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# PRESCRIBED ULTRAMETRICS (*) 

by J. Higgins ( ${ }^{1}$ ) and D. Campbell ( ${ }^{1}$ )<br>Communicated by G. Longo


#### Abstract

Let $G=(S, E)$ be a subgraph of $K_{n}=(S, F)$, the complete graph on $n$ vertices. Let $v$ be $a$ function from $E$ to $R^{+}$. We prove two theorems on the extensibility of $v$. Every function $v$ extends to a metric on $F$ iff $G$ is a forest. The function $v$ extends to an ultrametric on $F$ if and only if for all non-trivial cycles $p$ in $G$, mult $(p)>1$, where mult $(p)$ depends on the values of $v$ on paths.


Résumé. - Soit $G=(S, E)$ un sous-graphe de $K_{n}=(S, F)$, le graphe complet sur $n$ sommets. Soit v une fonction de $E$ dans $R^{+}$. Nous prouvons deux théorèmes sur le prolongement de $v$. Toute fonction $v$ se prolonge en une métrique sur $F$ si et seulement si $G$ est une forêt. La fonction v se prolonge en une ultramétrique sur $F$ si et seulement si pour tout cycle non trivial $p$ dans $G$, on a mult ( $p$ ) $>1$, où mult $(p)$ dépend des valeurs de $v$ sur les chemins.

## INTRODUCTION

Let $S$ be a set of points and $u$ a non-negative real-valued function on $S \times S$. The function $u$ is called a metric if

1. $u(x, y) \geqq 0$;
2. $u(x, y)=0$;
3. $u(x, y)=u(y, x)$;
4. $u(x, y) \leqq u(x, z)+u(z, y)$.

If for all $z$ in $S, u$ also satisfies
5. $u(x, y)) \leqq \max \{u(x, y), u(z, y)\}$,
then $u$ is called an ultrametric.
Ultrametrics satisfy more than the triangle inequality; inequality (5) prevents scalene triangles; that is, for any three points $x, y, z$ of $S$, it is
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impossible that $u(x, y)<u(y, z)<u(x, z)$. To see why, note that (5) implies $u(x, z) \leqq \max \{u(x, y), u(y, z)\}=u(y, z)$, a contradiction. Thus, any three points in an ultrametric space determine either an isosceles triangle or an equilateral triangle.

Ultrametrics arise in the context of $p$-adic evaluations on infinite fields [5]. there is interest in creating arbitrary ultrametrics on finite sets, in particular, on $K_{n}$, the complete graph on $n$ points [1 to 4]. Since many ultrametric extensions are known to be $N P$-complete [3], it is most interesting that one extension can be done in a polynomial number of steps.

Theorem 1: Let $G=(S, E)$ be a subgraph of the complete graph $K_{n}=(S, F)$ and let $v$ be an arbitrary function from $E$ to $R^{+}$. If $G$ is a forest, then $v$ extends to an ultrametric on $F$ in at most $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ steps.

Proof: Extend $G$ to a spanning tree $Q$ for $K_{n}$. Extend $v$ to the edges of $Q-G$ by assigning arbitrary positive number to each such edge. We use induction on $n$ to extend $v$ to an ultrametric $u$ on all edges of $K_{n}$ in at most $(n+1)(n-2) / 2$ additional steps.

Basis: There is nothing to prove for $n=1$ or $n=2$. The case of $n=3$ is the so called isosceles restriction of an ultrametric. Namely, we define the ultrametric $u$ on the missing edge to be the maximum of $v$ on the other two sides. This extension takes one additional step.

Assume the result for $n$ and consider the case $n+1$. There exists an end $x$ of the tree $Q$. Let $U=S-\{x\}$. Let $T$ be the restriction of $Q$ to $U$. By induction, in at most $(n+1)(n-2) / 2$ additional steps, we can find an ultrametric extension $u$ to $U$ of the restriction of $v$ to $T$. As $x$ is an end, there exists a unique $y$ in $U$ with $(x, y)$ in $Q$. Let $w=v(x, y)$. For each $z$ in $U-\{y\}$, set $u(x, z)=\max \{w, u(y, z)\}$. The number of steps to create this extension is at most $n+((n+1)(n+2) / 2)=(n+2)(n+1) / 2$ as claimed.

To check that our extension $u$ is an ultrametric, we need only verify $u(a, b) \leqq \max \{u(a, c), u(b, c)\}$ for all choices of distinct $a, b, c$ in $S$. There are two cases: (1) $x$ is not in $\{a, b, c\}$. (2) $x$ is in $\{a, b, c\}$. In case (1), the inequality holds as $u$ is an ultrametric on $U$. In case (2), there are two subcases: (I) $y$ is in $\{a, b, c\}$, (II) $y$ is not in $\{a, b, c\}$. In case (I), the inequality holds by construction. In case (II), there are three subcases: (A) $x=a$, (B) $x=b$, (C) $x=c$. Since $y$ is not in $\{a, b, c\}$, each of these three verifications is straightforward. This concludes the proof of theorem 1.

Theorem 2: Let $G=(S, E)$ be a subgraph of the complete graph $K_{n}=(S, F)$. Then the following are equivalent:
(a) Every function $v: E \rightarrow R^{+}$extends to a metric on $F$;
(b) $G$ is a forest.

Proof: Theorem 1 proves that ( $1 b$ ) implies (1 $a$ ). To show ( $1 a$ ) implies ( $1 b$ ) it suffices to prove that if $G$ is not a forest, then there exists a function $v$ from $E$ to $R^{+}$that does not extend to a metric on $F$. If $G$ is not a forest, then $G$ contains a (simple) cycle $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{k}$, $k>2$. Define $v$ on $e_{i}, 1 \leqq i<k$, to be arbitrary positive numbers. Define $v$ on the edge $e_{k}$ to be any number greater than the sum of $v\left(e_{i}\right)$, $1 \leqq i<k$. Since $v$ fails to satisfy the triangle inequality on the edge $e_{k}$, no extension of $v$ can be a metric on $F$. This concludes the proof of theorem 2.

We now extend theorem 2 to ultrametrics. We will see that whether a particular function $v: S \rightarrow R^{+}$has an ultrametric extension depends on the behaviour of $v$ on non-trivial cycles of $G$. A cycle is any sequence of edge connected vertices $v_{0} \ldots v_{n}, v_{0}=v_{n}$, allowing repeated vertices and repeated edges. A cycle is trivial, by definition, if it is a cycle with only two edges.

Let $p$ be a (not necessarily simple) path in $G$. Let $\max (p)$ denote the largest value of $v$ on $p$. Let mult $(p)$ denote the number of times $v$ attains $\max (p)$ on $p$. Clearly, for all paths $p$, mult $(p) \geqq 1$.

We require two preliminary lemmas.
Lemma 3: A symmetric function $u: S \times S-\{(s, s): s$ is in $S\} \rightarrow R^{+}$is an ultrametric if and only if for each triple $x, y$, and $z$ of distinct members of $S$, mult $(x y z x)>1$.

Proof: If $u$ is an ultrametric, then as remarked at the start of the paper, every triangle is either isosceles or equilateral, that is, mult $(x y z x)>1$. Conversely, to show that $u$ must be an ultrametric when mult $(x y z x)>1$ on all triangles, it suffices to observe that (5) always holds.

Lemma 4: Let $G=(S, E)$ be a subgraph of the complete graph $K_{n}=(S, F)$. Let $x$ and $y$ belong to $S$. Let $v$ be an arbitrary function from $E$ to $R^{+}$. Let $Q$ be the set of all paths from $x$ to $y$ in $G$. Let $P$ be the set of all paths $p$ in $Q$ such that mult $(p)=1$. If all non-trivial cycles $p$ in $G$ satisfy mult $(p)>1$, then
(1) For any $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ in $P, \max \left(p_{1}\right)=\max \left(p_{2}\right)$.
(2) For each $q$ in $Q$ and each $p$ in $P, \max (q) \geqq \max (p)$.

Proof: We prove (1) by contradiction. Suppose there were elements $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ of $P$ with $\max \left(p_{1}\right)<\max \left(p_{2}\right)$. Since $c=p_{1} p_{2}^{-1}$ is a non-trivial cycle in $G$, we have by hypothesis mult $(c)>1$. Thus, there are at least two places that $p_{2}$ takes on its max, contrary to $p_{2}$ belonging to $P$. This proves (1). Similar proof holds for (2).

Theorem 3: Let $G=(S, E)$ be a subgraph of the complete graph $K_{n}=(S, F)$. A function $v: E \rightarrow R^{+}$extends to an ultrametric on $F$ if and only if
$(\star)$ for all non-trivial cycles $p$ in $G$, mult $(p)>1$.
Proof: First assume that $v$ extends to an ultrametric on $F$, but that $(\star)$ fails for some non-trivial cycle $p=x_{0} \ldots x_{n}$. Of all cycles $p$ with mult $(p)=1$, choose one whose lengyh, $n$, is minimal. By lemma 3 , mult $(p)>1$ on all 3 -edged cycles. Therefore, $n$ must be $>3$. Without loss of generality, let $w=\max (p)=v\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)$. Since mult $(p)=1, v\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ must be strictly less than $w$. Applying lemma 3 to $x_{0} x_{1} x_{2} x_{0}$, and knowing that $v\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)=w$ and $v\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)<w$, we conclude that $v\left(x_{0}, x_{2}\right)$ must also be $w$. Now form the cycle $q=x_{0} x_{2} \ldots x_{n}$ of length $n-1$. Since mult $(q)=1$ we have obtained a contradiction to the choice of $n$.

Conversely, suppose that ( $\star$ ) holds. To prove that $v$ extends to an ultrametric, we consider two cases: $G$ is complete, $G$ is not complete. If $G$ is complete, and $(*)$ holds for all triangles of $G$, then by lemma $3, v$ must be an ultrametric on $S$. On the other hand, if $G$ is not complete, then there are $x$ and $y$ in $S$ for which $(x, y)$ is not in $E$. Let $J$ be the union of $E$ and the edge ( $x, y$ ) and let $H=(S, J)$. Proceeding by induction on the cardinality of $E$, it suffices to show that $H$ satisfies ( $*$ ).

Let $Q$ be the set of paths $p$ from $x$ to $y$ in $G$. Let $P$ be the set of paths in $Q$ such that mult $(p)=1$. By lemma 4 ,
(1) for any $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ in $P, \max \left(p_{1}\right)=\max \left(p_{2}\right)$;
(2) for all $q$ in $Q$ and all $p$ in $P$, $\max (q) \geqq \max (p)$.

Define $v$ on the edge $(x, y)$ to be $\min \{\max (q): q$ in $Q\}$. We need only show that the extension $v$ from $J$ to $R^{+}$still satisfies ( $\star$ ).

Let $s=x_{0} \ldots x_{n}$ be a non-trivial cycle in $H$. Since $G$ satisfies $(\star)$ there is nothing to prove unless the edge ( $x, y$ ) belongs to the cycle $s$. Therefore, without loss of generality, we may take $y=x_{0}$ and $x=x_{1}$. Thus, $q=x_{1} \ldots x_{n}$, a path $x$ to $y$, belongs to $Q$. By the definition of $v(x, y)$ and the choice of $w$, $v(x, y)=w \leqq \max (q)$. There are two possibilities: mult $(q)>1$, mult $(q)=1$. If
mult $(q)>1$, then mult $(s)>1$ and we are done. If mult $(q)=1$, then $q$ belongs to $P$. By (2) and the construction, max ( $q$ ) must itself be $w$. Since $v\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)$ is also $w$, we can conclude in this case also that mult $(s)>1$. This completes the proof of theorem 3 .

Theorem 2 and 3 differ significantly in computational requirements. Testing for a forest can be done in a polynomial number of steps; testing ( $\star$ ) for all cycles may require a factorial number of steps. For example, consider the complete graph on $n$ vertices with a few edges removed. Such a graph has more than $n$ ! non-trivial cycles.

The authors wish to thank the referee for theorem 3 .
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