established if we can prove the following result.

**PROPOSITION:** *Let  $X$  be a fixed transformation matrix such that (7) holds for all integer-valued, nonvanishing matrices  $G$  and fix all eigenvalues of  $A$  except one pair of conjugate complex ones, say  $\lambda, \bar{\lambda}$ . Put  $r = |\lambda|$ ,  $\phi = \arg \lambda$  (w.l.o.g.  $0 < \phi < \pi$ ). Then for almost all  $(r, \phi) \in [a, b] \times ]0, \pi[$  (with arbitrary  $a > 1$ ) the discrepancy of the sequence  $(A^{p(n)})_{n=1}^N$  satisfies the estimate (3).*

To prove this proposition we first write the Weyl sum of (6) as a function of  $r$  and  $\phi$

$$S(N; G, X, Y) = \sum_{n=1}^N e(C_n + \gamma(G, X)r^{p(n)} \cos(p(n)\phi + y(G, X))) \tag{8}$$

where the constants  $C_n$  do not depend on  $r$  and  $\phi$  and therefore are fixed because of the hypothesis of the proposition. (The index  $j$  has been dropped for short.) Next we need a simple auxiliary result.

**LEMMA:** *Let the matrix  $X$  be fixed again,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $1 \leq n \leq N$ ,  $G$  as above with  $1 \leq \|G\| \leq \sqrt{N}$ . Then for almost all  $\phi \in ]0, \pi[$  there exists a positive constant  $K(\phi)$  not depending on  $N, n$  and  $G$  such that*

$$|\cos(p(n)\phi + y(G))| \geq K(\phi)N^{-c(s)} \left( c(s) = \frac{s^2}{2} + 3 \right). \tag{9}$$

**PROOF:** We put  $\phi = \pi t$ ,  $y(G) = \pi(\frac{1}{2} + z(G))$  and define for any arbitrary integer  $u$  and  $N, n, G$  as above

$$\mathfrak{U}_N(G, n, u) := \{t \in ]0, 1[ : |p(n)t - z(G) - u| < N^{-c(s)}\}. \tag{10}$$

For the Lebesgue measure of each of these sets we obviously have

$$\mu(\mathbf{U}_N(G, n, u)) \leq 2p(n)^{-1}N^{-c(s)}. \tag{11}$$

If for an integer  $u$  there exists at least one nonempty set  $\mathbf{U}_N(G, n, u)$ , we certainly have

$$|u| \leq N^{-c(s)} + p(n)t + |z(G)| \leq 4p(n) \tag{12}$$

(the bound for  $z(G)$  easily follows from the definition of  $y(G, X)$  given in [5]), hence for arbitrary  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and all corresponding  $n$  and  $G$

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{u \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{U}_N(G, n, u)\right) \leq 32N^{-c(s)}. \tag{13}$$

Consequently we get for the Lebesgue measure of the union

$$\mathbf{U}(N) := \bigcup_{n=1}^N \bigcup_{1 \leq \|G\| \leq \sqrt{N}} \bigcup_{u \in \mathbb{Z}} U_N(G, n, u) \tag{14}$$

the estimate  $\mu(\mathbf{U}(N)) \leq KN^{-2}$  (the constant  $K$  only depending on  $s$ ), hence the series  $\sum \mu(\mathbf{U}(N))$  converges. By the Borel–Cantelli lemma for almost all  $t \in ]0, 1[$  there exists an integer  $N_0(t)$  such that for all  $N > N_0(t)$ , for all  $n$  with  $1 \leq n \leq N$ , for all  $G$  with  $1 \leq \|G\| \leq \sqrt{N}$  and for all integers  $u$  the inequality

$$|p(n)t - z(G) - u| \geq N^{-c(s)} \tag{15}$$

holds. Choosing  $u$  such that the left-hand side of (15) is  $\leq \frac{1}{2}$  we finally get

$$\begin{aligned} |\cos(p(n)\phi + y(G))| &= |\sin \pi(p(n)t + z(G) - u)| = \\ &= \sin |\pi(p(n)t + z(G) - u)| \geq 2|p(n)t - z(G) - u| \geq K(\phi)N^{-c(s)} \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

for almost all  $\phi \in ]0, \pi[$ , which proves the assertion of our lemma.

Therefore we now fix  $\phi \in ]0, \pi[$  such that (9) holds and are left with showing the estimate (3) for almost all  $r \in [a, b]$  (with arbitrary  $a > 1$  and  $b$ ). We write (8) in the form

$$S(N; G, Y) = \sum_{n=1}^N e(f(n, r)), \tag{17}$$

$$f(n, r) := \gamma(G, X)r^{p(n)} \cos(p(n)\phi + y(G, X)) + C_n \tag{18}$$

and define (employing a method due to Erdős and Koksma [1])

$$h_\sigma(n, r) := f(\sigma + (n - 1)q, r) \tag{19}$$

for  $n = 1, 2, \dots, N_\sigma := \lceil (N - \sigma)q^{-1} \rceil + 1$ ,  $\sigma = 1, \dots, q$ , where the positive integer  $q$  is given (for sufficiently large  $N$ ) by

$$q = q(N) := \left\lceil \left( \frac{s^2}{2} + 5 \right) \log N (\log a)^{-1} \right\rceil. \tag{20}$$

We further put

$$w = w(N) = \lceil \log N \rceil \tag{21}$$

and get by a straightforward calculation

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N_\sigma} e(h_\sigma(n, r)) \right|^{2w} &= \sum_{[n_1, \dots, n_w]} P[n_1, \dots, n_w]^2 + \\ &+ 2 \sum_{[n_1, \dots, n_w] > [m_1, \dots, m_w]} \sum_{[m_1, \dots, m_w]} P[n_1, \dots, n_w] P[m_1, \dots, m_w] \cos(2\pi F(r)). \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Here  $[n_1, \dots, n_w]$  denotes the equivalence class of all  $w$ -tuples which can be obtained from the special  $w$ -tuple  $(n_1, \dots, n_w)$  with  $n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_w \leq N_\sigma$  by a permutation of the entries,  $P[n_1, \dots, n_w]$  being the cardinality of this equivalence class.  $[n_1, \dots, n_w] > [m_1, \dots, m_w]$  means that for some  $k \in \{1, \dots, w\}$  we have  $n_k > m_k$  and  $n_j = m_j$  for  $k < j \leq w$  (obviously this is a total order). Finally for each pair  $([m_1, \dots, m_w], [m'_1, \dots, m'_w])$  the function  $F(r)$  is defined by

$$F(r) := \sum_{i=1}^w h_\sigma(m_i, r) - \sum_{i=1}^w h_\sigma(m'_i, r). \tag{23}$$

In order to establish a lower estimate for  $|F'(r)|$  on  $[a, b]$  we first infer from (19), (18) and our lemma

$$|h'_\sigma(m, r) h'_\sigma(m - 1, r)^{-1}| \geq a^{q(N)} K N^{-c(s)} > N > 2w \tag{24}$$

for sufficiently large  $N$ . Thus we have for  $[m_1, \dots, m_w] > [m'_1, \dots, m'_w]$

$$\begin{aligned} |F'(r)| &\geq |h'_\sigma(m_k, r) - (2w - 1) h'_\sigma(m_k - 1, r)| \geq \\ |f'(\sigma + (m_k - 2)q, r)| &\geq |\gamma(G, X)| \cos(p(\sigma + (m_k - 2)q)\phi + \gamma(G, X)) \geq \\ &\geq K N^{-6s^2 - 3} \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

in view of (7) and (9). By a similar argument as in [3], page 35, (4.4) we conclude for arbitrary fixed  $[n_1, \dots, n_w]$

$$\sum_{[m_1, \dots, m_w] \prec [n_1, \dots, n_w]} (\min_{[a, b]} |F'(r)|)^{-1} \leq 2K^{-1} N^{6s^2+3} \sum_{j=1}^{N^w} \frac{1}{j} \leq K_1 N^{6s^2+3} w \log N, \tag{26}$$

so the second mean-value theorem yields from (22) (the monotony of  $F'(r)$  on  $[a, b]$  is easily verified by repeating the above argument for  $|F''(r)|$ )

$$\int_a^b \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N_\sigma} e(h_\sigma(n, r)) \right|^{2w} dr \leq (b - a)w! N_\sigma^w + K_2 N^{6s^2+3} N_\sigma^w w! w \log N \leq K_3 w! w N^{6s^2+3} N_\sigma^w \log N \tag{27}$$

where we have used the obvious combinatorial relations

$$P(n_1, \dots, n_w) \leq w!, \quad \sum_{n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_w \leq N_\sigma} P[n_1, \dots, n_w] = N_\sigma^w \tag{28}$$

We now consider subsets of  $[a, b]$  defined by

$$m(N, G, \sigma) := \left\{ r \in [a, b] : \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N_\sigma} e(h_\sigma(n, r)) \right| \geq N_\sigma^{1/2} \psi(N_\sigma) \right\} \tag{29}$$

with  $\psi(x) := (\log x^2)^{1/2} e^{4s^2+3}$  and infer from (27) for their Lebesgue measure

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(m(N, G, \sigma)) N_\sigma^w \psi(N_\sigma)^{2w} &\leq K_3 w! w N_\sigma^w N^{6s^2+3} \log N \\ \Rightarrow \mu(m(N, G, \sigma)) &\leq K_3 w^w N^{6s^2+3} \log N \psi([Nq^{-1}])^{-2w}. \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Thus we have for the Lebesgue measure of the union

$$\begin{aligned} m(N) &:= \bigcup_{\sigma=1}^q \bigcup_{1 \leq |G| \leq \sqrt{N}} m(N, G, \sigma) \\ \mu(m(N)) &\leq K_3 q N^{7s^2+3} \log N w^w \psi(N^{\frac{1}{2}})^{-2w}. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

A short calculation shows  $\mu(m(N)) < N^{-2}$  for sufficiently large  $N$  (by the definitions of  $q, w$  and  $\psi$ ), hence the series  $\sum \mu(m(N))$  converges and the

Borel–Cantelli lemma implies that for almost all  $r \in [a, b]$  there exists a positive integer  $N_0(r)$  such that for all integers  $N > N_0(r)$  the inequality

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^{N_\sigma} e(h_\sigma(n, r)) \right| < N_\sigma^{1/2} \psi(N_\sigma) \quad (32)$$

holds for all  $\sigma = 1, \dots, q(N)$  and for all matrices  $G$  with integer entries and with  $1 \leq \|G\| \leq \sqrt{N}$ . Finally we conclude from (17) and (19)

$$S(N; G, X, Y) = \left| \sum_{\sigma=1}^q \sum_{n=1}^{N_\sigma} e(h_\sigma(n, r)) \right| \leq q \max(N_\sigma^{1/2} \psi(N_\sigma)) \leq 2q \left( \frac{N}{q} \right)^{1/2} \psi(N) \leq CN^{1/2} \log N. \quad (33)$$

The inequality of Erdős–Turán–Koksma (cf. [3], page 116 and choose  $m = \lfloor \sqrt{N} \rfloor$ ) now immediately yields the desired estimate (3).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] P. ERDÖS and J.F. KOKSMA: On the uniform distribution mod 1 of lacunary sequences. *Indagationes Math.* 11 (1949) 79–88.
- [2] E. HLAWKA: Theorie der Gleichverteilung. Mannheim–Wien–Zürich, Bibl. Inst. 1979.
- [3] L. KUIPERS and H. NIEDERREITER: Uniform distribution of sequences. New York–Sydney–Toronto, John Wiley and Sons 1974.
- [4] W.J. LE VEQUE: The distribution mod 1 of trigonometric sequences. *Duke Math. Journal* 20 (1953) 367–374.
- [5] V. LOSERT, W.G. NOWAK and R.F. TICHY: On the asymptotic distribution of the powers of  $(s \times s)$ -matrices. *Comp. Math.*, to appear.

(Oblatum 21-IV-1982)

Institut für Analysis  
Technische Universität Wien  
Guszhausstrasse 27–29  
A-1040 Wien  
Austria

Institut für Mathematik  
Universität für Bodenkultur  
Gregor Mendel Straße 33  
A-1180 Wien  
Austria