

JAROSLAV HANČL

Irrationality of quick convergent series

Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux, tome 8, n° 2 (1996),
p. 275-282

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=JTNB_1996__8_2_275_0

© Université Bordeaux 1, 1996, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux » (<http://jtnb.cedram.org/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

Irrationality of quick convergent series

par JAROSLAV HANČL

RÉSUMÉ. On démontre une généralisation d'un résultat dû à Badea concernant l'irrationalité de certaines séries à convergence rapide.

ABSTRACT. We generalize a previous result due to Badea relating to the irrationality of some quick convergent infinite series.

There are many papers concerning the irrationality of infinite series. Erdős [4] proved that if the sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of positive integers converges quickly to infinity, then the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/a_n$ is an irrational number.

The author [7] defined the irrational sequences and proved criterion for them. Another result is due to Erdős and Strauss [5]. They proved that if $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of positive integers with $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_1 \cdots a_n/a_{n+1} < \infty$

and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n^2/a_{n+1} \leq 1$, then the number $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/a_n$ is rational if and only

if $a_{n+1} = a_n^2 - a_n + 1$ holds for every $n > n_0$. Sándor [8] proved that if $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are two sequences of positive integers such that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n/(a_1 \cdots a_{n-1} b_n) = \infty$ and $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n b_{n-1}/(a_{n-1} b_n) > 1$, then the

number $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n/a_n$ is irrational.

Finally Badea [1] proved that if $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are two sequences of positive integers such that $b_{n+1} > (b_n^2 - b_n)a_{n+1}/a_n + 1$, then the sum $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n/b_n$ is an irrational number. Later he generalized his result ([2]).

In this paper we will generalize Badea's result in another way and prove the following theorem.

THEOREM. Let $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be two sequences of positive integers. If there is a natural number m such that the following three inequalities hold for every $n > n_0$

$$(1) \quad b_n > m + 1$$

$$(2) \quad b_n \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} \left(\prod_{j=n-m}^{n-k-1} b_j \right) \sum_{j=m-k}^{m-1} a_{n-m+j}/b_{n-m+j} >$$

$$> -a_n \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} \prod_{j=n-m}^{n-1-k} b_j + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{i+k+1} \operatorname{sgn}(k+1-i) \binom{m}{i} \times$$

$$\times \binom{m}{k} \left(\prod_{s=n-m}^{n-i} b_s / \prod_{s=n-k}^{n-1} b_s \right) \sum_{j=\min(m-i, m-k-1)+1}^{j=\max(m-i, m-k-1)} a_{n-m+j}/b_{n-m+j}$$

and

$$(3) \quad b_n \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} (-1)^k \binom{m+1}{k} \left(\prod_{j=n-m-1}^{n-k-1} b_j \right) \sum_{j=m+1-k}^m a_{n-m+j-1}/b_{n-m+j-1} <$$

$$< -a_n \sum_{k=0}^{m+1} (-1)^k \binom{m+1}{k} \prod_{j=n-m-1}^{n-1-k} b_j + \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^{m+1} (-1)^{i+k+1} \operatorname{sgn}(k+1-i) \times$$

$$\times \binom{m+1}{i} \binom{m+1}{k} \left(\prod_{s=n-m-1}^{n-i} b_s / \prod_{s=n-k}^{n-1} b_s \right) \times$$

$$\times \sum_{j=\min(m+1-i, m-k)+1}^{\max(m+1-i, m-k)} a_{n-m+j-1}/b_{n-m+j-1}$$

then the number $A = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n/b_n$ is irrational.

Proof: For the sake of simplicity we will suppose that (1) – (3) hold for every n . (If not, we define $a'_n = a_{n+m+n_0}$, $b'_n = b_{n+m+n_0}$ for every $n =$

1, 2, ... and these two sequences $\{a'_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\{b'_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ satisfy then our above requirements.)

Let us denote

$$(4) \quad B_n = B_{n,0} = \prod_{i=1}^n b_i$$

$$(5) \quad A_n = A_{n,0} = B_n \sum_{i=1}^n a_i/b_i$$

$$B_{n,i} = B_{n,i-1} - B_{n-1,i-1} \quad i = 1, \dots, m+1$$

$$A_{n,i} = A_{n,i-1} - A_{n-1,i-1} \quad i = 1, \dots, m+1$$

One can prove by induction that

$$(6) \quad B_{n,i} = \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{i}{j} B_{n-j} (-1)^j$$

and

$$(7) \quad A_{n,i} = \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{i}{j} A_{n-j} (-1)^j$$

hold for $i = 0, 1, \dots, m+1$. (1) and (4) yield

$$(8) \quad \binom{i}{j} B_{n-j} - \binom{i}{j+1} B_{n-j-1} = \binom{i}{j} B_{n-j-1} (b_{n-j} - \frac{i-j}{j+1}) > 0$$

and

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \binom{i}{j} A_{n-j} - \binom{i}{j+1} A_{n-j-1} &= \binom{i}{j} (A_{n-j} - \frac{i-j}{j+1} A_{n-j-1}) = \\ &= \binom{i}{j} B_{n-j-1} \left(a_{n-j} + (b_{n-j} - \frac{i-j}{j+1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-j-1} a_k/b_k) \right) > 0 \end{aligned}$$

for every natural number n . Then (4)–(9) imply that $B_{n,i} > 0$ and $A_{n,i} > 0$ for every positive integer n and $i = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$.

First we will prove that

$$(10) \quad A_{n,m}/B_{n,m} < A_{n+1,m}/B_{n+1,m} < \dots$$

and secondly

$$(11) \quad A_{n,m+1}/B_{n,m+1} > A_{n+1,m+1}/B_{n+1,m+1}$$

(11) implies that there is a number $c \geq 0$ such that

$$c = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_{n,m+1}/B_{n,m+1}.$$

Using the famous theorem of Stolz (see e.g. [6]), we obtain

$$(12) \quad A = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n/B_n = \dots = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_{n,m+1}/B_{n,m+1} = c.$$

On the other hand (10), (11), (12) and Brun’s Theorem (see e.g. [3]) imply the irrationality of the number A .

Now we will prove (10) and (11). Using (4) and (5) we have

$$(13) \quad \frac{A_{n,m}}{B_{n,m}} - \frac{A_{n-1,m}}{B_{n-1,m}} = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} A_{n-i} (-1)^i}{\sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} B_{n-i} (-1)^i} - \frac{\sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} A_{n-1-i} (-1)^i}{\sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} B_{n-1-i} (-1)^i} =$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i \binom{m}{i} B_{n-i} (A_{n-m}/B_{n-m} + \sum_{j=1}^{m-i} a_{n-m+j}/b_{n-m+j})}{\sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} B_{n-i} (-1)^i} -$$

$$\frac{\sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i \binom{m}{i} B_{n-i-1} (A_{n-m-1}/B_{n-m-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{m-i} a_{n-m+j-1}/b_{n-m+j-1})}{\sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} B_{n-i-1} (-1)^i} =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{\sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i \binom{m}{i} B_{n-i} \sum_{j=0}^{m-i} a_{n-m+j}/b_{n-m+j}}{\sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i \binom{m}{i} B_{n-i}} - \\
 &= \frac{\sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i \binom{m}{i} B_{n-i-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m-i} a_{n-m+j-1}/b_{n-m+j-1}}{\sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i \binom{m}{i} B_{n-i-1}} = \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{i+k} \binom{m}{i} \binom{m}{k} B_{n-i} B_{n-k-1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m-i} a_{n-m+j}/b_{n-m+j} - \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \sum_{s=1}^{m-k} a_{n-m-1+s}/b_{n-m-1+s} \right) / (B_{n,m} B_{n-1,m}) = \\
 &= \left(B_n \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} B_{n-1-k} \sum_{j=m-k}^m a_{n-m+j}/b_{n-m+j} - \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{i+k+1} \binom{m}{i} \binom{m}{k} B_{n-i} B_{n-k-1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m-i} a_{n-m+j}/b_{n-m+j} - \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - \sum_{s=1}^{m-k} a_{n-m+s-1}/b_{n-m+s-1} \right) \right) / (B_{n,m} B_{n-1,m}) = \\
 &= \left(B_n \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} B_{n-1-k} \sum_{j=m-k}^{m-1} a_{n-m+j}/b_{n-m+j} + \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + B_{n-1} a_n \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} B_{n-k-1} - \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{i+k+1} \binom{m}{i} \binom{m}{k} B_{n-i} B_{n-k-1} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times \sum_{j=\min(m-i, m-k-1)+1}^{\max(m-i, m-k-1)} \operatorname{sgn}(k+1-i) a_{n-m+j}/b_{n-m+j} \right) / (B_{n,m} B_{n-1,m}).
 \end{aligned}$$

(13) and (2) yield (10). Similarly (13) (if we substitute $m + 1$ instead of m) and (3) yield (11).

Remark: If we put $m = 0$ in the main theorem, then we receive $b_n > 1$, $0 > -a_n$ and $-b_n a_{n-1}/b_{n-1} < -a_n(b_{n-1} - 1) - a_{n-1}/b_{n-1}$. Thus $b_n > (b_{n-1}^2 - b_{n-1})a_n/a_{n-1} + 1$ and this is the famous theorem due to Badea (see e.g. [1]).

Consequence 1: Let $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be two sequences of positive integers. If

$$(14) \quad b_n > 2$$

$$(15) \quad b_n < (b_{n-1}^2 - b_{n-1})a_n/a_{n-1} + 1$$

$$(16) \quad b_n(-b_{n-1}a_{n-2} + 2b_{n-2}^2a_{n-1} - b_{n-2}a_{n-1}) > \\ > a_n b_{n-1} b_{n-2} (b_{n-1} b_{n-2} - 2b_{n-2} + 1) + 3a_{n-1} b_{n-2}^2 - 2b_{n-1} a_{n-2} \\ - 2b_{n-2} a_{n-1} + a_{n-2}$$

hold for every $n > n_0$, then the number $A = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n/b_n$ is irrational.

Proof: Let us put $m = 1$ in the main theorem. Then (14) is (1), (15) is (2) and (16) is (3).

Consequence 2: Let $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of positive integers such that $b_1 > 2$ and

$$(17) \quad kb_{n-1}^2 - (3k - 1)b_{n-1} < b_n < kb_{n-1}^2 - kb_{n-1}$$

hold for every $n > n_0$ where k is a positive integer. Then the number $A = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k^n/b_n$ is irrational.

Proof: Let us put $a_n = k^n$ in consequence 1. Then (17) immediately implies (15) and

$$b_n > kb_{n-1}^2 - (3k - 1)b_{n-1} = kb_{n-1}(b_{n-1} - 3) + b_{n-1}.$$

This and $b_1 > 2$ imply that the sequence $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is increasing. Thus (15) is fulfilled too. Condition (16) can be rewritten in the following way

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} & b_n(-b_{n-1} + 2kb_{n-2}^2 - kb_{n-2}) > \\ & > k^2b_{n-1}b_{n-2}(b_{n-1}b_{n-2} - 2b_{n-2} + 1) + 3kb_{n-2}^2 - 2b_{n-1} - 2kb_{n-2} + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let us define the sequence $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of nonnegative integers such that

$$(19) \quad s_n = kb_{n-1}^2 - kb_{n-1} - b_n.$$

(17) implies that

$$(20) \quad 0 < s_n < (2k - 1)b_{n-1}.$$

Substituting (19) for (18) we obtain the equivalent inequality (21) with (18) :

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} & (kb_{n-1}^2 - kb_{n-1} - s_n)(kb_{n-2}^2 + s_{n-1}) > \\ & > k^2b_{n-1}b_{n-2}(b_{n-1}b_{n-2} - 2b_{n-2} + 1) + 3kb_{n-2}^2 - 2b_{n-1} - 2kb_{n-2} + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Carrying out the equivalent calculations step by step, we receive

$$\begin{aligned} & kb_{n-1}^2s_{n-1} - s_nkb_{n-2}^2 - s_ns_{n-1} - kb_{n-1}s_{n-1} + k^2b_{n-1}b_{n-2}^2 - k^2b_{n-1}b_{n-2} \\ & - 3kb_{n-2}^2 + 2b_{n-1} + 2kb_{n-2} - 1 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using (20) and the fact that $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^\infty (b_1 > 2)$ is an increasing sequence, it is enough to prove that

$$(22) \quad kb_{n-1}^2 - (k - 1)kb_{n-1}b_{n-2}^2 - Kb_{n-1}b_{n-2} > 0,$$

where K is a suitable constant. (22) is equivalent with

$$kb_{n-1}(b_{n-1} - kb_{n-2}^2) + kb_{n-1}b_{n-2}^2 - Kb_{n-1}b_{n-2} > 0.$$

(17) implies that

$$(23) \quad -(3k - 1)b_{n-2} < b_{n-1} - kb_{n-2}^2.$$

Because of (23), it is enough to prove that

$$(24) \quad kb_{n-1}b_{n-2}^2 - K_1b_{n-1}b_{n-2} > 0,$$

where K_1 is a suitable constant too. But (24) is true for every $n > n_0$. Thus (18) is right and the number A is irrational.

Examples: The numbers $\sum_{n=1}^\infty 2^n/b_n$ and $\sum_{n=1}^\infty 3^n/a_n$, where $a_1 > 2$, $b_1 > 2$, $b_n = 2b_{n-1}^2 - 2b_{n-1} - 1$ and $a_n = 3a_{n-1}^2 - 3a_{n-1} - 4$ are irrational.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Badea, *The Irrationality of Certain Infinite Series*, Glasgow. Math J. **29** (1987), 221–228.
- [2] C. Badea, *A Theorem on Irrationality of Infinite Series and Applications*, Acta Arith. **LXII.4** (1993), 313–323.
- [3] V. Brun, *A Theorem about Irrationality*, Arch. for Math. og Naturvideskab Kristiana 31, , (1910), 3 (German).
- [4] P. Erdős, *Some Problems and Results on the Irrationality of the Sum of Infinite Series*, J. Math. Sci. **10** (1975), 1–7.
- [5] P. Erdős and E. G. Straus, *On the Irrationality of Certain Ahmes Series*, J. Indian Math. Soc. **27** (1963), 129–133.
- [6] G. M. Fichtengolz, *The Lecture of Differential and Integral Calculations*, part I, issue 6, Nauka, Moskva, 1966 (Russian).
- [7] J. Hančl, *Criterion for Irrational Sequences*, J. Num. Theory **43** n° 1 (1993), 88–92.
- [8] J. Sándor, *Some Classes of Irrational Numbers*, Studia Univ. Babes-Bolyai Math. **29** (1984), 3–12.

Jaroslav HANČL
Department of Mathematics
University of Ostrava
Dvořákova 7
701 03 Ostrava 1
Czech Republic