

# COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

D. BÄTTIG

B. GRÉBERT

J. C. GUILLOT

T. KAPPELER

## **Foliation of phase space for the cubic non-linear Schrödinger equation**

*Compositio Mathematica*, tome 85, n° 2 (1993), p. 163-199

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM\\_1993\\_\\_85\\_2\\_163\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1993__85_2_163_0)

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1993, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

## Foliation of phase space for the cubic non-linear Schrödinger equation

D. BÄTTIG\*††, B. GRÉBERT\*(<sup>1</sup>), J. C. GUILLOT\*  
and T. KAPPELER\*\*†

\**Département de Mathématiques URA 742, CNRS, Université Paris-Nord,  
93430 Villetaneuse, France*

\*\**Department of Mathematics, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210-1174, U.S.A.*

(<sup>1</sup>)*Current address: Instituto de Investigaciones en Matemáticas Aplicadas y en Sistemas,  
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Apartado Postal 20–726, México D.F., 0100*

Received 25 September 1991; accepted 15 November 1991

### 1. Introduction and theorems

Consider the defocussing cubic non-linear Schrödinger equation (NLS)

$$i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}(x, t) = - \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2}(x, t) + 2|\psi(x, t)|^2 \psi(x, t)$$

for complex valued function  $\psi$  with periodic boundary conditions  $\psi(x + 1, t) = \psi(x, t)$ . It is well known that (NLS) is a completely integrable infinite dimensional Hamiltonian system. The periodic eigenvalues of the corresponding self-adjoint AKNS-system are invariant under the flow of (NLS), where the AKNS-system is given by

$$(H(p, q)F)(x) = \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \frac{d}{dx} + \begin{pmatrix} -q(x, t) & p(x, t) \\ p(x, t) & q(x, t) \end{pmatrix} \right] F(x)$$

with  $\psi(x, t) = p(x, t) - iq(x, t)$ . Define for  $N \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\mathcal{H}^N = \{(p, q) \in H_{\mathbb{R}}^N([0, 1])^2 / p^{(j)}(0) = p^{(j)}(1), q^{(j)}(0) = q^{(j)}(1) \text{ for } j = 0, \dots, N - 1\}.$$

For  $N \geq 1$  the Liouville tori of (NLS) in the phase space  $\mathcal{H}^N$  are the isospectral sets

$$\text{Iso}_N(p, q) = \{(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) \in \mathcal{H}^N / H(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) \text{ has the same periodic spectrum as } H(p, q)\}.$$

†Partially supported by NSF.

††Supported by Swiss National Fund.

For every  $N$ ,  $\text{Iso}_N(p, q)$  is compact, connected and generically an infinite product of circles.

For  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{H}^N$  ( $N = 0, 1$ ) let  $\{\lambda_k(p, q)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be the periodic and antiperiodic spectrum of  $H(p, q)$ . One knows that the gap length map  $\gamma$  from  $\mathcal{H}^1$  into  $l_N^2$  defined as

$$(p, q) \xrightarrow{\gamma} (\gamma_k(p, q) = \lambda_{2k}(p, q) - \lambda_{2k-1}(p, q))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

is continuous (but not analytic), onto and  $\gamma^{-1}(\gamma(p, q)) = \text{Iso}_1(p, q)$ , where  $l_N^2 = \{(a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} / \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} k^{2N} |a_k|^2 < \infty\}$  ( $N \geq 0$ ). (see [Gre-Gui]).

In Appendix A we prove

**THEOREM 1.1.** (1) *The gap-length map  $\gamma: \mathcal{H}^0 \rightarrow l^2$  is continuous and*

$$\gamma^{-1}(\gamma(p, q)) = \text{Iso}_0(p, q)$$

(2)  $\|(p, q)\|_{\mathcal{H}^0}$  is a spectral invariant, i.e. constant on  $\text{Iso}_0(p, q)$ .

Knowing the Dirichlet-spectrum  $\{\mu_k(t)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  of the operator  $H(T_t p, T_t q)$ , where  $(T_t f)(x) = f(x + t)$  one can reconstruct  $p$  and  $q$  by the trace formulas

$$p(t) = - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_{2k} + \lambda_{2k-1}) - \tilde{\mu}_k(t)$$

$$q(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_{2k} + \lambda_{2k-1}) - \mu_k(t).$$

Here  $\{\tilde{\mu}_k(t)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is the Dirichlet-spectrum of  $H(T_t q, -T_t p)$ . The dependence of  $t$  of  $\{\mu_k(t)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is given (see [Gre-Gui]) by a system of singular differential equations. For finite gap potentials  $\mu_k(t)$  can be explicitly calculated by geometric methods (see [Pre]). In this article we compute the image of  $\mu_k(\cdot)$ , or equivalently the image of the flow by translation  $T_t$  on  $\text{Iso}(p, q)$ , for non-finite gap potentials. To do this we introduce the space

$$\mathcal{M}^N = \{(R_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} / R_k \text{ is a } 2 \times 2 \text{ symmetric, real, trace-free matrix with } \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} k^{2N} \|R_k\|^2 < \infty\}.$$

and a map  $\det_N$  from  $\mathcal{M}^N$  into  $l_N^2$  defined as

$$(R_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \xrightarrow{\det_N} \{2(-\det R_k)^{1/2}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}.$$

We will prove

**THEOREM 1.2.** *For  $N = 0, 1$  there exists a real analytic one-to-one map  $\Phi$  from*

$\mathcal{H}^N$  into  $\mathcal{M}^N$  with  $\Phi(\text{Iso}_N(p, q)) = \det_N^{-1}(\det_N(\Phi(p, q)))$ . For  $N = 1$ ,  $\Phi$  is onto and bianalytic.

This theorem gives a geometrical description of the “foliation”  $\text{Iso}_N(p, q)$  in  $\mathcal{H}^N$ . A similar theorem for the *KdV* equation has been proved by T. Kappeler in [Kp]. In section 2 we construct the map  $\Phi$  using results from [Gre-Gui] and [Kp]. Theorem 1.2 follows immediately as in [Kp] using arguments from [Gar-Tru, 1, 2] and

**THEOREM 1.3.** *The derivative of  $\Phi$  at  $(p, q)$  is an isomorphism from  $\mathcal{H}^N$  to  $\mathcal{M}^N$  ( $N = 0, 1$ ).*

Theorem 1.3 is proven in section 3.

Let  $\Phi = (\Phi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . The above mentioned result concerning the flow by translation is now a consequence of Theorem 1.2 and proved at the end of Section 2:

**THEOREM 1.4.** *Suppose  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{H}^0$  (resp.  $\mathcal{H}^1$ ). Then for every  $k$  with  $\lambda_{2k-1}(p, q) < \lambda_{2k}(p, q)$  there exists a continuous (resp. cont. differentiable) function  $\varphi_k(\cdot): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that*

$$\Phi_k(T_t p, T_t q) = \frac{\gamma_k(p, q)}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\varphi_k(t) & \sin 2\varphi_k(t) \\ \sin 2\varphi_k(t) & -\cos 2\varphi_k(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $\varphi_k(t + 1) - \varphi_k(t) = k\pi$  for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

This shows that the image of  $\mu_k(\cdot)$  by the flow of translation consists, for all  $k \neq 0$ , of the whole gap  $[\lambda_{2k-1}(p, q), \lambda_{2k}(p, q)]$ .

Similarly as in [Kp] for *KdV* Theorem 1.2 can be applied to the so called finite gap potentials. Define, for a finite subset  $J \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\text{Gap}_J := \{(p, q) \in \mathcal{H}^0 : \lambda_{2n-1}(p, q) = \lambda_{2n}(p, q), n \notin J\} \text{ and}$$

$$\text{Gap}_{J,r} := \{(p, q) \in \text{Gap}_J : \lambda_{2n-1}(p, q) < \lambda_{2n}(p, q), n \in J\}.$$

Elements in  $\text{Gap}_{J,r}$  are called regular  $J$ -gap potentials. It is well known that the potentials in  $\text{Gap}_J$  are, in fact, real analytic. Further, observe that  $\text{Gap}_J = \Phi^{-1}\{R = (R_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathcal{M}^0 : R_k = 0 \forall k \notin J\}$  and thus  $\text{Gap}_J$  is a  $2N$  dimensional manifold where  $N = \#J$ . Clearly  $\text{Gap}_{J,r}$  is open in  $\text{Gap}_J$  and  $\Phi(\text{Gap}_{J,r}) = (\mathbb{R}^+)^N \times T^N$  (diffeomorphically) where  $\mathbb{R}^+ := \{x : x > 0\}$  and  $T^N$  denotes the  $N$ -torus  $(S^1)^N$ . Obviously  $\text{Gap}_{J,r}$  is invariant by *NLS*. Therefore, with the symplectic structure coming from *NLS*, it follows from Theorem 1.2 that  $(\mathbb{R}^+)^N \times T^N$  is a symplectic manifold of dimension  $2N$  with a trivial fibration by Lagrangian tori  $T^N$ . We thus obtain (cf. [Dui])

**COROLLARY 1.5.** *When restricted to  $\text{Gap}_{J,r}$ , *NLS* admits global action-angle variables.*

**2. Global coordinates on  $\mathcal{H}^N$**

We first define the map  $\Phi$  mentioned in the introduction.

If  $\lambda_{2k-1}(p, q) \neq \lambda_{2k}(p, q)$  ( $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) one denotes by  $F_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q)$  and  $F_{2k}(\cdot; p, q)$  the two corresponding eigenfunctions of  $H(p, q)$  such that, for  $j = 2k - 1, 2k$

- (i)  $\|F_j(\cdot; p, q)\|_{L^2([0,1])^2} = 1$
- (ii) If  $F_j^{(1)}(0; p, q) \neq 0$  then  $F_j^{(1)}(0; p, q) > 0$   
 If  $F_j^{(1)}(0; p, q) = 0$  then  $F_j^{(2)}(0; p, q) > 0$

If  $\lambda_{2k-1}(p, q) = \lambda_{2k}(p, q)$  then  $F_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q)$  and  $F_{2k}(\cdot; p, q)$  are two orthonormal eigenfunctions such that

- (i)  $F_{2k-1}^{(1)}(0; p, q) = 0$  and  $F_{2k-1}^{(2)}(0; p, q) > 0$
- (ii) If  $F_{2k}^{(2)}(0; p, q) \neq 0$  then  $F_{2k}^{(2)}(0; p, q) > 0$   
 If  $F_{2k}^{(2)}(0; p, q) = 0$  then  $F_{2k}^{(1)}(0; p, q) > 0$

As the eigenvalues  $\lambda_j$  are periodic or antiperiodic one has

$$F_j(x + 1; p, q) = (-1)^k F_j(x; p, q).$$

Let  $E_k(p, q)$  be the two-dimensional subspace of  $L^2$  generated by  $F_{2k-1}$  and  $F_{2k}$ .

As in [Kp], in order to introduce an orthonormal basis  $(G_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q), G_{2k}(\cdot; p, q))$  of  $E_k(p, q)$  depending analytically on  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{H}^0$  one needs the following lemma.

**LEMMA 2.1.** *For  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{H}^0$  and for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  the map*

$$F \mapsto (F^{(1)}(0), F^{(2)}(0))$$

*from  $E_k(p, q)$  into  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is a linear isomorphism.*

Before proving Lemma 2.1, let us introduce some more notations and a few elementary results from [Gre-Gui] which will be used later.

Denote by

$$F_j(x, \lambda; p, q) = \begin{pmatrix} Y_j(x, \lambda; p, q) \\ Z_j(x, \lambda; p, q) \end{pmatrix} \quad j = 1, 2$$

the fundamental solutions to  $H(p, q)F_j = \lambda F_j$  such that

$$F_1(0, \lambda; p, q) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad F_2(0, \lambda; p, q) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The  $\mu_k(p, q)$ 's (resp.  $\nu_k(p, q)$ 's) are the simple zeroes of  $Z_1(1, \cdot; p, q)$  (resp.  $Y_2(1, \cdot; p, q)$ )

$p, q$ ) in  $\mathbb{C}$ .  $(\mu_k(p, q))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  (resp.  $(\nu_k(p, q))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ ) is a strictly increasing sequence of real numbers.

Further

$$\lambda_{2k-1}(p, q) \leq \mu_k(p, q), \nu_k(p, q) \leq \lambda_{2k}(p, q), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Denote by  $\Delta(\lambda)$  the discriminant

$$\Delta(\lambda) = \Delta(\lambda; p, q) = Y_1(1, \lambda; p, q) + Z_2(1, \lambda; p, q).$$

The collection of periodic and antiperiodic eigenvalues  $(\lambda_k(p, q))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  written in increasing order and with multiplicities have the following asymptotics

$$\lambda_{2k}(p, q) = k\pi + l^2(k)$$

and

$$\lambda_{2k-1}(p, q) = k\pi + l^2(k)$$

where the error terms are uniform on bounded sets of potentials  $(p, q) \in L^2([0, 1])^2$ .

It follows that for  $j = 2k - 1, 2k$

$$F_1(x, \lambda_j; p, q) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \lambda_j x \\ -\sin \lambda_j x \end{pmatrix} + l^2(k)$$

and

$$F_2(x, \lambda_j; p, q) = \begin{pmatrix} \sin \lambda_j x \\ \cos \lambda_j x \end{pmatrix} + l^2(k).$$

Finally, for  $\lambda_{2k-1}(p, q) < \lambda_{2k}(p, q)$  one has ( $j = 2k - 1, 2k$ )

$$F_j(x; p, q) = \left( \frac{-Y_2(1, \lambda_j(p, q))}{\Delta(\lambda_j(p, q))} \right)^{1/2} F_1(x, \lambda_j(p, q); p, q) \\ + \varepsilon_j(p, q) \left( \frac{Z_1(1, \lambda_j(p, q))}{\Delta(\lambda_j(p, q))} \right)^{1/2} F_2(x, \lambda_j(p, q); p, q)$$

where  $\varepsilon_j(p, q) = \pm 1$ .

*Proof of Lemma 2.1.*

Fix  $k$  and  $(p, q)$ . It suffices to show that

$$W(F_{2k}(\cdot; p, q), F_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q))(0) \neq 0.$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &W(F_{2k}(\cdot; p, q), F_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q))(x) \\ &= F_{2k}^{(1)}(x; p, q)F_{2k-1}^{(2)}(x; p, q) - F_{2k}^{(2)}(x; p, q)F_{2k-1}^{(1)}(x; p, q) \end{aligned}$$

is the Wronskian of  $F_{2k}$  and  $F_{2k-1}$ . Using the equation  $H(p, q)F_j = \lambda_j F_j$  one derives

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dx} W(F_{2k}, F_{2k-1})(x) \\ &= (\lambda_{2k} - \lambda_{2k-1})(F_{2k}^{(1)}(x)F_{2k-1}^{(1)}(x) + F_{2k}^{(2)}(x)F_{2k-1}^{(2)}(x)) \end{aligned}$$

(cf. [Gre-Gui]).

Thus, if  $\lambda_{2k} = \lambda_{2k-1}$ , we conclude that  $W(F_{2k}, F_{2k-1})$  is constant. As  $F_{2k}$  and  $F_{2k-1}$  are linearly independent, this constant is different from zero. In the case where  $\lambda_{2k-1} < \lambda_{2k}$  we first show that  $W(F_{2k}, F_{2k-1})(x)$  has at most simple zeroes. Assume that this is not the case. Then there exists  $0 \leq x_0 \leq 1$  and  $0 \leq \varphi(x_0) \leq 2\pi$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} &F_{2k}^{(1)}(x_0)F_{2k-1}^{(2)}(x_0) - F_{2k}^{(2)}(x_0)F_{2k-1}^{(1)}(x_0) \\ &= |F_{2k}(x_0)| |F_{2k-1}(x_0)| \sin \varphi(x_0) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &F_{2k}^{(1)}(x_0)F_{2k-1}^{(1)}(x_0) + F_{2k}^{(2)}(x_0)F_{2k-1}^{(2)}(x_0) \\ &= |F_{2k}(x_0)| |F_{2k-1}(x_0)| \cos \varphi(x_0) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where here  $|\cdot|$  denotes the Euclidean norm in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

But both  $|F_{2k}(x_0)| \neq 0$  and  $|F_{2k-1}(x_0)| \neq 0$  which leads to a contradiction.

Let us consider the smooth path  $(tp, tq)$  in  $\mathcal{H}^0$ . Denote by  $t_0 = \max\{0 \leq t \leq 1; \lambda_{2k}(tp, tq) = \lambda_{2k-1}(tp, tq)\}$ . Then  $0 \leq t_0 < 1$ . Choose  $L^2$ -normalized eigenfunctions  $\tilde{F}_{2k}(\cdot, tp, tq)$  and  $\tilde{F}_{2k-1}(\cdot, tp, tq)$  such that for  $t = 1$ ,  $\tilde{F}_{2k}(\cdot, p, q) = F_{2k}(\cdot, p, q)$  and  $\tilde{F}_{2k-1}(\cdot, p, q) = F_{2k-1}(\cdot, p, q)$  and  $\tilde{F}_{2k}$  and  $\tilde{F}_{2k-1}$  are continuous in  $t$ , i.e.  $\tilde{F}_{2k}$  and  $\tilde{F}_{2k-1} \in C([t_0, 1], (H^1[0, 1])^2)$ . In particular we conclude that  $\tilde{F}_{2k}(\cdot; t_0p, t_0q)$  and  $\tilde{F}_{2k-1}(\cdot; t_0p, t_0q)$  are  $L^2$ -normalized orthogonal eigenfunctions for  $\lambda_{2k}(t_0p, t_0q)$ . We conclude that for  $t = t_0$

$W(\tilde{F}_{2k}, \tilde{F}_{2k-1})$  is constant and different from zero. Clearly  $W(t, x) := W(\tilde{F}_{2k}(\cdot, tp, tq), \tilde{F}_{2k-1}(\cdot, tp, tq))(x)$  is continuous in  $0 \leq x \leq 1$  and  $t_0 \leq t \leq 1$ . To simplify notation assume that  $W(t_0, x) > 0$  ( $0 \leq x \leq 1$ ). For fixed  $t_0 \leq t \leq 1$ ,  $W(t, x)$  can have at most simple zeroes and thus by a classical argument from homotopy theory we conclude that  $W(t, x)$  can never vanish for  $0 \leq x \leq 1$  and  $t_0 \leq t \leq 1$  and Lemma 2.1 is proved.

We use Lemma 2.1 to define  $G_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q)$  as the unique function in  $E_k(p, q)$  satisfying

- (i)  $\|G_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q)\|_{L^2([0,1])^2} = 1$
- (ii)  $G_{2k-1}^{(1)}(0; p, q) = 0$  and  $G_{2k-1}^{(2)}(0; p, q) > 0$ .

$G_{2k}(\cdot; p, q)$  is then defined to be the unique function in  $E_k(p, q)$  such that

- (i)  $\|G_{2k}(\cdot; p, q)\|_{L^2([0,1])^2} = 1; G_{2k}^{(1)}(0; p, q) > 0$
- (ii)  $(G_{2k}(\cdot; p, q), G_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q))_{L^2([0,1])^2} = 0$

Clearly,  $G_{2k}$  and  $G_{2k-1}$  can be expressed in terms of  $F_{2k}$  and  $F_{2k-1}$ . There exist a unique  $\theta_k(p, q) \in [0, 2\pi)$  such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} G_{2k}(\cdot; p, q) \\ G_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_k(p, q) & -\sin \theta_k(p, q) \\ \sin \theta_k(p, q) & \cos \theta_k(p, q) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} F_{2k}(\cdot; p, q) \\ \varepsilon_k F_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q) \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\varepsilon_k = \text{sign } W(F_{2k}(\cdot; p, q), F_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q))(0)$ .

Using a perturbation argument (cf. [Ka]) one proves as in [Kp] that  $G_{2k}(\cdot; p, q)$  and  $G_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q)$  are both analytic functions of  $(p, q)$  as maps from  $(L^2([0, 1]))^2$  into  $(H_{\text{rk}}^1([0, 1]))^2$ .

$F_{2k}$  and  $F_{2k-1}$  are eigenfunctions of  $H(p, q)$  but they cannot depend analytically on  $(p, q)$  due to possible multiplicity of the eigenvalue  $\lambda_{2k}$ .  $G_{2k}$  and  $G_{2k-1}$  are not necessarily eigenfunctions but they depend analytically on  $(p, q)$ .

For  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{H}^N$  ( $N = 0, 1$ ) and for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  define

$$\Phi_k(p, q) = \begin{pmatrix} (G_{2k}(\cdot), (H - \tau_k)G_{2k}(\cdot))_{L^2([0,1])^2} & (G_{2k}(\cdot), (H - \tau_k)G_{2k-1}(\cdot))_{L^2([0,1])^2} \\ ((G_{2k-1}(\cdot), (H - \tau_k)G_{2k}(\cdot))_{L^2([0,1])^2} & (G_{2k-1}(\cdot), (H - \tau_k)G_{2k-1}(\cdot))_{L^2([0,1])^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\tau_k = (\lambda_{2k} + \lambda_{2k-1})/2$ . One easily shows that

$$\Phi_k(p, q) = \frac{\gamma_k(p, q)}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\theta_k(p, q) & \sin 2\theta_k(p, q) \\ \sin 2\theta_k(p, q) & -\cos 2\theta_k(p, q) \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\gamma_k(p, q) = \lambda_{2k}(p, q) - \lambda_{2k-1}(p, q)$ .

The matrix  $\Phi_k(p, q)$  is symmetric and its trace is zero. Its eigenvalues are

$\pm [\gamma_k(p, q)/2]$ . For every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\Phi_k(\cdot, \cdot)$  is a compact map from  $\mathcal{H}^0$  into the space of real symmetric trace free matrices. (See [Kp] for a proof.)

Furthermore it is proved in [Gre-Gui] that  $(\gamma_k(p, q))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \in l^2(\mathbb{Z})$  (resp.  $l^2_1(\mathbb{Z})$ ) for  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{H}^0$  (resp.  $\mathcal{H}^1$ ) and, for  $N = 0, 1$ ,  $\sum_k \gamma_k(p, q)^2 k^{2N} < \infty$  uniformly on bounded sets of potentials in  $\mathcal{H}^N$ .

DEFINITION 2.2. For  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{H}^N$  set

$$\Phi(p, q) = (\Phi_k(p, q))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}.$$

It follows that  $\Phi(\cdot, \cdot)$  is a bounded map from  $\mathcal{H}^N$  ( $N = 0, 1$ ) into  $\mathcal{M}^N$ .

As in [Kp] one shows that  $\Phi(\cdot, \cdot)$  is real analytic. Furthermore  $\Phi(\cdot, \cdot)$  preserves isospectrality in the following sense:  $\Phi(p, q)$  and  $\Phi(p', q')$  are isospectral, i.e.,  $\text{spec } \Phi_k(p, q) = \text{spec } \Phi_k(p', q')$  for every  $k$ , if and only if  $\gamma_k(p, q) = \gamma_k(p', q')$  for every  $k$ . It is shown in [Gre-Gui] that, for  $(p, q)$  and  $(p', q')$  in  $\mathcal{H}^1$ ,  $\gamma_k(p, q) = \gamma_k(p', q')$  for every  $k$  implies  $\lambda_k(p, q) = \lambda_k(p', q')$  for every  $k$ . For  $(p, q)$  and  $(p', q')$  in  $\mathcal{H}^0$  the same conclusion follows from Appendix A (see Corollary A.4) by the same argument given for the case  $N = 1$  in [Gre-Gui].

REMARK 2.3.  $\mathcal{M}^0$  (resp.  $\mathcal{M}^1$ ) can be identified with  $l^2(\mathbb{Z})$  (resp.  $l^2_1(\mathbb{Z})$ ) by the map

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{\gamma_k(p, q)}{2} \cos 2\theta_k(p, q), \frac{\gamma_k(p, q)}{2} \sin 2\theta_k(p, q) \right) \\ & \rightarrow c_k(p, q) = \frac{\gamma_k(p, q)}{2} e^{2i\theta_k(p, q)} \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

It then follows that for  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{H}^N$  with  $N = 0, 1$

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} k^{2N} \|\Phi_k(p, q)\|^2 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} k^{2N} |c_k|^2 < \infty.$$

In particular  $\Phi(\cdot, \cdot)$  coordinatizes  $\mathcal{H}^N$  globally.

It follows that for  $(p_0, q_0) \in \mathcal{H}^N$

$$\Phi(\text{Iso}_N(p_0, q_0)) = \{(c_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \in l^2_N(\mathbb{Z}) \mid |c_k| = |c_k(p_0, q_0)|, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

One recovers the well-known result that  $\text{Iso}_N(p_0, q_0)$  is a compact set, generically an infinite product of circles, the radii of which are in  $l^2_N(\mathbb{Z})$ .

We now prove Theorem 1.4. Following [Kp, Thm. 4] one easily shows that there exists a continuous (resp. continuously differentiable in the case

$(p, q) \in \mathcal{H}^1$ ) function  $\psi_k(t, s)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} G_{2k-1}(x; sT_i p, sT_i q) &= \cos \psi_k(t, s) \tilde{F}_{2k-1}(x+t; sp, sq) \\ &\quad + \sin \psi_k(t, s) \tilde{F}_{2k}(x+t; sp, sq) \\ G_{2k}(x; sT_i p, sT_i q) &= -\sin \psi_k(t, s) \tilde{F}_{2k-1}(x+t; sp, sq) \\ &\quad + \cos \psi_k(t, s) \tilde{F}_{2k}(x+t; sp, sq) \end{aligned}$$

for  $(t, s) \in [0, 1]^2$  where, for  $s_0 \leq s \leq 1$ ,  $\tilde{F}_{2k}(\cdot; sp, sq)$  and  $\tilde{F}_{2k-1}(\cdot; sp, sq)$  are chosen as in the proof of Lemma 2.1 with  $s_0 = \max\{0 \leq s < 1; \lambda_{2k}(sp, sq) = \lambda_{2k-1}(sp, sq)\}$ . Taking possible crossings of the eigenvalues  $\lambda_{2k}(sp, sq)$  and  $\lambda_{2k-1}(sp, sq)$  into account (cf. [Ka]),  $\tilde{F}_{2k}(\cdot; sp, sq)$  and  $\tilde{F}_{2k-1}(\cdot; sp, sq)$  can be chosen to depend smoothly on  $s$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq s_0$ , if one allows  $\tilde{F}_{2k}(\cdot; sp, sq)$  to be either a (normalized) eigenfunction for  $\lambda_{2k}(sp, sq)$  or  $\lambda_{2k-1}(sp, sq)$  and similarly for  $\tilde{F}_{2k-1}(\cdot; sp, sq)$ .

Define  $\varphi_k(t) := \psi_k(t, 1)$  and the winding numbers  $h_k(s) := (\psi_k(1+t, s) - \psi_k(t, s))/\pi$ ,  $h_k(\cdot)$  being a continuous function of  $s$  with values in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore  $h_k(s) = h_k(0) = k$  for every  $s \in [0, 1]$  and thus  $\varphi_k(1+t) - \varphi_k(t) = k\pi$ .

REMARK 2.4. For  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{H}^1$  one shows that

$$\text{sign } \frac{d\varphi_k}{dt}(t) = \text{sign}(\lambda_{2k-1} + q(t)).$$

Then, for  $|k|$  sufficiently large, one has

$$\frac{d\varphi_k}{dt}(t) > 0 \text{ if } k > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d\varphi_k}{dt}(t) < 0 \text{ if } k < 0$$

i.e.  $\Phi_k(T_i p, T_i q)$  winds  $|k|$  times around the origin without stopping, clockwise if  $k < 0$  and counterclockwise if  $k > 0$ .

### 3. The derivative of $\Phi$

In this section we compute the derivative of  $\Phi$  and show that it is a linear isomorphism from  $\mathcal{H}^N$  onto  $\mathcal{M}^N$  for  $N = 0, 1$ .

As in [Kp] it is convenient to write  $\Phi$  in a slightly different form. One writes  $\Phi$  as a map  $\Psi$  from  $\mathcal{H}^N$  into  $l_{\mathbb{N}}^2(\mathbb{Z})$  (see Remark 2.3) with  $\Psi(p, q) = (\Psi_k(p, q))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$

where

$$\Psi_{2k-1}(p, q) = (G_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q), (H - \tau_k(p, q))G_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q))_{L^2([0,1])^2}$$

$$\Psi_{2k}(p, q) = (G_{2k}(\cdot; p, q), (H - \tau_k(p, q))G_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q))_{L^2([0,1])^2}.$$

Let  $d_{(p,q)}\Psi_{2k}$  (resp.  $d_{(p,q)}\Psi_{2k-1}$ ) denote the derivative of  $\Psi_{2k}(\cdot, \cdot)$  (resp.  $\Psi_{2k-1}(\cdot, \cdot)$ ).

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Suppose  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{H}^0$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & d_{(p,q)}\Psi_{2k-1}[(u, v)] \\ &= 2\Psi_{2k}(p, q) \int_0^1 d_{(p,q)}G_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q)[(u, v)](x) \cdot G_{2k}(x; p, q) dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (G_{2k-1}^{(2)}(x; p, q)^2 - G_{2k-1}^{(1)}(x; p, q)^2 + G_{2k}^{(1)}(x; p, q)^2 \\ &- G_{2k}^{(2)}(x; p, q)^2)v(x) dx + \int_0^1 (G_{2k-1}^{(1)}(x; p, q)G_{2k-1}^{(2)}(x; p, q) \\ &- G_{2k}^{(1)}(x; p, q)G_{2k}^{(2)}(x; p, q))u(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & d_{(p,q)}\Psi_{2k}[(u, v)] \\ &= -2\Psi_{2k-1}(p, q) \int_0^1 d_{(p,q)}G_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q)[(u, v)](x) \\ &\cdot G_{2k}(x; p, q) dx + \int_0^1 (-G_{2k}^{(1)}(x; p, q)G_{2k-1}^{(1)}(x; p, q) \\ &+ G_{2k}^{(2)}(x; p, q)G_{2k-1}^{(2)}(x; p, q))v(x) dx \\ &+ \int_0^1 (G_{2k}^{(1)}(x; p, q)G_{2k-1}^{(2)}(x; p, q) \\ &+ G_{2k}^{(2)}(x; p, q)G_{2k-1}^{(1)}(x; p, q))u(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

where ‘ $\cdot$ ’ denotes the scalar product in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* The derivative  $d_{(p,q)}\Psi_{2k-1}[(u, v)]$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & d_{(p,q)}\Psi_{2k-1}[(u, v)] \\ &= (d_{(p,q)}G_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q)[(u, v)], (H - \tau_k)G_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q)) \\ &+ (G_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q), (H - \tau_k)d_{(p,q)}G_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q)[(u, v)](\cdot)) \\ &+ (G_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q), d_{(p,q)}(H - \tau_k)[(u, v)](\cdot) \cdot G_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q)). \end{aligned}$$

The chosen normalization of  $G_k$  imply that

$$(d_{(p,q)}G_k(\cdot; p, q), G_k(\cdot; p, q)) = 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Further

$$(H - \tau_k(p, q))G_{2k-1}(x; p, q) = -\frac{\gamma_k(p, q)}{2} \cos 2\theta_k(p, q)G_{2k-1}(x; p, q) \\ + \frac{\gamma_k(p, q)}{2} \sin 2\theta_k(p, q)G_{2k}(x; p, q).$$

One then gets

$$d_{(p,q)}\Psi_{2k-1}[(u, v)] \\ = \Psi_{2k}(p, q)(G_{2k}(\cdot; p, q), d_{(p,q)}G_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q)[(u, v)](\cdot)) \\ + \Psi_{2k}(p, q)(d_{(p,q)}G_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q)[(u, v)](\cdot), G_{2k}(\cdot; p, q)) \\ + (G_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q), \begin{pmatrix} -v(\cdot) & u(\cdot) \\ u(\cdot) & v(\cdot) \end{pmatrix} G_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q)) \\ - d_{(p,q)}\tau_k[(u, v)].$$

Hence one finally obtains

$$d_{(p,q)}\Psi_{2k-1}[(u, v)] \\ = 2\Psi_{2k-1}(p, q)(G_{2k}(\cdot; p, q), d_{(p,q)}G_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q)[(u, v)](\cdot)) \\ + (G_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q), \begin{pmatrix} -v(\cdot) & u(\cdot) \\ u(\cdot) & v(\cdot) \end{pmatrix} G_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q)) \\ - d_{(p,q)}\tau_k[(u, v)].$$

Let us now compute  $d_{(p,q)}\tau_k[(u, v)]$ .

Define, for fixed  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the open set  $\mathcal{U}_k \subseteq \mathcal{H}^0$

$$\mathcal{U}_k = \{(p, q) \in \mathcal{H}^0; \lambda_{2k}(p, q) \text{ simple}\}.$$

$\lambda_{2k}(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $\lambda_{2k-1}(\cdot, \cdot)$  are continuously differentiable on  $\mathcal{U}_k$ .

Using  $H(p, q)F_j = \lambda_j(p, q)F_j$  ( $j = 2k - 1, 2k$ ) one obtains for  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{U}_k$

$$d_{(p,q)}\lambda_j[(u, v)] = (F_j(\cdot; p, q), \begin{pmatrix} -v(\cdot) & u(\cdot) \\ u(\cdot) & v(\cdot) \end{pmatrix} F_j(\cdot; p, q)).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_{(p,q)}\tau_k[(u, v)] &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (F_{2k}^{(2)}(x; p, q)^2 + F_{2k-1}^{(2)}(x; p, q)^2 - F_{2k}^{(1)}(x; p, q)^2 \\
 &\quad - F_{2k-1}^{(1)}(x; p, q)^2)v(x) \, dx \\
 &\quad + \int_0^1 (F_{2k}^{(1)}(x; p, q)F_{2k}^{(2)}(x; p, q) \\
 &\quad + F_{2k-1}^{(1)}(x; p, q)F_{2k-1}^{(2)}(x; p, q))u(x) \, dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Expressed in terms of the  $G_k$ 's we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_{(p,q)}\tau_k[(u, v)] &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (G_{2k}^{(2)}(x; p, q)^2 + G_{2k-1}^{(2)}(x; p, q)^2 - G_{2k}^{(1)}(x; p, q)^2 \\
 &\quad - G_{2k-1}^{(1)}(x; p, q)^2)v(x) \, dx \\
 &\quad + \int_0^1 (G_{2k}^{(1)}(x; p, q)G_{2k}^{(2)}(x; p, q) \\
 &\quad + G_{2k-1}^{(1)}(x; p, q)G_{2k-1}^{(2)}(x; p, q))u(x) \, dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now  $\mathcal{U}_k$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}^0$  and both sides of the least equality are continuous functions of  $(p, q)$  in  $\mathcal{H}^0$ . Thus this equality expresses  $d_{(p,q)}\tau_k$  in terms of the  $G_k$ 's on  $\mathcal{H}^0$ .  $d_{(p,q)}\Psi_{2k}$  is calculated in the same way as  $d_{(p,q)}\Psi_{2k-1}$ .

The derivatives  $d_{(p,q)}\Psi_{2k}$  and  $d_{(p,q)}\Psi_{2k-1}$  can be expressed in a slightly different way as follows.

**COROLLARY 3.2.** *Suppose  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{H}^0$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left( \begin{array}{l} d_{(p,q)}\Psi_{2k}[(u, v)] \\ d_{(p,q)}\Psi_{2k-1}[(u, v)] \end{array} \right) \\
 &= \left( \int_0^1 (F_{2k}^{(1)}(x; p, q)^2 - F_{2k-1}^{(1)}(x; p, q)^2 + F_{2k-1}^{(2)}(x; p, q)^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - F_{2k}^{(2)}(x; p, q)^2) \frac{v(x)}{2} \, dx \right) \begin{pmatrix} -\sin 2\theta_k(p, q) \\ \cos 2\theta_k(p, q) \end{pmatrix} \\
 &+ \varepsilon_k \left( \int_0^1 (F_{2k}^{(2)}(x; p, q)F_{2k-1}^{(2)}(x; p, q) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - F_{2k}^{(1)}(x; p, q)F_{2k-1}^{(1)}(x; p, q))v(x) \, dx \right) \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\theta_k(p, q) \\ \sin 2\theta_k(p, q) \end{pmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \left( \int_0^1 (F_{2k-1}^{(1)}(x; p, q) F_{2k-1}^{(2)}(x; p, q) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - F_{2k}^{(1)}(x; p, q) F_{2k}^{(2)}(x; p, q) u(x) dx \right) \begin{pmatrix} -\sin 2\theta_k(p, q) \\ \cos 2\theta_k(p, q) \end{pmatrix} \\
 & + \varepsilon_k \left( \int_0^1 (F_{2k}^{(1)}(x; p, q) F_{2k-1}^{(2)}(x; p, q) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + F_{2k-1}^{(1)}(x; p, q) F_{2k}^{(2)}(x; p, q) u(x) dx \right) \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\theta_k(p, q) \\ \sin 2\theta_k(p, q) \end{pmatrix} \\
 & + \gamma_k(p, q) \left( \int_0^1 d_{(p,q)} G_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q) [(u, v)](x) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \cdot G_{2k}(x; p, q) dx \right) \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\theta_k(p, q) \\ \sin 2\theta_k(p, q) \end{pmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varepsilon_k = \text{sign } W(F_{2k}(\cdot; p, q), F_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q))(0)$ .

We now study the asymptotics of  $d_{(p,q)}\Psi_{2k}$  and  $d_{(p,q)}\Psi_{2k-1}$ . First of all it will be useful to bring

$$\int_0^1 d_{(p,q)} G_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q) [(u, v)](x) \cdot G_{2k}(x, p, q) dx$$

into another form.

LEMMA 3.3.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^1 d_{(p,q)} G_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q) [(u, v)](x) \cdot G_{2k}(x; p, q) dx \\
 & = \sum_{j \neq 2k, 2k-1} F_j^{(1)}(0) \begin{pmatrix} -v & u \\ u & v \end{pmatrix} F_{2k} \sin \theta_k \frac{1}{\lambda_{2k} - \lambda_j} \\
 & \quad + \sum_{j \neq 2k, 2k-1} F_j^{(1)}(0) \begin{pmatrix} -v & u \\ u & v \end{pmatrix} F_{2k-1} \varepsilon_k \cos \theta_k \frac{1}{\lambda_{2k-1} - \lambda_j}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Lemma 3.3 follows as in [Kp; Lemma 5.3].

In order to bound  $F_{2k-1}(\cdot)$  and  $F_{2k}(\cdot)$  uniformly with respect to  $k$  we use the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.4. For  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{H}^0$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  denote  $I_k(\cdot)$  the unique function in  $E_k(p, q)$  such that  $\|I_k(\cdot)\|_{L^2([0,1])^2} = 1$  with  $I_k^{(1)}(0) > 0$  and  $I_k^{(2)}(0) = 0$ . Then for

$$j \in \{2k - 1, 2k\}$$

- (i)  $F_1(\cdot, \lambda_j) = I_k(\cdot) + l^2(k)$  and
- (ii)  $F_2(\cdot, \lambda_j) = G_{2k-1}(\cdot) + l^2(k)$ .

The error terms are uniform with respect to  $0 \leq x \leq 1$  and  $(p, q)$  in any bounded set of  $\mathcal{H}^0$ .

**REMARK.** We present a proof of Lemma 3.4 which generalizes easily to a situation encountered in Lemma 3.14 below.

*Proof of Lemma 3.4.* (1) Assume that  $j = 2k$ . Observe that (see [Gre-Gui])

$$F_1(0, \lambda_{2k}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad F_1(1, \lambda_{2k}) = \begin{pmatrix} (-1)^k \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + l^2(k).$$

Existence and uniqueness of  $I_k(\cdot)$  follow from Lemma 2.1. Then there exist  $\alpha_k$  and  $\beta_k$  satisfying

$$I_k(\cdot) = \alpha_k F_{2k-1}(\cdot) + \beta_k F_{2k}(\cdot)$$

with  $\alpha_k^2 + \beta_k^2 = 1$ .

Further

$$H(p, q)I_k(\cdot) = \lambda_{2k}I_k(\cdot) - \alpha_k \gamma_k F_{2k-1}(\cdot)$$

with  $(\alpha_k \gamma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \in l^2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

Define

$$f_k(\cdot) = I_k(\cdot) - I_k^{(1)}(0)F_1(\cdot, \lambda_{2k}).$$

Then  $f_k(\cdot)$  satisfies

$$H(p, q)f_k(\cdot) = \lambda_{2k}f_k(\cdot) - \alpha_k \gamma_k F_{2k-1}(\cdot)$$

with

$$f_k(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Set

$$K(x) = \begin{pmatrix} F_1^{(1)}(x, \lambda_{2k}) & F_2^{(1)}(x, \lambda_{2k}) \\ F_1^{(2)}(x, \lambda_{2k}) & F_2^{(2)}(x, \lambda_{2k}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We then obtain

$$f_k(x) = - \int_0^x K(x)^{-1} K(x') (\alpha_k \gamma_k F_{2k-1}(x')) dx'.$$

It follows from the estimates of  $F_1(\cdot, \lambda)$  and  $F_2(\cdot, \lambda)$  in [Gre-Gui; Section 1] that there is a constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $k$  such that

$$\|f_k\|_\infty \leq C|\alpha_k|\gamma_k \leq C\gamma_k.$$

Therefore we get

$$\|F_1(\cdot, \lambda_{2k})\|_{L^2((0,1)^2)} I_k^{(1)}(0) = 1 + I^2(k).$$

Further we get from [Gre-Gui; Section 1]

$$\|F_1(\cdot, \lambda_{2k})\|_{L^2((0,1)^2)} = 1 + I^2(k).$$

Thus

$$I_k^{(1)}(0) = 1 + I^2(k)$$

and (i) is proved with  $j = 2k$ . The case  $j = 2k - 1$  follows exactly in the same way.

To prove (ii) remark that

$$F_2(0, \lambda_j) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad F_2(1, \lambda_j) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (-1)^k \end{pmatrix} + I^2(k).$$

Further

$$\|G_{2k-1}(\cdot)\|_{L^2((0,1)^2)} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad G_{2k-1}^{(2)}(0) > 0.$$

Thus (ii) follows in the same way as (i) and Lemma 3.4 is proved.

Let us deduce from Lemma 3.4 that

$$\|F_k(\cdot)\|_{L^\infty((0,1)^2)} \leq C \tag{3.1}$$

uniformly with respect to  $k$ .

Consider  $F_{2k}$ . For  $|k|$  sufficiently large it follows from Lemma 3.4 that  $W(I_k, G_{2k-1})(\cdot) \neq 0$  because  $W(F_1(\cdot, \lambda_{2k}), F_2(\cdot, \lambda_{2k})) = 1$ .

Therefore

$$F_{2k}(\cdot) = \alpha_k I_k(\cdot) + \beta_k G_{2k-1}(\cdot), \quad \alpha_k, \beta_k \in \mathbb{R}$$

for  $|k|$  sufficiently large.

From  $\|F_{2k}(\cdot)\|_{L^2([0,1])^2} = 1$  we deduce that

$$1 = \alpha_k^2 + \beta_k^2 + 2\alpha_k\beta_k(I_k(\cdot), G_{2k-1}(\cdot))_{L^2([0,1])^2}$$

with  $|(I_k, G_{2k-1})| \leq 1$  and  $(I_k(\cdot), G_{2k-1}(\cdot)) \in l^2(k)$  because  $(F_1(\cdot, \lambda_{2k}), F_2(\cdot, \lambda_{2k})) \in l^2(k)$ .

We then get

$$|\alpha_k| \leq C \quad \text{and} \quad |\beta_k| \leq C$$

uniformly with respect to  $k$ . (3.1) then follows from Lemma 3.4.

We now study the asymptotics of  $d_{(p,q)}\Psi_{2k}$  and  $d_{(p,q)}\Psi_{2k-1}$ . One easily shows that

$$G_{2k}(x; p, q) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos k\pi x \\ -\sin k\pi x \end{pmatrix} + l^2(k)$$

$$G_{2k-1}(x; p, q) = \begin{pmatrix} \sin k\pi x \\ \cos k\pi x \end{pmatrix} + l^2(k)$$

where the error terms are uniform with respect to  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ . Furthermore since  $G_{2k}(\cdot; p, q)$  and  $G_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q)$  are real analytic functions of  $(p, q)$  as maps from  $\mathcal{H}^0$  into  $H_{\mathbb{R}}^1([0, 1])^2$  it follows that  $d_{(p,q)}G_{2k}(\cdot; p, q)$  and  $d_{(p,q)}G_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q)$  are bounded linear maps from  $\mathcal{H}^0$  into  $H_{\mathbb{R}}^1([0, 1])^2$  which are still real analytic functions of  $(p, q)$ .

It follows from Lemma 3.3 and (3.1) that the norm of the linear map

$$(u, v) \mapsto \int_0^1 d_{(p,q)}G_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q)[(u, v)](x) \cdot G_{2k}(x; p, q) dx$$

is uniformly bounded with respect to  $(p, q)$  on bounded sets of  $\mathcal{H}^0$  and to  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  (See [Kp; Prop. 5.4]).

It then follows from Theorem 3.1 and from the fact that  $(\Psi_k(p, q))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is in  $l^2(\mathbb{Z})$  that we obtain

**THEOREM 3.5.**

$$\begin{pmatrix} d_{(p,q)}\Psi_{2k}[(u, v)] \\ d_{(p,q)}\Psi_{2k-1}[(u, v)] \end{pmatrix} = \int_0^1 \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2k\pi x & -\sin 2k\pi x \\ \sin 2k\pi x & \cos 2k\pi x \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u(x) \\ v(x) \end{pmatrix} dx + l^2(k)$$

where the error term is bounded uniformly with respect to  $(u, v)$  and  $(p, q)$  in any bounded subset of  $\mathcal{H}^0$ .

We need to introduce some more notation. For  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{H}^0$  set

$$J = \{k \in \mathbb{Z}; \lambda_{2k-1}(p, q) < \lambda_{2k}(p, q)\}.$$

Then, for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , define

$$H_{2k}(x; p, q) = \left( \begin{array}{c} F_{2k-1}^{(1)}(x; p, q)F_{2k}^{(2)}(x; p, q) - F_{2k}^{(1)}(x; p, q)F_{2k}^{(2)}(x; p, q) \\ \frac{1}{2}(F_{2k}^{(1)}(x; p, q)^2 - F_{2k}^{(2)}(x; p, q)^2 + F_{2k-1}^{(2)}(x; p, q)^2 - F_{2k-1}^{(1)}(x; p, q)^2) \end{array} \right)$$

For  $k \notin J$  set

$$H_{2k-1}(x; p, q) = \varepsilon_k \left( \begin{array}{c} F_{2k}^{(1)}(x; p, q)F_{2k-1}^{(2)}(x; p, q) + F_{2k-1}^{(1)}(x; p, q)F_{2k}^{(2)}(x; p, q) \\ F_{2k}^{(2)}(x; p, q)F_{2k-1}^{(2)}(x; p, q) - F_{2k}^{(1)}(x; p, q)F_{2k-1}^{(1)}(x; p, q) \end{array} \right)$$

and for  $k \in J$  define

$$H_{2k-1}(x; p, q) = \varepsilon_k \left( \begin{array}{c} F_{2k}^{(1)}(x; p, q)F_{2k-1}^{(2)}(x; p, q) + F_{2k-1}^{(1)}(x; p, q)F_{2k}^{(2)}(x; p, q) \\ F_{2k}^{(2)}(x; p, q)F_{2k-1}^{(2)}(x; p, q) - F_{2k}^{(1)}(x; p, q)F_{2k-1}^{(1)}(x; p, q) \end{array} \right) + \gamma_k(p, q) \left( \begin{array}{c} \int_0^1 \left\{ G_{2k}^{(1)}(y; p, q) \frac{\partial G_{2k-1}^{(1)}}{\partial p(x)}(y; p, q) + G_{2k}^{(2)}(y; p, q) \frac{\partial G_{2k-1}^{(2)}}{\partial p(x)}(y; p, q) \right\} dy \\ \int_0^1 \left\{ G_{2k}^{(1)}(y; p, q) \frac{\partial G_{2k-1}^{(1)}}{\partial q(x)}(y; p, q) + G_{2k}^{(2)}(y; p, q) \frac{\partial G_{2k-1}^{(2)}}{\partial q(x)}(y; p, q) \right\} dy \end{array} \right)$$

Then, from Corollary 3.2, it follows that

$$\left( \begin{array}{c} d_{(p,q)}\Psi_{2k}[(u, v)] \\ d_{(p,q)}\Psi_{2k-1}[(u, v)] \end{array} \right) = (H_{2k}(\cdot; p, q), (u(\cdot), v(\cdot))) \left( \begin{array}{c} -\sin 2\theta_k(p, q) \\ \cos 2\theta_k(p, q) \end{array} \right) + (H_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q), (u(\cdot), v(\cdot))) \left( \begin{array}{c} \cos 2\theta_k(p, q) \\ \sin 2\theta_k(p, q) \end{array} \right).$$

**THEOREM 3.6.** Suppose  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{H}^0$ . Then  $d_{(p,q)}\Phi$  is a linear isomorphism form  $\mathcal{H}^0$  onto  $\mathcal{M}^0$ .

The proof of Theorem 3.6 is rather long and several steps are needed.

Theorem 3.5 shows that  $d_{(p,q)}\Psi$  is a Fredholm operator of index zero. Therefore it suffices to show that  $d_{(p,q)}\Psi$  is one to one in order to prove Theorem 3.6.

Assume that  $d_{(p,q)}\Psi[(u, v)] = 0$  where  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{H}^0$ . From the above formula we conclude that  $(H_k(\cdot; p, q), (u(\cdot), v(\cdot))) = 0$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore, in order to prove that  $d_{(p,q)}\Psi$  is one to one, one must prove that  $\{H_k(\cdot; p, q)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a Riesz basis of  $\mathcal{H}^0$ . Using the definition of the  $H_k$ 's and the asymptotic behavior of the  $G_k$ 's one shows that  $\{H_k(\cdot; p, q)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is quadratically close to the orthonormal basis  $(T_k(\cdot; p, q))$  of  $\mathcal{H}^0$  where

$$T_{2k}(x; p, q) = -\sin 2\theta_k(p, q) \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2k\pi x \\ -\sin 2k\pi x \end{pmatrix} + \cos 2\theta_k(p, q) \begin{pmatrix} \sin 2k\pi x \\ \cos 2k\pi x \end{pmatrix}$$

$$T_{2k-1}(x; p, q) = \cos 2\theta_k(p, q) \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2k\pi x \\ -\sin 2k\pi x \end{pmatrix} + \sin 2\theta_k(p, q) \begin{pmatrix} \sin 2k\pi x \\ \cos 2k\pi x \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus to prove that  $(H_k(\cdot; p, q))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a basis of  $\mathcal{H}^0$  it remains to prove that the  $H_k$ 's are linearly independent, i.e., if  $(\alpha_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a sequence of real numbers such that

- (i)  $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_k^2 \|H_k(\cdot; p, q)\|_{L^2([0,1])}^2 < \infty$  and
- (ii)  $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_k H_k = 0$ ,

then  $\alpha_k = 0$  for all  $k$ .

First, let us recall that the set  $\text{Iso}_0(p, q)$  of isospectral potentials is a countable intersection of manifolds and that one can define the normal space  $N(p, q)$  and the tangent space  $T(p, q)$  of  $\text{Iso}_0(p, q)$  at  $(p, q)$ . Using results of [Gre-Gui], an easy computation shows that  $\{H_{2k}(\cdot; p, q)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  and  $\{H_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  belong to the normal space  $N(p, q)$  of the isospectral set  $\text{Iso}_0(p, q)$  at  $(p, q)$ .

Set for  $k' \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$(p_{k'}, q_{k'}) = (\nabla_{(p,q)} \Delta(\lambda; p, q)|_{\lambda = v_{k'}(p,q)})^\perp \tag{3.2}$$

where  $(a, b)^\perp = (-b, a)$ ,  $(v_{k'}(p, q))_{k' \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is one of the two Dirichlet auxiliary spectra defined in section 2.

Clearly  $(p_{k'}, q_{k'})$  is in the tangent space  $T(p, q)$  of  $\text{Iso}_0(p, q)$  at  $(p, q)$ . Hence it follows that for every  $k'$

$$0 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_k (H_k(\cdot; p, q), (p_{k'}(\cdot), q_{k'}(\cdot))),$$

$$= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{2k-1} (H_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q), (p_{k'}(\cdot), q_{k'}(\cdot))). \tag{3.3}$$

The proof of Theorem 3.6 consists of three steps. In the first one we show that

$\alpha_{2k-1} = 0$  for  $k \in J$ . In the second one we prove that  $\alpha_{2k} = \alpha_{2k-1} = 0$  for  $k \notin J$  and in the third one we finally show that  $\alpha_{2k} = 0$  for every  $k$  in  $J$ .

### 3.1. The first step

Let us begin with a computational lemma.

**LEMMA 3.7.** *If  $(u, v) \in T(p, q)$  and  $k$  in  $J$  such that  $\lambda_{2k-1}(p, q) < v_k(p, q) < \lambda_{2k}(p, q)$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} & (H_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q), (u(\cdot), v(\cdot))) \\ &= -\frac{\gamma_k(p, q)}{2} (G_{2k}^{(1)}(0; p, q))^{-1} \varepsilon_k \cos \theta_k(p, q) F_{2k-1}^{(1)}(0; p, q) \\ & \cdot \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \frac{1}{v_j(p, q) - \lambda_{2k-1}(p, q)} - \frac{1}{v_j(p, q) - \lambda_{2k}(p, q)} \right) \\ & \cdot (\nabla_{(p,q)} v_j(p, q), (u, v)). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof of Lemma 3.7.* We first prove that for  $(u, v) \in T(p, q)$

$$\gamma_k(p, q) d_{(p,q)} \theta_k[(u, v)] = (H_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q), (u(\cdot), v(\cdot))) \tag{3.4}$$

as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 d_{(p,q)} G_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q)[(u, v)](x) \cdot G_{2k}(x; p, q) dx \\ &= d_{(p,q)} \theta_k[(u, v)] + \varepsilon_k \cos \theta_k(p, q) \int_0^1 d_{(p,q)} F_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q)[(u, v)](x) \\ & \cdot G_{2k}(x; p, q) dx + \sin \theta_k(p, q) \int_0^1 d_{(p,q)} F_{2k}(\cdot; p, q)[(u, v)](x) \\ & \cdot G_{2k}(x; p, q) dx \\ &= d_{(p,q)} \theta_k[(u, v)] + \varepsilon_k \int_0^1 d_{(p,q)} F_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q)[(u, v)](x) \\ & \cdot F_{2k}(x; p, q) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using  $H(p, q)F_j = \lambda_j F_j$  one gets

$$\begin{aligned} & (d_{(p,q)} F_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q)[(u, v)](\cdot), F_{2k}(\cdot; p, q)) \\ &= -\frac{1}{\gamma_k(p, q)} \left( F_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q), \begin{pmatrix} -v(\cdot) & u(\cdot) \\ u(\cdot) & v(\cdot) \end{pmatrix} F_{2k}(\cdot; p, q) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (3.4) follows from the definition of  $H_{2k-1}$ . To compute  $d_{(p,q)}\theta_k[(u, v)]$  take the derivative of  $0 = G_{2k-1}^{(1)}(0) = \sin \theta_k F_{2k}^{(1)}(0) + \varepsilon_k \cos \theta_k F_{2k-1}^{(1)}(0)$  and use a similar argument as in [Kp, Lemma 6.8] to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & -G_{2k}^{(1)}(0; p, q)d_{(p,q)}\theta_k[(u, v)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_k \cos \theta_k(p, q)F_{2k-1}^{(1)}(0; p, q) \\ & \quad \times \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \frac{1}{v_j(p, q) - \lambda_{2k-1}(p, q)} - \frac{1}{v_j(p, q) - \lambda_{2k}(p, q)} \right) \\ & \quad \cdot (\nabla_{(p,q)} v_j, (u, v)). \end{aligned}$$

In the case where  $v_k(p, q) \in \{\lambda_{2k}(p, q), \lambda_{2k-1}(p, q)\}$  the following result holds.

LEMMA 3.8. *If  $k \in J$  with  $v_k(p, q) \in \{\lambda_{2k}(p, q), \lambda_{2k-1}(p, q)\}$ , then, for  $k' \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,*

$$(H_{2k'-1}(\cdot; p, q), (p_k(\cdot), q_k(\cdot))) = \delta_{k'k}c_k \quad \text{with } c_k \neq 0.$$

The proof of Lemma 3.8 follows as in [Kp, Lemma 6.10], once the following result is proved:

“Every  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{H}^0$  with  $v_k(p, q) \in \{\lambda_{2k}(p, q), \lambda_{2k-1}(p, q)\}$ , for some  $k \in J$ , is the limit of a sequence  $(p_j, q_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\text{Iso}_0(p, q)$  with  $\lambda_{2k-1}(p, q) < v_k(p_j, q_j) < \lambda_{2k}(p, q)$ .”

This result easily follows from Appendix A.

Thus using (3.3) and Lemma 3.8 one gets  $\alpha_{2k-1} = 0$  for every  $k \in J - J_1$  where  $J_1 = \{k \in \mathbb{Z}; \lambda_{2k-1}(p, q) < v_k(p, q) < \lambda_{2k}(p, q)\}$ . We now prove that  $\alpha_{2k-1} = 0$  for  $k \in J_1$ . For that purpose define

$$A_{k',k} = (H_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q), (p_{k'}, q_{k'})), \quad k, k' \in J_1$$

where  $(p_{k'}, q_{k'})$  is given by (3.2). Define

$$B_{k',k} = A_{k',k} - A_{k',k}\delta_{k'k}$$

$$C_{k',k} = A_{k',k}\delta_{k',k}$$

where  $\delta_{k',k}$  denotes the Kronecker delta function.

Let  $A$  (resp.  $B, C$ ) be the linear operator associated with the matrix  $(A_{k',k})_{(k',k) \in J_1 \times J_1}$  (resp.  $(B_{k'k}), (C_{k'k})$ ). Then  $A$  (resp.  $B, C$ )  $\in \mathcal{B}(l^2(J_1))$  has the following properties.

LEMMA 3.9.

- (i)  $B$  is of trace class.
- (ii)  $C$  is invertible with a bounded inverse.
- (iii)  $A$  is one-to-one.

It then follows that  $\alpha_{2k-1} = 0$  for  $k \in J_1$  since

$$\sum_{k \in J_1} \alpha_{2k-1}(H_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q), (p_k, q_k)) = \sum_{k \in J_1} \alpha_{2k-1} A_{kk'}, \quad k' \in J_1.$$

*Proof of Lemma 3.9.* Use [Gre, part II Chap 3 Th. 5] to conclude that

$$(\nabla_{p,q} v_k, (p_k, q_k)) = \delta_{kk'}(Z_2(1, v_k) - Y_1(1, v_k)).$$

From Lemma 3.7, it follows that

$$A_{k',k} = \frac{1}{2} (G_{2k}^{(1)}(0))^{-1} \varepsilon_k \cos \theta_k(p, q) F_{2k-1}^{(1)}(0; p, q) (Z_2(1, v_k) - Y_1(1, v_k)) \cdot \frac{\lambda_{2k}(p, q) - \lambda_{2k-1}(p, q)}{(v_k(p, q) - \lambda_{2k-1}(p, q))(\lambda_{2k}(p, q) - v_k(p, q))}. \tag{3.5}$$

Moreover as we have already observed

$$(G_{2k}^{(1)}(0; p, q))^{-1} = 1 + l^2(k), \quad G_{2k-1}^{(1)}(0; p, q) = l^2(k)$$

as well as  $\cos^2 \theta_k = F_{2k}^{(1)}(0)^2 / (F_{2k}^{(1)}(0)^2 + F_{2k-1}^{(1)}(0)^2)$ , we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\cos \theta_k(p, q) F_{2k-1}^{(1)}(0; p, q)| \\ &= \frac{|F_{2k}^{(1)}(0; p, q) F_{2k-1}^{(1)}(0; p, q)|}{(F_{2k}^{(1)}(0; p, q)^2 + F_{2k-1}^{(1)}(0; p, q)^2)^{1/2}} \\ &= \frac{|F_{2k}^{(1)}(0; p, q) F_{2k-1}^{(1)}(0; p, q)|}{(G_{2k}^{(1)}(0; p, q)^2 + G_{2k-1}^{(1)}(0; p, q)^2)^{1/2}} \\ &= |F_{2k}^{(1)}(0; p, q) F_{2k-1}^{(1)}(0; p, q)| (1 + l^2(k)) \\ &= \left( -\frac{Y_2(1, \lambda_{2k}(p, q))}{\Delta(\lambda_{2k}(p, q))} \right)^{1/2} \left( -\frac{Y_2(1, \lambda_{2k-1}(p, q))}{\Delta(\lambda_{2k-1}(p, q))} \right)^{1/2} (1 + l^2(k)) \end{aligned}$$

(see the beginning of section 2). Using Lemma B.3 (Appendix B) we then obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & |\cos \theta_k(p, q) F_{2k-1}^{(1)}(0; p, q)| \\ &= \frac{((\lambda_{2k}(p, q) - v_k(p, q))^{1/2} (v_k(p, q) - \lambda_{2k-1}(p, q))^{1/2})}{\lambda_{2k}(p, q) - \lambda_{2k-1}(p, q)} (1 + l^2(k)). \end{aligned}$$

Further (cf. [Gre, Part II, Ch. 3, Th. 5])

$$\begin{aligned} & |Z_2(1, v_{k'}(p, q)) - Y_1(1, v_{k'}(p, q))| \\ &= (\Delta^2(v_{k'}(p, q)) - 4)^{1/2} \\ &= 2(\lambda_{2k}(p, q) - v_{k'}(p, q))^{1/2}(v_{k'}(p, q) - \lambda_{2k'-1}(p, q))^{1/2}(1 + l^2(k')) \end{aligned}$$

where we used for the last equality the representation of  $\Delta^2 - 4$  by an infinite product (cf. Appendix B). Thus, from (3.5), one obtains that  $|A_{k'k}|$  is given by

$$\frac{(\lambda_{2k'} - v_{k'})^{1/2}(v_{k'} - \lambda_{2k'-1})^{1/2}(\lambda_{2k} - v_k)^{1/2}(v_k - \lambda_{2k-1})^{1/2}}{(v_{k'} - \lambda_{2k-1})(\lambda_{2k} - v_{k'})} (1 + l^2(k))(1 + l^2(k')). \tag{3.6}$$

From the asymptotic behavior of the  $\lambda_k$ 's and  $v_k$ 's it follows that

$$B_{k',k} = \frac{a_{k'}b_k}{(k - k')^2}$$

where  $(a_{k'})_{k' \in J_1}$  and  $(b_k)_{k \in J_1}$  are in  $l^2(J_1)$ . To prove (i) one must show that

$$\sum_{\substack{k, k' \in J_1 \\ k \neq k'}} |B_{k',k}| < +\infty.$$

By well known properties of the convolution this follows from the estimate

$$\sum_{\substack{k, k' \in J_1 \\ k \neq k'}} |B_{k',k}| \leq \sum_{k' \in J_1} |a_{k'}| \sum_{\substack{k \in J_1 \\ k \neq k'}} \frac{|b_k|}{(k - k')^2}.$$

From (3.6) we learn that

$$|A_{kk}| = 1 + l^2(k).$$

Furthermore  $A_{kk}$  is different from zero for any  $k \in J_1$ . Thus (ii) follows.

Towards (iii) we first observe that  $C^{-1}A = \text{Id} + C^{-1}B$  is a Fredholm operator of index zero. Thus in order to prove the first step we must show that  $C^{-1}A$  is one to one, or equivalently, that the Fredholm determinant of  $C^{-1}A$  is different from zero. Let  $\det C^{-1}A$  be this Fredholm determinant which is a limit of determinants of finite matrices, i.e.,  $\det C^{-1}A = \lim_{J_2 \rightarrow J_1} \det(C^{-1}A)_{J_2}$  where  $(C^{-1}A)_{J_2}$  denotes the  $J_2 \times J_2$  matrix  $(C^{-1}A)_{k,k' \in J_2}$  with  $J_2$  a finite subset of  $J_1$ . As

$C^{-1}$  is diagonal, one has

$$\det(C^{-1}A)_{J_2} = \frac{\det A_{J_2}}{\det C_{J_2}} = \det \left( \frac{1}{v_{k'} - \lambda_{2k-1}} - \frac{1}{v_{k'} - \lambda_{2k}} \right)_{k', k \in J_2} \cdot \left[ \prod_{k \in J_2} \left( \frac{1}{v_k - \lambda_{2k-1}} - \frac{1}{v_k - \lambda_{2k}} \right) \right]^{-1}.$$

As in [Kp] one considers the sequence  $x = (x_k)_{k \in J_2}$  with  $x_k \in \{-\lambda_{2k-1}, -\lambda_{2k}\}$  and  $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_k)_{k \in J_2}$  with  $\varepsilon_k = 0$  if  $x_k = -\lambda_{2k-1}$  and  $\varepsilon_k = 1$  if  $x_k = -\lambda_{2k}$ . From [P-S p. 98] (cf. also [Mck-Tru, p. 207]) it follows that

$$\det \left( \frac{1}{v_{k'} - \lambda_{2k-1}} - \frac{1}{v_{k'} - \lambda_{2k}} \right)_{k', k \in J_2} = \sum_x (-1)^{|\varepsilon|} \det \left( \frac{1}{v_{k'} + x_k} \right)_{k', k \in J_2} = \sum_x (-1)^{|\varepsilon|} \frac{\prod_{k' > k} (v_{k'} - v_k) \prod_{k' > k} (x_{k'} - x_k)}{\prod_{k, k'} (x_k + v_{k'})}$$

where  $|\varepsilon| = \sum_{k \in J_2} \varepsilon_k$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \det \left( \frac{1}{v_{k'} - \lambda_{2k-1}} - \frac{1}{v_{k'} - \lambda_{2k}} \right)_{k', k \in J_2} \\ &= \sum_x \left( \prod_{k' \in J_2} \frac{1}{|v_{k'} + x_{k'}|} \right) \prod_{k' \in J_2} \prod_{\substack{k > k' \\ k \in J_2}} \left( 1 - \frac{x_k + v_k}{x_k + v_{k'}} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{x_k + v_k}{x_{k'} + v_k} \right) \\ &= \sum_x \left( \prod_{k' \in J_2} \frac{1}{|v_{k'} + x_{k'}|} \right) \prod_{\substack{k, k' \in J_2 \\ k > k'}} \left( 1 - \frac{(x_k + v_k)(x_{k'} + v_{k'})}{(v_{k'} + x_k)(x_{k'} + v_k)} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Note that

$$1 - D_{k, k'} = 1 - \frac{(x_k + v_k)(x_{k'} + v_{k'})}{(x_k + v_{k'})(x_{k'} + v_k)} > 0 \quad \text{for } k \neq k'.$$

Furthermore  $D_{kk'}$  is of the form

$$D_{k, k'} = \frac{a_k b_{k'}}{(k - k')^2}$$

with  $(a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  and  $(b_{k'})_{k' \in \mathbb{Z}}$  in  $l^2(\mathbb{Z})$ . Thus

$$\sum_{\substack{k, k' \in \mathbb{Z} \\ k \neq k'}} D_{k, k'} < \infty$$

and there exists an integer  $N > 0$  independent of  $J_2$  such that

$$\Sigma_N = \sum_{\substack{|k|, |k'| \geq N \\ k \neq k' \in J_2}} D_{k, k'} < \frac{1}{2}.$$

One deduces that

$$\prod_{\substack{k, k' \in J_2 \\ k \neq k' \\ |k|, |k'| \geq N}} (1 - D_{k, k'}) \geq 1 - \sum_{j \geq 1} (\Sigma_N)^j = K' > 0.$$

On the other hand one has

$$\prod_{\substack{k, k' \in J_2 \\ k > k' \\ |k|, |k'| < N}} (1 - D_{k, k'}) \geq K'' > 0.$$

These two estimates lead to

$$\prod_{\substack{k, k' \in J_2 \\ k > k'}} (1 - D_{k, k'}) \geq K = K'K'' > 0 \tag{3.8}$$

where  $K$  does not depend on the finite subset  $J_2$  of  $J_1$ . Moreover

$$\det C_{J_2} = \sum_x \prod_{k \in J_2} \frac{1}{|v_k + x_k|}.$$

This implies together with (3.7) and (3.8) that  $\det(C^{-1}A)_{J_2} \geq K$  uniformly with respect to  $J_2 \subset J_1$ . Thus  $\det C^{-1}A \geq K > 0$  and  $A$  is one-to-one.

### 3.2. The second step

We must show that  $\alpha_{2k} = \alpha_{2k-1} = 0$  for every  $k \notin J$ .

The main ingredient of the proof is the following

LEMMA 3.10. (i)  $(H_{2k}(\cdot; p, q), H_{2k'}(\cdot; p, q)^\perp) = 0$ ,  $k, k' \in \mathbb{Z}$ .  
 (ii) For  $k \notin J$  and  $k' \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$(H_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q), H_{2k'}(\cdot; p, q)^\perp) = -\frac{1}{2} \delta_{kk'} W(F_{2k}, F_{2k-1})(0).$$

*Proof of Lemma 3.10.* The proof is the same as in [Gre-Gui, Th. 1.7, assertions (i) and (ii)].

To prove Step 2 we argue as follows. For  $k' \notin J$  one deduces from the first step and Lemma 3.10 that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{2k} (H_{2k}(\cdot; p, q), H_{2k}(\cdot; p, q)^\perp) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k \notin J} \alpha_{2k-1} (H_{2k-1}(\cdot; p, q), H_{2k}(\cdot; p, q)^\perp) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{2k'-1} W(F_{2k'}, F_{2k'-1})(0). \end{aligned}$$

As  $W(F_{2k'}, F_{2k'-1})(0) \neq 0$  (Lemma 2.1) we conclude that  $\alpha_{2k'-1} = 0$  for every  $k' \in J$ .

Next, again for  $k' \notin J$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{2k} (H_{2k}(\cdot; p, q), H_{2k'-1}(\cdot; p, q)^\perp) \\ &= -\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{2k} (H_{2k'-1}(\cdot; p, q), H_{2k}(\cdot; p, q)^\perp) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{2k'} W(F_{2k'}, F_{2k'-1})(0) \end{aligned}$$

and therefore  $\alpha_{2k'} = 0$  for  $k' \notin J$ . Thus step 2 is proved.

### 3.3. The third step

Here we show that  $\alpha_{2k} = 0$  for every  $k \in J$ . One already knows that

$$\sum_{k \in J} \alpha_{2k} H_{2k}(\cdot; p, q) = 0. \tag{3.9}$$

Thus it suffices to show that  $\{H_{2k}(\cdot; p, q)\}_{k \in J}$  is linearly independent. Note that  $H_{2k}(x; T_t p, T_t q) = H_{2k}(x + t; p, q)$ . Therefore it suffices to prove that  $(H_{2k}(\cdot; T_t p, T_t q))_{k \in J}$  is linearly independent for some  $t$ . The following result is easy to prove.

**LEMMA 3.11.** *There exists  $t_0$  such that for all  $k \in J$*

$$\lambda_{2k-1}(p, q) < \nu_k(T_{t_0} p, T_{t_0} q) < \lambda_{2k}(p, q).$$

To make notation easier, we assume that  $t_0 = 0$ .

It remains to prove that  $\alpha_{2k} = 0$  for  $k \in J_1 = \{k \in \mathbb{Z}; \lambda_{2k-1}(p, q) < v_k(p, q) < \lambda_{2k}(p, q)\}$ .

Define

$$A_{k',k} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\frac{\partial Y_2}{\partial \lambda}(1, v_k)(\lambda_{2k} - \lambda_{2k-1})}{(\lambda_{2k} - v_k)^{1/2}(v_k - \lambda_{2k-1})^{1/2}} (H_{2k'}(\cdot; p, q)^\perp, \nabla_{(p,q)} v_k), \quad k, k' \in J_1.$$

A straightforward computation using [Gre-Gui] and [Gre] leads to

$$A_{k',k} = \frac{(\Delta(v_k)^2 - 4)^{1/2}(\lambda_{2k} - \lambda_{2k-1})}{2(\lambda_{2k} - v_k)^{1/2}(v_k - \lambda_{2k-1})^{1/2}} \cdot \left( \frac{F_{2k'-1}^{(1)}(0)^2 F_{2k'-1}^{(2)}(0)^2}{v_k - \lambda_{2k'-1}} - \frac{F_{2k'}^{(1)}(0)^2 F_{2k'}^{(2)}(0)^2}{v_k - \lambda_{2k'}} \right). \tag{3.10}$$

Define

$$B_{k',k} = A_{k',k} - A_{k',k} \delta_{k'k}$$

$$C_{k',k} = A_{k',k} \delta_{k'k}.$$

Let  $A$  (resp.  $B, C$ ) denote the linear operator associated with the matrix  $(A_{k',k})_{(k',k) \in J_1 \times J_1}$  (resp.  $(B_{k',k}), (C_{k',k})$ ). Then  $A$  (resp.  $B, C$ )  $\in \mathcal{B}(l^2(J_1))$ . The proof of the third step follows from

LEMMA 3.12.

- (i)  $B$  is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator.
- (ii)  $C$  is invertible with a bounded inverse.
- (iii)  $A$  is one-to-one.

*Proof of Lemma 3.12.* Clearly

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{2k'-1}^{(1)}(0)F_{2k'-1}^{(2)}(0) + F_{2k'}^{(1)}(0)F_{2k'}^{(2)}(0) \\ &= G_{2k'-1}^{(1)}(0)G_{2k'-1}^{(2)}(0) + G_{2k'}^{(1)}(0)G_{2k'}^{(2)}(0) = l^2(k'). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(F_{2k'-1}^{(1)}(0)F_{2k'-1}^{(2)}(0))^2 = (F_{2k'}^{(1)}(0)F_{2k'}^{(2)}(0))^2 + l^2(k')$$

and  $A_{k',k}$  is given by

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\lambda_{2k} - \lambda_{2k-1})(\Delta(v_k)^2 - 4)^{1/2}}{(\lambda_{2k} - v_k)^{1/2}(v_k - \lambda_{2k-1})^{1/2}} \left[ (F_{2k'}^{(1)}(0)F_{2k'}^{(2)}(0))^2 \right. \\ \left. \times \left( \frac{1 + l^2(k')}{v_k - \lambda_{2k'-1}} - \frac{1}{v_k - \lambda_{2k'}} \right) + \frac{l^2(k')}{v_k - \lambda_{2k'-1}} \right]. \quad (3.11)$$

Using formulas expressing the  $F_k$ 's in terms of  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  (see the beginning of Section 2) and Appendix B one shows that

$$(F_{2k'}^{(1)}(0)F_{2k'}^{(2)}(0))^2 = - \frac{Y_2(1, \lambda_{2k'})Z_1(1, \lambda_{2k'})}{(\Delta(\lambda_{2k'}))^2} \\ = \frac{(\lambda_{2k'} - v_k)(\lambda_{2k'} - \mu_{k'})}{(\lambda_{2k'} - \lambda_{2k'-1})^2} (1 + l^2(k')).$$

Further

$$(\Delta(v_k)^2 - 4)^{1/2} = 2(\lambda_{2k} - v_k)^{1/2}(v_k - \lambda_{2k-1})^{1/2}(1 + l^2(k))$$

and hence

$$A_{k',k} = \frac{\lambda_{2k} - \lambda_{2k-1}}{(\lambda_{2k'} - \lambda_{2k'-1})^2} (\lambda_{2k'} - v_k)(\lambda_{2k'} - \mu_{k'}) \\ \times \left\{ \frac{\lambda_{2k'} - \lambda_{2k'-1}}{(\lambda_{2k} - v_k)(v_k - \lambda_{2k'-1})} + \frac{l^2(k')}{v_k - \lambda_{2k'-1}} \right\} (1 + l^2(k))(1 + l^2(k')) \\ + \frac{\lambda_{2k} - \lambda_{2k-1}}{v_k - \lambda_{2k'-1}} l^2(k').$$

It follows from the asymptotic behavior of  $\lambda_k$ ,  $\mu_k$  and  $v_k$  for large  $|k|$  that for  $k' \neq k$

$$|A_{k',k}| \leq \left( \frac{(\lambda_{2k} - \lambda_{2k-1})(\lambda_{2k'} - \lambda_{2k'-1})}{(k - k')^2 \pi^2} + \frac{(\lambda_{2k} - \lambda_{2k-1})}{|k' - k| \pi} l^2(k') \right) \\ \times (1 + l^2(k))(1 + l^2(k')).$$

Thus, for  $k' \neq k$ , we obtain

$$|A_{k',k}| \leq \frac{l^2(k)l^2(k')}{(k - k')^2} + \frac{l^2(k)l^2(k')}{|k - k'|} (1 + l^2(k))$$

and therefore

$$\sum_{k', k \in J_1} |B_{k', k}|^2 = \sum_{\substack{k', k \in J_1 \\ k' \neq k}} |A_{k', k}|^2 < \infty.$$

Thus (i) is proved.

To show (ii) observe that

$$\frac{(F_{2k-1}^{(1)}(0)F_{2k-1}^{(2)}(0))^2}{v_k - \lambda_{2k-1}} - \frac{(F_{2k}^{(1)}(0)F_{2k}^{(2)}(0))^2}{v_k - \lambda_{2k}} = \frac{1}{\lambda_{2k} - \lambda_{2k-1}} (1 + l^2(k)).$$

Hence

$$A_{k,k} = 1 + l^2(k).$$

As  $A_{kk}$  is different from zero for every  $k \in J_1$ , (ii) follows.

In order to prove (iii) we must show that  $C^{-1}A$  is one-to-one. Lemma 3.10 shows that  $C^{-1}A = \text{Id} + C^{-1}B$  where  $C^{-1}B$  is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. In order to show that  $C^{-1}A$  is one-to-one it suffices to prove that the regularized determinant  $\det_2 C^{-1}A$  is different from zero (see [Sim] for the definition and properties of  $\det_2$ ). As in the first step one estimates  $\det_2 C^{-1}A$  by the regularized determinants of finite matrices  $(C^{-1}A)_{J'}$  associated with a finite subset  $J'$  of  $J_1$ .

First, recall that

$$\det_2(C^{-1}A)_{J'} = \det(C^{-1}A)_{J'} e^{-\text{Tr}(C^{-1}B)_{J'}} = \det(C^{-1}A)_{J'}$$

because  $\text{Tr}(C^{-1}B)_{J'} = 0$  by the definition of  $B$ . Further

$$\begin{aligned} \det(C^{-1}A)_{J'} &= \det \left( \frac{(F_{2k'-1}^{(1)}(0)F_{2k'-1}^{(2)}(0))^2}{v_k - \lambda_{2k'-1}} + \frac{(F_{2k}^{(1)}(0)F_{2k}^{(2)}(0))^2}{\lambda_{2k} - v_k} \right)_{(k', k) \in J' \times J'} \\ &\cdot \prod_{k \in J'} \left( \frac{(F_{2k-1}^{(1)}(0)F_{2k-1}^{(2)}(0))^2}{v_k - \lambda_{2k-1}} + \frac{(F_{2k}^{(1)}(0)F_{2k}^{(2)}(0))^2}{\lambda_{2k} - v_k} \right)^{-1} \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

and, similar as above,

$$\begin{aligned} &\det \left( \frac{(F_{2k'-1}^{(1)}(0)F_{2k'-1}^{(2)}(0))^2}{v_k - \lambda_{2k'-1}} + \frac{(F_{2k}^{(1)}(0)F_{2k}^{(2)}(0))^2}{\lambda_{2k} - v_k} \right)_{k', k \in J' \times J'} \\ &= \sum_x (-1)^{|x|} \prod_{x_k = -\lambda_{2k}} (F_{2k}^{(1)}(0)F_{2k}^{(2)}(0))^2 \prod_{x_k = -\lambda_{2k-1}} (F_{2k-1}^{(1)}(0)F_{2k-1}^{(2)}(0))^2 \\ &\cdot \det \left( \frac{1}{v_k + x_{k'}} \right)_{(k', k) \in J' \times J'} \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

where  $x = (x_k)_{k \in J'}$ ,  $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_k)_{k \in J'}$  and  $|\varepsilon|$  are defined as in the first step.

For  $\det C_{J'}$ , we obtain the following expression

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{k \in J'} \left( \frac{(F_{2k-1}^{(1)}(0)F_{2k-1}^{(2)}(0))^2}{v_k - \lambda_{2k-1}} + \frac{(F_{2k}^{(1)}(0)F_{2k}^{(2)}(0))^2}{\lambda_{2k} - v_k} \right) \\ &= \sum_x (-1)^{|\varepsilon|} \prod_{x_k = -\lambda_{2k}} (F_{2k}^{(1)}(0)F_{2k}^{(2)}(0))^2 \prod_{x_k = -\lambda_{2k-1}} (F_{2k-1}^{(1)}(0)F_{2k-1}^{(2)}(0))^2 \prod_{k \in J'} \frac{1}{v_k + x_k}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

As in the first step using (3.12)–(3.14) we conclude

$$\det(C^{-1}A)_{J'} = \det_2(C^{-1}A)_{J'} \geq K > 0$$

for every finite subset  $J' \subset J_1$ , where  $K$  is independent of  $J'$ . Therefore

$$\det_2 C^{-1}A \geq K > 0.$$

Theorem 3.6 can be improved in the case where  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{H}^1$ .

**THEOREM 3.13.** For  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{H}^1$   $d_{(p,q)}\Phi$  is a linear isomorphism from  $\mathcal{H}^1$  onto  $\mathcal{M}^1$ .

For this purpose we need the following

**LEMMA 3.14.** If  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{H}^1$  then

$$\begin{aligned} G_{2k-1}(x) &= \begin{pmatrix} \sin k\pi x \\ \cos k\pi x \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2\pi k} \begin{pmatrix} -q(x) \sin k\pi x + \cos k\pi x (p(x) - p(0)) \\ \sin k\pi x (p(0) + p(x)) + q(x) \cos k\pi x \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2k\pi} \left( \int_0^x (p(t)^2 + q(t)^2) dt - x \int_0^1 (p(t)^2 + q(t)^2) dt \right) \\ &\times \begin{pmatrix} -\cos k\pi x \\ \sin k\pi x \end{pmatrix} + l_2^1(k) \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} G_{2k}(x) &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos k\pi x \\ -\sin k\pi x \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2\pi k} \begin{pmatrix} (p(0) - p(x)) \sin k\pi x - q(x) \cos k\pi x \\ -q(x) \sin k\pi x + (p(x) + p(0)) \cos k\pi x \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2k\pi} \left( \int_0^x (p(t)^2 + q(t)^2) dt - x \int_0^1 (p(t)^2 + q(t)^2) dt \right) \\ &\times \begin{pmatrix} \sin k\pi x \\ \cos k\pi x \end{pmatrix} + l_1^2(k) \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

where the error terms are uniformly bounded in  $0 \leq x \leq 1$  and with respect to  $(p, q)$  in any bounded set of  $\mathcal{H}^1$ .

*Proof of Lemma 3.14.* From [Gre-Gui; Section 1] we get for  $j \in \{2k - 1, 2k\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_1(x, \lambda_j) &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos k\pi x \\ -\sin k\pi x \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2k\pi} \begin{pmatrix} -(p(x) + p(0)) \sin k\pi x + (q(0) - q(x)) \cos k\pi x \\ -(q(x) + q(0)) \sin k\pi x + (p(x) - p(0)) \cos k\pi x \end{pmatrix} \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2k\pi} \left( \int_0^x (p(t)^2 + q(t)^2) dt - x(\|p\|^2 + \|q\|^2) \right) \begin{pmatrix} \sin k\pi x \\ \cos k\pi x \end{pmatrix} + I_1^2(k)
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.17}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_2(x, \lambda_j) &= \begin{pmatrix} \sin k\pi x \\ \cos k\pi x \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2k\pi} \begin{pmatrix} (p(x) - p(0)) \cos k\pi x - (q(x) + q(0)) \sin k\pi x \\ (q(x) - q(0)) \cos k\pi x + (p(x) + p(0)) \sin k\pi x \end{pmatrix} \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2k\pi} \left( \int_0^x (p(t)^2 + q(t)^2) dt - x(\|p\|^2 + \|q\|^2) \right) \\
 &\quad \times \begin{pmatrix} -\cos 2k\pi x \\ \sin 2k\pi x \end{pmatrix} + I_1^2(k)
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.18}$$

Then for  $j \in \{2k - 1, 2k\}$  and for  $k \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_1(0, \lambda_j) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad F_1(1, \lambda_j) = \begin{pmatrix} (-1)^k \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + I_1^2(k), \\
 \|F_1(\cdot, \lambda_j)\|_{L^2((0,1))^2} &= 1 + \frac{q(0)}{k\pi} + I_1^2(k)
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.19}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_2(0, \lambda_j) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad F_2(1, \lambda_j) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (-1)^k \end{pmatrix} + I_1^2(k), \\
 \|F_2(\cdot, \lambda_j)\|_{L^2((0,1))^2} &= 1 - \frac{q(0)}{k\pi} + I_1^2(k).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.20}$$

Further

$$(F_1(\cdot, \lambda_j), F_2(\cdot, \lambda_j))_{L^2((0,1))^2} = -\frac{p(0)}{k\pi} + I_1^2(k).
 \tag{3.21}$$

Following the proof of Lemma 3.4 we now obtain for  $j \in \{2k - 1, 2k\}$

$$I_k(\cdot) = \frac{F_1(\cdot, \lambda_j)}{\|F_1(\cdot, \lambda_j)\|_{L^2([0,1])^2}} + I_1^2(k) \tag{3.22}$$

$$G_{2k-1}(\cdot) = \frac{F_2(\cdot, \lambda_j)}{\|F_2(\cdot, \lambda_j)\|_{L^2([0,1])^2}} + I_1^2(k). \tag{3.23}$$

The error terms are in  $l_1^2(\mathbb{Z})$  because, for  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{H}^1$ ,  $(\gamma_k(p, q))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \in l_1^2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

Define for  $|k|$  sufficiently large

$$L_k(\cdot) = \frac{\|F_1(\cdot, \lambda_{2k-1})\| I_k(\cdot) + (p(0)/k\pi) G_{2k-1}(\cdot)}{\|\|F_1(\cdot, \lambda_{2k-1})\| I_k(\cdot) + (p(0)/k\pi) G_{2k-1}(\cdot)\|}. \tag{3.24}$$

Thus  $L_k(\cdot) \in E_k(p, q)$  and  $\|L_k(\cdot)\|_{L^2([0,1])^2} = 1$ . It follows from (3.19), (3.21), (3.22) and (3.24) that

$$(G_{2k-1}(\cdot), L_k(\cdot))_{L^2([0,1])^2} = I_1^2(k) \tag{3.25}$$

for  $|k|$  sufficiently large.

Thus for  $|k|$  sufficiently large, there exist  $\alpha_k$  and  $\beta_k$  such that

$$G_{2k}(\cdot) = \alpha_k L_k(\cdot) + \beta_k G_{2k-1}(\cdot).$$

From  $\|G_{2k}(\cdot)\| = 1$  and  $(G_{2k}(\cdot), G_{2k-1}(\cdot)) = 0$  we deduce that

$$1 = \alpha_k^2 + \beta_k^2 + 2\alpha_k\beta_k(L_k(\cdot), G_{2k-1}(\cdot))$$

and

$$0 = \alpha_k(L_k(\cdot), G_{2k}(\cdot)) + \beta_k.$$

It then follows from (3.25) that

$$\beta_k = I_1^2(k) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_k = 1 + I_1^4(k).$$

We then obtain

$$G_{2k}(\cdot) = L_k(\cdot) + I_1^2(k). \tag{3.26}$$

Finally (3.15) and (3.16) are deduced from (3.17)–(3.23) and (3.26) and Lemma 3.14 is proved.

We then obtain

LEMMA 3.15. *If  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{H}^1$  and  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{H}^0$  then*

$$d_{(p,q)}\Psi_{2k}[(u, v)] = - \int_0^1 \sin 2k\pi x v(x) dx + \int_0^1 \cos 2k\pi x u(x) dx + l_1^2(k)$$

$$d_{(p,q)}\Psi_{2k-1}[(u, v)] = \int_0^1 \cos 2k\pi x v(x) dx + \int_0^1 \sin 2k\pi x u(x) dx + l_1^2(k)$$

where the error terms are uniform with respect to  $(u, v)$  on any bounded set of  $\mathcal{H}^0$ .

*Proof of Lemma 3.15.* As  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{H}^1$ , the gap sequence  $(\gamma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is in  $l_1^2(\mathbb{Z})$ . Lemma 3.15 then follows from Theorem 3.1 and the asymptotic estimates (3.15) and (3.16).

*Proof of Theorem 3.13.* It follows from Theorem 3.6 that  $d_{(p,q)}\Phi$  is one-to-one. To prove that  $d_{(p,q)}\Phi$  is onto it is equivalent to show that the linear map  $d_{(p,q)}\Psi$  from  $\mathcal{H}^1$  into  $l_1^2(\mathbb{Z}) \times l_1^2(\mathbb{Z})$  given by

$$d_{(p,q)}\Psi[(u, v)] = (d_{(p,q)}\Psi_{2k}[(u, v)], d_{(p,q)}\Psi_{2k-1}[(u, v)])_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}.$$

is onto.

Let  $(a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  and  $(b_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be in  $l_1^2(\mathbb{Z})$ . From Theorem 3.6 it follows that there exist  $u(\cdot)$  and  $v(\cdot)$  in  $L^2([0, 1])$  such that

$$d_{(p,q)}\Psi[(u, v)] = (a_k, b_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}.$$

It is to prove that  $(u, v)$  is in  $\mathcal{H}^1$ . Lemma 3.15 shows that each of the sequences

$$\left( \int_0^1 \cos 2n\pi x v(x) dx \right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad \left( \int_0^1 \cos 2n\pi x u(x) dx \right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

$$\left( \int_0^1 \sin 2n\pi x v(x) dx \right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \quad \text{and} \quad \left( \int_0^1 \sin 2n\pi x u(x) dx \right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

are in  $l_1^2(\mathbb{N})$ . Then, as in the proof of Theorem I.18 of [Gre-Gui], this implies that  $u(\cdot)$  and  $v(\cdot)$  are in  $H^1([0, 1])$  with  $u(1) - u(0) = v(1) - v(0) = 0$ .

## Appendix A

In this appendix we generalize Theorem 3.7 of [Gre-Gui].

Let  $\pi(\cdot, \cdot)$  be the map from  $\mathcal{H}^0$  into  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}} \times \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  defined by

$$\pi(p, q) = ((\mu_k(p, q))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\chi_k(p, q))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}})$$

where the  $\mu_k(p, q)$ 's are the zeroes of the map  $\lambda \rightarrow Z_1(1, \lambda; p, q)$  and  $\chi_k(p, q) = \log\{(-1)^k Y_1(1, \mu_k(p, q))\}$ . Let for  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{H}^0$

$$\mathcal{F}_{(p,q)} = \left\{ ((\xi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\eta_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}) \in \left( \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} [\lambda_{2k-1}(p, q), \lambda_{2k}(p, q)] \right) \times \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}; \right. \\ \left. \Delta(\xi_k; p, q) = 2(-1)^k \cosh \eta_k, k \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

**THEOREM A.1.** *Suppose  $(p_0, q_0) \in \mathcal{H}^0$ . Then  $\pi(\cdot, \cdot)$  is a homeomorphism from  $\text{Iso}_0(p_0, q_0)$  onto  $\mathcal{F}_{(p_0, q_0)}$ .*

In [Gre-Gui] Theorem A.1 is proved for  $(p_0, q_0) \in \mathcal{H}^1$  using the isospectral flows ( $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ )

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} p(\cdot, t) \\ q(\cdot, t) \end{pmatrix} = V_k(p(\cdot, t), q(\cdot, t)) \\ p(x, 0) = p_0(x) \quad \text{and} \quad q(x, 0) = q_0(x) \tag{A.1}$$

where

$$V_k(p(\cdot), q(\cdot)) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial q(\cdot)}(\lambda; p(\cdot), q(\cdot))|_{\lambda = \mu_k(p(\cdot), q(\cdot))} \\ - \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial p(\cdot)}(\lambda; p(\cdot), q(\cdot))|_{\lambda = \mu_k(p(\cdot), q(\cdot))} \end{pmatrix}.$$

According to [Gre-Gui], the ordinary differential equation (A.1) has a unique solution in  $H^1([-t_0, t_0], \mathcal{H}^0)$  for initial values in  $\mathcal{H}^0$  with  $t_0 > 0$  chosen sufficiently small, and for this solution to exist globally in  $t$ , it suffices to prove the following

**LEMMA A.2.** *Let  $(p(\cdot, t), q(\cdot, t))$  be a solution of (A.1) defined on a compact interval  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 \in I$ , in  $H^1(I; \mathcal{H}^0)$ . Then*

$$\|p(\cdot, t), q(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathcal{H}^0} = \|p_0(\cdot), q_0(\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{H}^0}, \quad t \in I.$$

**REMARK A.3.** If the potentials  $(p_0(\cdot), q_0(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{H}^1$ , it is easy to show that  $\|(p(\cdot, t), q(\cdot, t))\|_{\mathcal{H}^0}$  is independent of  $t$  as this quantity is a spectral invariant appearing in the asymptotic expansion of the  $\lambda_k$ 's (cf. [Gre-Gui]).

*Proof of Lemma A.2.* Define  $u(x, t) = (p(x, t), q(x, t))$  and  $u_0(x) = (p_0(x), q_0(x))$ . Choose a sequence  $(u_0^{(n)})_{n \geq 0}$  in  $\mathcal{H}^1$  which converges to  $u_0$  in  $\mathcal{H}^0$ . According to [Gre-Gui] there exists a unique solution  $u^{(n)}(x, t)$  of (A.1) in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{H}^1)$ . Moreover these solutions satisfy for a.e  $t$ :

$$\left\| \frac{d}{dt} u^{(n)}(\cdot, t) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}^0} \leq \beta(\|u^{(n)}(\cdot, 0)\|_{\mathcal{H}^0})$$

where  $\beta(\cdot)$  is a positive function on  $\mathbb{R}$  which is independent of  $n$  and  $t$ . (See [Gre; Thm. 2, p. 132]).

Thus  $(u^{(n)})_{n \geq 0}$  is a bounded sequence in  $H^1(I; \mathcal{H}^0)$ . Hence there exists a subsequence, again denoted by  $(u^{(n)})_{n \geq 0}$ , which converges weakly in  $H^1(I, \mathcal{H}^0)$  to a function  $v \in H^1(I; \mathcal{H}^0)$ , i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d^j}{dt^j} u^{(n)} = \frac{d^j v}{dt^j} \text{ weakly in } L^2(I, \mathcal{H}^0) \text{ for } j = 0, 1.$$

Furthermore it follows from [Gre, Part II, Chap. 3, Th. 2] and [Pö-Tru] that the vector fields  $V_k$  are compact on  $\mathcal{H}^0$ . Thus  $(V_k(u^{(n)}))_{n \geq 1}$  converges strongly to  $V_k(v)$  in  $L^2(I, \mathcal{H}^0)$ . Hence

$$\frac{dv}{dt} = V_k(v) \text{ in } L^2(I, \mathcal{H}^0). \tag{A.2}$$

The trace theorem guarantees the weak-convergence of  $(u^{(n)}(\cdot, 0))_{n \geq 0}$  weakly in  $\mathcal{H}^0$  to  $v(\cdot, 0)$  as  $n$  tends to infinity and  $(u^{(n)}(\cdot, 0))_{n \geq 0} = (u_0^{(n)}(\cdot))_{n \geq 0}$  converges to  $u_0(\cdot)$  strongly in  $\mathcal{H}^0$ . Thus  $v(x, 0) = u_0(x)$  for a.e.  $x$  in  $[0, 1]$ .

By the uniqueness of the solution to (A.1) we get  $u(x, t) = v(x, t)$  for a.e.  $x \in [0, 1]$  and for every  $t \in I$ . Since  $(u^{(n)}(\cdot, t))_{n \geq 0}$  converges to  $u(\cdot, t)$  weakly in  $\mathcal{H}^0$  and  $\left(\frac{du^{(n)}}{dt}(\cdot, t)\right)_{n \geq 0}$  converges to  $\frac{du}{dt}(\cdot, t)$  strongly in  $\mathcal{H}^0$  for every  $t \in I$ ,

$$\left\{ \left( u^{(n)}(\cdot, t), \frac{du^{(n)}}{dt}(\cdot, t) \right) \right\}_{n \geq 0} \text{ converges to } \left( u(\cdot, t), \frac{du}{dt}(\cdot, t) \right)$$

for a.e.  $t$  in  $I$ .

Furthermore

$$\left( u^{(n)}(\cdot, t), \frac{d}{dt} u^{(n)}(\cdot, t) \right) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u^{(n)}(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathcal{H}^0}^2$$

and it follows from Remark A.3 that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u^{(n)}(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathcal{H}^0}^2 = 0 \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathcal{H}^0}^2 = 0 \text{ for every } t \text{ in } I$$

and Lemma A.2 is proved.

As a corollary we obtain the following generalization of Theorem 3.7 in [Gre-Gui].

**COROLLARY A.4.** *Suppose that  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{H}^0$ . Then*

- (i)  $\text{Iso}_0(p, q) = \{(p', q') \in \mathcal{H}^0; \gamma_k(p', q') = \gamma_k(p, q), k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$
- (ii)  $\|(p, q)\|_{\mathcal{H}^0}$  is a spectral invariant, i.e. is constant on  $\text{Iso}_0(p, q)$ .

In particular, this proves Theorem 1.1 as stated in the introduction.

**Appendix B**

In this appendix we prove the asymptotic expansions used in the proof of Theorem 3.4. The first result concerns certain asymptotic properties of the discriminant  $\Delta(\lambda)$ .

**LEMMA B.1.** *Suppose  $(p, q)$  in  $\mathcal{H}^0$ . Then, for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,*

- (i)  $\dot{\Delta}(\lambda_{2k}(p, q)) = (-1)^{k+1} \gamma_k(p, q)(1 + l^2(k))$
- (ii)  $\dot{\Delta}(\lambda_{2k-1}(p, q)) = (-1)^k \gamma_k(p, q)(1 + l^2(k))$ .

*Proof of Lemma B.1.* We only prove (i). Assertion (ii) follows by a similar argument. In [Gre-Gui] it is shown that

$$\Delta(\lambda)^2 - 4 = -4(\lambda_0 - \lambda)(\lambda_{-1} - \lambda) \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^*} \frac{(\lambda_{2k} - \lambda)(\lambda_{2k-1} - \lambda)}{k^2 \pi^2}$$

where  $\prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^*} a_k$  means  $\prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*} a_k \cdot a_{-k}$ .

Thus, for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ ,

$$2\Delta(\lambda_{2k})\dot{\Delta}(\lambda_{2k}) = -4(\lambda_0 - \lambda_{2k})(\lambda_{-1} - \lambda_{2k}) \frac{\gamma_k}{k^2 \pi^2} \cdot \prod_{\substack{l \in \mathbb{Z}^* \\ l \neq k}} \frac{(\lambda_{2l} - \lambda_{2k})(\lambda_{2l-1} - \lambda_{2k})}{l^2 \pi^2}.$$

Since  $\Delta(\lambda_{2k}) = 2(-1)^k$  this leads to

$$\dot{\Delta}(\lambda_{2k}) = (-1)^{k+1} \gamma_k(1 + l^2(k)) \prod_{\substack{l \in \mathbb{Z}^* \\ l \neq k}} \frac{(\lambda_{2l} - \lambda_{2k})(\lambda_{2l-1} - \lambda_{2k})}{l^2 \pi^2}.$$

Further, using that the Hilbert transform is a bounded operator on  $l^2(\mathbb{Z})$ ,

$$\prod_{\substack{l \in \mathbb{Z}^* \\ l \neq k}} \frac{(\lambda_{2l} - \lambda_{2k})(\lambda_{2l-1} - \lambda_{2k-1})}{l^2 \pi^2} = \prod_{\substack{l \in \mathbb{Z}^* \\ l \neq k}} \frac{(l\pi - \lambda_{2k})^2}{l^2 \pi^2} (1 + r(k, l))$$

where the error term satisfies  $|\tau(k, l)| \leq l^2(k)$  for every  $l \in \mathbb{Z}^*, l \neq k$ . Using the well known product formula

$$\frac{\sin \lambda}{\lambda} = \prod_{l \geq 1} \frac{l^2 \pi^2 - \lambda^2}{l^2 \pi^2}$$

we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^*, l \neq k} \frac{(\lambda_{2l} - \lambda_{2k})(\lambda_{2l-1} - \lambda_{2k})}{l^2 \pi^2} \\ &= \left( \frac{\sin \lambda_{2k}}{\lambda_{2k}} \frac{k\pi}{k\pi - \lambda_{2k}} \right)^2 (1 + l^2(k)) = 1 + l^2(k). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA B.2. Let  $(p, q)$  be in  $\mathcal{H}^0$ . For every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

- (i)  $Y_2(1, \lambda_{2k}(p, q)) = (-1)^k (\lambda_{2k}(p, q) - v_k(p, q))(1 + l^2(k))$
- (ii)  $Y_2(1, \lambda_{2k-1}(p, q)) = (-1)^k (\lambda_{2k-1}(p, q) - v_k(p, q))(1 + l^2(k))$ .

*Proof of Lemma B.2.* In [Gre-Gui] it is proved that

$$Y_2(1, \lambda; p, q) = (\lambda - v_0(p, q)) \prod_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^*} \frac{v_m(p, q) - \lambda}{m\pi}.$$

Thus for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^*$  and  $j \in \{2k - 1, 2k\}$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & Y_2(1, \lambda_j(p, q); p, q) \\ &= - \frac{(\lambda_j(p, q) - v_0(p, q))}{2\pi} (\lambda_j(p, q) - v_k(p, q)) \prod_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{Z}^* \\ m \neq k}} \frac{(v_m(p, q) - \lambda_j(p, q))}{m\pi} \\ &= (-1)^k (\lambda_j(p, q) - v_k(p, q)) \left| \frac{(\lambda_j(p, q) - v_0(p, q))}{k\pi} \prod_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{Z}^* \\ m \neq k}} \frac{(v_m(p, q) - \lambda_j(p, q))}{m\pi} \right| \end{aligned}$$

from which one deduces Lemma B.2, using similar arguments as in the proof of Lemma B.1.

Combining the two lemmas we obtain

LEMMA B.3. Let  $(p, q)$  be in  $\mathcal{H}^0$ . Then for every  $k$  with  $\lambda_{2k-1} < \lambda_{2k}$ ,

- (i)  $-\frac{Y_2(1, \lambda_{2k}(p, q))}{\Delta(\lambda_{2k}(p, q))} = \frac{\lambda_{2k}(p, q) - v_k(p, q)}{\gamma_k(p, q)} (1 + l^2(k))$
- (ii)  $-\frac{Y_2(1, \lambda_{2k-1}(p, q))}{\Delta(\lambda_{2k-1}(p, q))} = \frac{v_k(p, q) - \lambda_{2k-1}(p, q)}{\gamma_k(p, q)} (1 + l^2(k))$

## References

- [Dui] J. J. Duistermaat. On global action-angle coordinates, CPAM 33 (1980), p. 687–706.
- [Gar-Tru 1] J. Garnett and E. Trubowitz. Gaps and bands of one dimensional periodic Schrödinger operators. *Comment. Math. Helvetici*, 59, p. 258–317 (1984).
- [Gar-Tru 2] J. Garnett and E. Trubowitz. Gaps and bands of one dimensional periodic Schrödinger operators II. *Comment. Math. Helvetici*, 62, p. 18–37 (1987).
- [Gré] B. Grébert. Problèmes spectraux inverses pour les systèmes AKNS sur la droite réelle. Thèse de l'Université Paris-Nord. Mai 1990.
- [Gre-Gui] B. Grébert and J. C. Guillot. Gaps of one dimensional periodic AKNS systems. Rapport du Centre de Mathématiques Appliquées de l'Ecole Polytechnique no. 215. Juin 1990. To appear in Forum Mathematicum.
- [Ka] T. Kato. *Perturbation theory for linear operators*. 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- [Kp] T. Kappeler. Foliation by the Korteweg-de Vries equation (to appear in Ann. Inst. Fourier).
- [Mck-Tru] H. P. McKean, E. Trubowitz. Hill's operator and hyperelliptic function theory in the presence of infinitely many branch points. C.P.A.M. 29, p. 143–226 (1976).
- [Pö-Tru] J. Pöschel and E. Trubowitz. *Inverse Spectral Theory*. Academic Press (1987).
- [P-S] G. Polya and G. Szegő. *Aufgaben und Lehrsätze aus der Analysis*. Vol. 2, 3rd ed., Grundlehren, Bd 20, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1964.
- [Pre] E. Previato. Hyperelliptic quasi-periodic and solitons solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Duke Math. J.* 52, p. 329–377 (1985).
- [Sim] B. Simon. Trace ideals. Cambridge University Press, 1979.
- [Tru] E. Trubowitz. The inverse problem for periodic potentials. C.P.A.M., 30, p. 321–337 (1977).