

M. MÉTIVIER

Integration with Respect to Processes of Linear Functionals

Publications des séminaires de mathématiques et informatique de Rennes, 1975, fascicule 1

« Séminaires de Rennes », , p. 38-67

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PSMIR_1975__1_A3_0

© Département de mathématiques et informatique, université de Rennes, 1975, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la série « Publications mathématiques et informatiques de Rennes » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

INTEGRATION WITH RESPECT TO PROCESSES
OF LINEAR FUNCTIONALS

by M. METIVIER

of "integrale stochastique radonifiante" as introduced
S. Paris t. 276 - 1973 for the cylindrical brownian
the general context of generalized quasi-martingales.
theory in which the notions and formulas of the Kunita-
real square integrable martingales have a natural ex-

purpose of studying stochastic partial differential
considering perturbations which are "white noise in
the mathematical expression of such an object is a
or a linear random functional as studied for example
dering the special case of "cylindrical brownian motion",
ed a stochastic integral with respect to such a sto-
for example [7] and [11]). In [7] the operator valued
integrated with respect to the cylindrical brownian
the integral process is a (Hilbert valued) Martingale
; and in [11], a Girsanov-theorem is obtained in such

the of this study is to show that in a very general
to developp a theory of stochastic integration with
real martingales", which extends in a natural way the
ic integral with respect to square integrable martin-
t valued) as studied in [10], [14], [18] for

example.

After the necessary definitions we give in §3
theorem of Doob-Meyer type for generalized quasi-martingales

In §4 the stochastic integral is defined, and
mula as in [16] is given. The class of operator-valued
are integrated is wide. The values of the process (as in
necessairly continuous operators. But if, on the contrary
Hilbert-Schmidt valued the integral process is an ordinary
valued martingale.

In §5 an Ito's formula is given for those gen-
martingale. But in this first draft of the work, the formula
only in the case of continuous quasi-martingales.

STOCHASTIC FUNCTIONALS - NOTATIONS

In all this paper we will assume that T is a closed or \mathbb{R}^+ , a basic probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) and an increasing \mathbb{R}^+ of sub- σ -algebras of \mathcal{F} with the usual following condition: \mathcal{F}_t is P -complete and all the P -null sets in \mathcal{F} are in \mathcal{F}_t for every t .

Let \mathcal{R}_s mean the set of "predictable rectangles": $]s, t] \times F \subset T \times \Omega$ where T and $F \in \mathcal{F}_s$.

Let \mathcal{B} be the algebra of subsets of $T \times \Omega$ generated by \mathcal{R} . Let \mathcal{G} be the σ -algebra generated by \mathcal{B} , i.e. : the σ -algebra of subsets of $T \times \Omega$.

$\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{K}$, will denote real Hilbert spaces, all of them separable (in our context this is no restriction). The scalar products will be denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} \dots$ or simply $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. There is no possible confusion. The norm will be written: $\|\cdot\|$ etc... If \mathbb{B} is a Banach space, then \mathbb{B}' will denote the dual space.

$\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{C})$ (set of continuous linear forms) endowed, if not otherwise stated, with the dual Banach norm. We recall that the algebraic tensor product $\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ can be endowed with several norms, giving rise to different topologies of $\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{C}$:

$\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{C})$ is the completion for a norm such that every continuous bilinear form $b: (\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ can be factorized in a unique way as $b(x, y) = \langle u, x \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} \langle v, y \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ where u is the canonical inbedding $\Pi(x, y) = x \otimes y$ and u_b is the linear mapping from $\mathbb{H} \otimes_1 \mathbb{C}$ into \mathbb{K} , with same norm as b . The norm is called the trace-norm and denoted $\|\cdot\|_{Tr}$. Recall that $b(x, y) = \langle x, y \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}$ the corresponding linear form u_b on $\mathbb{H} \otimes_1 \mathbb{C}$ is the trace-form and denoted Tr .

\mathbb{C} is a Hilbert space with scalar product an extension of the scalar product on \mathbb{C} : $\langle x, x' \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} \cdot \langle y, y' \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$.

\mathbb{C} is a Banach space, the norm of which will be more precisely defined later.

The three topologies induced by the three canonical norms on the tensor product $\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ are comparable and we have the following injection:

$$\mathbb{H} \otimes_1 \mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{H} \otimes_2 \mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}.$$

Moreover an important theorem of Schatten (see chap. 48) says that the function $(x \otimes y, x' \otimes y') \mapsto \langle x, x' \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} \langle y, y' \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ can be extended as a continuous bilinear form on $(\mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C})$. This duality $\mathbb{H} \otimes_1 \mathbb{C}$ identifies itself with the Banach dual of $\mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}$.

I-3 There is a unique injective linear mapping from the vector space of linear operators with finite range from \mathbb{H} into \mathbb{C} associating to $x \otimes y$ the operator $h \mapsto \langle x, h \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} y$. This linear mapping has several continuous extensions which are:

- 1° isometry from $\mathbb{H} \otimes_1 \mathbb{C}$ onto $\mathcal{L}_1(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{C})$, the space of nuclear operators from \mathbb{H} into \mathbb{C} with the usual norm;
- 2° isometry from $\mathbb{H} \otimes_2 \mathbb{C}$ onto $\mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{C})$, the space of Hilbert-Schmidt operators from \mathbb{H} into \mathbb{C} with the Hilbert-Schmidt scalar product;
- 3° isometry from $\mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}$ onto $\mathcal{L}_c(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{C})$, the space of compact operators with the usual norm of compact operators.

In as much $x \otimes y$ can be identified with a bilinear form on $(\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C})$ or a continuous linear form on $\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{C}$, the following identity holds:

$$\langle x \otimes y, x' \otimes y' \rangle = \langle x, x' \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} \cdot \langle y, y' \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$$

there is also a continuous linear extension of the preceding mapping into an isometry from $(\mathbb{H} \otimes_1 \mathbb{C})'$ onto $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{C})$, the Banach space of bounded operators from \mathbb{H} into \mathbb{C} with the usual norm. The norm is the one which associates to a bounded bilinear form on $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}$ the norm of the corresponding linear operator \tilde{b} in $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{C})$ such that $\langle \tilde{b}(x), y \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = \langle x, y \rangle_{\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{C}}$.

I-4 Random variables with values in \mathbb{H} will be called \mathbb{H} -measurable mappings from Ω into \mathbb{H} . If such a random variable X has the property $E(\|X\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2) < \infty$, then $\omega \mapsto X(\omega) \otimes X(\omega)$ is a stochastic random variable with values in $\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{H}$, and as $\|x \otimes y\|_{Tr}$

able mapping from Ω into $\mathbb{H} \otimes_1 \mathbb{H}$. As a consequence and is called the covariance of the variable X.

X is moreover associated the continuous mapping \tilde{X} : into $L^2_{\mathbb{H}}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$, this mapping appears to be Hilbert- can be shown that conversely to a mapping \tilde{X} linear from \mathbb{H} into can be associated a random variable X with values in \mathbb{H} , $\tilde{X}(h)$ a.s, if and only if \tilde{X} is Hilbert-Schmidt. The Hilbert L^2 of \tilde{X} is equal then to $\sqrt{E(\|X\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2)}$.

To abbreviate the writing we will write

$$\mathcal{H}^p = L^p_{\mathbb{R}}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P) \quad p \geq 0$$

$$\mathcal{H}^p_t = L^p_{\mathbb{R}}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_t, P) \quad p \geq 0$$

The norm in $\mathcal{L}_1(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{C})$ will be written $\|\cdot\|_{Tr}$, the norm $\|\cdot\|_{H.S}$, the norm in $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{C}) : \|\cdot\|_b$.

LINEAR FUNCTIONALS

Définition 1

Let us write $\mathcal{H}^p_t = L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_t, P)$ where $p \geq 0$. Let \mathbb{B} Then a family $\tilde{X} = (\tilde{X}_t)_{t \in T} \subset \mathbb{R}$, where $\tilde{X}_t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{B}; \mathcal{H}^p_t)$ be called a p-process of stochastic linear functionals on \mathbb{B} . (i.e. a process of S.L.F. on \mathbb{B}).

For every $h \in \mathbb{B}$, the real process $(\tilde{X}_t(h))_{t \in T}$ is a martin- \tilde{X} will be called a p-cylindrical martingale.

what has been said in the first paragraph, if $\tilde{X}_t \in \mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{H}; \mathcal{H}^p_t)$ for every t and h, $\tilde{X}_t(h) = \langle X_t, h \rangle$ where $X = (X_t)_{t \in T}$ are integrable stochastic process with values in \mathbb{H} , as example in [10] and [14].

Definition 2

A p-process \tilde{X} of S.L.F on \mathbb{B} will be said p-right continuous if, for every $h \in \mathbb{B}$, $t \rightsquigarrow \tilde{X}_t(h)$ mapping from $[0, T]$ into $L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$. It is said continuous if, for every $h \in \mathbb{H}$, there is a version of $(\tilde{X}_t(h))_{t \in [0, T]}$ with continuous (resp. right-continu-

II-2 Doleans' measure of a process of lin

We extend here the concept of Doleans' defined in [5] for real sub-martingale and extended s valued quasi-martingales (see for ex. [15]).

To every process \tilde{X} of S.L.F. on the Banach the additive functions $\tilde{\alpha}_X$ with values in \mathbb{B}' defined predictable rectangles by

$$(II-2-1) \quad \tilde{\alpha}_X(]s, t] \times F) = E [1_F \cdot (\tilde{X}_t - \tilde{X}_s)] \in \mathbb{B}'$$

Such a function on \mathcal{R} has clearly an add algebra \mathcal{B} generated by \mathcal{R} . We call it $\tilde{\alpha}_X$ again

Definition 3

If the additive function $\tilde{\alpha}_X$ on \mathcal{B} has (for the norm of \mathbb{B}'), the process \tilde{X} of stochastic l will be called a generalized quasi-martingale.

This clearly generalizes the classical de We have then the

Proposition 1

For \tilde{X} to be a generalized quasi m sary and sufficient that the family of rec (α^h_X) associated with the real processes bounded variation, and that the set of tho

ordered set of bounded positive measures.

from the fact that the total variation of $\tilde{\alpha}_X$ can be of the type

$$\sum_i |X_{t_i}(h_i) - X_{s_i}(h_i)| \quad h_i \in \mathbb{B}, \quad \|h_i\| \leq 1$$

the variations can be approximated by sums of the

$$\sum_i |E \{ 1_{F_i} [X_{t_i}(h_i) - X_{s_i}(h_i)] \}|$$

both supremum coincide.

are integrable cylindrical martingales

\tilde{M} be a 2-cylindrical martingale on the Banach space the 1-process of S.L.F on $\mathbb{B} \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{B}$ defined by

$$h \otimes g = \tilde{M}_t(h) \cdot \tilde{M}_t(g)$$

ar that if \tilde{M} is the process of S.L.F associated with M with values in \mathbb{B} , \tilde{X} is the process of S.L.F. on \mathbb{B} in the ordinary sense process $(M_t \otimes M_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, $\hat{\otimes} \mathbb{B}$.

wn (cf. for example [15]) that, if M is right continuous in sense quasi-martingale, with associated σ -additive (with values in $\mathbb{B} \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{B}$)

$$s, t] \times F) \Big|_{(\mathbb{B} \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{B}'),} \leq \|E\|_F (M_t - M_s)^{\otimes 2} \Big|_{\mathbb{B} \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{B}}$$

d quasi-martingale.

Following example shows that for a 2-cylindrical martingale true that $\tilde{M} \otimes M$ is a generalized quasi-martingale.

Example 1

Let (t_n) be a decreasing sequence to zero. Let \mathbb{H} be a Hilbert space, (e_n) an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{H} and let us define the martingale :

$$\tilde{M}_t(h) = \sum_n \int_{t_{n+1}, \infty} [(h | e_n) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{t_n - t_{n+1}}}] (\beta_t)$$

where (β_t) is a usual real standard Brownian motion. For e is a process with independent increments, zero on $]0, t_{n+1}]$, constant on $[t_n, \infty[$. For every $h \in \mathbb{H}$, the above series $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_t, P)$ with

$$E |\tilde{M}_t(h)|^2 \leq \|h\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2$$

defining a process with zero-mean independent increments. the partition $(]t_{n+1}, t_n] \times \Omega)_{n > 0}$ of $]0, t_1] \times \Omega$, it is seen that, \tilde{X} being the process of S.L.F. above defined :

$$\sum_n E \left\| 1_{\Omega} (\tilde{X}_{t_n} - \tilde{X}_{t_{n+1}}) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H} \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{H})} \geq \sum_n E \frac{1}{\sqrt{t_n - t_{n+1}}}$$

We will then give the following

Definition 4

A 2-cylindrical martingale \tilde{M} , on a Banach space \mathbb{B} is called a square integrable cylindrical martingale (S.I.C.M.) if the 1-process of S.L.F. (improperly) denoted by $\tilde{M} \otimes \tilde{M}$, de-

$$\tilde{M} \otimes \tilde{M}(h \otimes g) = \tilde{M}(h) \cdot \tilde{M}(g)$$

is a quasi-martingale.

The additive measure $\alpha_{\tilde{M} \otimes \tilde{M}}$ on $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{B}}$ will be called the quadratic measure of \tilde{M} , and its variation the control measure.

Example 2

The Brownian process, associated with the unitary matrix on a Hilbert space \mathbb{H} is a S.I.C. Martingale : let u

of S.L.F on \mathbb{H} , such that, for every finite set of vectors
the process $(\tilde{W}_t(h_1), \dots, \tilde{W}_t(h_n))$ is an n-dimensional

with independant increments, and the bilinear form
 (g) is the scalar product $\langle h, g \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}$.

In case, the quadratic-measure α of \tilde{W} associates to every
 $[s, t] \times F$ the linear form on $\mathbb{H} \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{H}$ defined by

$$[s, t] \times F)(h \otimes g) = E \left\{ 1_F \left[\tilde{W}_t(h) \cdot \tilde{W}_t(g) - \tilde{W}_s(h) \cdot \tilde{W}_s(g) \right] \right\}$$

properties of \tilde{W} :

$$\begin{aligned} [s, t] \times F)(h \otimes g) &= E \left\{ 1_F (W_t(h) - W_s(h)) \cdot (W_t(g) - W_s(g)) \right\} \\ &= P(F) \cdot (t-s)(h|g) \\ &= P(F) \cdot (t-s) \text{Tr}(h \otimes g) \end{aligned}$$

α is then a one dimensional measure with values in
equal to the product measure $\ell \otimes P$ where ℓ is the Lebesgue

Proposition 2 Let us assume that \mathbb{B} is reflexive.

If \tilde{M} is a right continuous S.I.C. Martingale on the
space \mathbb{B} , the quadratic measure α of \tilde{M} has a σ -additive
version (in \mathbb{B}') to the σ -algebra of predictable subsets of

enough to show that the variation of α has a σ -additive
proposition 1 and its proof, and the set of σ -additive
band (cf. [2]) in the ordered set of finitely
with bounded variation, the variation of α will be
for every $h \in \mathbb{B}$ the measure α^h is σ -additive. In
own property of square integrable real martingales
the measures $\alpha^{h \otimes g}$ are σ -additive, and the same is clearly
with u in $\mathbb{H} \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{H}$.

III - DECOMPOSITION THEOREM FOR A GENERALIZED QUASI-MART

For the purpose of transformation formulas
integration, Doob-Meyer's decomposition theorem play an
We intend to give an extension of such decomposition the
setting.

All this rests on the following :

III-1 Theorem 1

Let \mathbb{E}' be the Banach dual of a separable \mathbb{B}
 α be a σ -additive measure on the predictable sets, with
bounded variation, such that for every evanescent set A ,

Let $\tau(\mathbb{E}', \mathbb{E})$ denote the Mackey topology
finest locally convex topology on \mathbb{E}' for which \mathbb{E} is the

Then :

1°) There exists a stochastic process V , with values
with right continuous paths for $\tau(\mathbb{E}', \mathbb{E})$, unique
gability such that

(i) $\forall t$ V_t is weakly integrable in \mathbb{E}' for

(ii) For every $\omega \in \Omega$, the interval-functi

$[s, t] \rightsquigarrow V_t(\omega) - V_s(\omega)$ can be extended
on the Borel sets of $[0, T]$, with values in \mathbb{E}'
variation (for the norm of \mathbb{E}') and σ -additive

(iii) If $E(1_F | \mathcal{F}_u^-)$ denotes a left contin
table) version of the real martingale $(E(1_F | \mathcal{F}_t))$
 $\forall s \leq t \quad \forall F \in \mathcal{F}_t$

$$(III-1-1) \quad E \left[1_F \cdot (V_t - V_s) \right] = \int_{]s, t] \times \Omega} E(1_F | \mathcal{F}_u^-)$$

2°) The process V just defined is predictable as a
 $(\mathbb{E}', \sigma(\mathbb{E}', \mathbb{E}))$, and $\|V_t\|_{\mathbb{E}'}$ is predictable as

For every $A \in \mathcal{P}$ we define (integrating on each path of V):

$$\int 1_A(s, \cdot) dV_s(\cdot) \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{E}'}^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P) \quad (*)$$

stochastic measure (cf. [15] or [18]) with bounded variation $\|\alpha\|$.

First remark that, to the difference with the situation which is not assumed to be separable. The proof, nevertheless, is the same. We sketch it, insisting only upon the needed modifications.

For every t , the mapping α_t on \mathcal{F}_t defined through

$$\alpha_t = \int_{]0, t] \times \Omega} E(1_F | \mathcal{F}_u)^-(\omega) \alpha(du, d\omega)$$

has values in \mathbb{E}' , with

$$\|\alpha_t\|_{\mathbb{E}'} \leq \int_{]0, t] \times \Omega} E(1_F | \mathcal{F}_u)^-(\omega) \|\alpha\| (du, d\omega)$$

The measure on the right side of this inequality is positive, has bounded variation, and such that $F \in \mathcal{F}_t$, $P(F) = 0$. Then there exists (cf. [13]) a density U_t from Ω for the topology $\sigma(\mathbb{E}', \mathbb{E})$. Because of the separability \mathcal{F}_t -measurable.

$$\text{Prop: } \forall f \in L_{\mathbb{E}'}^\infty(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_t, P), s < t$$

$$E \langle f, U_t - U_s \rangle = \int_{]s, t] \times \Omega} E(f | \mathcal{F}_u)^-(\omega) \alpha(du, d\omega)$$

and

$$\forall F \in \mathcal{F}_s \quad E(1_F \cdot \|U_t - U_s\|_{\mathbb{E}'}) \leq \|\alpha\|(\]s, t] \times F)$$

$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{E}'}$ is the Pettis space of weakly integrable mappings in

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{E}'}} = \sup_{\|y\| \leq 1, y \in \mathbb{E}} E |\langle y, f \rangle|$$

Using the separability of \mathbb{E} , it is proved, that, for each t , U_t can be modified on a P -null set into \tilde{U}_t such that for every $y \in \mathbb{E}$, the real process $(\langle y, \tilde{V}_t \rangle)_{t \in [0, T]}$ consists of paths with bounded variation. This implies the σ -additivity of the interval-function $\int]s, t] \langle y, \tilde{V}_t(\omega) - \tilde{V}_s(\omega) \rangle$, (cf. chap. III) the extendability of this function into a measure on the sets of $[0, T]$, with values in a ball of \mathbb{E}' (because of the separability of \mathbb{E}'), and σ -additive for all the topologies which are compatible with the duality $(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E}')$, in particular the topology $\tau(\mathbb{E}', \mathbb{E})$. As a consequence $t \mapsto \tilde{V}_t(\omega)$ is right continuous for this topology. This proves the part 1) of the theorem, except for the separability of the paths.

Part 2) is a mere consequence of the fact that (iii) implies the naturality of each real process $(\langle y, \tilde{V}_t \rangle)$ and then its predictability. The predictability of $\|\tilde{V}\|$ follows from the separability of \mathbb{E} .

As to part 3) the only thing to prove is that $\|\tilde{V}\|$ is a consequence of : $\forall s < t$, and $F \in \mathcal{F}_s$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{V}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{E}'}}(\]s, t] \times F) &= \sup_{\|y\| \leq 1} E \{ | \int]s, t] \langle y, \tilde{V}_t \rangle - \langle y, \tilde{V}_s \rangle | \} \\ &\leq \sup_{\|y\| \leq 1} \int]s, t] \times F E \langle y, \alpha \rangle = \|\alpha\|(\]s, t] \times F) \end{aligned}$$

As now, for every subdivision $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = T$ of the interval $[0, T]$, $E(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \|\tilde{V}_{t_{i+1}} - \tilde{V}_{t_i}\|_{\mathbb{E}'}) \leq \|\alpha\|(\]0, T])$ the bounded variation of the paths is immediate and the proof is complete.

III-2 Corollary (Decomposition theorem)

Let \tilde{X} be a generalised quasi-martingale on a Banach space \mathbb{B} . Then there exists a uniquely defined (up to a P -null set) process V , with values in \mathbb{B}' , with the following property:

... with bounded variation (for the norm of B') and continuous for $\tau(B', B)$.

$y \in B, \langle y, V \rangle$ is a real predictable process.

by \tilde{V} the 1-process of S.L.F. on B associated with V , the process $\tilde{X} - \tilde{V}$ is a cylindrical martingale.

... immediate consequence of theorem 1, because saying that the difference of two processes of S.L.F. is a martingale is equivalent to saying that \tilde{X} and \tilde{V} have same Doleans' measure. And V is uniquely determined as the process with properties (i), (ii) and (iii) corresponding to the measure $\alpha_{\tilde{X}}$.

Proposition 5

Let α be a σ -additive measure as in theorem 1 (resp. \tilde{X} be a cylindrical martingale as in corollary). The process V of the theorem (resp. \tilde{V}) will be called the natural process of the measure α (resp. the natural process of the cylindrical martingale \tilde{X}).

Proposition 3

Let V be the natural process of the measure α , as in theorem 1, and m the measure as in part 3) of the theorem.

For every $h \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_t, P)$ and every predictable bounded process ϕ with values in $\mathcal{L}(B'; E)$ where E is a Banach space, we have:

$$\int \phi \, dm = \int E(h | \mathcal{F}_n)^-(\omega) \phi(u, \omega) \alpha(du, d\omega)$$

For every predictable process Ψ , with values in $\mathcal{L}(B'; F')$ where F' is the dual of a Banach space F , with the property

$$\int \|\Psi\| \, d|\alpha| < \infty$$

the natural process W of the measure β defined by

$$\beta(A) = \int_A \Psi \, d\alpha$$

is such that for P -almost all ω :

$$W_t(\omega) = \int_{]0, t]} \Psi(s, \omega) \, dV(s, \omega) \quad (\text{integral with respect to } V)$$

Proof

The first part of the proposition is immediate.

$$\phi = 1_{]s, t]} \times F, \quad s < t, \quad F \in \mathcal{F}_s.$$

Then it is true, by linearity and density, for every predictable process.

To prove 2°) we have to show that $\forall h \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_t, P)$

$$E(h \cdot \int_{]0, t]} \Psi \, dV) = \int_{]0, t]} E(h | \mathcal{F}_u)^- \Psi \, d\alpha$$

But this is 1° with $E = F'$.

Definition 6

Let \tilde{M} be a right continuous S.I.C. martingale and $\langle M \rangle$ the natural process of the quadratic measure α of \tilde{M} .

III-3 Local cylindrical quasi-martingale

Let \tilde{X} be a 0-process of S.L.F. For a stopping time $t \in [0, T]$, $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\tilde{X}_t(g)$ is a linear map from \mathcal{H} into $L^0(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_t, P)$. The thus defined 0-process is denoted by $1_{]0, T]} \tilde{X}$, we will then have the natural extension of the previous definition.

Definition 7

A process of S.L.F. \tilde{X} will be called a local cylindrical (resp. a local S.I.C. martingale) if there exists an increasing sequence $(\tau(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of stopping times, and a corresponding sequence of local cylindrical quasi-martingales (resp. S.I.C. martingales) \tilde{X}_n such that $\tilde{X}_n = \tilde{X}$ on $]0, \tau(n)[$ a.s. and

$$\forall n \quad \int_{]0, \tau(n)[} \tilde{X} = \int_{]0, \tau(n)[} \tilde{X}_n$$

that the previous results can be extended to local cylindrical quasi-martingales or S.I.C. martingales as in the real case.

INTEGRAL WITH RESPECT TO A GENERALIZED QUASI-MARTINGALE

A local generalized quasi-martingale is the sum of a process which defines vector measures on T, and of a local generalized quasi-martingale. The problem of defining the stochastic integral with respect to a generalized quasi-martingale, reduces, as in the classical case, to defining the stochastic integral with respect to a local generalized martingale.

Starting over from the integral with respect to a square integrable martingale, which can be localized into local square integrable martingales through a suitable increasing sequence (τ_n) , it goes exactly as in the real classical case. So we will restrict ourselves to integrating with respect to square integrable martingales, extending the isometry formula proved in

Theorem 2

Let \tilde{M} be a square integrable cylindrical martingale, on T , with quadratic measure α and control measure $\lambda = |\alpha|$. Let Q be a process with values in the unit ball of $(\mathbb{H} \hat{\otimes}_1 \mathbb{H})'$ with the following properties.

a) Q is weakly predictable and weakly integrable for the duality $\sigma((\mathbb{H} \hat{\otimes}_1 \mathbb{H})', \mathbb{H} \hat{\otimes}_1 \mathbb{H})$, with values in the symmetric positive elements of $(\mathbb{H} \hat{\otimes}_1 \mathbb{H})'$.

b) $\forall A \in \mathcal{F}$ $\alpha(A) = \int_A Q \, d\lambda$

Q is unique up to a (weak) λ -equivalence.

Proof

This is a mere application of a weak Radon-Nikodym theorem for vector-measures (see [13] th. 7). In fact it is immediate that $\alpha(s) \in \lambda(A) \cdot \mathbb{B}_1$, when \mathbb{B}_1 is the unit ball of $(\mathbb{H} \hat{\otimes}_1 \mathbb{H})'$.

IV-2 Remark

If for every t , $\tilde{M}_t \in \mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{H}; \mathcal{H}_t)$, is associated with an ordinary \mathbb{H} -valued martingale, α takes values in $(\mathbb{H} \hat{\otimes}_1 \mathbb{H})' = \mathbb{H} \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{H}$, which identifies itself as such, as a subset of $(\mathbb{H} \hat{\otimes}_1 \mathbb{H})'$. In this case (cf. [16]), Q takes its values in $\mathbb{H} \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{H}$ and is even strongly predictable.

IV-3 Definition of spaces $\Lambda_T^{Q, \lambda}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{C})$ and $\Lambda_T^{Q, \lambda}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{H})$

Let Q be a process in $(\mathbb{H} \hat{\otimes}_1 \mathbb{H})'$, weakly integrable for the duality $\sigma((\mathbb{H} \hat{\otimes}_1 \mathbb{H})', \mathbb{H} \hat{\otimes}_1 \mathbb{H})$, with values in the symmetric positive elements of $(\mathbb{H} \hat{\otimes}_1 \mathbb{H})'$. We recall (cf. §1-3 above) that Q denotes the bounded linear operator associated with

$$Q(t, \omega) : \langle \tilde{Q}(t, \omega) h_1, h_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} = Q(t, \omega)(h_1 \otimes h_2)$$

From the separability of \mathbb{H} , it is clear that weak predictability is equivalent to the strong predictability of $\tilde{Q}(\cdot, \cdot)h$ as a process with values in \mathbb{H} , for every $h \in \mathbb{H}$.

Let \mathbb{E} be an Hilbert space. We will define the space of stochastic processes as the space of those processes X with values in \mathbb{E} such that

(A1) $\forall (t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$ $X(t, \omega)$ is a linear operator

$\supset \text{Range}(\tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}})$ and range in \mathbb{E} , such that the linear mapping $\tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t, \omega)$ is extendable into a bounded linear operator

$(t, \omega) \rightarrow \tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t, \omega) \circ X^*(t, \omega) g$ is strongly predictable and $\int_{\mathbb{H}} \|\tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}} \circ X^*(g)\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2 d\lambda < \infty$

the adjoint mapping of X .

Define also the space $\Lambda_T^{*Q\lambda}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{E})$ of stochastic processes X such that

$(t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$ $X(t, \omega)$ is a linear operator with domain

$\supset \text{Range}(\tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}})$ and range in \mathbb{E} , such that the linear mapping $\tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t, \omega)$ is extendable into a Hilbert Schmidt operator

$(t, \omega) \rightarrow X(t, \omega) \circ \tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t, \omega) h$ is strongly predictable and $\int_{H.S} \|X \circ \tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{H.S}^2 d\lambda < \infty$

Properties of spaces $\Lambda_T^{Q\lambda}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{E})$ and $\Lambda_T^{*Q\lambda}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{E})$

m 3

λ be a bounded positive measure on $([0, T] \times \Omega, \mathcal{P})$. The mapping $X \rightarrow \left(\sup_{\|g\| \leq 1} \int_{[0, T] \times \Omega} \|\tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}} \circ X^*(g)\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2 d\lambda \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is

complete semi-norm on $\Lambda_T^{Q\lambda}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{E})$, for which this space is complete.

The mapping $X \rightarrow \left(\int_{[0, T] \times \Omega} \|X \circ \tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{H.S}^2 d\lambda \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, is an

Hilbertian semi-norm on $\Lambda_T^{*Q\lambda}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{E})$, associated with the positive bilinear form $(X, Y) \rightarrow \int \text{Tr}(X \circ \tilde{Q} \circ Y^*) d\lambda$.

Proof

The fact that the above mappings are semi-norms (being a prehilbertian one) is immediate.

1°) Let us consider now a Cauchy sequence (X_n)

Because of the separability of \mathbb{E} , it is possible to extract a subsequence (X_{n_k}) and a linear operator Y such that

$$\forall g \begin{cases} \tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t, \omega) \circ X_{n_k}^*(t, \omega) g = Y(t, \omega) g \\ \sup_k \int_{\mathbb{H}} \|\tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t, \omega) \circ X_{n_k}^*(t, \omega) g\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2 d\lambda < \infty \end{cases}$$

From the Banach-Steinhaus theorem $Y(t, \omega)$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. The existence of $X(t, \omega)$ (possibly non continuous) defined by $X(t, \omega) \circ \tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t, \omega) = Y^*(t, \omega)$ is evident. And moreover X is strongly predictable.

$$\sup_{\|g\| \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{H}} \|(\tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}} \circ X_n^* - \tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}} \circ X^*) g\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2 d\lambda = \sup_{\|g\| \leq 1} \lim_k \int_{\mathbb{H}} \|(\tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}} \circ X_{n_k}^* - \tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}} \circ X^*) g\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2 d\lambda < \epsilon$$

and the Cauchy property of the sequence (X_n) , it is clear that X belongs to $\Lambda_T^{Q\lambda}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{E})$.

2°) If (X_n) is a Cauchy sequence in $\Lambda_T^{*Q\lambda}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{E})$

$(X_n \circ \tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}})$ is a Cauchy sequence in the space $\mathcal{O}_2(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{E})$

where $\mathcal{O}_2(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{E})$ is the Hilbert space $\mathcal{O}_2(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{E})$ in $L_E^2([0, T] \times \Omega, \mathcal{P}, \lambda)$. Moreover the limit $X \circ \tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ belongs to $\mathcal{O}_2(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{E})$.

From the first part of the proof, $X \circ \tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\Lambda_T^{Q\lambda}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{E})$ too. Thus X belongs to $\Lambda_T^{*Q\lambda}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{E})$.

Remark

In [16] proposition 3, the part 2° of the theorem is proved when $\tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is Hilbert-Schmidt.

at follows we will call $\mathcal{L}_T(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{C})$ the set of processes
orm :

$$) = \sum_{i=1}^n 1]_{r_i, s_i}] \times F_i (t, \omega) \cdot u_i$$

integer $r_i \leq s_i \leq T, F_i \in \mathcal{F}_{r_i}$ for all i and $u_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{C})$.

ector spaces $\Lambda_T^{Q, \lambda}$ and $\Lambda_T^{*Q, \lambda}$ are, moreover, always

owed with the above semi-norms, and, as usually done, we
out changing the name, the associated separated Banach
nce classes of processes. So, when speaking of a process

$\Lambda_T^{*Q, \lambda}$) we will mean a process in $\Lambda_T^{Q, \lambda}$ defined up to

em 4

losure of $\mathcal{L}_T(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{C})$ in $\Lambda_T^{Q, \lambda}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{C})$, (resp. $\Lambda_T^{*Q, \lambda}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{C})$)

rocesses X with values in $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{C})$ strongly predicta-

n norm of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{C})$, and such that $\int_{]0, T] \times \Omega} \|\tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}} \circ X^*\|_b^2 d\lambda < \infty$

rocesses $X \in \Lambda_T^{*Q, \lambda}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{C})$ with values in $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{C})$.

irst remark that if X is strongly predictable as a process
($\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{C}$) (with its operator norm), and such that $\|X\|_b \leq K$
ence (X_n) in \mathcal{L} such that $\|X_n\|_b \leq K$ for all n with

e

$$\int \| (X^* - X_n^*)(h) \|_{\mathbb{C}}^2 d\lambda \leq \lim_n \int \|\tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}} \circ (X^* - X_n^*)\|^2 d\lambda$$

$$\leq \lim_n \int \|X - X_n\|_b^2 \|\tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_b^2 d\lambda = 0$$

ew of $\|\tilde{Q}\|_b \leq 1$)

Newt, if X satisfies the hypothesis of the t
seen to be approximated in $\Lambda_T^{Q, \lambda}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{C})$ by the sequence

The last same approximation works for a proc
with values in $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{C})$, strongly predictable for the
 $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{C})$: for any sequence (X_n) in $\Lambda_T^{*Q, \lambda}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{C})$, wh
to X for the norm of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{C})$ and such that $\|X_n \circ \tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|$
by a square integrable fonction

$$\lim_n \int \|(X - X_n) \circ \tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{H.S}^2 d\lambda = 0.$$

Suppose now that X is any process in $\Lambda_T^{*Q, \lambda}$
 $\|X\|_b \leq K$ and (e_n) is an orthogonal basis of \mathbb{H} ,

$$X_n = X \circ \Pi_n$$

where Π_n is the orthogonal projection in \mathbb{H}
generated by $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$. The process X_n is clearly st
as a process with values in $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{C})$ (with its unifor
all (t, ω) and $h \in \mathbb{H}$

$$X(t, \omega) \tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t, \omega) h = \lim_n X_n(t, \omega) \tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t, \omega) h$$

$$\text{with } \|X_n(t, \omega) \tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t, \omega)\|_{H.S}^2 = \sum_i \|X_n(t, \omega) \tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t, \omega) e_i\|^2 \\ \leq K^2 \|\tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{H.S}^2 < \infty$$

Then $\lim_n X_n = X$ in $\Lambda_T^{*Q, \lambda}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{C})$.

Remark

When \tilde{Q} is nuclear the part of this theore
 $\Lambda_T^{*Q, \lambda}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{C})$ has been proved in [16] prop. 1.

Definition 7

If \tilde{Q} is the process associated with a

gale \tilde{M} , as in theorem 2, and λ is the control measure
 te $\Lambda_T^{\tilde{M}}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{G})$ (resp. $\Lambda_T^{*\tilde{M}}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{G})$ instead of $\Lambda_T^{Q, \lambda}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{G})$
).

$$\left[\sup_{\|g\| \leq 1} \sum_i E \int_{F_i} \cdot |(\tilde{M}_{t_i} - \tilde{M}_{s_i}) \circ u_i^*(g)|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} =$$

$$= \sup_{\|g\| \leq 1} \left[\sum_i \int_{s_i, t_i] \times F_i} (Q(\tau, \omega) \circ u_i^*(g)) \right]$$

where Q is the process with values $\mathbb{H} \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{H}$ associated
 control measure of \tilde{M} . The last expression can be written

$$\left[\sup_{\|g\| \leq 1} \int_{[0, T] \times \Omega} \|Q^{\frac{1}{2}}(t, \omega) \circ X^*(g)\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2 d\lambda \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{which pr}$$

Stochastic integral with respect to a square-integrable
 cylindrical martingale

em 5

be a square-integrable cylindrical martingale, on the
 space \mathbb{H} and let \mathbb{G} be another separable hilbert space.

$X = \int_{s, t] \times F} \cdot u$ where $\int_{s, t] \times F}$ is a predictable rec-
 $(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{G})$ we define $\int_0^T X d\tilde{M}$ as the L.S.F on \mathbb{G} :

$\int_0^T X d\tilde{M}$ has a unique extension as a linear isometry
 $\tilde{\Lambda}_T^{\tilde{M}}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{G})$ of $\mathcal{C}_T(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{G})$ in $\tilde{\Lambda}_T^{\tilde{M}}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{G})$, into
 P).

Theorem 6

With the hypotheses of the preceding theorem
 of $X \mapsto \int_0^T X d\tilde{M}$ to the closure $\tilde{\Lambda}_T^{2, M}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{G})$ of $\mathcal{C}_T(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{G})$
 $\tilde{\Lambda}_T^{*\tilde{M}}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{G})$ is an isometry from $\tilde{\Lambda}_T^{2, M}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{G})$ into $\mathcal{C}_2(\mathbb{G})$

Proof

For $X = \sum_i \int_{s_i, t_i] \times F_i} \cdot u_i$ we have ind

$$\| \int_0^T X \cdot d\tilde{M}^* \|_{\mathbb{H}, S}^2 = \sum_i E \left\| \sum_i \int_{F_i} (M_{t_i}^* - M_{s_i}^*) \circ u_i^* \right\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2$$

where (e_n) is an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{G} .

Using again the martingale property of M^* we get

$$\| \int_0^T X \cdot d\tilde{M}^* \|_{\mathbb{H}, S}^2 = \sum_i E \left\| \int_{F_i} (M_{t_i}^* - M_{s_i}^*) \circ u_i^*(e_n) \right\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2$$

$$= \sum_i \int_{s_i, t_i] \times F_i} \sum_n \|Q^{\frac{1}{2}} \circ u_i^*(e_n)\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2$$

$$= \int_{[0, T] \times \Omega} \|Q^{\frac{1}{2}} \circ X^*\|_{\mathbb{H}, S}^2 d\lambda$$

clear first that there is a unique linear extension to
 mapping $X \mapsto \int_0^T X d\tilde{M}$ given by

$$\int_{s_i, t_i] \times F_i} \cdot u_i \cdot d\tilde{M} \left(g \right) = \sum_i \int_{F_i} (M_{t_i} - M_{s_i}) \circ u_i^*(g)$$

only thing to check is that the mapping is an isometry. But

\tilde{M} in $\mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{G}; L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P))$ is given by

$$\left[\sum_i \int_{F_i} (M_{t_i} - M_{s_i}) \circ u_i^*(g) \right]^2$$

$(\tilde{M}_t(h))_{t \in [0, T]}$ for every h , this is equal to

$$= \int_{]0, T[\times \Omega} \|X \circ \tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{H.S}^2 d\lambda .$$

es the theorem.

\tilde{Q} is nuclear this theorem gives the same result as

em 7

be a right continuous square integrable cylindrical separable Hilbert space H , and let E be another separable X be a process in $\tilde{L}_T^{b, \tilde{M}}(H; E)$ (see th. 5) (resp.

then $(\int_0^t X \cdot d\tilde{M})_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a right continuous square

integrable cylindrical martingale (resp. is the process of S.L.F on E associated with a uniquely defined right continuous martingale with values in E).

if α_M^* (resp. λ) is the quadratic measure (resp. the control measure) of \tilde{M} , and if α_Y is the quadratic measure of the cylindrical martingale $\tilde{Y} = (\int_0^t X \cdot d\tilde{M})_{t \in [0, T]}$,

then

$$\alpha_Y(A)(g \otimes g) = \int_A d\alpha_M \circ (X^* \otimes X^*)(g \otimes g) = \int_A Q_M \circ (X^* \otimes X^*)(g \otimes g) d\lambda$$

and the natural process $\langle \tilde{Y} \rangle$ of α_Y is related to the natural process $\langle \tilde{M} \rangle$ of \tilde{M} by

$$\langle \tilde{Y} \rangle_t = \int_0^t d \langle \tilde{M} \rangle_s \circ (X_s^* \otimes X_s^*) .$$

This last integral is defined pathwise values in $B_3 = \mathcal{L}(E \hat{\otimes}_1 E; L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_t, P))$ $s \rightsquigarrow X_s^*(\omega) \otimes X_s^*(\omega) \in \mathcal{L}(E \hat{\otimes}_1 E; H \hat{\otimes}_1 H)$ respect to a vector valued measure $d\tilde{M}$ values in $\mathcal{L}(H \hat{\otimes} H; L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_t, P)) =$ to the bilinear mapping $f: B_1 \times B_2 \rightarrow B_3$ $f(u, m) = m \circ u$.

3°) If \tilde{M} is continuous, then \tilde{Y} is cont

Proof

1°) Saying that $\tilde{Y} = (\int_0^t X \cdot d\tilde{M})_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a square integrable cylindrical martingale that, for every $g \in E$, $(\tilde{Y}_t(g))_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a continuous real martingale.

We will prove it for a process X $0 \leq \sigma \leq T$ and $u \in \mathcal{L}(E; E)$, and G of the linearity and continuity of the $X \rightsquigarrow \int_0^t X \cdot d\tilde{M}$, it will appear immediately any $X \in \tilde{L}_T^{b, \tilde{M}}(E; E)$.

Let us prove first that $\forall 0 \leq s < t \leq T$

(IV-5-3)

$$E [(\tilde{Y}_t(g) - \tilde{Y}_s(g)) \cdot 1_F] = 0$$

For a particular X of the above form

$$E [(\tilde{Y}_t(g) - \tilde{Y}_s(g)) \cdot 1_F] = E [1_{F \cap G} \cdot$$

The martingale property of \tilde{M} gives the martingale property of \tilde{Y} . As, for

$$\tilde{Y}_t(g) = 1_{F \cap G} [M_{t \vee \sigma}(u^*(g)) - M_\sigma(u^*(g))]$$

continuity of the mapping $t \rightsquigarrow \check{Y}_t(g)$ is clear.

By linearity and density we get immediately (IV-5-3) the martingale property for a general $X \in \tilde{\Lambda}_T^{b, \check{M}}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{G})$.

Now assume that a sequence \check{Y}^n is such that, for $(\check{Y}_t^n)_{t \in [0, T]}$ converges to \check{Y}_t in $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{G}; L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P))$.

Then, using the classical procedures that, for any n deduce the right continuity in L^2 of the real process $(\check{Y}_t^n(g))_{t \in [0, T]}$ from the right continuity of $(\check{Y}_t(g))_{t \in [0, T]}$. In the particular case when $(\check{Y}_t^n)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a martingale in \mathbb{G} , it follows from theorem 6 that $(\check{Y}_t(g))_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a martingale in \mathbb{G} , and then there exists an associated martingale $(Y_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, in the ordinary sense, with values in \mathbb{G} . As for every g , the real martingale $(\check{Y}_t(g))_{t \in [0, T]}$ is right continuous in quadratic mean, it is easily deduced that (Y_t) has a version (unique up to indistinguishability) right continuous in \mathbb{G} .

Using the same $X = 1_{]s, t] \times G} \cdot u$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{]s, t] \times F} X \otimes g &= E \left\{ 1_{F \cap G} \cdot [(\check{M}_t - \check{M}_{sv\sigma}) \circ u^*(g)]^{\otimes 2} \right\} \\ &= \alpha_{\check{M}}^{\otimes 2} (]sv\sigma, t] \times (F \cap G)) (u^*(g) \otimes u^*(g)) \\ &= \int_{]s, t] \times F} d \alpha_{\check{M}}^{\otimes 2} \circ X^* \otimes X^* (g \otimes g) \\ &= \int_{]s, t] \times F} Q_{\check{M}}^{\otimes 2} \circ (X^* \otimes X^*) (g \otimes g) d\lambda \end{aligned}$$

Then the formula (IV-5-1) for a process which is a martingale on predictable rectangles, and for $A =]s, t] \times F$

the mapping $X \rightsquigarrow \int_{]s, t] \times F} X \circ Q_{\check{M}}^{\otimes 2} \circ X^* d\lambda$ being continuous from $\tilde{\Lambda}_T^{b, \check{M}}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{G})$ into $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{G} \otimes \mathbb{G}; L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P))$

of

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\|g\| \leq 1} E \left\| \int_{]s, t] \times F} \langle X \circ Q_{\check{M}}^{\otimes 2} \circ X^*(g), g \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} d\lambda \right\| &\leq \sup_{\|g\| \leq 1} \int \|X \circ Q_{\check{M}}^{\otimes 2} \circ X^*(g)\| \\ &= \sup_{\|g\| \leq 1} \int \|Q_{\check{M}}^{\otimes 2}\| \end{aligned}$$

we get formula (IV-5-1) for all $X \in \tilde{\Lambda}_T^{b, \check{M}}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{G})$ and $A =]s, t] \times F$.

The formula for all predictable A 's follows from the right continuity in A of both members of (IV-5-1).

The Pettis integrability of $X^* \otimes X^*$ with respect to $\langle \check{M} \rangle$ that $X^* \otimes X^*$ is Pettis integrable on P -almost all paths ω with respect to $\langle \check{M} \rangle$. The cylindrical process $\Phi_t^* = \int_0^t d \langle \check{M} \rangle_s \circ (X_s^* \otimes X_s^*)$ is predictable and satisfies

$$\alpha_Y (]s, t] \times F) (g \otimes g) = E \{ 1_F (\Phi_t^* - \Phi_s^*) (g \otimes g) \}$$

which proves formula (IV-5-2).

3°) It is sufficient to prove that, for all $g \in \mathbb{G}$ the process $(\check{Y}_t(g))_{t \in [0, T]}$ is continuous since $\check{Y}(g)$ is a martingale. If \check{M} is a local cylindrical square integrable martingale and if $X \in \tilde{\Lambda}_T^{b, \check{M}}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{G})$ (resp. $X \in \tilde{\Lambda}_T^{b, \check{M}}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{G})$), the process $(\check{Y}_t(g))_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a local cylindrical martingale (resp. there is a local square integrable G -valued martingale Y associated to the local cylindrical martingale \check{Y}) where $\check{Y}_t = \int_0^t X \cdot d\check{M}$.

Remark

If \check{M} is a local cylindrical square integrable martingale and if $X \in \tilde{\Lambda}_T^{b, \check{M}}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{G})$ (resp. $X \in \tilde{\Lambda}_T^{b, \check{M}}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{G})$), the process $(\check{Y}_t(g))_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a local cylindrical martingale (resp. there is a local square integrable G -valued martingale Y associated to the local cylindrical martingale \check{Y}) where $\check{Y}_t = \int_0^t X \cdot d\check{M}$.

CHANGE OF VARIABLE. ITO'S FORMULA

an Ito's formula has been given for the stochastic integral with respect to a cylindrical Brownian motion. We want only to note that this is an immediate consequence of known general "Ito's formula" (see for example in [9]). We will restrict ourselves to the continuous version of a general "Ito's formula" as stated in [9] and [14]. Let Y be a square integrable martingale with continuous paths. Let ϕ be a mapping of $F \times G$ into a Hilbert space H . If ϕ is continuously differentiable in the first variable, with $D_x \phi(x,y) \in \mathcal{L}(F; H)$ bounded on any bounded set in $F \times G$, and continuously differentiable in the second variable, with $D_y \phi(x,y) \in \mathcal{L}(G; H)$ and $D_y^2 \phi(x,y) \in \mathcal{L}(G \times G; H)$ bounded on any bounded set in $F \times G$, then we have the following equality up to indistinguishability between two processes :

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(Y_t) - \phi(Y_0) &= \int_0^t D_x \phi(V_s, Y_s) dV_s + \int_0^t D_y \phi(V_s, Y_s) dY_s \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t D_y^2 \phi(V_s, Y_s) d\langle Y \rangle_s \end{aligned}$$

and integrals being taken pathwise, while the second is an Itô integral).

M^* is a continuous S.I.C Martingale on H and $X \in \tilde{L}_T^{2,M}(H; G)$, the continuous martingale version of the S.I.C Martingale

Using the formulas of theorem 6 we get immediately the

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(Y_t) - \phi(Y_0) &= \int_0^t D_x \phi(V_s, Y_s) dV_s + \int_0^t D_y \phi(V_s, Y_s) dY_s \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t d\langle M \rangle_s^* \circ (X_s^* \otimes X_s^*) \circ D_y^2 \phi^*(V_s, Y_s) \end{aligned}$$

Instead of the bilinear form $D_y^2 \phi^*(x,y)$ we consider the linear mapping $\tilde{D}_y^2 \phi^*(x,y) \in \mathcal{L}(G; \mathcal{L}(G; H))$ the

last integral can be written

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t d\langle M^* \rangle_s \circ X_s^* \circ \tilde{D}_y^2 \phi^*(V_s, Y_s) \circ X_s^*$$

In the particular case when M^* is a cylindrical Brownian motion B^* as in [7], the process of S.L.F. $\langle M^* \rangle_s$ reduces to the linear mapping $s.C$ (the covariance) of $\mathcal{L}(H; H)$. The formula reduces, when moreover $V = 0$, to the formula of [7] :

$$\phi(Y_t) = \phi(Y_0) + \int_0^t D_y \phi(Y) \circ X \circ dB^* + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t X_s \circ D_y^2 \phi(Y) \circ X_s$$

REFERENCES

Séminaire sur les fonctions aléatoires linéaires et les mesures cylindriques - Lecture Notes in Mathematics n° 139 - 1970 - Springer-Verlag

Intégration chap. I et II - Hermann - Paris 1965

Infinite dimensional elliptic operators and parabolic equations connected with them - Russ. Math. Surveys 1967 - 22 p. 1-53

Espaces vectoriels topologiques - Hermann - Paris 1961

Existence du processus croissant naturel associé à un potentiel de classe D. - Zeit. W. Theorie 9 (1968) p. 309-314

P.A. MEYER *Intégrales stochastiques par rapport aux martingales locales* - séminaire de proba IV - Université de Strasbourg - Lecture notes in mathematics vol. 124 - Springer-Verlag

C.R.A.S. - Paris t. 276 - 1973 - p. 617

N. WILENKIN *Generalized functions* - t. IV - 1961

J. PELLAUMAIL *Formule de Ito pour les processus non continus à valeurs dans un espace de Banach* - Annales I.H.P. Vol. X,4 (1974)

Stochastic Integrals based on martingales taking values in Hilbert spaces - Nagoya Math. J. (1970)38 p. 41-52

J.Y. OUVARD *Martingales browniennes hilbertiennes* C.R.A.S. t. 276 (1973) p. 1225

Limites projectives de Mesures - Martingales Annali di mathematica Pura ed applicata IV - 1963 p. 225-351

Martingales à valeurs vectorielles - Ann. Inst. Fourier 1967 - t. XVII - p. 177-208

Intégrale stochastique par rapport à des processus à valeurs dans un espace de Banach réflexif - Theory of Probability and Its appl. 1974 (577-606)

J. PELLAUMAIL *On Doleans - Follmer's measure for quasi-martingales* - Ill. J. Math. 1975

P. PISTONE *Une formule d'isométrie pour l'intégrale stochastique hilbertienne et équations d'évolution linéaires stochastiques* - Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw Gebecte 33 p. 1-18 1975

[17] P.A. MEYER *Intégrales stochastiques I et II - Proba I* - Université de Strasbourg in mathematics - vol. 39 - Springer

[18] J. PELLAUMAIL *Sur l'intégrale stochastique et la Doob-Meyer* - Astérisque 9 (1973)

[19] F. TREVES *Topological vector spaces, distrib* Academic Press n° 4 - 1967

[20] YOR *Thèse de 3è cycle* - Paris - juin 1973