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# $L_{6}$-CONVEROENCE OF FINITE ELEMBNT APPROXIMATION J.A. Nitsche 

2. Conference on Finite Elements Rennes, France
May 12-14, 1975
o. Jntrocuation

Projections such as $L_{2}$ - or Ritz-ipproximations are Pomulatud in t Hilbert-space-setting, therefore error estimates alo primus: avallable in Sobolev norms. The derivation of $L_{\infty}$ ostimates is a fanous question. We mention the case in which the approxintion space consists of trigonometrio polynomials of dogree $n$ and tho resuli of Faber (see Lorentz [1], p.96): The To norm on any suen projection is (at least) of order in $n$.

The situation is better if splines or finite elements ane wed insted of trigonometric functions, as was shown in fitsche [1] for linear splines in one dimension and enereliped by Douglas-inpont-Wheeler [1], Wanlbin [1], and Wheeler [1]. Tr niffer dimensions some results are known for unfform resp. protangular meshes, see Bramble-Nitsche-schatz, Bramble-sontz [i], [27, Douglas-Dupont-Whecler [1] and Strang-Fix [1].

A first $L_{\infty}$-error estimate for Ritz-approximations and lineai finfte elements on a general mesh was given by Njtsche [2], but With a loss of convergence-rate depending on the dimension. This was improved by Clarlet-Raviart [2], scill with a loss but independent of the dimension. Natterer [1] gets in two dimensions convergence with a power $2-\varepsilon$ of the mesh-size $h$. Also in two dimensions but for general finite clements Scott [1] derives optimal $L_{\infty}$-crror estimates. His method consists in a careful analysis of the approximability of Green's function in the $\mathrm{L}_{1}$-romm.

In this paper we show in $\S 2$ the uniform boundedness of $L_{2}-$ projections in the $\mathrm{L}_{\infty}$-norm. The idea is - similar to Natterer first to work with weighted Sobolev-norms. In order to illustrate
the power of this method we derive in $\$ 3$ for Ritz-approximations a corresponding boundedness result from which optimal $\mathrm{L}_{\infty}$-estimates follow. The case of linear finite elements (for Ritz-approximations to second order problems) is excluded here, in this case logarithmic factors appear.

1. Notations, finite elements

In the followinp $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{N}$ denotes a bounded domain witn boundary $\partial \rho$ sufficiently smooth. For any $\Omega^{\prime} \subseteq \Omega$ in the Sobolev spaces $H_{k}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)=W_{2}^{k}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)$ we will consider besides the usuel norns also weighted semi-norms - with a positive weight-factor p-

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla^{1} v\right\|_{p . \Omega^{\prime}}=\left\{\sum_{|\alpha|=1 .} \int_{\Omega^{\prime}} p\left|D^{\alpha} v\right|^{2} d x\right\}^{1 / 2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We omft the subscript $\Omega^{\prime}$ in case of $\Omega^{\prime}=\Omega$. By $\Gamma_{n}$ a subdivision of $\Omega$ into generalized simplices $\Delta_{1}$ is meant, 1.e. $\Delta_{1}$ is a simplex in case $\Delta_{1}$ and $a \Omega$ have in comon at most a finite number of points and otherwise one of the faces may be curved. $\Gamma_{n}$ is called $x$-regular if for any $\Lambda_{1} \in \Gamma_{n}$ there are two spheres with radii $x^{-1} n$ and $n n$ such thet $\Delta_{i}$ contains the one and is contained in the otner.

By $H_{k}^{\prime}=H_{k}^{\prime}\left(\Gamma_{h}\right)$ we denote the space of functions $v \in L_{2}(\Omega)$ such that the restriction of $v$ to any $\Lambda_{1} \in \Gamma_{h}$ is in $H_{k}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)$. Parallel to (1) we introduce the 'broken' semi-norms
(2)

$$
\left\|\nabla^{I} v\right\|_{p}^{\prime}=\left\{\sum_{\Delta_{i} \in \Gamma_{n}}\left\|\nabla^{I} v\right\|_{p \cdot \Delta_{1}}^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2}
$$

We will consider finite element spaces $S_{n}=S_{n}\left(\Gamma_{h}\right)$ of order $m: A n y \quad x \in S_{n}$ is in $C^{O}(\Omega)$ and the restriction to $\Delta_{1} \in \Gamma_{h}$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq m-1$. In case of essential boundary conditions as discussed in section 3 we think or isoparametric elements as discussed by Ciarlet-Raviart [1] and Zilamal [1].

A family of welght-factors $\left\{p_{0}(x)\right\}$ is said to be in class $\widetilde{T}_{\gamma}=\tau_{\gamma \cdot m}$ if
(3) $\quad \sup _{x \in \Omega} p_{0}^{-1}(x)\left|D^{\alpha} p_{p}(x)\right| \leq y_{0}^{-|a|}$ for $|\alpha| \leq m$.

The standard approximation and inverse properties of finite elements may be transferred to estimates in weighted norms (see Natterer [1]).

Lemma 1: Let $\Gamma_{h}$ be a $x$-regular subdivision and $\left\{p_{p}\right\}$ belong to class $E_{\gamma}$. There are constants $C_{v}=C_{\nu}(\gamma, k, m)(\nu=1,2,3)$ such that whenever $\mathrm{n} \leq \mathrm{C}_{1} \rho$ the statements are true:
(1) To any $v \in H_{1}^{\prime}$ with $1 \leq m$ there is a $x \in S_{h}$ according to
(4)

$$
\left\|\nabla^{k}(v-x)\right\|_{p}^{1} \leq c_{2} n^{1-k}\left\|\nabla^{1} v\right\|_{p}^{\prime} \quad(0 \leq k<1)
$$

(ii) For any $x \in S_{h}$ Bernstein-type inequalities hold:
(5)

$$
\left\|\nabla^{I} x\right\|_{p}^{\prime} \leq c_{3} h^{k-1}\left\|\nabla^{k} 1\right\|_{p}^{\prime} \quad(0 \leq k<1<m) .
$$

With a proper approximation resp. interpolation $x$ it. is well-known

$$
\left\|\nabla^{k}(v-x)\right\|_{1_{2}}^{2}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right) \leq c_{1} n^{2(1-k)}\left\|\nabla^{1} v\right\|_{L_{2}}^{2}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)
$$

with a constant $c_{1}=c_{1}(x, m)$. From this we get with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{p}_{1}=\inf \left\{p(x) \mid x \in \wedge_{1}\right\}, \\
& \bar{p}_{1}=\sup \left\{p(x) \mid x \in \Lambda_{i}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

immediately

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla^{k}(v-x)\right\|_{p}^{2} \leq\left(\bar{p}_{i} / \underline{p}_{i}\right)^{2} c_{1} n^{2(1-k)}\left\|v^{1} v\right\|_{p}^{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now there are $\underline{x}, \bar{x} \in \Delta_{i}$ with $\underline{p}_{i}=p(\underline{x}), \bar{p}_{i}=p(\bar{x})$. Since $|\bar{x}-\underline{x}| \leq x h$ and $|D p| \leq \gamma \rho^{-1} \bar{p}_{1}$ in $\Delta_{i}$ we get

$$
\overline{\mathrm{p}}_{1} \leq \underline{p}_{1}+\mu \gamma \mathrm{h}_{\rho}^{-1} \overline{\mathrm{p}}_{1}
$$

The choice $C_{1}=\left(2 x_{\gamma}\right)^{-1}$ guarantees $\bar{p}_{i} / \underline{p}_{i} \leq 2$ if $n \leq c_{1} \rho$. Summation over all $\Delta_{1} \in \Gamma_{h}$ in (6) gives (4). The proof of (5) follows the same lines.

Remark 1: In the proof condition (3) was used only with $|a|=1$. Remark 2: If $p>0$ fulfills (3) with $|a|=1$ then also $\mathrm{p}^{-1}$ does.
2. $\mathrm{L}_{2}$-projections

Let $P_{h}$ be the $L_{2}$ projection onto the finite element space $S_{h}$ defined by

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
u_{n} & =P_{n} u \in S_{n} \quad, \\
\left(u_{n}, x\right) & =(u, x) \quad \text { for } x \in S_{n} .
\end{array}
$$

We first show the boundedness of $P_{n}$ with respect to weighted norms. With any $x \in S_{h}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{p}^{2} & =\iint p u_{n}^{2} \\
& =\iint u_{n}\left(p u_{n}-x\right)-\iint u\left(p u_{n}-x\right)+\iint p u u_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

With the help of

$$
\iint p u u_{n} \leq \frac{1}{2} \iint p u^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \iint p u_{n}^{2}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\iint v w\right| & \leq\left\{\iint p v^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2}\left\{\left.\iint p^{-1} w^{2}\right|^{1 / 2}\right. \\
& \leq \delta \iint p v^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \delta} \iint p^{-1} w^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $\delta>0$ we get $\left(\delta=\frac{1}{4}\right)$

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{p}^{2} \leq \frac{3}{4}\left(\|u\|_{p}^{2}+\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{p}^{2}\right)+2\left\|p u_{n}-x\right\|_{p}^{2}-1
$$

or

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{p}^{2} \leq 3\|u\|_{p}^{2}+8\left\|p u_{n}-x\right\|_{p-1}^{2}
$$

Now let $x$ be an approximation to $u_{n}$ according to lemma. 1 with the weight-factor $\mathrm{p}^{-1}$. Then

$$
\left\|p u_{n}-x\right\|_{p}-1 \leq c_{2} n^{m}\left\|\nabla^{m}\left(p u_{n}\right)\right\|_{p^{-1}}^{1}
$$

In $\Delta_{1} \in \Gamma_{n}$ the function $u_{n}$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq m-1 *$ ). By Leibniz' rule we get

$$
D^{\alpha}\left(p u_{n}\right)=\sum_{\mid \beta \leq \alpha \leq m-1}^{\beta \leq} c_{\beta}\left(D^{\alpha-\beta} p\right) D^{\beta} u_{n}
$$

and because of (3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla^{m}\left(p u_{n}\right)\right\|_{p^{-1}}^{\prime} \leq c_{2} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \rho^{-m+k}\left\|\nabla^{k} u_{n}\right\|_{p}^{\prime} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we make use of the inverse properties (5) and cone to

$$
\left\|p u_{n}-x\right\|_{p^{-1}} \leq c_{3} n \rho^{-1}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{p}
$$

[^0]with $c_{3}=c_{2}(\gamma, x, m)$. If we choose $n \leq c_{2} 0$ with $C_{4}=\operatorname{Min}\left(C_{1}, \frac{1}{6} c_{-3}^{-1}\right)$ we get therefore
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{\mathrm{n}}\right\|_{\mathrm{p}} \leqslant 2\|u\|_{\mathrm{p}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Theorem 1: Let $\Gamma_{n}$ be a $x$-regular subdivision and the wefght-factor be of class $\pi_{\gamma}$. For $\mathrm{h} \leq \mathrm{C}_{4}(\gamma, \mu, \mathrm{~m})$ o the $L_{2}$-projection $P_{h}: I_{2} \rightarrow S_{h}$ is uniformly bounded.

In order to derive $I_{\infty}$-estimates for $P_{n}$ we specify the functions $p$. Let $x_{0} \in \bar{\Omega}$ be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{h}\left(x_{o}\right)= \pm\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L_{\infty}(\Omega)} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(without loss of generality we can take the positive sign). Then we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{0}(x)=\left(\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{2}+0^{2}\right)^{-a} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with any $a>N / 2$, f.i. we take $a=N$. Obviously condition (3) is met with $y=\gamma(m)$. Because of

$$
p_{0}(x) \leq\left(\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{N}+0^{N}\right)^{-2}
$$

we can estimate

$$
\|u\|_{p}^{2} \leqslant c_{4}\|u\|_{L_{\infty}}^{2}(\Omega) \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d \tau}{\left.(\tau+0)^{N}\right)^{2}}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{p} \leq c_{5} \rho^{-N / 2}\|u\|_{L_{\infty}}(\Omega) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because of the standard inverse inequality

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|\nabla x\|_{L_{\infty}}(\Omega) \leq c_{6} n^{-1}\|x\|_{L_{\infty}}(\Omega) \\
\left(c_{6}=c_{6}(x, m)\right) \text { we find using (9) } \\
\text { (12) } \quad u_{n}(x) \geq\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L_{\infty}}(\Omega)\left\{1-c_{6} n^{-1}\left|x-x_{0}\right|\right\} \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

The volumed of the intersection between $\Omega$ and the sphere with center in $x_{0}$ and radius $c^{-1} h$ is bounded from below by $a_{7} \mathrm{~h}^{\mathrm{N}}$. With the help of (12) we get therefore with $c_{8}=c_{8}(\gamma, x, m)>0$

$$
c_{8} \rho^{-2 N} h^{N}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L_{\infty}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{p}^{2}
$$

Comparing this and (11) with (8) we get finally

$$
\left\|u_{\mathrm{h}}\right\|_{\mathrm{I}_{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq c_{9}(x, m)\left(\frac{0}{\mathrm{~h}}\right)^{\mathrm{N} / 2}\|u\|_{\mathrm{I}_{\infty}}(\Omega)
$$

For any given $h$ we take now $0=C_{4}^{-1} n$. Then the factor $o / h$ depends only on $x, m$ :

Theorem 2: Let $\Gamma_{n}$ be a $x$-regular subdivision. Then the $L_{2}$-projection $P_{h}: L_{2} \rightarrow S_{h}$ has uniformly bounded norm in $L_{\infty}(\Omega)$.

The inequality - see Alexitis [1]

$$
\left\|u-P_{n} u\right\| \leq(1+\|P\|) \inf _{x \in S_{h}}\|u-x\|
$$

in connection with the approximation properties of finite elements gives

Corollary 1: Let $u \in W_{\infty}^{n}(\Omega)$ with $n \leq m$. Then
(13)

$$
\left\|u-P_{n} u\right\|_{L_{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C_{5} n^{n}\|u\|_{W_{\infty}}^{n}(\Omega)
$$

with $C_{5}=C_{5}(x, m)$.
3. Ritz-approximations

Now we consider the Dirichlet problem
(14)
$-\Delta u=f$
in $\Omega$,
$u=0$
on $2 \Omega$.

Let $S_{n}$ fulfill the boundary condition. Then the Ritzapproximation $R_{h}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=R_{h} u \in S_{h}, \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
D(\Phi, x)=(f, x) \quad \text { for } x \in S_{n}
$$

with the Dirichlet-form

$$
D(\Phi, x)=\iint_{\Omega}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \Phi_{i 1} x_{i j}\right\} d x
$$

We may also insert $u$ and write

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(\Phi, \chi)=D(u, x) \quad \text { for } \quad x \in S_{h} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Besides the weight-factor $p_{\rho}$ (10) with $x_{0} \in \Omega$ to be fixed later we introduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{p}(x)=\left(\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{2}+p^{2}\right)^{-a-1} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will also write only $p$ and $q$.

In analogy to theorem 1 we have in the present situation

Theorem 3: Assume
(i) $\Gamma_{n}$ is a x-regular subdivision of $\Omega$,
(ii) $S_{h}$ is of order $m \geq 3$ and contained in $\stackrel{\circ}{H}_{1}(\Omega)$,
(iii) p,q are defined by (10), (17) with
$\mathrm{N} / 2<\mathrm{a}<\mathrm{N} / 2+1$
(iv) $h$ and $p$ are connected by $h \leqslant C_{6}$ o with $C_{6}=C_{6}(x, m)$.

Then the Ritz-approximation $\Phi=R_{n}{ }^{u}$ is bounded in the sense

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\Phi\|_{q}+\|\nabla \Phi\|_{p} \leq c_{7}\left(\|u\|_{q}+\|\nabla u\|_{p}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $C_{7}=C_{7}(\kappa, m)$.

The proof is divided in three steps. First in estimating the gradient of $\Phi$ we use the identity

$$
\|\nabla \Phi\|_{p}^{2}=D(\Phi, p \Phi)+\frac{1}{2} \iint \Phi^{2} \Delta p
$$

The second term on the right hand side is bounded by $c_{10}\|\Phi\|_{q}^{2}$ because of $\Delta p \leq c_{10}{ }^{q}$. The first term is handled similar
to the $L_{2}$-case. So we find
(19) $\quad\|\nabla \Phi\|_{p}^{2} \leq c_{11}\left(r, m_{i}\right)\left\{\| \|_{q}^{2}+\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{2}\right\} \quad$.

Next we introduce an auxiliary function $w$ defined by
(20)

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\alpha W & =q & \text { in } \Omega \\
W & =0 & \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

by means of which we get

$$
\|\Phi\|_{G}^{2}=(\Phi,-\mu W)=D(\Phi, W)
$$

Because of (16) the equation

$$
\|i\|_{q}^{2}=D(\Phi, w-x)-D(u, w-x)+D(u, w)
$$

holds with $x \in S_{h}$ arbitrarily chosen. The last term can be replaced by $\iint$ qui . Applying Schwarz' inequality in an appropriate way we come to

$$
\|\Phi\|_{\mathrm{q}}^{2} \leq\|u\|_{\mathrm{q}}^{2}+\|\nabla \mathrm{u}\|_{\mathrm{p}}^{2}+\delta\|\nabla \Phi\|_{\mathrm{p}}^{2}+\left(1+\delta^{-1}\right)\|\nabla(w-\chi)\|_{\mathrm{p}}^{2}-1
$$

Now we choose $\delta<c_{11}^{-1}$ and combine the last inequality with (19):
(21) $\|\Phi\|_{q}^{2}+\|\nabla \Phi\|_{p}^{2} \leq c_{12}\left\{\|u\|_{q}^{2}+\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{2}+\|\nabla(w-x)\|_{p-1}^{2}\right\} \quad$.

Since $m \geq 3$ we get with lem na 1
(22)

$$
\|\nabla(w-x)\|_{p-1} \leq c_{13} h^{2}\left\|\nabla^{3} w\right\|_{p-1}
$$

 $w \in \mathrm{H}_{3}(\Omega)$.

The final step from (21) to (18) is done by the

Lemma 2: Assume $N / 2<a<N / 2+1$. Let $w$ be defined by (20). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla^{3} w\right\|_{p-1} \leq c_{14} \rho^{-2}\left(\|\Phi\|_{q}+\|\nabla \Phi\|_{p}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a numerical constant $c_{14}$.

The proof of the lemma is highly technical and is not given here. It only remains to couple $\rho$ and $h$ in order to have - see (21) - (23) - $c_{12} c_{13}^{2} c_{14}^{2} n^{4} \rho^{-4}<1$.

Parallel to the $I_{2}$-case we derive now from (18)

$$
\|\Phi\|_{L_{\infty}(\Omega)}+n\|\nabla \Phi\|_{J_{\infty}}(\Omega) \leq C_{8}(x, m)\left\{\|u\|_{L_{\infty}(\Omega)}+n\|\nabla u\|_{L_{\infty}(\Omega)}\right\}
$$

The final result is

Corollary 2: Assume the order $m$ of the finite elements used is at least 3 . Let $u \in W_{\infty}^{n}(\Omega)$ with $1 \leq n \leq m$.

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|u-R_{h} u\right\|_{L_{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq c_{8} h^{n}\|u\|_{W_{\infty}^{n}(\Omega)} \\
& \left\|u-R_{h} u\right\|_{W_{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq c_{8} n^{n-1}\|u\|_{W_{o \rho}(\Omega)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 4: In case $m=2$ the best choice is $a=N / 2$. Inequality (22.) is to be replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v(w-x)\|_{p^{-1}} \leq c_{13} n\left\|v^{2} w\right\|_{p^{-1}} \tag{22'}
\end{equation*}
$$

and similarly (23) by
(23')

$$
\left\|\nabla^{2} w\right\|_{p}-1 \leq c_{14}^{1} \rho^{-1} / 1 \cdot u_{\rho} /^{1 / 2}\|\Phi\|_{q} .
$$

In this way logarithmic terms "come in".
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