

# COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

S. G. DANI

## **Harmonic ergodicity of actions of certain discrete linear groups**

*Compositio Mathematica*, tome 51, n° 1 (1984), p. 105-114

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM\\_1984\\_\\_51\\_1\\_105\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1984__51_1_105_0)

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1984, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://www.compositio.nl>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

## HARMONIC ERGODICITY OF ACTIONS OF CERTAIN DISCRETE LINEAR GROUPS

S.G. Dani

Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k, \dots$  be a sequence of non-singular  $n \times n$  matrices and let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k, \dots$  be a sequence of positive real numbers such that  $\sum_1^\infty a_i = 1$ . Consider the functional equation on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  defined by

$$f(v) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i f(vA_i) \quad \text{for all } v \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is viewed as the space of row vectors. Equation (1.1) is a “mean value property” with  $a_1, a_2, \dots$  as the weights. When do there exist “non-trivial” measurable functions satisfying (1.1)? Evidently, there exist a multitude of functions which satisfy (1.1), but are constant a.e. (almost everywhere, with respect to the Lebesgue measure). Therefore “non-triviality” of a solution  $f$  should mean that  $f$  is not constant a.e..

Let  $\Gamma$  be the subgroup generated by  $\{A_i | i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . If the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is not ergodic then there exist measurable functions  $f$  such that  $f(vA_i) = f(v)$  for all  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , which are not constant a.e.. These functions evidently satisfy (1.1) for any choice of  $a_i, i \in \mathbb{N}$ , as above. We remark that if  $\Gamma$  is a solvable subgroup then its action on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is necessarily non-ergodic.

The action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , together with the probability measure  $\mu$  defined by  $\mu(\{A_i\}) = a_i, i \in \mathbb{N}$ , defines a random walk on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , with respect to the  $\Gamma$ -action. A measurable function satisfying (1.1) is nothing but a harmonic function with respect to the random walk; i.e.  $\mu$ -harmonic function. If there exists no non-trivial bounded  $\mu$ -harmonic function we shall say that the action is  $\mu$ -harmonically ergodic. The definition can be extended (cf. §1 for details) to any action of  $\Gamma$  equipped with a distinguished quasi-invariant measure, the action on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  being only an example. While  $\mu$ -harmonic ergodicity implies ergodicity, the converse is not always true.

In this article we investigate  $\mu$ -harmonic ergodicity of a certain interesting class of ergodic actions. We prove the following.

**A. THEOREM** (cf. Theorem 4.2): *Let  $G = SL(n, \mathbb{R})$  and let  $N$  be the subgroup consisting of all upper triangular unipotent matrices. Let  $\Gamma$  be a*

discrete subgroup of  $G$  such that  $G/\Gamma$  is compact. Then there exists a probability measure  $\mu$  supported on  $\Gamma$  such that the following assertions hold.

(i) If  $H$  is a closed subgroup of  $G$  containing  $N$  then the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $H \setminus G$  (on the right) is  $\mu$ -harmonically ergodic if and only if  $H/N$  is infinite; here  $H \setminus G$  is considered to be equipped with a measure which is quasi-invariant under the  $G$ -action.

(ii) The  $\Gamma$ -action on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is  $\mu$ -harmonically ergodic if and only if  $n \geq 3$ .

Now let  $H$  be a closed subgroup containing  $N$  (notation as in the theorem) such that  $H/N$  is infinite. Then the different behaviour of the  $\Gamma$ -actions on  $N \setminus G$  and  $H \setminus G$ , as asserted by the theorem, implies that they must be non-isomorphic – we note however that this can also be deduced directly from Lemma 3.2. We can conclude the following:

**B. COROLLARY** (cf. Corollary 4.4): *Let  $H$  and  $N$  be as above. Then the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $N \setminus G$  is not a factor of the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $H \setminus G$ . In particular the actions are not isomorphic.*

It has been pointed out to the author that if the subgroup  $H$  as above is *non-amenable* then corollary can also be deduced from the work of R. Zimmer (cf. [6]). We emphasize that the corollary is applicable even when  $H$  is amenable – e.g. if  $H$  consists only of upper triangular (not necessarily unipotent) matrices.

Before concluding the introduction it would be worthwhile to mention, and acknowledge, that in proving Theorem A we make crucial use of a recent result of Y. Guivarch (cf. Theorem 4.1) on integral representations of  $\mu$ -harmonic functions on  $\Gamma$ , the latter being as in Theorem A.

### §1. Preliminaries

Let  $G$  be a locally compact second countable group. Let  $X$  be a standard Borel space. Let  $\Phi: X \times G \rightarrow X$  be a jointly measurable right action of  $G$  on  $X$ ; we denote  $\Phi(x, g)$  by  $xg$ . Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $G$ . A (Borel) measurable function  $f$  on  $X$  is said to be  $\mu$ -harmonic if

$$f(x) = \int_G f(xg) d\mu(g) \quad \text{for all } x \in X$$

In the special case of  $G$  acting on itself on the right, the above reduces to the usual notion of  $\mu$ -harmonic functions on  $G$  (cf. [1]).

Let  $X$  and  $G$  be as above. Suppose that there exists a  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\sigma$  on  $X$  which is quasi-invariant under the  $G$ -action; that is, for any  $g \in G$ , the equality  $\sigma(Eg) = 0$  holds for a Borel set  $E$  if and only if  $\sigma(E) = 0$ . Such an action of  $G$  on the measure space  $(X, \sigma)$  is also called a *non-singular  $G$ -action*. As before let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $G$ . The non-singular  $G$ -action on  $(X, \sigma)$  is said to be  $\mu$ -harmonically ergodic

if every bounded  $\mu$ -harmonic function on  $X$  is constant  $\sigma$ -almost everywhere.

1.1. **REMARK:** If the  $G$ -action on  $(X, \sigma)$  as above, is  $\mu$ -harmonically ergodic, then it is ergodic; that is, if  $E$  is a Borel subset of  $X$  such that  $\sigma(Eg\Delta E) = 0$  for all  $g \in G$ , then either  $\sigma(E) = 0$  or  $\sigma(X - E) = 0$ .

**PROOF:** It is well-known that if there exists a Borel subset  $E$  such that  $\sigma(Eg\Delta E) = 0$  for all  $g \in G$ , then there exists a Borel subset  $E_1$  such that  $E_1g = E_1$  for all  $g \in G$  and  $\sigma(E\Delta E_1) = 0$ . Evidently, the characteristic function  $\chi$  of  $E_1$  is a  $\mu$ -harmonic function (indeed for any  $\mu$ ). If the action is  $\mu$ -harmonically ergodic, we obtain that  $\chi$  is constant  $\sigma$  a.e.. Hence  $\sigma(E)\sigma(X - E) = \sigma(E_1)\sigma(X - E_1) = 0$ , which proves the remark.

1.2. **REMARK:** It may be noted that when the action is non-transitive, there exist non-constant  $\mu$ -harmonic functions (e.g. characteristic functions of orbits). The condition for  $\mu$ -harmonic ergodicity demands that these be constant  $\sigma$  a.e..

#### *Examples of $\mu$ -harmonically ergodic actions*

(i) Let  $G$  be a group of type  $T$ , in the sense of [1]. As an example we note that any nilpotent Lie group is of type  $T$ . Let  $X = G$  and consider the action by translation on the right. Let  $\mu$  be a spread out probability measure on  $G$ ; that is, for some  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\mu^n = \mu * \mu * \dots * \mu$  ( $n$  copies), is not singular with respect to the Haar measure on  $G$ . Then the in view of Theorem I.3, [1], the above  $G$ -action is  $\mu$ -harmonically ergodic.

(ii) Let  $G = SL(d, \mathbb{R})$  be the special linear group. Let  $N$  be the subgroup of  $G$  consisting of all upper triangular unipotent matrices and let  $X = N \setminus G$ . Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $G$  such that for any  $g_1, g_2 \in G$  there exists  $n \geq 1$  such that  $\mu^n * \delta_{g_1}$  and  $\mu^n * \delta_{g_2}$  are not mutually singular;  $\delta_{g_1}$  and  $\delta_{g_2}$  denote point measures concentrated on  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  respectively. Then the  $G$ -action on  $N \setminus G$  on the right is  $\mu$ -harmonically ergodic (cf. [3], pp. 226).

1.3. **REMARK:** Let  $G$  be a group of type  $T$  and consider a non-singular action of  $G$  on  $(X, \sigma)$ , as before. Let  $\mu$  be a spread out probability measure on  $G$ . Then the  $G$ -action is  $\mu$ -harmonically ergodic if and only if it is ergodic.

**PROOF:** We only need to show that, in this case ergodicity implies  $\mu$ -harmonic ergodicity. Let  $f$  be a  $\mu$ -harmonic function on  $X$ . For any  $x \in X$  define a function  $f_x$  on  $G$  by  $f_x(g) = f(xg)$ . It is easy to verify that  $f_x$  is a  $\mu$ -harmonic function on  $G$  (with respect to the action by translations on the right). Thus in view of the contention in Example (1) above, each  $f_x$  is a constant function on  $G$ ; that is,  $f(xg) = f(x)$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $g \in G$ . By ergodicity this implies that  $f$  is constant  $\sigma$  a.e.. Hence the

action is  $\mu$ -harmonically ergodic.

The notion of  $\mu$ -harmonic ergodicity can also be used to infer non-equivalence of certain non-singular actions. Let us recall some of the definitions. Let  $(X_1, \sigma_1)$  and  $(X_2, \sigma_2)$  be two non-singular  $G$ -spaces. Let  $E_1$  be a  $G$ -invariant Borel subset of  $X_1$ . A (Borel) measurable map  $\varphi: E_1 \rightarrow X_2$  is said to be  $G$ -equivariant if  $\varphi(xg) = \varphi(x)g$  for all  $x \in E_1$  and  $g \in G$ . The  $G$ -space  $(X_2, \sigma_2)$  is said to be a *factor* of  $(X_1, \sigma_1)$  if there exists a  $G$ -invariant Borel subset  $E_1$  of full measure (i.e.  $\sigma_1(X_1 - E_1) = 0$ ) and a  $G$ -equivariant map  $\varphi: E_1 \rightarrow X_2$  such that the image measure  $\sigma'_2 = \varphi\sigma_1$  on  $X_2$  (defined by  $\sigma_2(B) = \sigma_1(\varphi^{-1}(B))$ ) for all Borel subsets  $B$ ) is equivalent to  $\sigma_2$ . The non-singular  $G$ -spaces  $(X_1, \sigma_1)$  and  $(X_2, \sigma_2)$  are said to be *equivalent* (or *isomorphic*) if there exist  $G$ -invariant Borel subsets  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  of full measure in  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  respectively and a  $G$ -equivariant Borel isomorphism  $\varphi: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  such that  $\varphi\sigma_1$  is equivalent to  $\sigma_2$ . It is straightforward to verify the following

**1.4. PROPOSITION:** *Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $G$ . If  $(X_1, \sigma_1)$  and  $(X_2, \sigma_2)$  are two equivalent non-singular  $G$ -spaces and if one of them is  $\mu$ -harmonically ergodic then so is the other. If  $(X, \sigma)$  is a non-singular  $G$ -space which is  $\mu$ -harmonically ergodic then every factor  $G$ -space of  $(X, \sigma)$  is also  $\mu$ -harmonically ergodic.*

## §2. Integral representation

Let  $G$  be a locally compact second countable group and let  $\Gamma$  be a lattice in  $G$ ; that is,  $\Gamma$  is a discrete subgroup such that  $G/\Gamma$  admits a  $G$ -invariant probability measure. Let  $m$  be a right Haar measure on  $G$ . Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $\Gamma$ . We shall also view it as a measure on  $G$  such that  $\mu(G - \Gamma) = 0$ .

Two  $\mu$ -harmonic functions on  $G$  are said to be equivalent if they agree almost everywhere with respect to the Haar measure on  $G$ . We shall denote by  $\mathfrak{H}_\mu(G, m)$  the space of equivalence classes of bounded  $\mu$ -harmonic functions on  $G$ , equipped with the essential supremum norm with respect to the Haar measure. For any  $\mu$ -harmonic function  $f$  on  $G$  and  $g \in G$  the function  $L_g f$ , defined by  $L_g f(h) = f(g^{-1}h)$ , is a  $\mu$ -harmonic function on  $G$ . Further, if  $f$  and  $f'$  are equivalent  $\mu$ -harmonic functions then for any  $g \in G$  the same is true of  $L_g f$  and  $L_g f'$ . This induces a natural left action  $g \mapsto L_g$  of  $G$  on  $\mathfrak{H}_\mu(G, m)$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{H}_\mu(\Gamma)$  denote the space of bounded  $\mu$ -harmonic functions on  $\Gamma$ , equipped with the supremum norm. There is also a natural  $\Gamma$ -action, on the left, on  $\mathfrak{H}_\mu(\Gamma)$ , defined by associating to  $f \in \mathfrak{H}_\mu(\Gamma)$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  the function  $L_\gamma f$ . Let  $Y$  be a standard Borel space with a  $\Gamma$ -action on it, on the left. Let  $\rho$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite,  $\Gamma$ -quasi-invariant measure on  $Y$  and let  $\lambda$  be a probability measure on  $Y$  absolutely continuous with respect to  $\rho$ . We say that  $(Y, \lambda, \rho)$  is a *Poisson-Furstenberg representation space* for  $\mathfrak{H}_\mu(\Gamma)$  if the following conditions are satisfied.

(i)  $\lambda$  is  $\mu$ -stationary; that is  $\mu_*\lambda = \lambda$ .

(ii) the map  $j_\lambda : L^\infty(Y, \rho) \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_\mu(\Gamma)$  defined by  $j_\lambda(\varphi)(\gamma) = \int_Y \varphi(\gamma y) d\lambda(y)$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  is an isometric isomorphism of the Banach spaces.

2.1. REMARK: Existence of a  $\Gamma$ -space satisfying (i) and a certain version of (ii) not involving  $\rho$  is well-known (cf. [2]). We do not know whether such a space always satisfies above stronger conditions. In the particular case that interests us here (cf. §4) the existence of a space satisfying the conditions is guaranteed by [3].

To each  $\Gamma$ -action on a standard Borel space  $Y$  is associated a  $G$ -action defined as follows. Consider the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G \times Y$  defined by  $\gamma(g, y) = (g\gamma^{-1}, \gamma y)$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma, g \in G$  and  $y \in Y$ . Let  $Y^G$  be the space of  $\Gamma$ -orbits in  $G \times Y$ . Then  $Y^G$  is a standard Borel space. Let  $\eta$  denote the quotient map of  $G \times Y$  onto  $Y^G$ . The  $G$ -action on  $G \times Y$  defined by  $x(g, y) = (xg, y)$ , for all  $x, g \in G$  and  $y \in Y$ , quotients under  $\eta$  to a  $G$ -action on  $Y^G$ .

Let  $\rho$  be a  $\Gamma$ -quasi-invariant probability measure on  $Y$  and let  $\sigma$  be a probability measure on  $G$  equivalent to the Haar measure. Then the image of  $\sigma \times \rho$  under  $\eta$  is a  $G$ -quasi-invariant measure on  $Y^G$ ; we shall denote this by  $\rho^G$ .

2.2. THEOREM: *Let the notations be as above. Let  $(Y, \lambda, \rho)$  be a Poisson-Furstenberg representation space for  $\mathfrak{H}_\mu(\Gamma)$ . Then there exists an isometric isomorphism  $j : L^\infty(Y^G, \rho^G) \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_\mu(G, m)$  such that*

$$j(\varphi)(g) = \int_Y \varphi \circ \eta((g, y)) d\lambda(y)$$

for all  $\varphi \in L^\infty(Y^G, \rho^G)$ , and  $g \in G$ .

PROOF: Firstly, since  $\rho$  is quasi-invariant under the  $\Gamma$ -action and  $\lambda < \rho$  it follows that the equation as above yields a well-defined map  $j$  of  $L^\infty(Y^G, \rho^G)$  into  $L^\infty(G)$ . Further since  $\lambda$  is  $\mu$ -stationary the image of  $j$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{H}_\mu(G, m)$ . It is also obvious that  $j$  is a linear map and that its norm does not exceed 1. We shall now construct a map  $p$  which will turn out to be the inverse of  $j$ .

Let  $f$  be a  $\mu$ -harmonic function on  $G$ . For each  $g \in G$  let  $f_g$  be the function on  $\Gamma$  defined by  $f_g(\gamma) = f(g\gamma)$ . Then  $f_g$  is a  $\mu$ -harmonic function on  $\Gamma$  and therefore is of the form  $j_\lambda(\varphi_g)$  where  $\varphi_g$  is a uniquely defined element of  $L^\infty(Y, \rho)$ . Naively speaking, the quotient of the function  $(g, y) \mapsto \varphi_g(y)$  on  $Y^G$  is the candidate for  $p(f)$ . However there is a hitch; it is not clear that this function is measurable. To avoid this difficulty we shall follow a slightly circuitous route. The technique being standard we shall avoid being too detailed.

First suppose that  $f$  is non-negative. For any Borel subset  $A$  of  $G$  we define a function  $f_A$  on  $\Gamma$  by

$$f_A(\gamma) = \int_A f_g(\gamma) d\sigma(g)$$

Then  $f_A$  is also a bounded non-negative  $\mu$ -harmonic function on  $\Gamma$ . Therefore there exists a unique element  $\varphi_A \in L^\infty(Y, \rho)$  such that  $f_A = j_\lambda(\varphi_A)$ . Evidently  $\varphi_A$  is also non-negative. We now define a measure  $\beta$  on  $G \times Y$  as follows. If  $A$  and  $B$  are Borel subsets of  $G$  and  $Y$  respectively, then set

$$\beta(A \times B) = \int_B \varphi_A(y) d\rho(y)$$

It is easy to verify that if  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^k$  and  $\{B_j\}_{j=1}^l$  are families of mutually disjoint subsets of  $G$  and  $Y$  respectively and  $A = \cup_{i=1}^k A_i$  and  $B = \cup_{j=1}^l B_j$  then

$$\beta(A \times B) = \sum_{j=1}^l \sum_{i=1}^k \beta(A_i \times B_j).$$

One then deduces that  $\beta$  extends to a unique Borel measure on  $G \times Y$ , which also we shall denote by  $\beta$ . It is easy to check that  $\beta$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $m \times \rho$  and that the Radon-Nikodym derivative is bounded by the essential supremum of  $f$ . Further evidently  $\beta$  is invariant under the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G \times Y$  defined earlier. Hence there exists a unique element of  $L^\infty(Y^G, \rho^G)$ , which we shall choose for  $p(f)$ , such that  $p(f) \circ \eta((g, y)) = [d\beta/d(\sigma \times \rho)](g, y)$  for  $\sigma \times \rho$  almost all  $(g, y)$ .

If  $f$  is any bounded  $\mu$ -harmonic function on  $G$  and  $c > 0$  is a constant such that  $f + c$  is non-negative then we define  $p(f) = p(f + c) - c$ , which is independent of the choice of  $c$ .

An easy verification shows that if  $f$  and  $f'$  be two bounded  $\mu$ -harmonic functions which are equal  $m$  a.e. then  $p(f) = p(f')$ . Thus  $p$  defines a map of  $\mathcal{H}_\mu(G, m)$  into  $L^\infty(Y^G, \rho^G)$ . It is also evident from the construction that  $p$  is linear and that its norm does not exceed 1. It is also straightforward to prove that  $p$  is the inverse of  $j$ . Since both  $j$  and  $p$  are of norm not exceeding 1 we conclude that  $j$  is an isometric isomorphism.

**2.3. COROLLARY:** *Let  $H$  be a closed subgroup of  $G$  and let  $\sigma_H$  be a finite  $G$ -quasi-invariant measure on  $H \backslash G$ . The  $\Gamma$ -action on  $(H \backslash G, \sigma_H)$  is  $\mu$ -harmonically ergodic if and only if the  $H$ -action on  $Y^G$  (obtained by restricting the  $G$ -action) is ergodic with respect to  $\rho^G$ .*

**PROOF:** Since  $j$  as in Theorem 2.2 is evidently  $G$ -equivariant it sets up a 1-1 correspondence between the subspace of  $H$ -invariant elements in

$L^\infty(Y^G, \rho^G)$  and the subspace of  $H$ -invariant elements in  $\mathfrak{H}_\mu(G, m)$ . The latter subspace is obviously in 1-1 correspondence with the space of equivalence classes with respect to  $\sigma_H$  of  $\mu$ -harmonic functions on  $H \backslash G$ . Therefore there exists a non-trivial (i.e. not constant  $\sigma_H$  a.e.)  $\mu$ -harmonic function on  $H \backslash G$  if and only if there exists an  $H$ -invariant function which is not constant  $\rho^G$  a.e. This proves the corollary.

**2.4. PROPOSITION:** *The  $H$ -action on  $(Y^G, \rho^G)$  as in Corollary 2.3 is ergodic if and only if the product  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G/H \times Y$  (defined by  $\gamma(x, y) = (\gamma x, \gamma y)$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $x \in G/H$  and  $y \in Y$ ) is ergodic with respect to  $m_H \times \rho$  where  $m_H$  is any  $G$ -quasi-invariant measure on  $G/H$ .*

**PROOF:** If  $f$  is an  $H$ -invariant function on  $Y^G$  then  $f \circ \eta$  is an  $H$ -invariant function on  $G \times Y$  which is also invariant under the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G \times Y$ . This enables us to define a  $\Gamma$ -invariant function  $\varphi$  on  $G/H \times Y$  by  $\varphi(gH, y) = f \circ \eta((g^{-1}, y))$ . Conversely, every  $\Gamma$ -invariant function on  $G/H \times Y$  may be seen to arise from an  $H$ -invariant function via the above procedure. This correspondence implies the proposition.

### §3. Ergodic actions of lattices in $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$

In order to prove the  $\mu$ -harmonic ergodicity as in Theorem A using Corollary 2.4, we need to know the conditions for ergodicity of certain linear actions, which we now present.

Let  $G = SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ , the special linear group of  $n \times n$  matrices, where  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $N$  denote the subgroup of  $G$  consisting of upper triangular unipotent matrices. The subgroups consisting of all upper, and respectively lower, triangular matrices will be denoted by  $P$  and  $P^-$ . Let  $H$  be any closed subgroup of  $G$  containing  $N$ . In the sequel we shall consider  $G/H$ ,  $G/P$  etc. to be equipped with a  $G$ -quasi-invariant probability measure.  $G/H \times G/P$  is equipped with the product measure. When  $G/H \times G/P$  is identified canonically with  $G \times G/H \times P$ , where  $H \times P = \{(x, y) | x \in H, y \in P\}$ , the measure is  $G \times G$  quasi-invariant. Finally, let  $\Gamma$  be any lattice in  $G$ .

**3.1. LEMMA:** *Consider the product action of  $G$  on  $G/H \times G/P$ . There exists a unique open  $G$ -orbit  $\Omega$  in  $G/H \times G/P$ , such that the complement of  $\Omega$  has zero measure. The isotropy subgroup of any element in  $\Omega$  is conjugate to  $H \cap P^-$ .*

**PROOF:** Let  $\sigma \in G$  be such that  $\sigma^{-1}P\sigma = P^-$ . It is well-known that  $P^-N$  is an open dense subset of  $G$  whose complement has zero Haar measure (cf. [5], Proposition 1.2.3.5 for a more general result). Hence the subset  $\Omega_1$  of  $G \times G$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_1 &= \{(g\sigma n, gp) | g \in G, n \in N \text{ and } p \in P\} \\ &= \{(g'p\sigma n, g') | g' \in G, n \in N \text{ and } p \in P\} \end{aligned}$$



is an open subset of  $G \times G$  whose complement has zero Haar measure in  $G \times G$ . Let  $\Omega$  be the image of  $\Omega_1$  in  $G \times G/H \times P$ . Evidently, since  $N \subset H$ ,  $\Omega$  is a single  $G$ -orbit under the action in question (identifying  $G/H \times G/P$  with  $G \times G/H \times P$ ). Further, in view of the above,  $\Omega$  is open and the  $G \times G$ -quasi-invariant measure of its complement is zero. The isotropy subgroup of the  $H \times P$ -coset  $(\sigma, I)^-$  containing  $(\sigma, I)$ , where  $I$  is the identity matrix, is  $\sigma H \sigma^{-1} \cap P = \sigma(H \cap \sigma^{-1} P \sigma) \sigma^{-1} = \sigma(H \cap P^-) \sigma^{-1}$ . Since  $(\sigma, I)^- \in \Omega$  it now follows that the isotropy subgroup every element of  $\Omega$  is conjugate to  $H \cap P^-$ .

**3.2. LEMMA:** *Let  $G, \Gamma, P, N$  and  $H$  be as above; recall that  $H$  contains  $N$ . Then the product  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G/H \times G/P$  is ergodic if and only if  $H/N$  is infinite.*

**PROOF:** Let  $\Omega$  be the  $G$ -orbit as in Lemma 3.1. Since the complement of  $\Omega$  has zero measure it is enough to show that the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $\Omega$  is ergodic if and only if  $H/N$  is infinite. But the latter action is canonically equivalent to the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G/H \cap P^-$ ,  $H \cap P^-$  being the isotropy subgroup of a suitable point in  $\Omega$ . The  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G/H \cap P^-$  is ergodic if and only if the  $H \cap P^-$  action on  $G/\Gamma$  is ergodic (cf. [4] Proposition 6). Since  $G = SL(n, \mathbb{R})$  is a simple non-compact Lie group with finite center, by Moore's ergodicity theorem (cf. [4], Theorem 4) the  $H \cap P^-$  action on  $G/\Gamma$  is ergodic if and only if  $H \cap P^-$  is non-compact. Combining the steps, we conclude that the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G/H \times G/P$  is ergodic if and only if  $H \cap P^-$  is non-compact. The lemma now follows from the following Lie group theoretic Lemma which we separate.

**3.3. LEMMA.** *Let  $G, N, P, P^-$  and  $H$  be as above. Then  $H \cap P^-$  is non-compact if and only if  $H/N$  is infinite.*

**PROOF:** The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $G$  consists of all  $n \times n$  matrices of trace 0. The Lie sub-algebras corresponding to  $N$  and  $P^-$  consist respectively of the subalgebra  $\mathfrak{n}$  of upper triangular nilpotent matrices and the subalgebra  $\mathfrak{p}^-$  of all lower triangular matrices. First suppose that the Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  of  $H$  contains  $\mathfrak{n}$  as a proper subspace. Then clearly  $\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{p}^-$  is non-zero. Therefore  $H \cap P^-$  contains a non-trivial 1-parameter subgroup. Any non-trivial 1-parameter subgroup of  $P^-$  is a closed non-compact subgroup. Hence, in the case at hand,  $H \cap P^-$  is non-compact. Next suppose that  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{n}$ . Then  $N$  is the connected component of the identity in  $H$ . In particular,  $H$  normalises  $N$ . It is well-known (and easy to verify) that  $P$  is the normaliser of  $N$  in  $G$ . Hence  $H \subset P$ . Let  $D$  be the subgroup of  $G$  consisting of diagonal matrices. Then  $P = D \cdot N$  (semi-direct product). Since  $P \supset H \supset N$  we have  $H = (H \cap D) \cdot N$ . But  $H \cap D$  is contained in  $P^-$ . Hence if  $H \cap D$  is non-compact then so is  $H \cap P^-$ . On the other hand, if  $H \cap D$  is compact then obviously it is finite and so is  $H/N$ . Thus if  $H/N$  is infinite then  $H \cap P^-$  is non-compact. The converse is obvious.

#### §4. Main results and questions

After recalling a result of Guivarch we shall present, in this section, proofs of the main results.

Let  $G = SL(n, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\Gamma$  be a uniform lattice in  $G$ ; that is,  $\Gamma$  is a discrete subgroup such that  $G/\Gamma$  is compact. Let  $P$  be the subgroup of  $G$  consisting of all upper triangular matrices in  $G$ . The compact subgroup  $K$  consisting of all orthogonal matrices in  $G$  acts transitively on  $G/P$ . Therefore there exists a unique  $K$ -invariant probability measure on  $G/P$  which we shall denote by  $\rho$ . The measure  $\rho$  is quasi-invariant under the  $G$ -action. In the terminology introduced in §2, a result of Guivarch asserts the following.

4.1. THEOREM (cf [3], Corollary 2 on page 244): *There exists a probability measure  $\mu$  supported by  $\Gamma$  such that  $(G/P, \rho, \rho)$  is a Poisson-Furstenberg representation space for  $\mathfrak{K}_\mu(\Gamma)$ .*

As in §3 let  $N$  be the subgroup of  $G$  consisting of all upper triangular unipotent matrices and  $P^-$  be the subgroup consisting of all lower triangular matrices. We now deduce the following result on  $\mu$ -harmonic ergodicity.

4.2. THEOREM: *There exists a probability measure  $\mu$  supported by  $\Gamma$  such that the following holds: let  $H$  be a closed subgroup of  $G$  containing  $N$  and let  $\sigma_H$  be a  $G$ -quasi-invariant probability measure on  $H \setminus G$  (action on the right). Then the non-singular right  $\Gamma$ -action on  $(H \setminus G, \sigma_H)$  is  $\mu$ -harmonically ergodic if and only if  $H/N$  is infinite.*

PROOF: In view of Theorem 4.1, Corollary 2.3, and Proposition 2.4 the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $(H \setminus G, \sigma_H)$  is  $\mu$ -harmonically ergodic if and only if the product  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G/H \times G/P$  is ergodic. By Lemma 3.2, the latter holds if and only if  $H/N$  is infinite. This proves the theorem.

4.3. COROLLARY: *Let  $G = SL(n, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\Gamma$  be a uniform lattice in  $G$ . There exists a probability measure  $\mu$  supported by  $\Gamma$  such that the natural action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is  $\mu$ -harmonically ergodic (with respect to the Lebesgue measure) if and only if  $n \geq 3$ .*

PROOF: Let  $\mu$  be the probability measure on  $\Gamma$  as in Theorem 4.2. It is enough to prove that the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $\mathbb{R}^n - (0)$  is  $\mu$ -harmonically ergodic if and only if  $n \geq 3$ . The  $G$ -action on  $\mathbb{R}^n - (0)$  is transitive. Hence the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $\mathbb{R}^n - (0)$  can be identified with the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $H \setminus G$  where  $H$  is the isotropy subgroup of any point. Now, choosing the point to be a fixed point for the  $N$ -action we may assume that  $H$  contains  $N$ . It is easy to verify (indeed just from dimension considerations) that if  $n \geq 3$ ,

$H/N$  is infinite. On the other hand for  $n = 2$ ,  $H = N$ . In view of Theorem 4.2 this implies the Corollary.

**4.4. COROLLARY:** *Let the notations  $G, \Gamma, H$  etc. be as in Theorem 4.2. Assume further that  $H/N$  is infinite. Then the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $N \setminus G$  is not a factor of the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $H \setminus G$ . In particular, the actions are not isomorphic.*

PROOF is obvious (as noted in the introduction).

It is conceivable that analogues of the above results are true for any semisimple Lie group in the place of  $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ . For obtaining such results it would be necessary to generalise the theorem of Guivarch. It would also be interesting to know whether Theorem 4.2 is true for a non-uniform lattice  $\Gamma$  and in particular for the lattice  $SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ . Finally, in each of the cases including the present one, it would be of interest to analyse the class of measures  $\mu$  for which  $\mu$ -harmonic ergodicity holds.

### References

- [1] R. AZENCOTT: Espaces de Poisson des Groupes Localement Compacts. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York (1970).
- [2] H. FURSTENBERG: Random walks and discrete subgroups of Lie groups. *Advances in Probability and Related Topics I* (1971).
- [3] Y. GUIVARCH: Quelques propriétés asymptotiques des produits de matrices aléatoires. In: Ecole d'été de probabilités de Saint-Flour VIII-1978. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York (1980).
- [4] C.C. MOORE: Ergodicity of flows on homogeneous spaces. *Amer J. Math.* 88 (1966) 154–178.
- [5] G. WARNER: Harmonic analysis of semisimple Lie groups I. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York (1972).
- [6] R.J. ZIMMER: Induced and amenable ergodic actions of Lie groups. *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4) 11* (1978) 407–428.

(Oblatum 10-VIII-1981 & 16-XI-1982)

School of Mathematics  
Tata Institute of Fundamental Research  
Homi Bhabha Road  
Bombay 400 005  
India