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#### Abstract

A finite element approximation of the Dirichlet problem for the biharmonic operator is described. Its main feature is that it is equivalent to solving a sequence of discrete Dirichlet prowlens for sie operator - $A$. This method, which has already been shown to be converzer:. is particularly well-suited for problems in Fluid Dynamics.


Résumé. On décrit une méthode d'approximation par éléments finis du problème de Dirichlet pour l'opérateur biharmonique. Lemprincipal intérêt de cette méthode est d'équivaloir à la résolution d'une suite de problèmes de Dirichlet pour l'opérateur $-\Delta$. Cette méthode, dont la convergence a été démontrée par ailleurs, est particulièrement bien adaptée aux problèmes de la Dynamique des Fluides.

1. Introduction. Throughout this paper, $\Omega$ denotes the interior of a convex polygon in the plane, with boundary $r$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial v}$ denotes the exterior normal derivative alon $r$, and $f$ is a given function belonging to the space $L^{2}(r)$. We consider the Dirichlet problem for the biharmonic operator, which reads formally as :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta^{2} u=f \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{1.1}\\
u=\frac{\partial u}{\partial v}=0 \text { on } r . \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

We first study in $\$ 2$ a variational formulation of problem (1.1)-(1.2), recently introduced in [ 1 ], whose main feature is that the associated solution liss in the product space $H_{0}^{l}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)$, instead of the soace $H_{0}^{2}(\Omega)$ for the "classical" variational formulation. Therefore, when this anproach is discretized, it suffices to use finite element of class $e^{\circ}$, whereas finite element of class $\mathcal{C}^{1}$ are needed for the usual conforming methods, such as the well-known 2l-dearee of freedom triangle of Argrris 121. Moreover, it is not necessary to use finite element of Hermite trpe, as is the case for standard nonconforming methods. For zeneral discussions about finite element methods for onlvine nrohiem (i.l)-(1.2), we refer the reacer to $[3,4]$ and the various references quoted therein.

In addition, from the point of view of Fluid Mechanics, the oresent method seems even more interestine than any conformine method, since it not only vields a continuous approximation of the stream function $u$, but also of the vorticity $\Delta u$, whereas a standard approximation using finite elements of class $\varphi^{l}$ would result in a discontinuous approximation of the vorticity.

As was already pointed out in $|1|$, it spems that a safe way to perform variational crimes. such as curved boundaries or numerical integration (cf. (51), when one deals with fnurth-order problems is thus to use this
method or a similar one, since, in essence, the associated computations are exactly the same as in the case of second order problems.

Indeed, a variational crime was already performed on this method in $[6]$, where convergence was proved for subspaces made up of piecewise polynomials of depree 1 (which are not included in the error analysis of [2]). As was pointed out in [6], the discrete equations associated with this type of subspaces are identical to the usual 13 -point finitedifference approximation of the operator $\Delta^{2}$.

As regards the convergence of the method, the following was proved in [1]: If the trial functions are piecewise polynomials of degree $k$, then a sequence ( $u_{h}, \phi_{h}$ ) of approximations of the solution $u$ (of (1.1)-(1.2)) ard - $\Delta u$ is obtained which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u-u_{h}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}+\left\|\Delta u+\phi_{h}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}<\mathcal{K}\|u\|_{H^{k+2}(\Omega)} n^{k-1} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $\mathcal{K}$ independent of $h$. As a consequence, ar $O(h)$ convergence is therefore obtained with polynomials of degree only 2. Let us add that this method falls in the general category of mixed finite element methods. For general results concerning thesel, which however do root contain the present ones, see the works of Oden and Reddy $[7,8,9]$.

The main object of this paper is to show (Theorems $4,5,8$ and 9) that Solving either the continuous or the discrete problem amounts to solving a sequence of Dirichlet problems for either the operator $-\Delta$ or its variational approximation.

The method presented here is thus an answer to a problem which several authors have considered, for either the continuous problem or its various possible discretizations; see for instance $[10,11,12,13,14]$ and the references therein.

As a practical consequence, all that is needed for approximating the solution of problem (1.1)-(1.2) is therefore a finite element promam for solving second order problems.

Following and generalizing a method due to the second author [6], the basic idea consists in applying Uzawa's method [15, Chapter 2] for solving the saddle-point equations of the Lagrangian associated with the present variational formulation; see $\oint \$ 2$ and 3. As usual, this method is convergent provided a certain parameter $\rho$ lies in some interval. In the case of the discrete problem, we show (Theorem 10) that this interval is of the form $0<\rho<2 \sigma_{h}^{2}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \sigma_{h}=\inf _{v \in H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)} \frac{\|\Delta v\|_{L^{2}}(\Omega)}{\left\|\frac{\partial v}{\partial v}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for regular families of finite element subspaces and provided an appropriate inner-product is chosen in the space of the discrete Lagrange multipliers. Thus the quantity $\sigma_{h}$ may be estimated in practice, at least for simple reometric domains $\Omega$. This result generalizes Theorem 6 of [11], where an analogous result was proved for a finite-difference approximation over a rectangle, using Fourier series technique.

The above results were announced in [16]; complete proofs for the discrete problem will be found in [17]. Finally, we refer to a forthcoming paper of Bourgat in the same Journal, where numerical results will be presented.

The duality pairing between a space $X$ and its dual $X^{\prime}$ will be denoted by

$$
\langle\ldots\rangle
$$

Given a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$, where $X$ and $Y$ are normed vector spaces, its Fréchet derivative at the point $a \in X$ will be denoted (assuming its existence)

$$
D f(a) .
$$

At several places, we shall use Green formulas in Sobolev spaces. for which we refer to Necas [18].

## 2. The eentinuous problem.

The atandard variational formulation of problem (1.1)-(1.2) consists in finding a function $u \in H_{0}^{2}(\Omega)$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(u)=\min _{v \in H_{0}^{2}(\Omega)} J(v) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(v)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\Delta v|^{2} d x-\int_{\Omega} f v d x \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it can be shown [19] that the unique solution $u$ of the above problem belonas to the space $H^{3}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{2}(\Omega)$.

We may also consider that we minimize the functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{f}(v, \psi)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\psi|^{2} d x-\int_{\Omega} f v d x, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

when the functions $v \in H_{0}^{2}(\Omega)$ and $\psi \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ satisfy the equation $-\Delta v=\psi$. Since the corresponding subspace of the product space $H_{0}^{2}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)$ can be alternatively described as the space
(2.4) $\mathcal{F}^{2}=\left\{(v, \phi) \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega) ; \forall \mu \in H^{1}(\Omega), B((v, \psi), \mu\}=0\right\}$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
B((v, \psi), \mu)=\int_{\Omega} \text { gradv grad } \mu d x-\int_{\Omega} \psi \mu \mathrm{dx}, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have the following result (for the proofs, see Theorem 1 of [1]).
Theorem . Let $u$ denote the solution of the minimization problem (2.1). Then we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{f}(u,-\Delta u)=\min _{(v, \psi) \in \mathcal{f}} \mathcal{F}(v, \psi), \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is the functional defined in (2.3).
To solve the constrained minimization problem (2.6), we will use a standard technique in duality theory: Let there be piven a subspace
of the space $H^{1}(\Omega)$ such that we may write the direct sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{2}(\Omega)=H_{0}^{2}(\Omega) \oplus M_{\varphi} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We next introduce the space
(2.8) ff $=\left\{(v, \psi) \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega) ; \forall \mu \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), B((v, \psi), \mu)=0\right\}$,
and the Lagrangian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{L}((v, \psi), \mu)=\mathcal{F}^{\prime}(v, \psi)+\beta((v, \psi), \mu), \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mathcal{f}$ and $B$ defined as in (2.3) and (2.5), respectively.
In view of decomposing the original problem as a sequence of Dirichlet problems for the operator $-\Delta$, the following result will play a central role. Theorem 2. Given a function $\lambda \in \Pi_{\psi}$, the problem : Find a pair $\left(u_{\lambda}, \phi_{\lambda}\right) \in\{\%$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}\left(\left(u_{\lambda}, \phi_{\lambda}\right), \lambda\right)=\min _{(v, \psi) \in \psi_{\gamma}} \mathcal{L}((v, \psi), \lambda) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

has one and only one solution, which may also be obtained by solving the following Dirichlet problems for the operator $-\Delta$ :
(i) Find a function $\phi_{\lambda} \in H^{2}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\lambda}-\lambda \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\forall v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{erad} \phi_{\lambda}$ fradv $d x=\int_{\Omega} f v d x$.
(ii) Find a function $u_{\lambda} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that
$\forall v \in H_{0}^{2}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{eradu} u_{\lambda} \operatorname{gradv} d x=\int_{\Omega} \phi_{\lambda} v d x$.
Proof. First, we observe that problem (2.10) has one and only one solution since the leading term in the Lagrangian : $\frac{1}{2} f_{\Omega}|\psi|^{2} d x$, is the square of a norm over the space f8f.

By definition $\left\{\right.$ see $(2.8)$ ), a pair $(v, \psi) \in H_{0}^{l}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)$ belongs to the spece 88 if

$$
\forall \mu \in H_{0}^{l}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} g r a d v \operatorname{grad} \mu d x=\int_{\Omega} \psi \mu d x .
$$

Since for any given function $\psi \in L^{2}(\Omega)$, there exista a unique function $v \in H_{0}^{l}(\Omega)$ which satisfies the above relations, we may write $v=A \psi$, the linear operator
$A: L^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$
defined in this fashion being continuous.
We may thus consider that we minimize a function of two variables ( $\lambda$ is fixed), namely :

$$
\mathscr{L}((\cdot, \cdot), \lambda):(v, \psi) \in H_{0}^{2}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}((v, \psi), \lambda)
$$

when these two variables $s$ atisfying the constraint

$$
\Phi(v, \psi)=0,
$$

where the mapping

$$
\begin{equation*}
0: H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

is defined by $\phi(v, \psi)=A \psi-v$.
Both functions $\mathcal{L}((\cdot, \cdot), \lambda)$ and $\Phi$ being differentiable, there exists a unique Lagrange multiplier $\Xi_{\lambda} \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$ such that :

$$
D \mathscr{L}\left(\left(u_{\lambda}, \phi_{\lambda}\right), \lambda\right)=E_{\lambda} \cdot D \phi\left(u_{\lambda}, \phi_{\lambda}\right) .
$$

Taking partial derivatives with respect to the two variables, we find that
(2.16) $\quad \forall v \in H_{o}^{l}(\Omega),\left\langle E_{\lambda}, v>+\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{rrad} \lambda \operatorname{gradv} d x=\int_{\Omega} f v d x\right.$,
(2.17) $\forall \psi \in L^{2}(\Omega),\left\langle\Xi_{\lambda}, A \psi\right\rangle+\int_{\Omega} \psi \lambda d x=\int_{\Omega} \phi_{\lambda} \psi d x$,
and thus, equations (2.16) and (2.17) together with the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\lambda}=A \phi_{\lambda}, \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

allow to determine the functions $u_{\lambda}$ and $\phi_{\lambda}$. In order to put relations (2.16) and (2.17) in more explicit a form, it is convenient to introduce the unique function $\xi_{\lambda} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall v \in H_{0}^{l}(\Omega),\left\langle E_{\lambda}, v>=\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{grad} \xi_{\lambda}\right. \text { gradv dx. } \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then equations(2.i5) become

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{grad}\left(\xi_{\lambda}+\lambda\right) \operatorname{gradv} d x=\int_{\Omega} r v d x, \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$ on the one hand, and in view of the definition of the mapping $A$ of (2.14), equations (2.17) may be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall \psi \in L^{2}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega}\left(\xi_{\lambda}+\lambda-\phi_{\lambda}\right) \psi d x=0, \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the other hand. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\lambda}=\xi_{\lambda}+\lambda, \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

which achieves the proof.
Notice that since the boundary of the domain is smooth enough, the function $u_{\lambda}$ is in fact in the space $H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, so that equations (2.13) can be equivalently written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\lambda}=-\Delta u_{\lambda} . \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now show that the solution of the original problem is also the first argument of the saddle-point of the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$.

Theorem 3. Let $u$ denote the solution of problem (2.1) and let $\lambda^{*}$ be the function in the space in with the property that the function ( $\Delta u+\lambda *$ ) belongs to the space $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Then the triple $((u,-\Delta u), \lambda \star)$ is the unique
sadde-point of the Lagrangian $\mathscr{L}$ of (2.9) over the space $88 \times M_{4}$, i.e., (2.24)

$$
\mathscr{L}((u,-\Delta u), u)<\mathscr{L}((u,-\Delta u), \lambda *)<\mathscr{L}((v, \psi), \lambda *)
$$

for all pairs $(v, \psi) \in \mathcal{H}$ and all $\mu \in M_{4}$.
Proof. Since the pair $(u,-\Delta u)$ belongs to the space of defined in (2.4), we have

$$
\forall \mu \in \mathscr{M}, \mathscr{L}\{(u,-\Delta u), \mu)=\mathcal{F}(u,-\Delta u)
$$

and thus the first inequality of (2.24) is proved. To prove the second inequality of (2.24), it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall v \in H_{o}^{1}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{grad}(-\Delta u) \operatorname{gradv} d x=\int_{\Omega} f v d x \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$ in view of Theorem 2; we have already noticed that the function $u$ is in the space $H^{3}(\Omega) \cap H_{o}^{2}(\Omega)$. Using Green's formula, we obtain

$$
\forall v \in H_{0}^{2}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} \Delta u \Delta v d x=\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{grad}(-\Delta u) \operatorname{gradv} d x=\int f v d x,
$$ and equations (2.25) follow since the space $H_{0}^{2}(\Omega)$ is a dense subspace of $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$.

Let now ( $\left.\left(u^{\star}, \|^{\star}\right), \lambda^{\star}\right)$ be any saddle-point of the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$. From Theorem 2, we infer that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\star}-\lambda^{\star} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \forall v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} \text { grad eradv } d x=\int_{\Omega} f v d x  \tag{2.27}\\
& \forall v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} \text { gradut gradv } d x=\int_{\Omega} \phi^{\star} v d x \tag{2.28}
\end{align*}
$$

since

$$
\mathscr{L}\left(\left(u^{\star}, \psi\right), \lambda \star\right)=\min _{(v, \psi) \in \psi \psi} \mathscr{L}((v, \psi), \lambda \star) .
$$

Likewise, the inequalities

$$
\forall \mu \in \Pi_{\mu}, \mathscr{L}\left(\left(u^{\star}, \psi^{\star}\right), \mu\right)<\mathscr{L}\left(\left(u^{\star}, \phi^{\star}\right), \lambda^{\star}\right),
$$

imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall \mu \in M_{4}, \int_{\Omega} \text { gradu grad } \mu \mathrm{d} x=\int_{\Omega} \phi \mu \mathrm{dx} . \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.28) and (2.29), we deduce that ( $\left.u *, \phi^{*}\right) \in \gamma^{2}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\star} \in H_{0}^{2}(\Omega) \text { and } \phi \star=-\Delta u^{\star} \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Green's formula, (2.27) and (2.30), we get
$\forall v \in H_{0}^{2}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} \Delta u * \Delta v d x=\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{rrad}\left(-\Delta u^{*}\right) \operatorname{aradv} d x=\int_{\Omega} f v d x$,
i.e., $u *=u$, and the conclusion follows using ((2.26) and (2.30).

As a corollary of Theorem 3, we can write (see [20, Chapter VI])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{L}((u,-\Delta u), \lambda *)=\max _{\lambda \in \mathscr{m}_{\varphi}} g(\lambda), \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the function $g$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K: \lambda \in M_{\psi} \rightarrow g(\lambda)=\min _{(v, \psi) \in \delta \&} \mathscr{L}((v, \psi), \lambda) . \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the same notations as in Theorem 2, we may thus write

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\lambda)=\mathcal{L}\left(\left(u_{\lambda}, \phi_{\lambda}\right), \lambda\right)=-\frac{1}{2} \cdot \int_{\Omega}\left|\phi_{\lambda}\right|^{2} d x \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\lambda \in M_{y}$.
Lemma 1. Assume that the space 97 is equipped with a norm equivalent to the norm of the space $H^{1}(\Omega)$. Then the function $R$ defined in (2.32) is differentiable and its derivative $D_{a}(\lambda) \in M^{\prime}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle D_{g}(\lambda), \mu\right\rangle=B\left(\left(u_{\lambda}, \phi_{\lambda}\right), \mu\right) \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\lambda, \mu \in \prod_{\psi}$.

Proof. Write $g=f$. $h$, with the functions $f$ and $h$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f: v \in H^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|v|^{2} d x \\
& h: \lambda \in M_{H} \rightarrow \phi_{\lambda} \in H^{1}(\Omega) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us show that the affine mapping $h$ is continuous : From (2.11) and (2.12), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{grgd}\left(\phi_{\lambda}-\lambda\right) \operatorname{grad}\left(\phi_{\lambda}-\lambda\right) d x & =\int_{\Omega} I\left(\phi_{\lambda}-\lambda\right) d x \\
& <\|f\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\left\|\phi_{\lambda}-\lambda\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that, for some constant $C$ solely dependent upon the set $\Omega$, we have

$$
\left\|\phi_{\lambda^{-\lambda}}\right\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)} \leqslant C\|f\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}
$$

and thus the mapping $h$ is continuous since

$$
\left\|\phi_{\lambda}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}<\left\|^{\lambda}\right\|_{H^{l}(\Omega)}+C\| \|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}
$$

The mapping $h$ being affine, it suffices to compute its derivative when $f=0$, which yields for all $\lambda, \mu \in M_{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\operatorname{Dh}(\lambda), \mu\rangle=\phi_{\mu}^{0}, \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the function $\phi_{\mu}^{0} \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\mu}^{0}-\mu \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\forall v \in H_{0}^{l}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega}^{\operatorname{grad} \phi_{\mu}^{0}} \operatorname{gradv} d x=0$.
Since, for all $v, w \in H^{1}(\Omega)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\operatorname{Df}(v), w\rangle=-\int_{\Omega} v w d x \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain, from (2.35) and (2.38),

$$
\left\langle D_{g}(\lambda), \mu\right\rangle=-\int_{\Omega} \phi_{\lambda} \phi_{\mu}^{0} \mathrm{~d} x .
$$

To transform this last expression, we observe that

$$
\begin{align*}
-\int_{\Omega} \phi_{\lambda} \phi_{\mu}^{\circ} \mathrm{dx} & =\int_{\Omega} \phi_{\lambda}\left(\mu-\phi_{\mu}^{\circ}\right) \mathrm{dx}-\int_{\Omega} \phi_{\lambda} \mu \mathrm{dx}  \tag{2.39}\\
& =\beta\left(\left(u_{\lambda}, \phi_{\lambda}\right), \mu\right)
\end{align*}
$$

as a simple consequence of (2.13), (2.36) and (2.37).

Using the differentiability of the function $g$ which we fust established, we now apply the gradient method to the maximization problem (2.31), a techniaue known as Uzawa's method for the original problem. Given any function $\lambda^{\circ}$ in the space ${ }^{\circ} / 4$ and a parameter $\rho>0$ to be specified later (cf. Theorem 5), the method consists in defining a senuence of functions $\lambda^{n} \in M_{4}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall \mu \in \nabla \pi_{4},\left(\lambda^{n+1}-\lambda^{n}, \mu\right)_{m_{p}}=-0<D_{g}\left(\lambda^{n}\right), \mu>, n \geqslant 0, \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(\cdot . \cdot)_{m_{p}}$ is an inner-product in the space $T_{y}$ whose associated norm is equivalent to the norm in the space $H^{1}(\Omega)$.

As a simple corollary of Theorem 2 and Lemma 1 , we then have :
Theorem 4. Each iteration of Uzawa's method consists of the following steps :
(i) Given a function $\lambda^{n} \in M^{2}$, find the function $\phi^{n} \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ which satisfies :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi^{n}-\lambda^{n} \in H_{0}^{l}(\Omega)  \tag{2.41}\\
\forall v \in H_{0}^{l}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{Rrad} \phi^{n} \operatorname{aradv} d x=\int_{\Omega} f v d x . \tag{2.42}
\end{gather*}
$$

(ii) Find the function $u^{n} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ which satisfies :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall v \in H_{0}^{l}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{aradu}^{n} \operatorname{cradv} d x=\int_{\Omega} \phi^{n} v d x . \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) Find the function $\lambda^{n+1} \in M_{4}$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall u \in M_{4},\left(\lambda^{n+1}-\lambda^{n}, \mu\right)_{n_{1}}=0 \beta\left(\left(u^{n}, \phi^{n}\right), u\right) \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Uzawa method described in the previous theorem thus consists in solving a sequence of Dirichlet problems. That this scheme yields indeed the solution of the original problem will now be proved.

Theorem 5. The method described in Theorem 4 is convergent, in the

## sense that

(2.45)
(2.46)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} u^{n}=u \operatorname{in} H_{o}^{1}(\Omega) \\
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi^{n}=-\Delta u \operatorname{in} L^{2}(\Omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

provided that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<0<2 c^{2} \sigma^{2} \tag{2.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the quentity $\sigma$ is defined by.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\inf _{v \in H^{2}(\Omega) \cap_{H}^{1}{ }_{0}^{1}(\Omega)} \frac{\|\Delta v\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{\partial \gamma} \|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}}{} \tag{2.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $c$ is any constant such that

$$
\text { (2.49) } \quad \forall \mu \in H^{1}(\Omega), c\|\mu\|_{L^{2}(r)}<\sqrt{(\mu, \mu)_{\pi_{r}}} .
$$

Proof. We recall that the space $m$ is equipped with a norm equivalent to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{H^{l}(\Omega)}$. To prove the convergence (cf. (2.45) and (2.46)), it suffices to prove that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} u^{n}=0$ in $K_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi^{n}=0$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)$, in the special case where $f=0$.

Let us define a mapping

$$
\begin{equation*}
B: L^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow m_{4} \tag{2.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

as follows : for any piven $\psi \in L^{2}(\Omega)$, the function $B_{\psi} \in M_{4}$ is uniquely determined by the condition that

$$
\forall u \in \mathbb{m}_{\psi},(B \psi, u)_{m}=B((A \psi, \psi), \mu),
$$

where the mapping $A: L^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow{ }_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ is the mapping of (2.14). As a consequence, equations (2.44) may be rewritten as

$$
\lambda^{n+1}=\lambda^{n}+\rho B \phi^{n},
$$

so that by making use of (2.39) (recall that $f=0$ ), we deduce :

$$
\left(\lambda^{n+1}, \lambda^{n+1}\right)_{q / L}=\left(\lambda^{n}, \lambda^{n}\right)_{m_{\varphi}}-2 \rho \| \phi_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+o^{2}\left(B \phi^{n}, B \phi^{n}\right)_{m_{\varphi}},
$$

and therefore,
(2.51) $\quad\left(\lambda^{n}, \lambda^{n}\right)_{m_{\varphi}}-\left(\lambda^{n+1}, \lambda^{n+1}\right)_{m_{\varphi}}>\left(20-\Omega^{2}\|B\|^{2}\right)\left\|\phi^{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}$,
with
(2.52)

$$
\|B\|=\|B\|_{\alpha\left(L^{2}(\Omega) ; m_{n}\right)}=\sup _{\psi \in L^{2}(\Omega)} \frac{\sqrt{\left(\bar{B} \psi, \bar{B}_{\psi} T_{\infty}\right.}}{\|\psi\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}} .
$$

From (2.51), we may conclude that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi^{n}=0 \text { in } L^{2}(\Omega)
$$

and also, in view of the continuity of the mapping $A$, that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} u^{n}=0 \text { in } H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
$$

provided that
(2.53)

$$
0<n<\frac{2}{\|B\|^{2}}
$$

It therefore remains to obtain a lower bound for the quantity $\frac{?}{\operatorname{B}}$ if $2^{\circ}$ We have, for any function $\psi \in L^{2}(\Omega)$,

$$
\sqrt{(B \psi, B \psi)_{m_{m}}}=\sup _{\mu \in m_{r}} \frac{\left|(B \psi, \mu)_{m_{q}}\right|}{\sqrt{(\mu, \mu)_{m}}}
$$

and using Green's formula, we can write

$$
(B \psi, \mu)_{m_{0}}=B((A \psi, \psi), \mu)=\int_{\Gamma}^{0} \frac{\partial v}{\partial v} \mu d \gamma,
$$

where $v=A \psi$. As a consequence :

$$
\left|(B \psi, \mu)_{m_{1}}\right|<\left\|\frac{\partial v}{\partial v}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}\|\mu\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}<\frac{1}{c}\left\|\frac{\partial v}{\partial v}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)} \sqrt{(\mu, \mu)_{m_{r}}},
$$

with the constant $c$ defined as in (2.49). Since $-\Delta v=\psi$, we finally obtain the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|^{B}\right\|<\frac{1}{c} \sup _{v \in H^{2}(\Omega) \cap_{H}^{1}(\Omega)} \frac{\left\|\frac{\partial v}{\partial v}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}}{\|\Delta v\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}}, \tag{2.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which the conclusion follows.

Remark 1. Assume the space 97 is chosen to be the orthogonal complement of the space $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ in the space $H^{1}(\Omega)$. Then it is known that the space $M_{1}$, is iscomorphic to the space $H^{1 / 2}(\Gamma)$. That the space $m$, can be thought of as a space of traces on $\Gamma$ is also reflected by the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
B((v, \psi), \mu)=\iint_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial u}{\partial v} u d x, \tag{2.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

valid for any pair $(v, \psi) \in \mathcal{F}$.
Indeed it is possible to develop a treatmert analogous to that considered here with the space 9 replaced by a Hilbert space of functions defined over $r$; this is basically what has been done in $\{6 \mid$, with the space $L^{2}(r)$ in lieu of $\pi l$. Let us review briefly the main steps in this case : One looks for the saddle-point of the Lagrangian

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(v, \mu)=J(v)+\int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial v}{\partial v} \mu d x \tag{2.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $T$ defined as in (2.1)) over the product space $W \times L^{2}(r)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) . \tag{2.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Laprange multiplier is the trace of the function - $\Delta u$ over r; Compare with Theorem 3.

As shown in [6], one iteration of Uzawa's method consists in the following steps :
(i) Given a function $\lambda^{n} \in L^{2}(r)$, find the function $u^{n} \in W$ which satisfies :

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left(u^{n}, \lambda^{n}\right)=\min _{v \in W} L\left(v, \lambda^{n}\right) \tag{2.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) Find a function $\lambda^{n+1} \in L^{2}(r)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{n+1}=\lambda^{n}+n \frac{\partial u^{n}}{\partial v} . \tag{2.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that the minimization problem (2.58) amounts to solving, at least formally,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta^{2} u^{n} & =f \text { in } \Omega, \\
u^{n} & =0 \text { on } r,  \tag{2.60}\\
-\Delta u^{n} & =\lambda^{n} \text { on } r,
\end{align*}
$$

a problem which can be obviously decomposed into two Dirichlet problems for $-\Delta$. Notice also that equations (2.44) have now been replaced by the explicit equation (2.59), since we are now working in the space $L^{2}(r)$. For the same reason, we could prove the convergence of the above algorithm provided the parameter $\circ$ belongs to the interval $10,2 \sigma^{2}$ [; compare with Theorem 5.

However there is one good reason for "prefering" the space $\mathbb{M}$ : the functional set-up being in this case exactly the same for both the continuous and discrete problems, the proofs are the same in the discrete case, as we will see in the next section.
3. The discrete problem. We are given a finite-dimensional subspace $V_{h}$ of the space $H^{2}(\Omega)$ and we define the spaces

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{o h}=\left\{v_{h} \in v_{h} ; v_{h}=0 \text { on } r\right\}, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\gamma}_{h}=\left\{\left(v_{h}, \psi_{h}\right) \in v_{o h} \times v_{h} ; \forall u_{h} \in v_{h}, \beta\left(\left(v_{h}, \psi_{h}\right), u_{h}\right)=0\right\}, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$ where $s$ is defined as in (2.5).

Remark 2. It is worth pointing out that the same space $V_{h}$ approximates both spaces $L^{2}(\Omega)$ and $H^{1}(\Omega)$; compare (3.2) and the definition (2.4) of the space ${ }^{f 2}$. Indeed, we could carry out a seemingly more general discretization in which another space, say $Y_{h}$, would approximate the space $L^{2}(\Omega)$, but since we eventually need the inclusion $Y_{h} \subset V_{h}$ to derive the error estimates (cf. [1, Theorem 7]) it is therefore appropriate to assume at the outset that $Y_{h}=V_{h}$. In addition, this assumption results in aimpler statements and proofs at several places.

In analogy with Theorem 1, we define the discrete problem : Find a pair $\left(u_{n}, \phi_{h}\right) \in f_{h}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J}\left(u_{h}, \phi_{h}\right)=\min _{\left(v_{h}, \psi_{h}\right) \in \delta_{h}} \quad\left(v_{h}, \psi_{h}\right) . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It was proved in [1, Theorem 3] that this problem has a unique solution.

Let there be given a subspace $M_{h}$ of the space $V_{h}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{h}=v_{o h} \oplus m_{h} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the space
(3.5) 海 $_{h}=\left\{\left(v_{h}, \psi_{h}\right) \in v_{o h} \times v_{h} ; \forall u_{h} \in v_{o h}, \beta\left\{\left(v_{h}, \psi_{h}\right), \psi_{h}\right\}=0\right\}$.

The following is the discrete snalog of Theorem 2.
Theorem 6 . Given a function $\lambda_{h} \in T_{h_{h}}$, the problem: Find a pair $\left(u_{n}, \phi_{h}\right) \in$ fff $_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}\left(\left(u_{\lambda_{h}}, \phi_{\lambda_{h}}\right), \lambda_{h}\right)=\min _{\left(v_{h}, \psi_{h}\right) \in y_{h}} \mathcal{L}\left(\left(v_{h}, \psi_{h}\right), \lambda_{h}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

has one and only one solution, which may also be obtained by solving the following discrete Dirichlet problems :
(i) Find a function $\phi_{\lambda_{h}} \in V_{h}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall v_{h} \in v_{o h}, \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{grad} \phi_{\lambda_{h}} \operatorname{qradv}_{h} d x=\int_{\Omega} f v_{h} d x \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) Find a function $u_{\lambda_{h}} \in V_{o h}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall v_{h} \in v_{o h}, \int_{\Omega}^{\text {gradu }} \lambda_{h} \operatorname{gradv}_{h} d x=\| \|_{\Omega} \lambda_{h} v_{h} d x \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The proof is basically the same as the proof of Theorem 2 and, for this reason, will be omitted. We shall just mention that an essential use is made of the fact that the same space $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{h}}$ approximates both spaces $L^{2}(\Omega)$ and $H^{2}(\Omega)$; cf. Remark 2.

The pair $\left(u_{h}, \phi_{h}\right)$ being the solution of the discrete problem (3.3), we let $\phi_{o n}$ be the unique function in the space $V_{\text {oh }}$ such that the function ( $\phi_{h}-\phi_{o h}$ ) belones to the space $9 \eta_{h}$. We then have the following theorems whose proofs follow the same lines as the proofs of Theorems 3,4 and 5 . respect ively.

Theorem 7 . The triple $\left(\left(u_{h}, \phi_{h}\right), \phi_{h}-\phi_{o h}\right)$ is the unique sadde-point of the Lagrangian $\mathscr{L}$ of (2.9) over the space fit $n \times M_{n}$.

In the next theorem, $(\cdot, \cdot) m_{\varphi_{h}}$ is any inner-product in the space $M_{h}$ and $\rho$ is a strictly positive parameter.

Theorem 8. Each iteration of Uzewa's method consists of the following steps :
(i) Given a function $\lambda_{h}^{n} \in h_{h}$, find the function $\phi_{h}^{n} \in V_{h}$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{h}^{n}-\lambda_{h}^{n} \in v_{o h}, \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall v_{h} \in v_{o h}, \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{cxad} \phi_{h}^{n} \operatorname{gradv}_{h} d x=\int_{\Omega} f v_{h} d x . \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) Find the function $u_{h}^{n} \in v_{o h}$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall v_{h} \in v_{o h}, \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{aradu_{h}^{n}} \operatorname{Rradv}_{h} d x=\int_{\Omega} \phi_{h}^{n} v_{h} d x . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) Find the function $\lambda_{h}^{n+1} \in \pi_{h}$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall u_{h} \in m_{\psi_{h}},\left(\lambda_{h}^{n+1}-\lambda_{h}^{n}, u_{h}\right)_{m_{n}}=\rho B\left(\left(u_{h}^{n}, \phi_{h}^{n}\right), u_{h}\right) . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in (2.14), we define a mapping

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{h}: V_{h} \rightarrow V_{o h} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the condition that $v_{h}=A_{h} \psi_{h}$ be enuivalent to the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall u_{h} \in v_{o h} \cdot l_{\Omega} \operatorname{argad}_{h} \operatorname{gradu}_{h} d x=\int_{\Omega} \psi_{h} u_{h} d x . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also define a manping

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{h}: v_{h} \rightarrow 9 m_{h} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

as follows : for any function $\psi_{h} \in v_{h}$, the function $B_{h} \psi_{h}$ satisfies the equations (compare with (2.50)) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall u_{h} \in m_{w_{h}},\left(B_{h} \psi_{h}, \mu_{h}\right)_{m_{h}}=B\left(\left(A_{h} \psi_{h}, \psi_{h}\right), u_{h}\right) . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the sequel it will be understood that $\left\|B_{h}\right\|$ is the norm of the linear mapping $B_{h}$ when the spaces $V_{h}$ and $T_{\varphi_{h}}$ are respectively equipped
with the norms $\|\cdot\| L_{L^{2}(\Omega)}$ and $\sqrt{(\cdot, \cdot)_{m_{h}}}$.
Theorem 2. The method described in Theorem 8 is convergent in the sense that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{n}^{n}=u_{h} \text { in } v_{o h},  \tag{3.18}\\
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{n}^{n}=\phi_{h} \text { in } v_{n}, \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

provided that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\rho<2 \sigma_{h}^{2} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{h}=\frac{1}{\left\|B_{h}\right\|} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is worth pointing out that the convergence of the present method is thus guaranteed for any choice of subspace $m_{h}$ satisfying (3.4) and any choice of inner-product over the space th $_{h}$. What is not independent upon these data however is the quantity $\sigma_{h}$ of (3.21) and in practive, it is of course desirable to get an asymptotic estimate of this quantity. This will be achieved in the next theorem, but first, we need to develop some preliminaries.

In the sequel, triangulations $E_{h}$, made up of finite elements $K$, are established over the set $\bar{\Omega}$ in the sense that $\bar{\Omega}=\bigcup_{K \in E_{h}} K$, the finite elements satisfying the usual geometrical restrictions about their respective positions. It is assumed that for all the triangulations which we consider, all the finite elements $K$ are the image $F_{K}(\hat{K})$ of a reference finite element $\hat{K}$ through an affine mapping $F_{K}$. With such a triangulation, we associate the space

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{h}}=\left\{\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{h}} \in \varphi_{0}(\bar{\Omega}), \forall \mathrm{K} \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{h}},\left.\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{h}}\right|_{\mathrm{K}} \in \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{K}}\right\}, \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{K}=\left\{v: K \rightarrow R ; v=\hat{v} \cdot \vec{F}_{K}^{-1}, \forall \hat{v} \in \hat{P}\right\} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\hat{P}$ is a given finite-dimensional space of functions $\hat{\mathrm{v}}: \widehat{\mathrm{K}} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ which satisfies the inclusion

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1} \subset \hat{P} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{1}$ denotes the set of all polynomials of degrees 1 in two variables.
The space $V_{\text {oh }}$ is then defined as in (3.1).
By a regular family ( $\mathcal{E}_{h}$ ) of triangulations, we mean that for some constants $\alpha$ and $\beta$ independent of $h$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\max _{K \in \mathcal{E}_{h}}\left(\frac{h(K)}{o(K)}\right)<a,  \tag{3.25}\\
\tau \max _{K \in \mathcal{E}_{h}} h(K) \leqslant \min _{K \in \mathscr{E}_{h}} h(K), \tag{3.26}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $h(K)=$ diameter of $K, \rho(K)=$ sup\{diameter of inscribed spheres in $K\}$. Finally we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=\max _{K \in \epsilon_{h}} h(K) \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Althouph the space $9 m_{h}$ is not uniquely determined by the sole condition that the direct sum (3.4) shall hold, there is a "canonical" choice for the space $9 M_{h}$ : Since we are considering subspaces made up of piecewise nolynomials defined by their values at nodes, we shall henceforth assume that the space $9 m_{h}$ consists of those functions in the space $V_{h}$ whose values are zero at the interior nodes, i.e., in $\Omega$. Defined in this fashion, the space $M_{h}$ also appears as a natural discrete analos of the space $O M_{0}$, which essentially consists of traces over r; cf. Remark 2.

Theorem 10. With the above choice for the space $9 M_{h}$, assume that the inner-product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{q_{h}}$ is the inner-product of the space $L^{2}(r)$. Then for subspaces $V_{h}, V_{o h}$, and $M_{h}$, satisfying the above conditions, and associated with reqular families of triangulations, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \sigma_{h}=\sigma, \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{h}$ and $\sigma$ are defined as in (2.48) and (3.21), respectively.
Proof. Given two functions $\psi$ and $\mu$ in the space $H^{1}(\Omega)$, there exist two sequences, $\left(\psi_{h}\right)$ and $\left(\mu_{h}\right)$, of functions in the space $V_{h}$ such that (3.29)

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \psi_{h}=\psi \text { and } \lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \mu_{h}=\mu \text { in } H^{1}(\Omega),
$$

in view of (3.24). Using the operators of (2.14) and (3.14), it is easily established that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} A_{h} \psi_{h}=A \psi \text { in } H^{1}(\Omega) . \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given any function $\mu_{h} \in V_{h}$, we can write in a unique fashion

$$
\mu_{h}=\mu_{o h}+\mu_{r, h} \text { with } \mu_{o h} \in v_{o h}, \mu_{r, h} \in M M_{h} \text {, }
$$

so that, as a consequence of our present choice for the space $M_{h}$ and its inner-product, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Gamma}^{B_{h} \psi_{h} \mu_{h} d y} & =\left(B_{h} \psi_{h}, \mu_{\Gamma, h}\right)_{M_{h}} \\
& =\int_{\Omega}{g r a d A_{h} \psi_{h}}{ }^{2 r a d} \mu_{r, h}{ }^{d x}-\int_{\Omega} \psi_{h}{ }^{\mu} r, h
\end{aligned}
$$

in view of the definition of the operator $B_{h}$ of (3.16). Since on the other hand, from (3.15),

$$
\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{EradA}_{h} \psi_{h} \operatorname{Grad} \mu_{o h} d x=\int_{\Omega} \psi_{h} \mu_{o h} d x,
$$

we may always write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Gamma} B_{h} \psi_{h} u_{h} d \gamma=\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{gradA}_{h} \psi_{h} g r a d u_{h} d x-\int_{\Omega} \psi_{h} u_{h} d x, \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all functions $\mu_{h} \in V_{h}$. As a consequence of (3.29), (3.30) and (3.31), we thus have :

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Gamma} B_{h} \psi_{h} \mu_{h} d y & =\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{gradA} \psi \operatorname{grad} \mu \mathrm{dx}-\int_{\Omega} \psi \mu \mathrm{dx}  \tag{3.32}\\
& =\int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial v}{\partial v} \mu \mathrm{~d} y,
\end{align*}
$$

where the function $v \in H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ satisfies $-\Delta v=\psi$.
By definition (cf. (3.21)), we have for all h :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{h}<\frac{\left\|\psi_{h}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}}{\left\|B_{h} \psi_{h}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}}<\frac{\left\|\psi_{h}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\left\|\psi_{h}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}}{\| B_{\Gamma} B_{h} \psi_{h} \psi_{h} d \gamma \mid}, \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that we easily deduce from (3.29) and (3.32) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \sup \sigma_{h}<\sigma . \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us now derive the opposite inequality. For any $h$, we let $\psi_{h}$ and $u_{h}$ be two arbitrary functions in the spaces $V_{h}$ and $\pi_{h}$, respectively. We let

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{h}=A_{n} \psi_{h} \quad \text { and } \tilde{u}_{n}=A \psi_{n} . \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

By definition of $\sigma$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{\sigma} \geqslant \frac{\left\|\frac{\partial v}{\partial v}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}}{\|\Delta v\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}} \geqslant \frac{\left|\int_{\Omega}^{G r a d A \psi \operatorname{grad} \mu d x}-\int_{\Omega}^{\psi \mu d x}\right|}{\|\psi\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\|\mu\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}},
$$

for all functions $\psi=-\Delta v \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ and $\mu \in H^{2}(\Omega)$, and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sigma} \geqslant \frac{\| \int_{\Omega}^{\operatorname{gradA} \psi_{h} g r a d \mu_{h} d x-\int \psi_{h} \mu_{h} d x \mid}}{\left\|\psi_{h}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\left\|\mu_{h}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}} \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

in particular. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{gradA} \psi_{h} \operatorname{grad} \mu_{h} d x-\iint_{\Omega} \psi_{h} \mu_{h} d x & =\iint_{\Gamma} B_{h} \psi_{h} \mu_{h} d \gamma+ \\
& +\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{grad}\left(\tilde{u}_{h}-u_{h}\right) \operatorname{erad} \mu_{h} d x,
\end{aligned}
$$

we have
(3.37) $\left|\int_{\Omega}^{\operatorname{gradA} \psi_{h}} \operatorname{grad} \mu_{h} d x-\int_{\Omega} \psi_{h} \mu_{h} d x\right|>$

$$
\geqslant\left|\|_{\Gamma} B_{h} \psi_{h} \mu_{h} d \gamma\right|-\left|\tilde{u}_{h}-u_{h}\right|_{1, \Omega}\left|\mu_{h}\right|_{1, \Omega}
$$

where, in general, $|v|_{1, \Omega}=\int_{\Omega}|g r a d v|^{2} d x$. Since we are considering regular families of triangulations, it is easily established on the one hand that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\tilde{u}_{h}-u_{h}\right|_{1, \Omega}<\operatorname{ch}\left\|\psi_{h}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and on the other hand that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mu_{h}\right|_{1, \Omega}<\frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{R}}\left\|\mu_{h}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)} \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constants $C$ and $\gamma$ independent of $h$, the inequality of (3.39) making use of the fact that the functions of the space $9_{n}$ vanish outside the "boundary" finite elements. From (3.36), (3.37), (3.38) and (3.39), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sigma}>\frac{\left|\|_{\Gamma} B_{h} \psi_{h} \psi_{h} d \gamma\right|}{\left\|\psi_{h}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\left\|\psi_{h}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}}-c_{\gamma} V_{h} \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

for ail $\psi_{h} \in V_{h}$ and $\mu_{h} \in 9 M_{h}$. Since
we eventually obtain

$$
\frac{1}{\sigma_{h}}<\frac{1}{\sigma}+c_{\gamma} \sqrt{h},
$$

and therefore
(3.41)

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \inf \sigma_{h} \geqslant \sigma
$$

The conclusion then follows from (3.34) and (3.41).
Remack 3. With this choice for the inner-product in the space $0 M_{n}$, solving problem (iii) (cf. Theorem 8) mounts in general to solving a linear system of roughly $a \sqrt{N}$ equations, $a$ : constant independent of $h$, whereas solving either problem (i) or (ii) (cr. Theorem 8) requires the solution of N linear equations.

As a consequence, the amount of work required for solving problem (iii) is negligible with respect to the total amount of work required in one iteration, at least asymptotically.

If this is still considered to be too much, there remains the possibility to use a numerical integration procedure over $\Gamma$, and this is precisely why Theorem 9 was proved with an arbitrary inner-product over the space $M_{n}$.
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